

#### RATING ACTION COMMENTARY

# Fitch Rates Philadelphia (PA) Water and Wastewater Revs 'A+'; Outlook Stable

Fri 08 Sep, 2023 - 3:13 PM ET

Fitch Ratings - New York - 08 Sep 2023: Fitch Ratings has assigned an 'A+' rating to the following Philadelphia, PA (the city) revenue bonds:

--Approximately \$581.4 million water and wastewater revenue bonds, series 2023B.

The bonds are anticipated to be sold via negotiation the week of Sept. 18. Proceeds will be used to fund a portion of the capital improvement program, fund a deposit to the Debt Reserve Account, refund a portion of outstanding water and wastewater revenue bonds, and pay issuance costs.

Additionally, Fitch has affirmed the 'A+' rating on the following:

--Approximately \$2.5 billion outstanding water and wastewater revenue bonds.

Fitch has also assessed the standalone credit profile (SCP) of the Philadelphia Water Department (PWD or the system) at 'a+'. The SCP represents the credit profile of the system on a standalone basis irrespective of its relationship with and credit quality of, the city (Issuer Default Rating [IDR] A/Stable).

The Rating Outlook is Stable.

# **RATING ACTIONS**

ENTITY / DEBT ♦ RATING ♦ PRIOR ♦

Philadelphia (PA) [Water,
Sewer]

Philadelphia (PA)
/Water & Sewer
Revenues/1 LT

A+ Rating Outlook Stable
Affirmed
Outlook
Stable

#### **VIEW ADDITIONAL RATING DETAILS**

#### **ANALYTICAL CONCLUSION**

Fitch's 'A+' rating and 'a+' SCP reflect PWD's leverage profile within the context of its strong revenue defensibility and very strong operating risk assessments, assessed at 'a' and 'aa', respectively. The Stable Outlook incorporates Fitch's expectations that PWD will secure rate adjustments sufficient to maintain leverage consistent with the current financial profile assessment and rating, while continuing to implement its substantial capital improvement plan (CIP).

Revenue defensibility reflects the system's role as an essential service provider within a well-defined service territory, historically stable demand characteristics, yet a rate setting process that constrains rate flexibility. Significant rate increases are needed over the forecast period in order to maintain and improve system assets, while also complying with a state consent order agreement (COA), which requires approval by an independent rate setting board. The operating risk profile incorporates capital investment needs that are expected to remain elevated for the foreseeable future as the system faces long-term asset rehabilitation needs and continues progress toward addressing combined sewer overflows (CSOs) and master plan execution.

The system's financial profile reflects low, albeit increasing leverage, measured by net adjusted debt to adjusted funds available for debt service, reaching 9.7x in fiscal 2022 (FYE June 30). While leverage could be slightly elevated the next few fiscal years, it should continue to support the 'a' financial profile assessment. However, the rating could become pressured if approval of recommended rate increases is delayed or capital needs continue to grow.

#### **CREDIT PROFILE**

PWD provides potable water to all of the approximately 1.6 million residents of the city as well as accounts in neighboring Montgomery and Delaware Counties per a wholesale agreement. PWD also provides wastewater collection and treatment to a service area that

includes the city, as well as portions of the surrounding counties through wholesale contracts, serving a larger population estimated at nearly 2.3 million.

Water treatment is provided by three water treatment plants. Combined capacity is 546 million gallons per day (mgd). Average daily water demand is comfortably below permitted water supply and water supply from the Delaware and Schuylkill rivers are sufficient for the foreseeable future. Three water pollution control plants provide wastewater collection and treatment, including biosolids treatment and disposal with combined capacity of 522 mgd or about 85% of demand.

The city continues to operate under a COA signed in 2011 with the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection. The COA requires PWD to address CSOs over a 25-year period ending in 2036. Recent total cost estimates of the program, which began in 2012, are approximately \$4.5 billion (\$3.5 billion capital-related, \$1.0 billion O&M). The city reports it is on track to meet the next milestones, which have a deadline of June 2026.

Fitch considers the system to be a related entity to the city for rating purposes given the city's oversight of the system, including its management of operations and approving appointment of rate board members. The credit quality of the city does not currently constrain the bond rating. However, as a result of being a related entity, the issue rating could become constrained by a material decline in the general credit quality of the city.

#### **KEY RATING DRIVERS**

Revenue Defensibility 'a'

Monopolistic Service Provider, Limited Rate Flexibility

PWD provides essential utility services to a stable service area that serves as the economic hub for the region. The customer base is diverse, and demographic indicators are midrange when considering those areas outside the city limits. The rate approval process is extensive and rate affordability and cost recovery remain a concern, currently limiting the revenue defensibility assessment at its current level.

#### **Operating Risks 'aa'**

Very Low Operating Cost Burden, Elevated Capital Needs

The operating cost burden remains very low. Rising annual capex trends and sizable long-term capital reinvestment plans should continue to lower the system's currently elevated life cycle ratio.

#### Financial Profile 'a'

Strong Financial Profile, Leverage to Rise

The financial profile reflects the system's strong leverage, with a liquidity profile that is neutral to the assessment. Over time, leverage is expected to rise but financial metrics are anticipated to remain consistent with the current assessment and rating, should revenue expectations hold.

# **Asymmetric Additive Risk Considerations**

No asymmetric additive risk considerations affect this rating.

#### **RATING SENSITIVITIES**

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade:

- --Leverage sustained below 8.0x through Fitch's base and stress cases, provided maintenance of current revenue defensibility and operating risk assessments;
- --Improvement in the revenue defensibility assessment driven by improved assessments of both service area characteristics and rate flexibility.

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/downgrade:

- --Leverage sustained above 10.0x through Fitch's base and stress cases provided maintenance of current revenue defensibility and operating risk assessments;
- --Failure to secure rate increases in a timely manner to sufficiently support capital plan needs;
- --Deterioration in the revenue defensibility assessment, raising the hurdle for leverage at the current rating.

#### **SECURITY**

The bonds are secured by a senior lien on combined net revenues of the PWD's water and sewer system and a debt reserve account funded in the amount of maximum annual debt service.

# **Revenue Defensibility**

Revenue defensibility, assessed at 'a', is strong and reflects all of the system's revenue derived from monopolistic, primarily residential water delivery and wastewater services.

PWD's service territory is generally stable but with weaker median household income (MHI) and unemployment relative to national levels. Fitch uses the city's demographic statistics as a key input to its assessment of the service territory as the vast majority of customers are located within the city limits, but also recognizes that PWD provides service to areas with more favorable demographics outside of the city limits.

The city unemployment rate remains significantly above that of the nation, approximating 150% of national levels in 2022. More recent data show an improving trend, at 129% in May 2023. MHI has also improved, approximating 76% of the nation, compared to 73% in recent years.

# Rate Oversight and Structure

With the establishment of the Philadelphia Water, Sewer, and Storm Water Rate Board (the rate board) in 2014, the city council no longer had direct approval and sole control over PWD's rates. The rate board's five members are appointed by the mayor and must be approved by the city council. The rate board's decisions may be appealed. Although the process is time consuming and typically results in rate increases lower than requested, the department indicates the process is collegial. The fiscal 2024 and 2025 rate determinations of 7.85% and 8.14%, respectively, are the largest increases to date.

Rates are deemed affordable for a significant majority of the population (around 75%) and the combined monthly residential customer bill totaled approximately \$103.00 for 7,500 gallons of water consumed and 6,000 gallons of sewer flows in fiscal 2022. PWD has implemented various bill reduction programs for a few thousand lower-income residents, which further offsets affordability concerns. The city's Tiered Assistance Program (TAP), which establishes charges as a percentage of household income, reduces the impact ongoing rate adjustments have on the most vulnerable population. Approved rates include a surcharge to recover a portion of the revenue lost due to TAP.

#### **Operating Risks**

Fitch assesses PWD's operating risk profile as very strong at 'aa', reflecting a very low operating cost burden, strong historical capital re-investment trends, and continued compliance with the COA. The operating cost burden has been relatively stable, registering about \$2,600 per million gallons in fiscal 2022, and economies of scale are anticipated to keep it consistent with the current assessment. Elevated investment needs are adequately addressed with sizable historical and projected capital spending. Annual capex to depreciation has been over 200% the past four fiscal years with a five-year average of 210% as of fiscal 2022.

Typical of most large, mature, urban combined utilities facing CSO mitigation and long-term renewal and replacement issues, PWD's capital improvement program is anticipated to remain substantial. The city prepares a six-year CIP, with fiscal 2024 through 2029 budgeted spending of \$4.57 billion. System reinvestment remains the focus. Water Revitalization Plan projects, including upgrades at all three water treatment facilities, total \$1 billion. COA projects also are about \$1 billion. Additional costs are assumed for wastewater facility upgrades including the pretreatment facility at the Northeast plant. A new 25-year wastewater master plan update is underway. About 88% of the CIP is expected to be debt funded with revenue bonds, state and/or federal loans.

#### **Financial Profile**

PWD's financial profile is assessed at 'a'. As expected, leverage rose in fiscal 2022 to 9.7x, a continuation of annual increases observed since fiscal 2018, when leverage registered 6.3x. The rise has previously been attributable to additional debt issuances in conjunction with lower sales revenue amid pandemic related challenges, exacerbated by the absence of a rate increase in fiscal 2021.

The liquidity profile is considered neutral to the assessment. Current days cash on hand measured 136 days in fiscal 2022. Additionally, the system's rate stabilization fund's (RSF) balance was about \$139 million as of fiscal 2022 and provides additional liquidity. Coverage of full obligations (COFO) measured 1.4x and Fitch calculated all-in debt service coverage (DSC) exceeded 1.7x, as this does not account for the system's net transfers.

Fitch Analytical Stress Test (FAST)

Fitch's FAST considers the potential trend of key ratios in a base case and a stress case. The stress case is designed to impose capital costs 10% above expected levels and evaluate potential variability in projected key ratios. Fitch's base case generally reflects PWD's

financial forecast, with adjustments made to smooth the increase from audited fiscal 2022 to fiscal 2023. Projected revenues reflect approval of the most recent rate package for fiscal 2023, with additional revenue increases between about 8.0% and 13% annually through fiscal 2027, largely driven by planned rate adjustments. The FAST also includes PWD's capital spending forecast and planned debt-funding sources.

In the FAST base case, leverage rises to 10.2x in fiscal 2024 and gradually declines, falling to 9.0x by fiscal 2027. In the stress case, leverage peaks at 10.5x in fiscal 2024 and then follows the same pattern of decline to 9.6x. The system also maintains a healthy construction fund balance. When considering funds reserved for construction (averaging over \$600 million), leverage remains below 9.0x.

The system's liquidity profile is expected to remain neutral to the assessment with COFO over 1.25x and solid days cash annually. Management's current projections reflect senior lien DSC of 1.25x, including anticipated transfers from the RSF. Current estimates include the system making annual deposits to the RSF beginning in fiscal 2026.

The declining leverage trend in the out years is dependent on substantial rate increases that increase funds available for debt service by almost 80% from the fiscal 2022 level, as capex continues increasing annually. Should projected rate increases fail to receive necessary approvals, Fitch anticipates PWD would adjust operating and/or capital spending, and related debt plans to meet internal financial targets and maintain leverage consistent with the current assessment. Failure to make necessary adjustments, while remaining compliant with regulatory mandates would pressure the rating.

#### **Sources of Information**

In addition to the sources of information identified in Fitch's applicable criteria specified below, this action was informed by information from Lumesis.

# REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

#### **ESG Considerations**

The highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3', unless otherwise disclosed in this section. A score of '3' means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed

by the entity. Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores are not inputs in the rating process; they are an observation on the relevance and materiality of ESG factors in the rating decision. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit

https://www.fitchratings.com/topics/esg/products#esg-relevance-scores.

#### **FITCH RATINGS ANALYSTS**

#### Allison Clark

Director

**Primary Rating Analyst** 

+16465824562

allison.clark@fitchratings.com

Fitch Ratings, Inc.

Hearst Tower 300 W. 57th Street New York, NY 10019

# Kristen Reifsnyder

Director

Secondary Rating Analyst

+16465823448

kristen.reifsnyder@fitchratings.com

#### **Audra Dickinson**

Senior Director

Committee Chairperson

+15128135701

audra.dickinson@fitchratings.com

#### **MEDIA CONTACTS**

#### Sandro Scenga

**New York** 

+1 212 908 0278

sandro.scenga@thefitchgroup.com

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

#### **PARTICIPATION STATUS**

The rated entity (and/or its agents) or, in the case of structured finance, one or more of the transaction parties participated in the rating process except that the following issuer(s), if

any, did not participate in the rating process, or provide additional information, beyond the issuer's available public disclosure.

# **APPLICABLE CRITERIA**

U.S. Water and Sewer Rating Criteria (pub. 03 Mar 2023) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

Public Sector, Revenue-Supported Entities Rating Criteria (pub. 27 Apr 2023) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

#### ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES

Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form Solicitation Status

**Endorsement Policy** 

#### **ENDORSEMENT STATUS**

Philadelphia (PA)

EU Endorsed, UK Endorsed

#### **DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES**

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers. Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link: https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings. In addition, the following https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. ESMA and the FCA are required to publish historical default rates in a central repository in accordance with Articles 11(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 and The Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 respectively.

Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at <a href="https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory">https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory</a>. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch

Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer. the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information. access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Fitch also provides information on best-case rating upgrade scenarios and worst-case rating downgrade scenarios (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in each direction) for international credit ratings, based on historical performance. A simple average across asset classes presents best-case upgrades of 4 notches and worst-case downgrades of 8 notches at the 99th percentile. Sector-

specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are listed in more detail at https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10238496

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment. publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the

Corporations Act 2001. Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <a href="https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory">https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory</a>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

dvO1, a Fitch Solutions company, and an affiliate of Fitch Ratings, may from time to time serve as loan data agent on certain structured finance transactions rated by Fitch Ratings.

Copyright © 2023 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.

#### **READ LESS**

#### **SOLICITATION STATUS**

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained by Fitch at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

#### **ENDORSEMENT POLICY**

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's Regulatory Affairs page on Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.