From: <u>Michael Bergen</u>
To: <u>Kim Chantry</u>

Subject: Re: Historical Commission agenda, Friday June 9

**Date:** Thursday, June 8, 2023 11:26:41 AM

External Email Notice. This email comes from outside of City government. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender.

Kim, as per my voice mail a few minutes ago, I reached out to the Nominator, Steve, to correct the flawed description of my property, He didn't answer the phone

He spent a lot of time suggesting my buildings were some kind of rare, front to back Trinity style, worker housing NOT TRUE

The building were most likely and originally 6 single family homes (3 twins, aka semidetached) that were then probably converted to 2 family then with the 1930's permit substantially altered to a single front entrance and thus the citation of 6-3 family units. Each building only has original framing for one set of stairs for each of the 6 original buildings that were closed off when the one central stairs were install in the 30's And they are real fire walls dividing the "Twins"

The Back to Back reference is not possible

So, with so much "ink" being used to describe this unique Back to Back situation is misleading. Would this have altered the Staff's opinion?

If you can't reach Steve to correct the record, then I insist you post this email for tommorrow's meeting

These are not some Rare Worker housing design

Kim, as my voicemail reflects, I would prefer that the Nominator amend his paperwork. I don't need to be seen as the Bad Guy for opposing this designation.

You know my concerns revolve around the Mandatory, Dictatorial Nature of the Program Best, Mike Bergen