#### PHILADELPHIA WATER DEPARTMENT PA INTERROGATORY SET #X

### **RESPONSE TO**

### PUBLIC ADVOCATE'S INTERROGATORIES (SET X)

### AND

### **REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS**

### **QUESTIONS 1-25**

Dated: March 2023

# PA-X-1.THE SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO PA-IV-37 REFERENCES TABLE 4-1<br/>OF SCHEDULE BV-2 WHICH IDENTIFIES TOTAL ANNUALIZED<br/>REVENUE OF \$352 MILLION. PLEASE PROVIDE A BREAKDOWN OF<br/>THOSE REVENUES BY COST OF SERVICE STUDY CLASS.

### **RESPONSE:**

The requested information is not included in the cost of service study nor has it been developed for the following reasons:

- Table 4-1 is provided to establish the annualized Test Year net revenue requirement for the cost of service analysis purposes, which reflects the proposed increase for 12 months. The revenue presented in Table 4-1 does not reflect the level of revenues the Department is projected to receive, as the proposed rates are requested to become effective on September 1. The revenue provided in the response attachment to PA-IV-37 reflects the FY 2024 revenue under the proposed rates as requested.
- As noted in the supplemental response to PA-IV-37, since PWD's revenues are collections-based and the estimated FY 2024 revenue reflects collections on billings from FY 2024, FY 2023 and FY 2022 it is not appropriate to compare the projected collections based revenues to the cost of service by class. As the collections based revenue reflects collections of billings which are based on rates established by prior cost of service distributions. Consistent with prior cost of service studies, the retail class based cost of service is compared to the retail billings by customer class prior to the lag factor adjustment. This comparison is provided on pages 887 to 891 (WCOS, RATES-3) of PWD Exhibit 6.

The model file (Finplan23\_24.xlsx) previously provided to the Public Advocate can be utilized to develop the requested information utilizing the revenue under existing rates sections and revising the rates to reflect the proposed rate schedules and the effective months to reflect a full year implantation of the proposed rates.

		PHILADELPHIA WATER DEPARTMENT Response to PA Interrogatory
1		
2	<b>RESPONSE PROVIDED BY:</b> 1	Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20 21		
21		
22		
23 24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		Public Interrogatory Set #X - 2

		PHILADELPHIA WATER DEPARTMENT Response to PA Interrogatory
1	PA-X-2.	REFERENCE THE RESPONSE TO PA-IV-11. PLEASE PROVIDE A
2		COMPLETE COPY OF THE PRIOR CAPACITY ANALYSIS IN EXCEL
3		FORMAT. IDENTIFY THE MAXIMUM HOUR TO MAXIMUM DAY RATIO
4		USED FOR EACH CLASS TO DEVELOP THE MAXIMUM HOUR FACTOR.
5		
6	RESPONSE	
7	Pleas	e see response attachment PA-X-2. Note that this attachment was previously
8	provi	ded during the 2021 Rate Proceeding in response to discovery question PA-XIV-1
9	and 2	018 Rate Proceeding in response to discovery question PA-VIII-7.
10		
11	RESPONSE	<b>PROVIDED BY:</b> Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20		
21		
22 23		
23 24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		Public Interrogatory Set #X - 3

## PA-X-3. REFERENCE THE RESPONSE TO PA-VIII-20, SCHEDULE BV-2, SECTION 4.5.1. PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY THE MAX DAY FACTOR IS BASED ON FACTOR OF 1.39, WHILE THE MAX HOUR FACTOR IS BASED ON A MAX DAY FACTOR OF 1.30.

### **RESPONSE**:

Different Base/Maximum Day Extra Capacity/Maximum Hour Extra allocation percentages were used to allocate the costs associated with the raw water pumping and treatment and pumping functional cost centers as a reflection of the operating characteristics of the facilities associated with each functional cost center. The allocation factors for the raw water pumping facilities are based on the flow data of the raw water pumping facilities. The allocation factors for the treatment and pumping facilities are based on the flow data of the treatment and pumping facilities.

Note that the Base/Maximum Day Extra Capacity/Maximum Hour Extra allocation methodology used to allocate the costs associated with the raw water pumping and treatment and pumping functional cost centers are consistent with prior cost of service studies.

**RESPONSE PROVIDED BY:** Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC

1	PA-X-4.	PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW PWD HAS COMPLIED WITH GOVERNMENTAL
2		ACCOUNTING STANDARDS BOARD ("GASB") 89 REGARDING THE
3		EXCLUSION OF ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS USED DURING
4		CONSTRUCTION ("AFUDC") FROM THE CAPITAL BUDGET
5		EXPENDITURES THAT HAVE BEEN INCLUDED IN THE COST OF
6		SERVICE IN THIS PROCEEDING. IN YOUR RESPONSE, PLEASE SHOW
7		EXACTLY WHERE IN THE COST OF SERVICE, THE AFUDC HAS BEEN
8		INCLUDED.
9		
10	RESPONSE	:
11	This	response assumes that "the capital budget expenditures" referenced by this question
12	are th	e projected annual spending reflected in Line 19 of Table C-8 of Schedule BV-1 and
13	Table	2-8 of Schedule BV-2.
14		
15	The "	capital budget expenditures" included in the cost of service study reflect the drawdown
16	of the	Department's capital program encumbrances (contracts supporting the Department's
17	capita	al program) and do not include AFUDC.
18		
19	The F	FY 2022 financial statements indicates that the adoption of GASB 89 did not have a
20	mater	ial impact on the financial statements.
21		
22	RESPONSE	<b>PROVIDED BY:</b> Philadelphia Water Department and Black & Veatch
23	Management	Consulting, LLC
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		Public Interrogatory Set #X - 5
		r dono monogatory bet nA - 5

		response to 171 metrogatory
1	PA-X-5.	PLEASE REFERENCE THE COST OF SERVICE MODEL COMPUTER
2		MODEL WORKBOOK FILE, FINPLAN23_24_VER1.XLSM, "ASSUMPTION
3		#S" TAB. PLEASE PROVIDE UPDATES TO THE FOLLOWING VALUES
4		WHICH CONTAINED NOTES IN THE SPREADSHEET INDICATING THEY
5		RELIED ON OLDER DATA. FOR EACH, PLEASE STATE THE NEW TIME
6		PERIOD BASIS OF THE DATA, OR IF AN UPDATE IS NOT AVAILABLE,
7		PLEASE CLARIFY WHY NOT.
8		A. CONSTRUCTION FUND BEGINNING BALANCE (COMBINED): CELL
9		D1546
10		B. RATE STABILIZATION FUND BEGINNING BALANCE (COMBINED):
11		CELL D1532
12		C. REVENUE BEGINNING BALANCE (COMBINED): CELL D1539
13		D. RESIDUAL FUND BEGINNING BALANCE (COMBINED): CELL D1540
14		E. ISSUANCE COSTS: CELL C1866
15		
16	<b>RESPONSE:</b>	
17	As note	ed in the Schedule BV-2: Water and Wastewater Cost of Service Report, items A
18	through	D, the values referenced in the above question are based upon the FY 2022
19	prelimi	nary final year end balances, which serve as the FY 2023 beginning balances.
20	A. \$53	2,342,560.16
21	B. No	update required
22	C. No	update required
23	D. No	update required
24	E. No	update required
25		
26	<b>RESPONSE P</b>	<b>PROVIDED BY:</b> Philadelphia Water Department and Black & Veatch Management
27	Consulting, LI	.C
28		
		Public Interrogatory Set #X - 6
		$1$ uone menogatory bet $\pi X = 0$

# PA-X-6. REFERENCE THE RESPONSE TO PA-VIII-1(A). THE REQUEST SOUGHT DATES ON WHICH PWD EXPECTS TO RECEIVE THE \$160 MILLION FUNDING TRANCHES, OR THE DATES ON WHICH THE \$160 MILLION FUNDING WILL BE RECEIVED BY PWD FOR THE PROPOSED PROJECTS. THE REQUESTED INFORMATION WAS NOT PROVIDED IN THE RESPONSE. PLEASE PROVIDE THE REQUESTED INFORMATION.

### **RESPONSE:**

As noted in the response to PA-VIII-1(A), PENNVEST funding is received on a reimbursable basis; meaning PWD will submit contractor invoices and then receive PENNVEST funds. PWD will utilize its commercial paper program to pay contractor invoices while awaiting PENNVEST reimbursement. As stated in the same response, Spending associated with these projects is projected to occur between FY 2023 and FY 2028. There are no exact dates to provide in response to this data request (reimbursements are tied to future invoices), as explained above.

Note – PENNVEST funds <u>are not</u> received ahead of the project execution in partial or in full.

Refer to response attachment PA-X-9. The "CP->PnVst\_Linear Assets" sheet provides the projected funding for \$35.8 million in the replacement of linear assets across the City. The "CP->PnVst\_PreTreatmentBuilding" sheet provides the projected funding for \$125 million replacement of the Clearwell Basin at the Baxter Water Treatment Plant.

**RESPONSE PROVIDED BY:** Philadelphia Water Department

		PHILADELPHIA WATER DEPARTMENT Response to PA Interrogatory
1	PA-X-7.	REFERENCE PWD_FINPLAN23_24_VER1.XLSM, ASSUMPTIONS #S TAB.
2		A. PLEASE PROVIDE THE SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION FOR THE
3		AMOUNTS USED TO CALCULATE THE 0.58% ISSUANCE COSTS
4		PRESENTED IN CELL C1866.
5		B. PLEASE SHOW THE BASIS AND EXPLAIN THE DERIVATION OF
6		THE .61% DEBT ISSUANCE COSTS FOR FY 2024 AND 2025.
7		
8	RESPONSE:	
9	A.	The 0.58% issuance cost ratio presented in cell C1866 (page 91 of PWD Exhibit 6,
10		Finplan23_224, Assumptions-43) reflects the actual issuance costs of the City of
11		Philadelphia Water and Wastewater Revenue Bonds Series 2022C. Refer to the
12		Estimated Sources and Uses of Funds presented on page 6 of the Series 2022C
13		official statement. The 0.58% is calculated as the issuances costs of \$1,964,508.05
14		divided by the Total Sources of Funds of \$338,464,508.05.
15	В.	The 0.61% debt issuance cost ratio assumed for FY 2024 and FY 2025 is based on the events issuance cost ratio of the system revenue has do issued during FY 2021
16 17		the average issuance cost ratio of the system revenue bonds issued during FY 2021
17		to FY 2023. Refer to response attachment PA-X-7.
10	RESPONSE	<b>PROVIDED BY:</b> Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC
20		<b>TROVIDED DT</b> . Didek & Veden Management Consulting, EEC
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		Public Interrogatory Set #X - 8

		PHILADELPHIA WATER DEPARTMENT Response to PA Interrogatory
1	PA-X-8.	PLEASE PROVIDE THE ISSUANCE COSTS FOR PWD TWO PREVIOUS
2		DEBT ISSUANCES THAT OCCURRED BEFORE THE 2022C BOND
3		ISSUANCE. IN YOUR RESPONSE, PLEASE PROVIDE THE DATES AND
4		THE DEBT ISSUANCE PERCENTAGE (INCLUDE THE DEBT ISSUANCE
5		AMOUNTS AND THE DEBT ISSUANCE COSTS).
6		
7	RESPONSE	:
8	Please	e refer to response attachment PA-X-9.
9		
10	RESPONSE	<b>PROVIDED BY:</b> Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18 19		
20		
20		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		Public Interrogatory Set #X - 9

		PHILADELPHIA WATER DEPARTMENT Response to PA Interrogatory
1	PA-X-9.	REFERENCE PWD_FINPLAN23_24_VER1.XLSM, DS TAB. PLEASE
2		PROVIDE THE SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION, INCLUDING THE
3		SOURCE DOCUMENT, FOR:
4		A. THE SERIES 2023 AMOUNT OF 304,015 IN CELL D418;
5		B. THE SERIES 2024 AMOUNT OF 1,399,780 IN CELL E419; AND
6		C. THE SERIES 2025 AMOUNT OF 5,697,581 IN CELL F420.
7		
8	RESPONSE	2.
9	The 1	referenced amounts and cell locations refer to the projected debt service on projected
10	PEN	NVEST loans as presented on page 429 of PWD Exhibit 6 (Finaplan23_24, DS-6).
11		
12	Refe	r to response attachment PA-X-9.
13		
14	RESPONSE	<b>PROVIDED BY:</b> Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC
15		
16		
17 18		
10 19		
20		
20		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		Public Interrogatory Set #X - 10

		PHILADELPHIA WATER DEPARTMENT Response to PA Interrogatory
1	PA-X-10.	REFERENCE PWD_FINPLAN23_24_VER1.XLSM, DS TAB. PLEASE
2		PROVIDE THE SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION, INCLUDING THE
3		SOURCE DOCUMENT, FOR THE COMMERCIAL PAPER ISSUANCE
4		COSTS OF 1% AND 0.13% AS SHOWN IN CELLS D502 AND E502.
5		
6	RESPONSE	E:
7	The	cells referenced in the above question are not in use and should be considered
8	place	cholders. These cells refer to the Future Commercial Paper issuance costs presented on
9	page	431 of PWD Exhibit 6 (Finplan23_24, DS-7).
10		
11	RESPONSE	<b>E PROVIDED BY:</b> Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		Public Interrogatory Set #X - 11
		ruone interrogatory Set #A - 11

PA-X-11. PLEASE PROVIDE THE ASSUMPTIONS FOR THE \$900,000 IN
 COMMERCIAL PAPER ISSUANCES FOR FY 2023, FY 2024 AND FY 2025 IN
 THE FINANCIAL MODEL. GIVEN THAT THE COMMERCIAL PAPER IS A
 REVOLVING LINE OF CREDIT-TYPE FINANCING, IS THE \$900,000
 EXPECTED TO BE THE AVERAGE BALANCE, THE AMOUNT ISSUED
 ANNUALLY, OR SOMETHING ELSE? PLEASE FULLY EXPLAIN.

### **RESPONSE:**

This response assumes that the "\$900,000 IN COMMERCIAL PAPER ISSUANCES FOR FY 2023, FY 2024, AND FY 2025" refers to the \$900,000 of projected commercial paper debt service in FY 2023, FY 2024, and FY 2025 as presented on page 433 of PWD Exhibit 6 (Finplan23\_24, DS-7).

The \$900,000 of projected commercial paper debt service reflects the projected interest expense on outstanding commercial paper assuming a rolling \$30.0 Million outstanding balance at 3.0% interest cost.

**RESPONSE PROVIDED BY:** Philadelphia Water Department and Black & Veatch

 Management Consulting, LLC

PA-X-12.IF THE BIDEN ADMINISTRATION IS MAKING AVAILABLE \$340<br/>MILLION OF WIFIA FUNDS TO THE CITY OF PHILADELPHIA FOR<br/>WATER INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENTS (SEE THE RESPONSE TO PA-<br/>VIII-2), WHY DOES THE FUTURE WIFIA FUNDS SHOWN IN<br/>PWD\_FINPLAN23\_24\_VER1.XLSM, DS TAB, CELLS E634 AND F634 OF<br/>THE COST OF SERVICE SHOW ONLY \$ \$156 MILLION IN WIFIA<br/>FINANCING THROUGH FY 2026. WHY WOULD THE FULL AMOUNT OF<br/>\$340 MILLION NOT BE SOUGHT UP FRONT AS A MEANS OF REDUCING<br/>FINANCING COSTS FOR PWD'S CUSTOMERS?

### **RESPONSE:**

As noted in the response to PA-VIII-2, WIFIA funding is provided for specific projects on a reimbursement basis, meaning PWD will submit contractor invoices to WIFIA and then receive the associated funding to repay the contractor, and then will be required to pay the debt service on those outstanding amounts. Note - PWD must pay those contractor invoices in the interim.

WIFIA Funding is not provided ahead of the project execution.

As stated in the memo to the Rate Board, dated February 27, 2023, the WIFIA funded projects, identified in the application, are anticipated to be completed between FY 2024 and FY 2032. The \$156 million included in the cost of service study, reflects the WIFIA related funding anticipated to be received during FY 2024 to FY 2026 as aligned with the anticipated project expenditures.

"PWD\_FinPlan23\_24\_Ver1.xlsm, DS Tab, Cells E634 and F634" are presented on page 435 of PWD Exhibit 6 (FinPlan23\_24, DS-8). Note that \$18.4M in FY 2024 (Cell E634). \$41.7M in FY 2025 (Cell F634), and \$95.9M in FY 2026 (Cell G634) total \$156.0M.

			PHIL	ADELPHIA WAT	ER DE	PARTMI	ENT	
				Response	e to PA	Interroga	itory	
1	<b>RESPONSE PROVIDED BY:</b>	Philadelphia	Water	Department	and	Black	&	Veatch
2	Management Consulting, LLC.							
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15 16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								
		1. T	0	14				
	Publ	lic Interrogatory	Set #X -	- 14				

### **PA-X-13.**PLEASE PROVIDE DOCUMENTATION THAT SUPPORTS THE 4%INTEREST RATE USED FOR THE WIFIA FINANCING.

### **RESPONSE:**

This response assumes that the "4% interest rate used for WIFIA financing" refers to the 4.0% interest rate assumption presented on page 435 of PWD Exhibit 6 (FinPlan23\_24, DS-8). The 4% interest presented <u>is not used</u> in the analysis. As presented in Schedule BV-1: Table C-9, page 437 of PWD Exhibit 6 (Finplan23\_24, DS-8) and as noted on Page 1-19 of *Schedule BV-2: Water and Wastewater Cost of Service Report*, the projected debt service schedule utilized in the Cost of Service analysis reflects WIFIA loans and associated matching funding as provided by PFM Financial Advisors, LLC.

Please refer to the response attachment PA-X-13 for the document that supports debt service schedule for the WIFIA loans and associated matching funding.

**RESPONSE PROVIDED BY:** Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC. and PFM Financial Advisors, Inc.

## PA-X-14.PLEASE PROVIDE DOCUMENTATION THAT SUPPORTS THE 20-YEAR<br/>PAYBACK PERIOD USED FOR THE WIFIA FINANCED AMOUNTS.

### **RESPONSE:**

This response assumes that the "20-Year payback period used for WIFIA financed amounts" refers to the 20-year term assumption presented on page 435 of PWD Exhibit 6 (FinPlan23\_24, DS-8). The 20-year term presented is not used in the analysis. As noted on Page 1-19 of Schedule BV-2: Water and Wastewater Cost of Service Report, the projected debt service schedule utilized in the Cost of Service analysis reflects WIFIA loans and associated matching funding as provided by PFM Financial Advisors, LLC. The WIFIA debt service schedule reflected in the Cost of Service analysis as presented in Schedule BV-1: Table C-9 and page 437 of PWD Exhibit 6 (Finplan23\_24, DS-8). The Department's funding application submitted to the EPA requested a 20-year payback period for the first tranche and a 35-year payback period for the remaining tranches. Please refer to response attachment PA-X-13.

**RESPONSE PROVIDED BY:** Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC and PFM Financial Advisors, Inc..

		PHILADELPHIA WATER DEPARTMENT Response to PA Interrogatory
1	PA-X-15.	REFERENCE PWD_FINPLAN23_24_VER1.XLSM, DS TAB, BEGINNING AT
2		B684. PLEASE PROVIDE SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION THAT SHOWS
3		THE DERIVATION OF THE WIFIA AMOUNTS FOR SERIES 2023, 2024,
4		2025 AND 2026.
5		
6	RESPONSE	: :
7	"PWI	D_FINPLAN23_24_VER1.XLSM, DS TAB, BEGINNING AT B684" refers to page
8	437 0	of PWD Exhibit 6 (Finplan23_24, DS-8).
9		
10		e refer to response attachment PA-X-13. As noted in the response to PA-X-13 and
11		X-14 this debt service schedule reflects WIFIA loans and associated matching
12	fundi	ng.
13	DECDONCE	DROUDED DV. Dl. 1. 9 Vertil Menseener Consulting LLC and DEM
14 15	Financial Ad	<b>PROVIDED BY:</b> Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC and PFM visors Inc.
15		visors, me.
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		Public Interrogatory Set #X - 17

		PHILADELPHIA WATER DEPARTMENT Response to PA Interrogatory
1	PA-X-16.	REFERENCE THE RESPONSE TO PA-VIII-2. PLEASE PROVIDE A COPY OF
2		THE MASTER AGREEMENT BETWEEN PWD AND WIFIA UNDER WHICH
3		LOANS WILL BE ISSUED IN TRANCHES.
4		
5	RESPONSE	E:
6	Pleas	se refer to response attachment PA-X-16.
7		
8	RESPONSE	<b>E PROVIDED BY:</b> Philadelphia Water Department
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16 17		
17 18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		Public Interrogatory Set #X - 18

		PHILADELPHIA WATER DEPARTMENT Response to PA Interrogatory
1	PA-X-17.	WITH RESPECT TO THE MASTER AGREEMENT BETWEEN WIFIA AND
2		PWD:
3		A. PLEASE IDENTIFY THE VALUE OF EACH LOAN TRANCHE.
4		B. PLEASE IDENTIFY EACH PROJECT TO BE FUNDED BY EACH LOAN
5		TRANCHE.
6		C. FOR EACH PROJECT FINANCED OR TO BE FINANCED BY THE
7		LOAN TRANCHES, PLEASE PROVIDE THE TOTAL PROJECT COST,
8		PROJECT EXPENDITURES TO DATE, COST TO COMPLETE, THE
9		VALUE OF THE \$340 MILLION FUNDING ALREADY RECEIVED
10		AND THE DATE RECEIVED.
11		D. PLEASE PROVIDE THE TERMS (I.E., PAYBACK PERIOD, INTEREST
12		RATE, ISSUANCE COSTS, AND PRINCIPAL VALUE) OF THE LOANS
13		BEING SOUGHT OR RECEIVED.
14		
15	<b>RESPONSE:</b>	
16	The rec	uested information is included in the response attachment to PA-X-13.
17		
18		1 Aarch 31, 2023 the Department has not incurred any construction project
19	-	itures nor has it received any WIFIA funding reimbursement associated with the
20	project	s to be funded by WIFIA loans.
21		
22		<b>PROVIDED BY:</b> Philadelphia Water Department and PFM Financial Advisors,
23	Inc.	
24		
25 26		
26 27		
27 28		
20		
		Public Interrogatory Set #X - 19

	PHILADELPHIA WATER DEPARTMENT Response to PA Interrogatory
PA-X-18.	WITH REFERENCE TO THE PROJECTS TO BE SUPPORTED BY THE \$160
	MILLION NOTED IN PRESIDENT BIDEN'S ANNOUNCEMENT,
	A. PLEASE PROVIDE THE TERMS (I.E., PAYBACK PERIOD, INTEREST
	RATE, ISSUANCE COSTS, AND PRINCIPAL VALUE) OF THE
	PENNVEST LOANS BEING SOUGHT OR RECEIVED.
	B. FOR EACH PROJECT FINANCED OR TO BE FINANCED BY THE
	PROCEEDS OF THE \$160 MILLION, PLEASE PROVIDE THE TOTAL
	PROJECT COST, PROJECT EXPENDITURES TO DATE, COST TO
	COMPLETE, THE VALUE OF THE \$160 MILLION FUNDING
	ALREADY RECEIVED, AND THE DATE RECEIVED.
RESPONSE	E:
Refe	r to response attachment PA-X-18.
RESPONSE	E PROVIDED BY: Philadelphia Water Department
	Public Interrogatory Set #X - 20
	RESPONSI Refe

		PHILADELPHIA WATER DEPARTMENT Response to PA Interrogatory
1	PA-X-19.	PLEASE IDENTIFY ANY AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN ACT (ARPA) FUNDS
2		THAT WERE PREVIOUSLY ALLOCATED TO PWD AND IDENTIFY THE
3		SPECIFIC PROJECTS THAT THE FUNDS WERE USED FOR.
4		
5	RESPONSE	E:
6	No A	ARPA funds received by the City were previously allocated by the City to PWD.
7		
8	RESPONSE	E PROVIDED BY: Philadelphia Water Department
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		Public Interrogatory Set #X - 21

		PHILADELPHIA WATER DEPARTMENT Response to PA Interrogatory
1	PA-X-20.	TO THE EXTENT THAT PWD RECEIVED ANY ARPA FUNDS,
2		A. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE RECEIPT OF THOSE FUNDS WERE
3		ACCOUNTED ON PWD BOOKS AND THE COST OF SERVICE IN THIS
4		PROCEEDING. IN YOUR RESPONSE, EXPLAIN WHETHER THESE
5		FUNDS WERE ACCOUNTED FOR AS GRANTS, REVENUES, OR
6		LOANS.
7		B. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE RECEIPT OF ANY ARPA FUNDS
8		AFFECTED THE INTEREST COVERAGE, DEBT SERVICE
9		COVERAGE, THE RATE STABILIZATION FUND, AND THE
10		RESIDUAL FUND IN THE COST OF SERVICE IN THIS PROCEEDING.
11		
12	RESPONSE	E:
13	See r	esponse to PA-X-19.
14		
15	RESPONSE	<b>E PROVIDED BY:</b> Philadelphia Water Department
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20 21		
21		
22		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		Public Interrogatory Set #X - 22

		PHILADELPHIA WATER DEPARTMENT Response to PA Interrogatory
1	PA-X-21.	TO THE EXTENT THAT PWD MAY RECEIVE ANY ARPA FUNDS FOR
2		WATER, SEWER OR STORMWATER INFRASTRUCTURE IN THE FUTURE,
3		A. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE RECEIPT OF THOSE FUNDS WOULD
4		BE ACCOUNTED ON PWD BOOKS AND THE COST OF SERVICE IN
5		THIS OR A FUTURE RATE PROCEEDING. IN YOUR RESPONSE,
6		EXPLAIN WHETHER THESE FUNDS WOULD BE ACCOUNTED FOR
7		AS GRANTS, REVENUES, OR LOANS.
8		B. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE RECEIPT OF ANY ARPA FUNDS
9		WOULD AFFECT THE INTEREST COVERAGE, DEBT SERVICE
10		COVERAGE, THE RATE STABILIZATION FUND, AND THE
11		RESIDUAL FUND IN THE COST OF SERVICE IN THIS PROCEEDING.
12		
13	<b>RESPONSE:</b>	
14	A.	Loans or any portions of grants that must be repaid are not counted as Project
15		Revenues. Also, grants made to fund capital projects are not included in revenue.
16		True operating grants, i.e., "all grants, payments and contributions made in aid or
17		on account of the System exclusive of grants and similar payments and
18		contributions solely in aid of construction" [emphasis added] as set forth in the
19		definition of "Project Revenues" under the General Bond Ordinance are counted as
20		Project Revenues for purposes of calculating Net Revenues (as defined under the
21		General Bond Ordinance), complying with debt service coverage covenants, and
22		funding reserves. Therefore, ARPA funds that are true operating grants, and only
23		such ARPA funds, will be counted as revenues.
24	B.	This response assumes that under the proposed hypothetical situation, the
25		Department would submit a cost of service analysis supporting a rate
26		determination recognizing the receipt of a specified amount of future ARPA funds
27		as true operating or true capital grants during the rate period.
28		

Assuming the future ARPA funds would be true operating grants received during the rate period:

- Assuming the future ARPA funds support planned efforts already recognized in the projected operating revenue requirements during the rate period, the availability of these funds during the rate period would allow the Department to lower the requested additional revenues during the rate period and there would be no impact to projected debt service coverage and residual fund balances.
- If future ARPA funds support efforts which are not already recognized in the projected operating revenue requirements during the rate period, both the revenues and expenses reflected in the current cost of service study would increase and there would be no adjustment to the requested additional revenues and no impact to projected debt service coverage and residual fund balances.
- The impact to the rate stabilization fund balance would be dependent on the amount and timing of the receipt of future ARPA funding and the amount and timing of the funded operating expense.

Assuming the future ARPA funds would be true capital grants (not loans) received during the rate period:

Assuming the future ARPA funds support planned efforts already
recognized in the projected capital program expenditures during the rate
period, the availability of these funds during the rate period would allow
the Department to lower the projected capital funding and subsequently
lower the requested additional revenues during the rate period and there
would be no impact to projected debt service coverage and residual fund
balances. Assuming that the annual additional revenues are adjusted to
match the annual reduction in the revenue requirements as a result of the

	PHILADELPHIA WATER DEPARTMENT Response to PA Interrogatory
1	lower funding costs, there would be no impact to the projected rate
2	stabilization fund balances.
3	• Assuming the future ARPA funds support efforts which are not already
4	recognized in the projected capital program expenditures during the rate
5	period, there would be no adjustment to the projected capital funding or
6	requested additional revenues and no impact to projected debt service
7	coverage, residual fund balances, or rate stabilization fund balance.
8	
9	The cost of service study does not present projected interest coverage.
10	
11	<b>RESPONSE PROVIDED BY:</b> Ballard Spahr and Black & Veatch Management Consulting,
12	LLC.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	Public Interrogatory Set #X - 25
	i done menogatory Set #A - 25

1 **PA-X-22.** IF ARPA FUNDS (NOT LOANS) WERE ALLOCATED TO PWD "TO MAKE 2 NECESSARY INVESTMENTS IN WATER, SEWER OR BROADBAND 3 INFRASTRUCTURE" (AS PROVIDED IN SECTION 602(C)(1)(D)), WOULD 4 THOSE FUNDS BE CONSIDERED "GRANTS AND SIMILAR PAYMENTS 5 AND CONTRIBUTIONS SOLELY IN AID OF CAPITAL" SUCH THAT THEY DO NOT CONSTITUTE PROJECT REVENUES WITHIN THE MEANING OF 6 7 THE 1989 GENERAL BOND ORDINANCE, AS AMENDED? PLEASE 8 EXPLAIN AND PROVIDE ANY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION.

### **RESPONSE:**

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

If such grants were made by the grantor for purposes of funding capital projects, such grant funds will not be counted as Project Revenues. If such grants are unrestricted and can be used for other purposes, such grant funds are Project Revenues. If such unrestricted grants were to be allocated by PWD to fund capital projects, for General Bond Ordinance purposes, those funds would be deposited to the Revenue Fund, flow through to the Capital Account of the Construction Fund and be expended on capital project expenses as pay-go.

**RESPONSE PROVIDED BY:** Ballard Spahr

PA-X-23. IF THE CITY BUDGET FOR PWD ALLOCATED \$500 MILLION IN NON-2 RATEPAYER FUNDS (I.E., TAX OR OTHER REVENUES WITHIN THE 3 GENERAL FUND) TO PWD, AND SUCH FUNDS WERE NOT REQUIRED 4 TO BE UTILIZED FOR CAPITAL PURPOSES, WOULD SUCH AMOUNTS 5 BE CONSIDERED "OTHER OPERATING REVENUE" IN PWD'S FLOW OF FUNDS? 6

### **RESPONSE:**

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Project Revenues are amounts collected, not budgeted, by the City from ratepayers, bulk purchasers, and grants made and subsidies given to the City in respect of the System. Regardless of how such monies are used, monies transferred from the General Fund of the City would not constitute Project Revenues as contemplated and defined under the First Class City Revenue Bond Act and the General Bond Ordinance, based on the purposes for which such legislation was adopted. Such legislation was adopted by the Commonwealth and the City, respectively, for the purpose of permitting and enabling the City to incur debt for a Project (as defined under the First Class City Revenue Bond Act), such as the System (as defined under the General Bond Ordinance), based upon that Project's ability, alone, to generate revenue sufficient to fund its operations and, among other things, service that debt without regard to any constitutional or other limitations on the City to incur debt payable from general revenues of the City.

**RESPONSE PROVIDED BY:** Ballard Spahr

1	PA-X-24.	IF THE CITY BUDGET FOR PWD ALLOCATED \$500 MILLION IN NON-
2		RATEPAYER FUNDS (I.E., TAX OR OTHER REVENUES WITHIN THE
3		GENERAL FUND) TO PWD, AND SUCH FUNDS WERE REQUIRED TO BE
4		UTILIZED FOR CAPITAL PURPOSES, WOULD SUCH AMOUNTS BE
5		CONSIDERED "GRANTS AND SIMILAR PAYMENTS AND
6		CONTRIBUTIONS SOLELY IN AID OF CAPITAL" SUCH THAT THEY DO
7		NOT CONSTITUTE PROJECT REVENUES WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE
8		1989 GENERAL BOND ORDINANCE, AS AMENDED?
9		
10	RESPONSE	:
11	No. I	Please refer to the response to PA-X-23. Such a transfer would not constitute Project
12	Rever	nues because a transfer from the City to itself is not a grant, as contemplated under
13	the G	eneral Bond Ordinance and the First Class City Revenue Bond Act.
14		
15	RESPONSE	PROVIDED BY: Ballard Spahr
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		Public Interrogatory Set #X - 28

	PHILADELPHIA WATER DEPARTMENT Response to PA Interrogatory	
1	<b>PA-X-25.</b> IF THE CITY ALLOCATED ARPA FUNDS TO PWD IN THE FY 2024	
2	BUDGET, WOULD THOSE FUNDS BE REQUIRED TO BE UTILIZED FOR	
3	CAPITAL PURPOSES?	
4		
5	RESPONSE:	
6	The discovery request poses a hypothetical question that the Department cannot answer	ſ <b>.</b>
7	The following organizations identify potential constraints on the use of ARPA funding:	
8	• National League of Cities: <u>ARPA Local Relief Frequently Asked Questions - National</u>	<u>ıl</u>
9	League of Cities (nlc.org)	
10	Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA): <u>https://www.gfoa.org/american</u>	<u>i –</u>
11	rescue-plan-spending-guiding-principles.	
12	• U.S. Department of the Treasury: <u>https://home.treasury.gov/policy</u>	<u>-</u>
13	issues/coronavirus/assistance-for-state-local-and-tribal-governments/state-and-local-	
14	fiscal-recovery-funds	
15		
16	<b>RESPONSE PROVIDED BY:</b> Philadelphia Water Department	
17		
18		
19 20		
20		
21 22		
22		
23		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Public Interrogatory Set #X - 29	