PHILADELPHIA WATER, SEWER, AND STORMWATER
RATE BOARD
PUBLIC HEARING

Wednesday, March 23, 2023 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

TIME: 3:00 p.m.

LOCATION: Zoom Teleconference

HELD BEFORE: HONORABLE MARLANE R. CHESTNUT,

Hearing Officer

LEXITAS LEGAL - PHILADELPHIA
54 FRIENDS LANE, SUITE 16
NEWTOWN, PENNSYLVANIA 18940
(215) 504-4622 FAX (215) 504-7155

HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Good afternoon, everybody. Thank you very much for attending this hearing. My name is Marlane Chestnut, and I'm the Hearing Officer who is handling this rate proceeding. This is one of four public hearings that have been scheduled by the Philadelphia Water, Sewer, and Stormwater Rate Board -- that's the Rate Board -- to give the Board a chance to hear directly from you concerning the Philadelphia Water Department's proposed rates and charges for fiscal year 2024 and 2025.

The Rate Board is an independent body that was established to set rates and charges for water and sewer service in Philadelphia. As part of its mandate to conduct an open and transparent examination of the proposed rates, the Board hired Community Legal Services to act as the Public Advocate in this case and selected me as Hearing Officer to ensure that the hearing process is conducted in a fair, impartial, and expeditious manner.

There is a court reporter who is -- who will produce a stenographic record of this hearing, so please be sure to speak slowly and carefully. And if there are more than one of you together, please identify yourself so she knows.

The transcript of this hearing, as well as all

```
transcripts and other documents produced in this
1
2
    proceeding are posted on the Rate Board's website.
                                                          If you
3
     go to the Rate Board's website and look under the rate
    proceeding tab, look down the left, and the item is listed
4
5
     as the 2023 General Rate proceeding.
              There are other rate proceedings listed there
6
     for other time periods like last year's rate proceeding
7
8
     and this year's TAP are. But the one that's related to
9
     this case is the 2023 General Rate Proceeding.
10
              Now, before we get to hearing from you, I will
11
     introduce representatives from the Water Department and
12
     the Public Advocate and let them give you -- and they can
     give you an overview of their roles in the filing and
13
14
     their positions.
15
              Mr. Dasent or Mr. Shultz? Do you want to say
16
     something for the Water Department?
              MR. DASENT: Mr. Shultz will be leading us
17
18
     today.
19
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. Mr. Shultz?
20
              MR. SHULTZ: Good afternoon, everyone. Glen
21
     Abrams, on behalf of the Philadelphia Water Department,
22
     will be making an introductory statement. So with that
     very brief introduction, let me hand it over to you, Glen.
23
24
                           Thank you. Good afternoon,
              MR. ABRAMS:
```

```
My name is Glen Abrams.
1
     everyone.
                                         I'm Deputy
2
     Commissioner of Communications and Engagement at the
3
     Philadelphia Water Department. And today I am speaking on
     behalf of the department in this proceeding.
4
5
              We first want to thank you all for joining the
     hearing to share your views about the rate -- the proposed
6
     rate increase that the Water Department has filed with the
7
8
     Philadelphia Water, Sewer, and Stormwater Rate Board.
9
     Water Department provides water, sanitary, sewer, and
10
     stormwater services to residential, commercial,
11
     industrial, and institutional customers within the city.
12
              These services are essential and are the
13
     foundation of public health in Philadelphia. We have
14
     recently requested an adjustment in rates and charges so
     that we will have sufficient resources to continue current
15
16
     and planned programs, and to pay significantly increasing
     operating costs in fiscal years 2024 and 2025. Additional
17
     revenues are needed to ensure that clean water is
18
19
     delivered as safely and reliably as possible and that
20
     wastewater treatment and stormwater management services
21
     meet your needs.
22
              If the Rate Board approves the Water
23
     Department's full request, a typical residential customer
24
     using 450 cubic feet, or 3,366 gallons of water per month
```

would see their bill increase by about 11.8 percent in fiscal year 2024 and 8.3 percent in fiscal year 2025, or approximately \$8.16 per month beginning on September 1, 2023, and an additional \$6.45 beginning on September 1, 2024.

As explained in the Department's testimony, rate relief is necessary now to sustain our operations. The Department needs additional revenues to pay significant increases in operating expenses. Costs for labor, chemicals, materials, and supplies are rising, along with increased costs for regulatory compliance combined with capital program needs. PWD also continues to invest in much needed upgrades to critical water and wastewater infrastructure.

All projects planned for fiscal years 2024 and 2025 are critical investments to ensure the utility can support its operations and continue to provide safe and reliable service to current and future customers with a well-maintained water and wastewater system you can count on.

One of the ways PWD is working to save customers money and reduce costs is by applying for low-interest loans from state and federal sources to lessen the burden of capital program costs. We are also pursuing grant

opportunities where feasible. PWD has been successful in its application for federal support for water system upgrades as announced by President Biden on February 3rd.

PWD also worked with the Department of Revenue and other city partners to help our customers achieve nearly \$14,000,000 in debt relief from water bills since January 2022, by working to connect people with the Temporary Low-income Household Water Assistance Program created by the federal government in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. We are currently campaigning in Harrisburg and Washington, DC to make a program like this available in the future.

Finally, we want you to know that Philadelphia has robust and comprehensive customer assistance programs which help low-income customers pay their bills, including the Tiered Assistance Program, or TAP, the senior citizen discount, and other programs that are more flexible than ever. If you are experiencing hardship, we want to help. You can use one application for TAP, the senior discount, or other programs available to assist households.

These programs are accessible through our website at www.phila.gov/waterbillhelp or by calling (215)685-6300 Monday through Friday between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m.

```
I would also note if you have specific billing
1
2
     questions or other service issues that you would like
3
     assistance with, please let us know and we will have a
     customer service representative contact you as soon as
4
5
     possible. You can use the chat function to identify
     yourself or let us know that you would like to speak in a
6
     breakout room to exchange contact information or receive
7
     information.
8
9
              Today we have Saterria Kersey from PWD Public
10
     Affairs and Lakisha Gaymon-Foreman from the Water Revenue
11
     Bureau that are available to provide assistance. But
12
     please do not share any personal account information in
     the public chat.
13
14
              Now, the purpose of today's session is to hear
15
     from you, our customers. We appreciate you taking the
16
     time to share your views with us today, and we look
     forward to hearing from you.
17
                                   Thank you.
18
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Thank you, Mr.
19
     Abrams.
20
              Mr. Ballenger, did you want to make a statement
21
     on behalf of the Public Advocate?
22
              Oh, Ms. Price, are you going to do it?
23
              MS. PRICE: Yes. Good afternoon.
     everyone. My name is Joline Price. I'm an attorney at
24
```

Community Legal Services, and we serve as Public Advocate
in this case. I wanted to explain to you what that means
and encourage you to reach out if you have any questions.

In rate cases heard by the Public Utility

Commission, agencies such as the Office of Consumer

Advocate and the Office of Small Business Advocate

participate to represent the interests of residential or

small business customers. These agencies are funded by

annual assessments that all of the utilities pay. This

allows the agencies to do things like hire witnesses to

help thoroughly investigate the utility's request for

higher rates.

Philadelphia Water Department is not regulated by the Public Utility Commission, so those agencies don't participate in our rate cases. Instead, the City has created an analogous position, and that is the Public Advocate. The Public Advocate's job is to represent the interests of residential and small business customers by investigating PWD's proposed rate increase and challenging, if appropriate, the assumptions that underlie it.

CLS serves as Public Advocate pursuant to a contract which requires us to independently and forcefully represent the interests of approximately a half million

1 This is what we have done, and that is what we customers. 2 will do. The CLS attorneys will work with the expert 3 witnesses we have retained, and we will submit written testimony and participate in technical hearings and 4 5 briefing to inform the Board of what we think the Board should do. We welcome your input today because the 6 information provide -- you provide to us can help inform 7 8 us and our experts. 9 What have your experiences with your water bill 10 been like? How would a higher bill impact your family? 11 Do you have any concerns about customer service or other 12 operations of the Water Department? If you have any questions or would like any assistance following this 13 14 public input hearing regarding PWD's proposed rate 15 increase, please call us at 215-227-9988 or email 16 publicadvocate@clsphila.org. 17 This is not your only opportunity to be heard. After the hearings today, you can submit any information 18 19 to the Board that you think it should have before making a 20 final decision, and we would be happy to help you with 21 that. I also just wanted to let everyone know that we 22 have a Spanish interpreter available on the line or here 23 with me if anyone needs it. (Spanish language spoken.)

Thank you, and please know that your voices here today

24

```
will be heard by all involved.
1
2
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Thank you, Ms. Price.
3
     I'd also like to note that also participating in this
     proceeding are the Philadelphia Water Revenue Board, which
5
     is the agency that actually does the billing and
     collections on behalf of the Water Department, PECO, a
6
     group of large users known collectively as the
7
8
     Philadelphia Large Users Group, and two individuals,
9
     Michael Skiendzielewski and Lance Haver, who are appearing
10
     on their own behalf.
11
              Mr. Haver, did you want to make a brief
12
     statement?
13
              MR. HAVER: Yes, I do. My name is Lance Haver.
14
     I'm not a lawyer, but like many people, I'm a ratepayer
15
     who is fed up with the constant water rate increases and
16
     angry. Angry that the Water Department, instead of doing
     what 14 members of City Council urged them to do, and
17
18
     which is something they can do, withdraw this rate
19
     request, and seek available federal, state, and city
20
     funding before they try and reach into our pockets and
21
     grab more of our money.
22
              I have been a participant in this and in
23
     previous rate cases. I urge everyone today to tell your
24
     stories and demand that the Water Department do everything
```

1 it can to innovate, cut costs, and find other sources of 2 funds, other than our wallets. But I want to warn 3 everyone, don't be confused by what is happening. Water Department doesn't expect to get what it has asked 5 It's a game it plays that allows the Public Advocate, the Hearing Examiner, and the Rate Board to 6 claim they have done something to help us by cutting some 7 8 of the rate increase. 9 While the Water Department may not be looking 10 out for us, it's not run by stupid people. They know that politically they cannot get everything they ask for, so 11 12 they ask for more than what they want and are very happy 13 with what they've gotten. The body that makes the 14 decision how much more the Water Department will reach 15 into our pockets and take is the Philadelphia Water Rate 16 Board. It has five members. Two of those members are real estate developers. Two ran utilities. One is the 17 18 former Water Commissioner who is judging if the staff she 19 hired is making the right decisions. And the other 20 utility manager is a former chief legal counsel for 21 Philadelphia Gas Works. She has never opposed a rate 22 increase. There's only one consumer representative on the 23 Board. This Business and Utility Control Board hires the

Hearing Examiner, and hires and controls the Public

24

Advocate.

Don't be confused as to the role of the Public Advocate. As the business dominated board itself wrote, the public is not the client of the Public Advocate. The Public Advocate was hired by the Rate Board and answers only to the Rate Board. The ratepayers have no control over the positions the Public Advocate takes. And in the previous rate case, the Public Advocate agreed to give the Water Department not one, but two consecutive rate hikes. And then the Public Advocate, by that corporate controlled Rate Board, the Public Advocate, after agreeing to the two rate hikes, was given not one, but two contract renewals.

And understand, that the business dominated Rate Board hires the Hearing Examiner, who has directed those of us opposing the rate increase, to use a spreadsheet to make our arguments. Nowhere on that spreadsheet are there lines for cost savings through combining services with PGW, or cost savings through innovation. And there's no line on that spreadsheet to consider if the Water Department collects from those who can pay, nor how many people will no longer be able to pay if the rates are increased.

Yes, the Hearing Examiner has said, I can raise those issues separately, but the fact is that they are

1 using a spreadsheet that does not include cost savings, 2 innovations, water collections, or ability to pay, and 3 that is simply wrong. The only way for us to force the Water 4 5 Department to cut costs and seek funding from COVID dollars available to -- is for us to demand it. We cannot 6 7 stop the Public Advocate from settling this case as he did 8 in the last case. We cannot force the Hearing Examiner to 9 change the spreadsheet to include all issues, not just the 10 issues the Water Department wants considered. For 11 example, there's no place on the spreadsheet to ask the 12 question, why does the Water Department have to have its headquarters in Center City? Why don't they move to less 13 14 costly real estate? 15 If we are to be successful at forcing the Water 16 Department to cut costs, innovate, and find other sources, we have to demand it and ask our elected officials, as I 17 18 am doing, to join us in fighting this outrageous proposal. 19 Council has said there's money. We all know there's 20 money. We all know the Water Department has not issued a 21 single cost-saving strategy, and we should demand that 22 they do so before they ask for a rate increase. 23 HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Are you finished? 24 MR. HAVER: Yes, I'm finished.

1 HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Thank you. 2 Let me give you a brief overview of the process. 3 Board's regulations provide that the Rate Board's review of this department's filing has to be completed within 120 5 days from the date of the formal notice, which is the filing that contained all the statements and the exhibits 6 and documents relating to the proposed rates and charges. 7 8 Now, this filing contains a substantial amount 9 of documents, like I said, statements, exhibits, 10 documents, all kinds of things. But it's all posted at 11 the Rate Board's website, and it's listed under the formal 12 notice tab. The other participants are using this time to examine that filing, and they have been engaged in asking 13 14 questions for the company, requiring the company to 15 support its request. 16 That's listed under discovery, and that contains 17 information requests that have been sent by participants 18 such as the Public Advocate to the Water Department and 19 asking for further information and further support. 20 questions and the answers are provided on the website. So 21 if you want to take a look, feel free. 22 After that process, the Public Advocate and the 23 other non-Water Department participants will file their 24 own testimony with their own recommendations as to what

should be done with respect to the proposed rates and charges. That will contain their opinion about should there be an increase, and if so, how much should that increase be? Then everybody has a chance to respond to everybody else's testimony with another round of testimony. What are called technical hearings on that written testimony will be held between May 2nd and May 5th.

Each side will present its witness and experts for cross-examination and on-the-record proceedings. The actual dates will be posted on the Rate Board's website, and those hearings will be conducted virtually. You are welcome to attend or observe, I guess, as it may be.

Now, after those hearings, each participant has the opportunity to file a written summary of its position called a brief. I expect that my report containing my recommendation as to the proposals, and I do want to make it clear that the company does have -- the Rate

Department, excuse me -- has an opportunity to amend its filing in response to testimony that may be filed by the participants. So, whatever the final positions of the participants are, I will review them and make a recommendation in a written report that I hope will be issued by mid to late May.

Then the Rate Board will consider that report as well as the other -- I'm sorry, let me back up. After I do my report, the participants will have an opportunity to comment on that, and then the Rate Board will consider my report and the other the participants submissions. And it will decide this case probably mid -- early to mid-June, and hopefully with a final order by June 21st.

As I stated earlier, every order, every document produced in this case is at the Rate Board's website under the 2023 General Rate Proceeding tab.

Now, with respect to this hearing, first, thank you so much for taking the time to attend this. I can't express how important it is for the Rate Board to hear directly from you because you are the ones who are directly affected by the Rate Board's decision.

Sometimes in the rate making process, we tend to talk about the rate -- ratepayers or the rates as classes. We forget that sometimes the class is made up of actual, real people who have real lives. That's why it's very important to put a face to you and realize that the impact of the Board's decision has an impact on people's actual lives. Water is a necessary service. We all know that. And it's important to understand what the impact of these decisions will be.

1 The Rate Board's regulations require that these 2 public hearings be held early in the process so that the 3 participants have an opportunity to consider the testimony produced here and reflect it in their -- in the testimony 4 5 that they file. Now, in terms of how I will conduct this 6 hearing, I will call on people who have registered. 7 8 you have not registered and want to comment, that's fine. You can either -- we'll get to you today if there's time. 9 10 If not, there's another session scheduled at 6:00 this 11 evening. Everyone will have a chance to make a statement 12 if they wish, or you can send a letter or an email to the Rate Board. 13 14 We've received numerous, I guess, well over 30 15 at this point, whereas of a couple of days ago. I'm not 16 sure where we are now. And again, they are all posted also. And I can also assure you that I read each and 17 18 every one of them. When it is your turn, I will call your name and 19 20 Steven will unmute your microphone or telephone. 21 ask your name, if you're a customer of the Water 22 Department, and if you are appearing on behalf of a group 23 or not. Please confine your remarks to the issue in this 24 proceeding, which are the proposed rates.

1 If you have an individual billing or other 2 problem, that's fine. We can direct you to either a 3 breakout room or you can contact somebody from the Water Department directly and to see if we can get -- and see if 5 we can get your issue resolved. But as Mr. Abrams said, don't give us any personal information on the public 6 record concerning your account. Wait and talk to the 7 8 company directly or the Public Advocate. They also have 9 resources that can help. 10 If you have a question about the rate proceeding 11 and we can answer it briefly, we will. Otherwise, Mr. 12 Dasent or Mr. Shultz will get back to you or Mr. Ballenger from the Public Advocate's office. They can provide 13 14 additional information if you would like that. 15 Now, if you haven't registered and want to make 16 a statement, please raise your hand, and we will get to you. Now, to raise your hand in Zoom, look for a hand on 17 18 the bar that contains the Zoom control. So my computer, 19 which is a desktop, it's on the bottom, and it says 20 reactions. And if you go on reactions, you get some hand 21 signals, and one of them would be a raised hand. Now, I'm 22 not sure what device you're using, but there must be

If you're participating telephonically, you can

23

24

something similar.

raise your hand by hitting the star button and then nine. 1 2 Now that will raise your hand. Now, I'm not going to 3 impose a time limit because -- unless it looks like we really don't have enough time to finish and get to 4 5 everybody, but please be respectful of other people. After your statement, I will ask the 6 participants if they have any clarifying questions for 7 8 you. Now, these questions are to clarify or perhaps 9 provide help to you if you've identified a problem. But 10 this is not cross-examination, and it's not for the purpose of putting you on the spot. After you're done, 11 12 you're welcome to stay but you certainly don't have to. Now, before we get to hearing from you, I have a 13 14 couple of comments that I would like to make. While the 15 primary reason for having these public hearings, of 16 course, is to hear from you, there's a secondary reason, and that's to make sure you understand the process and 17 have confidence in it. I want you to feel comfortable 18 19 that the company's filing is being scrutinized very 20 carefully and everybody's arguments are going to be 21 thoroughly examined. 22 The fact that a spreadsheet is used to calculate 23 the revenue impact doesn't mean that issues can't be 24 raised by any party. I expect them to be raised in the

testimony and they'll be considered. But the spreadsheet

is just a device to calculate the numbers, and nobody has

to use it. The Rate Board is required to use it to do its

calculations in terms of coming up with the final numbers,

but a participant does not have to.

Now, one of the other issues I wanted to bring up was the role of the Public Advocate. And it's absolutely correct that you, the public, are not clients of CLS, which has been retained — which is acting as the Public Advocate in this case. They're not your lawyers, and you're not their clients. That's a whole different type of relationship. What they are is your advocate. That's why the term is Public Advocate. That's why in PEC hearings, the offices that were referenced by Ms. Price are the Office of Small Business Advocate, the Office of Consumer Advocate. There is no legal relationship.

Being a client of a lawyer is a whole -- has obligations and responsibilities that are something completely separate. What has been done here, CLS has been hired to act as the Public Advocate in terms of representing the interest of the public as a group, and that is what they are charged with doing.

Now, another thing I wanted to mention is me. Why was I retained by the Rate Board? Well, I want to

assure you that I do have the qualifications to hear this case and make competent recommendations. I have a quarter of a century experience as an Administrative Law Judge for the Public Utility Commission. In that capacity, I heard hundreds of rate cases, different utilities around the state. That's a quarter of a century where I have been dealing with these types of issues and balancing the competing public interest involved.

There is -- prior to that, I had 10 years of experience directly involved in the -- in the utility process -- the regulatory utility process. I was an assistant counsel in the law bureau representing the public interest for, I don't know, maybe eight or nine years. I was an assistant consumer advocate in the Office of Consumer Advocate for several years, representing the interest of the residential ratepayers.

And after I retired from the Public Utility

Commission, they asked me to stay on for a year and work

on the backlog of customer complaints that they had. Now,

I heard literally thousands of customer complaint cases in

my career as a PUC ALJ. A lot of them involved ability
to-pay. Now, when I was asked to stay on and clear up the

backlog, they were all ability-to-pay cases. And most of

my work has been in Philadelphia because that's where I'm

located.

So I'm very, very familiar with the difficulties faced by residents of Philadelphia, I really am. And I can tell you it's heartbreaking to hear a lot of these cases. There's a difference between people who are willing to pay for their service and people who are not. There's an ability to pay and there's a willingness to pay. And the hardest cases are where people want to pay and can't.

And that brings me to my final point, which is I don't want you to think of this as an adversarial process because it isn't. The customers and the company, they want the same thing, which is decent, safe, adequate service at affordable rates. Now exactly where that is, is what we're looking at.

But you're on two sides of a river and the way that I visualize it is you meet on a bridge. Because I can tell customers that from my experience, utilities don't want to have rates so high their customers can't pay it. They don't want to have to spend their time on collections. They'd rather go after the people who won't pay but can. And customers, you want to be sure that the Water Department has sufficient resources to give you the service you deserve of this essential resource which is

safe, adequate service.

I think we've seen other situations where, for whatever reasons, people weren't given safe water service, and we see the impact it has. So anyway, I just wanted to ask everybody to kind of keep that in mind that this really isn't adversarial. We all want the same thing, which is to get to that spot where everybody's interests are advanced.

And again, I will repeat, this is not a legal process. It's not even a quasi-legal process the way the PUC does it. We've tried to make this as informal, and welcoming, and open as possible. You'll notice that I refer to participants, not parties. Testimony is not sworn, so please feel free to contribute, and please feel free to update your understanding of where this case is going.

And I know everybody's got lives, and I know time is always -- always an issue. But if you have a few minutes and want to see what's going on in the case, go to the Rate Board website. And I'll give you the address for that, which is www.phila.gov/department/water-sewer-stormwater-rate-board. Actually, it's easier probably just to google it. Okay. But that's the rate side.

And if you have any questions about anything or

```
you have concerns, look at the documents themselves and
1
2
     the orders, and you can make your own mind up. Okay?
3
     Sorry for going on, but I do want to take the opportunity
    here, since you're here, to express my thoughts.
4
5
              Okay. Now, let's go on to why we're here, which
     is hearing from people in the audience. I note that there
6
7
     are -- let me see -- were -- okay.
8
              MR. LIANG:
                          There were four people that raised
9
     their hands. I --
10
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: But I'm looking at
11
     the list, and the person who had signed up was Deborah
12
     Paul (phonetic). Is she here? I don't see her name.
13
              Deborah Paul, are you there? Okay.
14
              Then, Steven, do you have, like, an order of
15
    people?
16
              MR. LIANG: Yes. First person is Tammy.
17
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
                                          Tammy?
18
              MR. LIANG: Yes.
19
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
20
              MS. WOODS: Hi there.
                                      Thank you.
21
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Hold on a second.
22
     Hold on a second. Let's get some preliminaries first.
23
     Could you please give and spell your name for the record?
24
              MS. WOODS: Oh, I thought you could see it.
```

```
1
    T-A-M-M-Y.
2
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: And your last name?
3
              MS. WOODS: Is that necessary?
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Yes.
4
5
              MS. WOODS: W-O-O-D-S.
6
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. And are you a
7
     customer of the Philadelphia Water Department?
8
              MS. WOODS: Yes. Yes, I am.
9
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: And are you appearing
10
     on behalf of a group or for yourself?
11
              MS. WOODS: Well, I -- both. It's for the City
12
     of Philly. I mean -- I mean, I feel it's for everybody
    that pays water as a citizen here in Philly. I don't know
13
14
    how to respond to that. Is that correct?
15
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Well, I was more
16
     specific if you're appearing on behalf of like an
17
     organized group or a union or --
18
              MS. WOODS: No. No, no, no, no.
19
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I just wanted to make
20
    sure if you were --
21
              MS. WOODS: Just as a resident -- as a resident
22
    here.
23
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Yeah, that's fine.
24
    Go ahead, then, please.
```

```
Okay. I only have one thing to say
1
              MS. WOODS:
     that I'm very disturbed about, and I don't even know --
2
3
    because half of my circle of friends and family didn't
     even know because they pay online, and they don't have a
5
    hard copy of their bill anymore, like the old school days.
    But long story short, I want to know why they charge
6
     stormwater, and I feel that they should get rid of that.
7
                                          That's a lot.
8
     That would save almost $20 a month.
9
              So they've been doing that for, I think, close
10
     to 10 years, or you could tell me how -- someone can tell
    me. But if they alleviate -- get rid of the stormwater
11
12
     fee that I don't know if anyone -- because it's not usage
13
     fee.
           It's not usage. It's a stormwater fee that they
14
     didn't have since I've been alive on this Earth. And I
15
     feel that they should get rid of that because that would
16
     save residents almost $20, close to $20 a month.
     that's how I feel. I'm disgusted about that.
17
18
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. Mr. Shultz, do
19
     you want to give a brief response to why the stormwater
20
     charge is there?
21
              MS. WOODS: Excuse me. Just one more question.
22
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
23
              MS. WOODS:
                          They didn't have it all our lives,
24
     and it's not usage, and why should we pay a fee to water
```

```
running down the side of the building through a drain?
1
2
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. Mr. Shultz can
3
     explain to you why that charge is there, okay?
              MS. WOODS: Okay.
4
5
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Because you're right.
     It's not -- I've been here forever.
6
              MS. WOODS: I just -- well, I just want to know
7
8
     -- I just want to know also why all of a sudden, after
9
     centuries, did they add that bill? Thank you.
10
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
                                          Sure. Mr. Shultz, do
11
     you want to explain or maybe Ms. Price? Probably Mr.
12
     Shultz.
              MR. SHULTZ: I'll try to go briefly, and Ms.
13
14
     Price or others can supplement if I misspeak. So you are
15
     correct, you know, that stormwater tends to go into
16
     drains, and there's costs related to maintaining those
     drains and getting that stormwater away from where it's
17
18
     fallen on your property, someplace where it can be picked
19
     up correctly and handled.
20
              Those costs have been treated in different ways
21
     over the last century, and in an effort of transparency,
22
     the stormwater costs have been separated from other costs.
23
     It now appears a line item on the bill and represents the
24
     cost that the department incurs in helping get rid of
```

```
1
     stormwater from the various properties and to take care of
2
    it.
3
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Ms. Price, did you
     want to add something to that?
4
5
              MS. PRICE: No. Actually, I don't think so.
    But I just really appreciate -- I appreciate you for
6
7
     testifying today. Thank you.
8
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Tammy, you understand
9
     that really it's just breaking out costs -- the way I
10
     understand it, because this is before my time --
11
              MS. WOODS: No. It's -- to me, it's just
12
     another way to receive funds as well.
13
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. You were
14
    paying for this -- for this before, but now it's been
15
     separated out, is the way I understand it.
16
              MS. WOODS: No. It's -- to me, it's more money.
     It's more than what the average bill used to be, because I
17
18
     think it's $15 to $18 a month or something like that more.
19
     So it's -- and I was wondering, the advocate, the one I
20
     forget her name that just said thank -- would she be able
21
     to put her information at the end or somewhere where I can
22
     view it? So we can view it since she's an advocate.
23
     forget her name that was just on the screen.
24
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Ms. Price?
```

1 MS. WOODS: Yeah. 2 HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I think she needs to 3 get unmuted, but -- but absolutely. With respect to your question, before we get to her -- before we get -- perhaps 4 5 if you'd like more explanation about the stormwater charge, Mr. Shultz or Mr. Dasent could send you some 6 information if you'd like. Okay? 7 8 MS. WOODS: Well, I already talked to the 9 department twice in the past year and actually one of --10 actually, they actually added to renters fee. Like, 11 someone who rents should not be liable for that fee 12 because it's not the usage. So that's another issue. Ιt 13 should -- it's only for someone who owns property. 14 that gentleman that just spoke, I forget his name as well, 15 but I mean -- there's always been --16 (CROSSTALK.) HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Ms. Price could give 17 18 -- could give you her information, and you could talk 19 directly with her at some point, or her office. 20 MS. PRICE: Yeah. So mentioned earlier, and I 21 think Steven put it in the chat as well. You can reach 22 out to all of us at publicadvocate@clsphila.org or the 23 number is (215)227-9988. You can leave us a voicemail, 24 and we'll get back to you.

```
MS. WOODS:
                          277 what?
1
              MS. PRICE:
2
                          227-9988.
3
              MS. WOODS:
                          Are you saying 277 or 22?
              MS. PRICE:
                           227.
4
5
              MS. WOODS:
                          And then what?
6
              MS. PRICE:
                           9988.
7
              MS. WOODS:
                          Thank you very much.
8
              MS. PRICE:
                          Feel free to reach out to us.
9
              MR. HAVER: I'd like to ask you a clarifying
10
     question.
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Well, I'm not sure if
11
12
     she's finished here yet.
13
              Are you finished?
14
              MS. WOODS: Yes. Thank you. Because it doesn't
15
     matter because they're going to make a bill, a bill
16
     anyway, but thank you. I appreciate it. Thank you.
              MR. HAVER: I would like to ask a clarifying
17
18
     question.
19
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
                                          Sure.
20
              MR. HAVER: Are you suggesting that the
21
     stormwater costs should be paid for out of the tax rates
     of the City rather than out of the individual water bill?
22
23
              MS. WOODS:
                          Me?
24
                          Yes. Are you saying that --
              MR. HAVER:
```

```
I don't think -- I don't -- what I'm
1
              MS. WOODS:
     saying is I've read about it, and people haven't read
2
3
     about it. There shouldn't be any fee, because I know
    people that live in other states that don't have that fee.
4
5
     It's ridiculous to be charged for water that goes under
     wherever it goes. I mean --
6
              MR. HAVER: If there is -- if there is any cost
7
8
     for processing that water, are you suggesting it should be
9
     the responsibility of the City and not the responsibility
10
     of the individual homeowner or ratepayer?
11
              MS. WOODS: Or whoever is wealthier than me,
12
     yes. Okay?
13
              MR. HAVER: Thank you.
14
              MS. WOODS: Absolutely. Because that would
15
    bring down the cost of the water bill, period mark.
16
              MR. HAVER: Thank you for your time.
17
              MS. WOODS:
                          Thank you.
18
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. Thank you very
19
     much, Tammy. We appreciate your comments.
              MS. WOODS: I appreciate it. Thank you so much.
20
21
     Thank you.
22
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: And again, reach out
23
     to the Public Advocate or the company --
24
              MS. WOODS: Yeah. I have her --
```

```
1
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: -- for further
     concerns about your bill.
2
3
              MS. WOODS: Thank you. I appreciate that.
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
                                         They can help you
4
5
    with that.
6
              MS. WOODS: Thank you.
7
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Sure. There were
8
    people who signed up to speak but didn't specify when, so
9
    it occurs to me that maybe I should go through that list
10
     to see if any of these people are here. One is Aaron
11
     Horton (phonetic). Another is Kathy Bennet (phonetic).
12
     Jennie Dennis (phonetic). Ninian Hibbert (phonetic).
     Okay. Then we'll go to people raising their hands.
13
14
              Steven?
15
              MR. LIANG: Is Harvey --
16
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I'm sorry. Where are
17
    we?
18
              MR. LIANG: Harvey and Jen Chanin.
19
                                         Thank you.
              MR. CHANIN:
                          Just Harvey.
20
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
21
              MR. CHANIN: Appreciate it. Although my better
22
    half is sitting next to me. She told me to say it.
23
    be speaking today. Thank you very much.
24
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. Could you
```

```
1
    please spell your name for the record?
2
              MR. CHANIN: Sure. H-A-R-V-E-Y. Chanin, C-H-A-
3
    N-I-N.
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: And are you a
4
5
     customer of the Philadelphia Water Department?
              MR. CHANIN: Yes. My entire life, yes.
6
7
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: And are you speaking
8
     on behalf of a group or for yourself?
9
              MR. CHANIN: Well, I am speaking for all of the
10
     children and their families that I've had the good fortune
11
     of working with as a teacher and a counselor in the
12
     last -- for 30 years. So, yeah, I'm speaking for them
13
    mostly.
14
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. Go ahead, Mr.
15
     Chanin.
16
              MR. CHANIN: All right. Thank you so much.
     Thank you for giving me the opportunity to speak this
17
18
    afternoon. I am a retired Philadelphia School District
19
     teacher and counselor who has lived in Philly my entire
20
     life. I'm deeply concerned about the negative impact
21
     rising utility rates, water, electric, and gas have on the
22
     quality of life of the low-income children and their
23
     families I have had the pleasure to work with for 30 years
24
     in Philly.
```

1 Water rate increases of approximately 21 1/2 2 percent in the City, with a poverty rate of approximately 3 23 percent, in addition to inflationary pressures and deteriorating safety net programs, will further harm most 4 5 Philadelphia families. Philly ranks among the nation's 10 neediest cities. One in five Philadelphia residents, 6 disproportionately black and Latino households have had 7 8 their water disconnected at least once since 2012 as a 9 result of falling behind on their water payments. 10 Pandemic safety net programs that have kept low-11 income Philadelphians out of poverty have ended or will 12 terminate in the near future, such as the child tax credit, unemployment insurance, and cuts in SNAP. 13 14 addition, fast rising prices for gas, food, and most 15 everything else is hitting low-income households the 16 hardest. 17 Federal interest rate hikes make it harder to 18 borrow money or get credit. As household energy and clean 19 water uncertainty increases, young children are 20 experience (sic) an increase in reported poor health, 21 hospitalizations, and developmental risks. Economic 22 hardships contribute to chronic stress, mental health 23 disorders such as anxiety and depression. 24 PWD always outperforms its projections. In

```
2022, this meant higher than projected debt service
1
     coverage and higher capital spending. Why didn't
2
3
     PDW (sic) save all its unanticipated net revenues instead
     of spending them? Why isn't the City helping PWD using
4
5
    ARPA funds to prevent a need for rate increases?
                                                       Finally,
    participation rates in PWD's assistance program have not
6
7
    gone up enough.
         What is the City doing to help? That's it.
8
9
     you so much. I appreciate it.
10
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Well, you raised a
11
     lot of very good questions.
12
              MR. CHANIN: Thank you.
13
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
                                          Not sure they're
14
     really capable of being answered here and now, but would
15
     you like a response to them?
16
              MR. CHANIN: I do.
17
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: All right, then.
18
    Either the Public Advocate or the Water Department, I
19
     expect to respond to Mr. Chanin with a response to his --
20
     to the questions that he's raised.
21
              Ms. Price, is that okay? You can nod.
22
              MS. PRICE:
                          I mean, I would just say, you know,
23
     thank you for your testimony, and I think those are good
24
     questions that we hope to explore in the -- with our
```

```
1
     experts in the upcoming expert testimony.
2
              MR. CHANIN: Okay.
3
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Mr. Shultz?
              MR. SHULTZ: I agree. They're very good
4
5
     questions, and I think they're being explored at the
    proceeding as we're going forward.
6
7
              MR. CHANIN: Thank you.
              MR. HAVER: I'd like to ask some clarifying
8
9
     questions. Mr. Chanin, are you saying that you would
10
     rather see the Water Department cut costs as opposed to
11
     raising rates?
12
              MR. CHANIN: Yes. I would --
13
              MR. HAVER: Are you saying that you would rather
14
     see the Water Department withdraw the rate increase and
15
     try and get money from the state, federal, and local
16
     government rather than raise rates?
17
              MR. CHANIN: Yes.
18
              MR. HAVER: Are you saying that you believe the
19
    Water Department should look to move its headquarters out
20
     of Center City and combine services with PGW so it can
21
     save rates, save money, and save -- and make the rate
22
     increase unnecessary?
23
              MR. CHANIN: That would be most helpful, yes.
24
              MR. HAVER:
                          Thank you.
```

```
1
              MR. CHANIN: You're welcome.
2
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. I'm not even
3
     going to get into that, but okay. Thank you very much,
    Mr. Chanin.
4
5
              MR. CHANIN: Thank you.
6
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Steven, do you have
7
    somebody who's next?
8
              MR. LIANG: Next would be, Qiana Shedrick,
9
    please?
10
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Because I see there's
11
     a telephone caller with a hand up, too.
12
              MR. LIANG: Yes.
13
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I'm sorry. Where are
14
    we now?
15
              MR. LIANG: Qiana Shedrick.
16
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Where is -- oh,
17
     sorry.
18
              MS. SHEDRICK: Yes, good afternoon. I'm here.
19
     Can you hear me?
20
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I can. I can.
21
    Sorry, I had trouble finding you. First off, could you
22
    please give and spell your name for the record?
23
              MS. SHEDRICK: Sure. Qiana, that's Q-I-A-N-A.
24
    Last name Shedrick, S-H-E-D-R-I-C-K.
```

```
1
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
                                          And, Ms. Shedrick,
2
     are you a customer of the Philadelphia Water Department?
3
              MS. SHEDRICK: I am.
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Are you appearing on
4
5
    behalf of any group or organization?
              MS. SHEDRICK: Myself and my neighbors.
6
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. That's fine.
7
8
              MS. SHEDRICK: Okay, great.
                                            Thank you.
9
              So my name is Qiana Shedrick, and I'm a
10
     community agent for change in my upper North Philadelphia
11
     community, specifically the 11th Ward. I'm currently
12
     running -- I'm sorry -- I'm currently running third on the
    ballot for City Council at-large, and I wanted to speak
13
14
     during today's hearing on behalf of myself and my
15
    neighbors.
16
              Currently, there's more than 50,000 residents in
     Philadelphia that are eligible for water shut off. And
17
18
     last year, the City instituted what they believed to be
19
     more protections for residents. However, when you ask
20
     those on the front lines, it caused greater issues.
21
              Last year, the shut off limit was raised to
     $1,000, and the restoration fee was raised to about $105.
22
23
     If a person falls behind on their bills, they have to pay
24
     at least half the restoration fee. And if a resident
```

isn't already able to afford the water bill, how would one 1 2 be expected to pay the restoration fee? And this is 3 nearly about 100 percent increase from the previous year's restoration fee, which was about \$60. 4 Also, last year, the Water Department and Philadelphia residents benefited from COVID relief in 6 terms of certain grants. And I was wondering if the Water 7 8 Department and other water utilities have requested more 9 state and federal funding so residents can gain and 10 receive these grants to assist them with these increasing 11 rates? 12 And lastly, from my understanding, the Water Department's offices are currently under renovation. And 13 14 I was wondering if there's been any internal budget cuts 15 to reduce the cost for Philly residents, which actually 16 includes Philadelphia residents? So I do believe that Philly's infrastructure needs improving. However, with 17 18 the increasing costs everywhere around us nationwide, literally, how does the Water Department believe that this 19 is the best time to increase rates to the residents is my 20 21 general question?

I just wanted to thank you today for giving a platform for residents to speak and be heard and ask questions. And thank you.

22

23

24

```
1
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
                                          Thank you, Ms.
2
     Shedrick. I think you do raise good points that really do
3
     affect everybody. Does anybody have any questions or
     questions for Ms. Shedrick?
4
5
              MR. HAVER: I'd like to ask Ms. Shedrick, are
6
     you satisfied with the response to the question about
7
     office renovations? Because I didn't hear anyone answer
8
     that question. I thought you asked, are they currently
9
     renovating their offices?
10
              MS. SHEDRICK: Yeah. I didn't hear a response
11
     to that, and I heard there was a question or suggestion
12
     about co-locating, so I thought there was some synergy
13
    there.
14
              MR. HAVER: All right. But would you like your
15
16
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Is your question, are
     they renovating their office?
17
18
              MR. HAVER: That was her question, yes.
19
                             Yes. Well, I understand that the
              MS. SHEDRICK:
20
     offices are currently under renovation, and I was
21
    wondering if there's been internal budget cuts to reduce
22
     the cost, or, you know, how are those renovations being
23
    paid for?
24
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
                                         Okay. Mr. Shultz,
```

```
1
    maybe you could prepare a response for Ms. Shedrick about
2
     that, and get back to her?
3
              MR. HAVER: Couldn't we hear today whether they
4
5
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I'm not sure he's in
     a position -- if he can answer, sure.
6
7
              MR. HAVER: Are the offices being renovated?
8
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I'm not sure he's
9
     able to answer that. Mr. -- can you, Mr. Shultz?
10
              MR. SHULTZ: I do not know the answer to that
11
     specific question.
12
              MR. HAVER: And Mr. Dasent doesn't know either?
    And no one from the Water Department who's on this hearing
13
14
     knows?
15
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Well, that wasn't the
16
    purpose of this hearing. It was to hear from people about
     their -- their pending rate increase.
17
18
              MR. HAVER: She asked a question.
19
              (CROSSTALK.)
20
              MR. DASENT: We can provide that answer.
21
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Stop. Mr. Haver,
    please don't interrupt me. Okay? The court reporter
22
23
     can't take down more than one person speaking at a time.
24
              Now, to get a response to your question, which I
```

```
1
     think requires a little bit of work.
                                           But that's a
     legitimate question, obviously.
2
3
              MS. SHEDRICK:
                             Mm-hmm.
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: How about if Mr.
4
5
     Shultz or Mr. Dasent gets back to you?
              MR. DASENT: We will provide an answer.
6
7
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
                                         Thank you.
8
              MS. SHEDRICK: Right. Just thinking that these
9
     are basic questions that we should have the answers to,
10
     that the people should have the answers to, and just at a
11
     city level looking, you know, we need to get to the bottom
12
     of what's happening with our agencies and make sure that
    there's transparency and clarity there. So thank you for
13
14
    your time.
15
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Thank you. Okay.
16
              Steven?
17
              MR. LIANG: Next is John.
18
              MR. MOSSER: Hi. Good afternoon. My name is
19
     John Mosser, M-O-S-S-E-R. I'll try to be as brief as
20
    possible. Going back --
21
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Wait, wait. Let
22
    me -- let me ask you a few questions first. Are you a
23
     customer of the Philadelphia Water Department?
24
              MR. MOSSER: Yes. Yes, I am a residential
```

```
1
     customer.
                Okay?
2
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: And are you appearing
3
     on behalf of a group or organization or for yourself?
              MR. MOSSER: Just for myself.
4
5
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
                                          Thank you.
              MR. MOSSER: And this is the first time I've
6
7
    participate in something like this, in this nature.
8
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
                                          Thank you, Mr.
9
             Go ahead.
    Mosser.
10
              MR. MOSSER: First of all, going back to the
11
     last issue of the offices being renovated, nobody from the
12
     Water Department can tell if their offices are being
     renovated, and yet they're attending this meeting?
13
14
     suggests to me that, A, they're working from home, and B,
15
     if their offices are being renovated, why? If they're
16
     working from home, then why? If they're working in the
     office, they don't know that their own office is being
17
18
     renovated? I find this appalling. Okay?
19
              Going back, again -- I'll try to be brief.
20
     appreciate Mr. Lance Harper's (sic) statements and Mr.
21
    Harvey's statements about customer service. And I'm,
22
     what, the third person here in a matter of 60 minutes to
23
     speak. We spent over 30 minutes with listening to who all
24
     the participants were, and some of them took an exorbitant
```

amount of time. I think these limits -- there should be
limits on how long they should be able to speak -- to give
the people that are here time to express their views.

My concern is this, as a financial analyst for the US Department of Homeland Security, I always felt that rather than asking for more money was to live within our means, live within our budget. And I will sit here and it can be -- it's well documented that when I was in charge of the fiscal budget for Homeland Security in the Philadelphia area, I always came in under budget because we found ways to cut money not to spend it, but to cut it. And my question is this. What is the Water Department doing to live within their budget, to cut expenses, to be judiciary responsible? And that's all I have to say, because I don't want to take up somebody else's time.

tell you that, that is something we look at in these cases, is whether just because there's a claim for an expense, maybe that isn't justified. Maybe, you know, I don't want to get into too much, like, detail here, but we are looking at the necessity for any kind of increase in expense categories. But yes. Does anybody have any questions for Mr. -- any clarifying questions for Mr.

24 Mosser?

```
I just want to assure you, sir, that
1
              MR. HAVER:
     I will file -- follow up on your comments by filing a
2
3
     formal discovery, asking specifically what cost savings
     the Water Department has projected, and what cost savings
4
5
    have they looked at and they've rejected, so we can get to
     an understanding of your -- get to an understanding, so we
6
7
     can answer your question.
8
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. Anybody else?
9
              MR. DASENT: I just like to say that we'll
10
    provide information concerning any repairs, renovations
     going on in the building that is occupied by the Water
11
12
     Department. And we do use low-cost loans and grants to
13
     fund our capital expenditures as a way to cut borrowing
14
     costs. And we're cutting spending in other areas as
15
     indicated in the filing. And we're happy to answer any
16
     other questions that Mr. Haver may have. Thanks.
17
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. Good.
18
              MS. PRICE: And I just wanted to say that we
19
     have -- if you look through the record, we have asked some
     discovery, and there are some responses already regarding
20
21
     cost savings. So if you do go to look at the formal
22
     discovery. That is something that we're looking at as the
     Public Advocate.
23
24
                                         Okay, Mr. Mosser?
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
```

```
1
                                 That's fine.
              MR. MOSSER:
                           Yes.
                                               I said my
2
    piece. Thank you.
3
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Thank you. Okay.
4
              Steven?
5
              MR. LIANG: Next is William Phillips, but it
6
     doesn't look like he's still here.
7
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: How about this phone
8
    number?
9
              MR. LIANG: Yes. The phone number was next
10
    after Mr. Phillips.
11
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Oh, okay. Why don't
12
    we find Mr. Phillips then?
13
              MR. LIANG: I think he may have left.
14
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
15
              MR. LIANG: So I'm asking the phone number to
16
    unmute.
17
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Right. I think you
18
    have to unmute yourself by hitting star -- what is it,
19
     star six? Make sure I got this right. It's star six,
20
    person with a phone number 1-267, etc., so then we can
21
    hear you. 1(267)290-8588, if you'd like to unmute
22
    yourself?
23
              Okay. We'll give them a chance maybe to -- we
24
     can come back to them when they are able to do that?
```

1	MR. LIANG: Okay.
2	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay, Steven.
3	MR. LIANG: They were the last person on my
4	list.
5	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Is who?
6	MR. LIANG: The phone number was the last person
7	on my list.
8	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Oh, okay. Then is
9	there anybody else who hasn't spoken but wishes to make a
10	statement. Raise your hand, if you can. I don't see any
11	hands raised. How about Tammy? I think you've already
12	made a statement, but did you have something else you
13	wanted to say?
14	Steven
15	MS. WOODS: Hi. May I just ask you, I didn't
16	hear your name or title position title, please?
17	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: My name is Marlane
18	Chestnut, C-H-E-S-T-N-U-T.
19	MS. WOODS: Marlane Chestnut?
20	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: M-A-R-L-A-N-E.
21	MS. WOODS: Oh, A-N-E, okay. Chestnut like
22	Chestnut Street?
23	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Like Chestnut Hill.

1	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I wish.
2	MS. WOODS: I wish too. And what's your
3	position title?
4	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: My position is
5	Hearing Officer.
6	MS. WOODS: Oh, you're the Hearing Officer.
7	Which organization do you work for?
8	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: The Rate Board.
9	MS. WOODS: The what board?
10	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: The Water Rate Board
11	that makes the decision about the
12	MS. WOODS: Oh, thank you very much.
13	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Of course.
14	MS. WOODS: I appreciate it. That's it.
15	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
16	MS. WOODS: Thank you.
17	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Sure.
18	I think at this point we'll just unmute anybody
19	and see if anybody wants to speak up to make sure that
20	MR. RAZAK: I have a question.
21	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Sure.
22	MR. RAZAK: So the water rates are going up
23	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Could you wait,
24	wait. Let me just clarify. Do you have a question, or do

```
1
     you want to make a statement?
2
              MR. RAZAK: I have a question.
3
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
              MR. RAZAK: Not a statement. So water rates are
4
5
     going up and water sewer bills are going to go up.
6
     they going to improve water quality for Philadelphia or
    not?
7
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I don't know.
8
9
              MR. RAZAK: The water is not good.
10
              THE REPORTER: Can the court reporter ask who's
11
     speaking?
12
              MR. DASENT: Thank you.
13
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Yeah. Could you
14
    please give your name for the court reporter?
15
              MR. RAZAK: Sure. My name is Usman Razak. I'm
16
    a resident of Philadelphia.
17
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Can you spell that,
18
    please?
19
              MR. RAZAK: Sure. That is U-S-M-A-N. And the
20
     last name is Razak, R-A-Z-A-K
21
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: R-E-Z-H-K?
22
              MR. RAZAK: R as in Romeo, A as in apple, Z as
23
     in zebra, A as in apple, K as a kite.
24
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Got it. Sorry.
                                                           I'm
```

```
old -- you know.
1
              MR. RAZAK: No, that's fine. There's nothing
2
3
     wrong with it.
4
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Mr. Razak, I'm not
5
     sure if there is kind of a water quality issue where this
     is, but maybe, maybe somebody has a response to that.
6
7
              MR. ABRAMS: I'm happy to respond. I'll put in
8
     the chat the link to our latest water quality report.
9
     the water quality standards in Philadelphia meet or exceed
10
     all federal and state standards, and we're constantly
11
    monitoring our water to assure that it meets and exceeds
12
     those standards.
              We're also investing -- continually investing in
13
14
     our drinking water system, our conveyance distribution
15
     system, and we're launching a 25-year plan, our water
16
     revitalization plan, to reinvest in this critical
     infrastructure. So there's quite a bit going on right
17
18
    now, but I can assure you that we have very high-quality
19
     water here in Philadelphia.
20
              MR. RAZAK: Can I speak now?
21
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Of course.
22
              MR. RAZAK:
                          So you think you have a high quality
23
     of the water? The water is coming from the tap. You
24
     cannot drink. I have tested. I have sent a couple of
```

```
1
     different companies, and they say you should not drink
2
    water from your tap. And because of my health, even I
3
    have an issue with my health because I cannot drink a
     water from a tap. And I have to purchase it from outside.
4
5
    And you're raising a water rate. Why you raising it?
                                                            Ιf
     you're increasing the rates, you should be making an
6
     improvement as a water quality. And you say it's a
7
8
     standard. If this is a standard that a person cannot open
9
     the tap and drink from it -- I don't believe so. This is
10
     the standard of any country.
11
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Mr. Razak, do you
12
    want your water tested?
13
              MR. RAZAK: Yes, I have. I got about a month
14
     ago, I got it tested from even a Home Depot. They have a
15
     kit. You can send it to them, and I even got a call back
16
     from them. They say you should not drink from your water.
     You can even -- don't use it for your -- like, a -- for
17
18
    washing your -- what is that called -- your stuff. Just
19
     you should put some filter in. It is very hard water.
20
     It's a -- not a -- have some kind of stuff in it. So I
21
     got tested a couple of times.
22
                                         Well, you know, the
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
23
    Rate Board doesn't have any jurisdiction over the quality
24
     of service that the Water Department provides.
                                                     The Rate
```

```
1
     Board really can only address the proposed rates.
2
     seems to me that you have a water quality service issue.
3
     So I would suggest that the company reach out to you
4
     directly to see what they can do.
5
              MR. DASENT: Yes, we'd be happy to.
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Is that okay with
6
7
     you, Mr. Razak?
8
              MR. RAZAK:
                          That's okay with me. And reason of
9
     -- one more last question. Why the rates are going up?
10
     Can I know that reason?
11
              MR. DASENT: Sure. Your Honor, please?
12
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
                                          Well...
13
              MR. DASENT: One of the components of what we're
14
     doing is to -- is because of the increase in chemical
15
     costs. Chemical costs are used for water treatment, for
16
     wastewater treatment. All the things that you're
     interested in, in terms of improving water quality are
17
     tied to some of the inflationary increases we must meet to
18
19
     continue to provide good service for all customers.
20
     for you in particular, we'd like to reach out to make sure
21
     your service is also not only adequate, but, you know, the
22
     quality of service we expect for all our customers.
23
     you.
24
                          I get it. Okay. So I will --
              MR. RAZAK:
```

```
1
     follow-up question. I get it.
                                     Like, you are raising up
2
    because the costs went higher because of the inflation
3
    high. So what about the person who have a low-income?
    Are you guys doing anything for them? Because there's a
     lot of people who have a low-income who can barely afford
5
     this right now bill, and you are increasing up more higher
6
     rates. Right now, everybody in inflation, everybody in a
7
8
    bad zone, and you're raising the rates. How are we going
9
     to afford it? Should we stop using water?
10
              MR. DASENT: No. But we can reach out also to
11
     deal with that particular issue in terms of our TAP
12
    program, senior discount program, other programs that are
13
    available that you may be eligible for, and we'd be happy
14
     to make sure that you're acquainted with those also. And
15
     if you check in the chat, you'll see my address, Andre
16
     Dasent. You'll also see contact information for the Water
    Revenue Bureau. And we'd be happy to answer your
17
18
     questions.
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: And I think the
19
20
     Public Advocate can direct you to other resources. I
21
     don't want to speak for you, but they have other non-Water
22
     Department resources that may be of benefit, so perhaps
23
     they could reach out to you as well.
24
                          I appreciate that. Thank you.
              MR. RAZAK:
```

```
1
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
                                          Sure.
                                                 We'll go back
     to that phone number, 1267. Okay. We can't hear you if
2
3
    you don't unmute yourself, but okay.
4
              Does anybody else have anything else, then,
5
    before we adjourn until, until this evening? No? Okay.
    Well, thank you, everybody. It was really a very useful
6
    and productive session. I appreciate everybody taking the
7
8
     time to share your thoughts with us. So --
9
              MR. RAZAK:
                          Should I leave my email, like, and
10
    my phone number here, to somebody contact me? Excuse me.
11
     I'm sorry for cutting you off.
12
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Can you -- can use
    the chat to do that?
13
14
              MR. RAZAK:
                          Sure.
15
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Do you want to do it
16
    publicly? I mean...
17
              MR. RAZAK: No. I can put it on the chat. It's
     fine.
18
19
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. Why don't you
    do that?
20
21
              MR. RAZAK: Thank you.
22
              HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: But do it quickly
23
    because I'm going -- we're going to wrap up here, and I
24
     think the chat goes away once this is over.
```

MR. RAZAK: I just did it. Hopefully you guys 1 2 get it. 3 HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay? Everybody has the information they need from the chat before we finish 4 5 here? 6 MR. DASENT: Got it. 7 HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay, then. Thank you very much. And I'll see some of you, I guess, at 6:00 8 9 this evening. Have a nice afternoon. 10 (Hearing concluded at 4:13 p.m.)

#### CERTIFICATION

I, Stacy L. Raub, hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence noted are contained fully and accurately notes taken by me in the foregoing matter, and that this is a correct transcript of the same.

#### STACY L. RAUB

(The foregoing certification of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means, unless under the direct control and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.)