PHILADELPHIA WATER, SEWER, AND STORM WATER
RATE BOARD
PUBLIC HEARING

Wednesday, March 22, 2023 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

TIME: 6:00 p.m.

LOCATION: Zoom Teleconference

HELD BEFORE: HONORABLE MARLANE R. CHESTNUT,

Hearing Officer

LEXITAS LEGAL - PHILADELPHIA
54 FRIENDS LANE, SUITE 16
NEWTOWN, PENNSYLVANIA 18940
(215) 504-4622 FAX (215) 504-7155

HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Good evening, everybody.Thank you for attending.

Let me introduce myself. I am Marlane Chestnut. I'm the Hearing Officer assigned to oversee this case. This is one of the public -- public hearings that has been scheduled by the Philadelphia Water Department -- I'm sorry -- the Philadelphia Water, Sewer, and Stormwater Rate Board, the Rate Board to give the Board a chance to hear directly from you concerning the Water Department's proposed rates and charges for fiscal year 2024 and 2025.

The Rate Board is an independent body established to set rates and charges for water and sewer service. As part of its mandate to conduct an open and transparent examination of the proposed rates, the Board has hired Community Legal Services to act as the Public Advocate in this case and has selected me as the hearing officer to ensure that this hearing is conducted in a fair, impartial, and expeditious manner.

There's a court reporter who will produce a stenographic record of this proceeding, so please be sure to speak slowly and clearly. All transcripts and documents produced in this process are posted on the Rate Board's website under the rate proceedings tab under the selection 2023 General Rate Proceeding. Before we get to hearing from you, I will introduce representatives from the Water Department and the Public Advocate

1	so that they can tell you their role and what they're doing in
2	this case.
3	Mr. Dasent or someone from the Water Department? Mr.
4	Dasent?
5	MR. DASENT: Thank you. Good evening, Your Honor.
6	Speaking for us is Deputy Commissioner for Communications and
7	Engagement will be speaking, Glen Abrams, and giving an opening
8	statement. Thank you.
9	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. Mr. Abrams?
10	MR. ABRAMS: Thank you, Your Honor. Good evening,
11	everyone. My name is Glen Abrams, and I'm Deputy Commissioner of
12	Communications and Engagement at the Philadelphia Water
13	Department. And today I'm speaking on behalf of the Department
14	in this proceeding.
15	We first want to thank all of you for joining the
16	hearing to share your views about the rate increase request that
17	the Water Department has filed with the Philadelphia Water,
18	Sewer, and Stormwater Board. The Water Department provides
19	water, sanitary, sewer, and stormwater services to residential,
20	commercial, and industrial institutional customers within the
21	city.
22	These services are essential and the foundation of
23	public health in Philadelphia. We have recently requested an
24	adjustment in rates and charges so that we will have sufficient

resources to continue current and planned programs and to pay significantly increasing operating costs in fiscal years 2024 and 2025. Additional revenues are needed to ensure that clean water is delivered as safely and reliably as possible and that wastewater treatment and stormwater management services meet your needs.

If the Rate Board approves the Water Department's full request, a typical residential customer using 450 cubic feet, or 3,366 gallons of water per month would see their bill increase by about 11.8 percent in fiscal year 2024 and 8.3 percent in fiscal year 2025, or approximately \$8.16 per month beginning on September 1, 2023, and an additional \$6.45 beginning on September 1, 2024.

As explained in the Department's testimony, rate relief is necessary now to sustain our operations. The Department needs additional revenues to pay significant increases in operating costs and expenses. Costs for labor, chemicals, materials, and supplies are rising, along with increased costs for regulatory compliance combined with capital program needs. PWD also continues to invest in much needed upgrades to critical water and wastewater infrastructure.

All projects planned for fiscal years 2024 and 2025 are critical investments to ensure that the utility can support its operations and continue to provide safe and reliable service

to current and future customers with a well-maintained water and wastewater system you can count on.

One of the ways PWD is working to save customers money and reduce costs is by applying for low-interest loans from state and federal sources to lessen the burden of capital program costs. We are also pursuing grant opportunities where feasible. PWD has been successful in its application for federal support for water system upgrades as announced by President Biden on February 3rd, 2023.

PWD also worked with the Department of Revenue and other city partners to help our customers achieve nearly \$14,000,000 in debt relief from water bills since January 2022, by working to connect people with a Temporary Low-Income Household Water Assistance Program created by the federal government in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. We are currently campaigning in Harrisburg and Washington, DC to make a program like this available in the future.

Finally, we want you to know that Philadelphia has robust and comprehensive customer assistance programs which help low-income customers pay their bills. These programs include the Tiered Assistance Program, or TAP, the senior citizen discount, and other programs that are more flexible than ever. If you are experiencing hardship, we want to help. You can use one application for TAP, the senior citizen discount, or other

1 programs available to assist households. 2 These programs are available through our website at 3 www.phila.gov/waterbillhelp or by calling (215) 685-6300, Monday through Friday between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. 5 I would also note that if you have specific billing 6 questions or other service issues that you would like assistance 7 with, please let us know, and we will have a customer service 8 representative contact you as soon as possible. 9 Tonight we have Saterria Kersey from the public --10 PWD, Public Affairs Division and O.J. Spivey from the Revenue 11 Bureau. Please use the chat function to identify yourself and 12 let us know if you'd like to speak in a breakout room to exchange 13 contact information and receive some other information. 14 Now, the purpose of today's session is to hear from 15 you, our customers. We appreciate you taking the time to share 16 your views with us today, and we look forward to hearing from 17 you. Thank you. 18 HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Thank you, Mr. Abrams. 19 Mr. Ballenger? 20 MR. BALLENGER: Thank you. Good evening. Thank you 21 all for coming. My name is Robert Ballenger. I'm an attorney at Community Legal Services, and we serve as Public Advocate in this 22 23 case. I want to explain what that means and encourage you to 24 reach out to us if you have any questions.

In general, in rate cases heard by the state Public Utility Commission, agencies such as the Office of Consumer Advocate and Office of Small Business Advocate participate to represent the interests of residential or small business customers. These agencies are funded by the annual assessments that all of the utilities pay. This allows the agencies to do things like hire witnesses to help thoroughly investigate the utility's request for higher rates.

The Philadelphia Water Department is not regulated by the Public Utility Commission, so those agencies do not participate in our rate cases. Instead, the City has created an analogous position, that is the Public Advocate. The Public Advocate's job is to represent the interests of residential and small business customers as a group by investigating the Water Department's proposed rate increase, challenging the assumptions that underlie it, and participating fully in all of the proceedings before the Water Rate Board.

CLS serves as Public Advocate pursuant to a contract which requires us to independently and forcefully represent the interests of approximately a half million customers. That is what we have done, and that is what we will do. The CLS attorneys will work with our expert witnesses, and we will submit written testimony and participate in technical hearings and briefing to inform the Board of what we think it should do. We

welcome your input today because the information you provide to us can help us inform our experts and the positions that we take.

What have your experiences with the water bill been like lately? How would a massive increase in the bill affect you and your family? Do you have concerns about customer service or other operations of the Water Department that we should know about? If you have any questions or would like any assistance following up regarding the Water Department's rate increase, please call us at (215) 227-998 (sic) or email us at publicadvocate@clsphila.org. I'll ask Steven -- I'll email or text Steven the information to add to the chat so it's available throughout the hearing.

But this is not your only opportunity to be heard.

After the hearings today, you can submit any additional information to the Board you think it should have before it makes a final decision, and we would be happy to help with that. Thank you, and please know that your voices here today will be heard by all involved.

HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Thank you, Mr. Ballenger.

I'd like to note that also participating in the proceeding are the Philadelphia Water Revenue Board, which does the actual billing and collection for the Water Department, PECO, a group of large users known collectively as the Philadelphia Large Users Group, and two individuals, Michael Skiendzielewski

and Lance Haver, who are appearing on their own behalf.

Mr. Haver, did you want to make a statement, or would you rather wait and testify?

MR. HAVER: No. I'd like to make an opening statement. My name is Lance Haver. I'm not a lawyer, but like many water consumers, I'm fed up with the constant rate increases. I'm also angry that the Water Department, instead of doing what 14 members of City Council urged them to do, which is withdraw the rate request and seek federal, state, and city funding, the Water Department has decided to go ahead and try and reach into our pockets and take another 20 percent.

I've been a participant in this and in previous rate cases. I urge every one of you to tell your stories and demand the Water Department do everything it can to innovate, cut costs, and find other sources of funds. But I want to caution you, don't be confused by what is happening. The Water Department doesn't expect to get everything it's asked for. It's a game it plays that allows the Public Advocate, the Hearing Examiner, and the Rate Board to claim they have done something to help us by cutting some of the rate increase.

While the Water Department may not look out for us, it's not run by stupid people. They know politically they can't get everything they ask for, and that's why they ask for more than what they need. I also want to draw your attention to the

body that makes the decision, the Philadelphia Water Rate Board. It has five members. Two are real estate developers. Two ran utilities. One is the former water commissioner who hired many of the people who put together this rate request. And are now -- she's now going to be sitting in judgment over whether the people she hired are doing a good job or not.

The other is the former chief legal counsel from Philadelphia Gas Works, a woman who has never opposed a rate increase. I'll say it again, four of the five members represent the business community. Two represent utilities, the former water commissioner, and the former chief legal counsel for Philadelphia Gas Works. There's only one consumer representative on the Board. The Business and Utility Control Board, those four or five members hire Ms. Chestnut, the Hearing Examiner, and they hire the Public Advocate.

Now, the Public Advocate always sounds great, but don't be confused as to what role the Public Advocate plays. The dominated Rate Board itself wrote, the public is not the client of the Public Advocate. The Public Advocate was hired by the four out of five business representatives on the Rate Board and only answers to the Rate Board. The ratepayers have no control over the position the Public Advocate takes. And in the previous rate case, the Public Advocate agreed to give the Water Department not one, but two consecutive rate increases and then

1 was given not one, but two contract extensions. 2 And understand, the business dominated Rate Board 3 hires the Hearing Examiner, who has directed thus -- those of us opposing the rate increase, to use a spreadsheet to make our 5 argument. Nowhere on the spreadsheet are there lines for cost 6 savings through combining services with PGW or Innovation. 7 no line on the spreadsheet considers how much the Water 8 Department collects of what it bills. And no line on the 9 spreadsheet considers how easy or hard it is for people to pay a 10 20 percent increase. 11 The only way for us to force the Water Department to 12 cut costs and seek funding from the COVID dollars available is to 13 demand it. We cannot stop the Public Advocate from settling this 14 case as it did in the last case. We have no control. There's no 15 client group. There's no public that it represents. We cannot 16 force the Hearing Examiner to change the spreadsheet to include 17 all issues, not just the issues the Water Department wants 18 considered. 19 If we are to be successful at forcing the Water 20 Department to cut rates, innovate, and to find other sources, we 21 have to demand it and ask our elected officials, as I will 22 tomorrow in city council, to join us in doing so. 23 HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Are you finished? 24 MR. HAVER: Yes.

1 HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Thank you. Let me give 2 you an overview of the process and tell you where we are in terms 3 of schedule. I need to back up. There were some misstatements 5 that Mr. Haver made that I would urge you to go directly to the 6 Rate Board's website and look for yourself. He made some 7 inaccurate statements and not quite sure why, but I urge you to 8 make your own decision. But with respect to the spreadsheet, 9 that's an easy one. 10 It is not as Mr. Haver would have you believe it's 11 intended to prevent people from recommending adjustments or 12 suggestions. It's simply a way of calculating the revenue impact 13 of whatever proposals are made. Any participant can file 14 whatever testimony with whatever suggestions they want to make, 15 and that's fine. That's fine. The spreadsheet has nothing to do 16 with that. The spreadsheet just quantifies the amount of -- of 17 these adjustments. 18 Well it is true, but, you know, Mr. Haver, not sure 19 why you feel it necessary to make this statement, but, okay, 20 we'll move on. 21 Let me give you an overview of the process and tell 22 you where we are with respect to the schedule. The Rate Board's

regulations provide that the Rate Board's review of the

department's filing needs to be completed within 120 days from

23

24

the date of the formal notice, which is the filing that contains the proposed rates and charges.

Now, that filing contains a substantial amount of supporting statements, exhibits, documents. The other parties have had an opportunity for them and their experts to review that material in detail and to ask for further information to support the Water Department's claims. If you go to the Rate Board's website and if you look under the tab that's marked discovery, that will show you the information request and the responses that have been made in this case.

Everything is posted on the Rate Board's website.

After this opportunity for review, the Public Advocate and any other non-Water Department participant will file its own testimony and exhibits containing their opinion about the proposed rates and what the Rate Board should do with respect to the company's filing. Then everybody has a chance to respond to everybody else's testimony.

What are called technical hearings on that written testimony will be held sometime between May 2nd and the 5th.

Each side will present its witnesses and experts for cross-examination and on-the-record proceedings. The actual dates will be posted on the Rate Board's website, and they'll be conducted virtually. You are welcome to observe.

After that, each participant has the opportunity to

file a written statement of its position called a brief. I expect that my report will be issued sometime around the end of May, and the Rate Board will make its decision probably around mid-June.

Now, with respect to this hearing, first, thank you very much for taking the time to attend this. It's so important for the Rate Board to hear directly from people, you, who will be affected by any change in rates. Sometimes in the rate making process we talk about the ratepayers and customers as a group. This adjustment will affect these customer groups in this way, or the customers will -- this. But we generally sometimes forget that the class we're talking about is made up of real, actual people. That's why it's so important to put a face to you, customers, and remember that these decisions impact people in their daily life on a very vital service.

The reason why the Rate Board's regulations provide that these public hearings be held early in the process is so that the participants can consider the testimony that you give here tonight and reflect it in the positions they take.

In terms of how I will conduct this hearing, I will call on people who have registered. If you have not registered and want to comment, that's fine. We'll get to you if there's time, or you can register for one of the other public hearings. Everybody will have a chance to make a statement if they wish, or

you can send a letter or an email to the Rate Board.

We've received well over 30 emails so far. We post everything, all these emails and letters, and I can assure you that I have read or will read every single one of them.

When it's your turn, I'll call your name. Steven will unmute you, unmute your microphone or your telephone. I will ask your name. And rather than ask your address, I will simply ask if you're a customer of the Water Department, and I'll ask if you're appearing on behalf of an organization or a group. Please confine your remarks to the issue in this proceeding, which are the proposed rates.

If you have an individual billing problem or a service concern or you're seeking help in terms of paying your bill, that's fine. We can direct you to the company, and they will get your information and work directly with you. But we don't want you to have to put your public information out, you know, your private information out in the public record.

But really the purpose of this is to address the proposed rates. So if you have a question about the rate proceeding or the filing, if we can answer it briefly, we will. But if it's not possible to answer it at this hearing, then certainly I would expect Mr. Dasent or Mr. Ballenger will get back to you with an answer to your question if you want.

Now, if you have not registered and wish to make a

1 statement, raise your hand, and we'll get to you. Now, I'm not 2 sure if everybody knows how to raise their hand, but if you look 3 on your controls -- on mine, it says react. If I look, it has reaction. And if you click on reaction, there are things like 5 thumbs up and thumbs down. That's how you can do it. 6 I'm not going to recognize anybody while I'm talking, 7 so I'm not sure why you're raising your hand now. If you have a 8 -- if you're here on a telephone -- if you're participating 9 telephonically, you can raise a hand by hitting the star button, 10 then the number nine. 11 After your statement, I'll ask participants if they 12 have any questions for you. This is not cross-examination, but 13 clarification, specifically, and oftentimes if the company can 14 get further information and direct you to sources of assistance. 15 This is not a legal proceeding. The Commission is 16 not like the PUC, which does have quasi-legal proceedings. 17 That's why we don't have parties. We have participants. 18 Testimony is not sworn. You don't need a lawyer. You don't need 19 to be a lawyer. There is not going to be any cross-examination 20 here, so please don't worry about that. 21 After you're done, you're welcome to stay, but you 22 certainly don't have to. You're free to leave at any time. And 23 before we hear from you, I do need -- I want to make an 24 additional statement. While the primary reason is to hear from

you about how the impact of this -- the impact of these proposed rates will affect you and suggestions you have for the company, I also want to use this opportunity so that you can see how the case is being conducted and feel comfortable with it. I want you to feel comfortable and confident in the process.

Now, the reason I was selected as the Hearing

Examiner is because I have substantial experience. I was an administrative law judge with the Public Utility Commission for more than 25 years. I've done thousands of cases, rate cases.

I've done applications, petitions, special proceedings. I've done PGW cases. I've done PECO cases. I've done cases all around the state. So I know I've done water cases. I've done all kinds of things.

But I also, and I probably the majority of my time was dealing with individual customer complaint cases, both ability-to-pay cases and service and billing issues. I'm very familiar with the billing pressures that people face. In fact, after I retired, the PUC did ask me to stay on for a year and address an ability-to-pay complaint backlog, which I did. So I'm very familiar with the whole rate making process, generally, the rate examination of proposed rates, and also the impact on people directly.

And in connection with that, I do want to ask you to maybe think about this a different way. It's not the customers

versus the Water Department. Your interests are not far apart.

Of course, customers don't want to pay excessive rates for the service they receive, and the Water Department doesn't want you to pay rates you can't afford. But you want to be sure that the company -- that the Water Department has enough money to provide you with reasonable and safe service.

So the way that I do see this, and I think I analogize this to a bridge where both parties are coming from their respective banks, but they're meeting in the middle. And of course, there's some argument about where that spot should be, but believe me, nobody at the Water Department wants to see you not able to pay your bill. It's heartbreaking to deal with people who are trying to pay their bill and can't. Water is an essential service, and nobody wants to see anybody without it.

But then again, we have seen in this country a number of areas where people have, for whatever reason, the water service is not adequate, where the systems haven't been maintained or whatever. So we want to be sure we hit that spot where the water company has enough money to adequately operate the system, but not more, and that people who need assistance in paying their bills will be able to get it without a lot of difficulty.

So that's where I'm coming from. And I think at this point, we will open this up to people who have registered and

1	then everybody else. Okay? The first person that I have on the
2	list that I was given is Desia Cruz (phonetic). Are you here?
3	Steven, do you see Desia Cruz? I do not. Is Desia
4	here on a different name?
5	MR. LIANG: No.
6	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: No? Okay. Well, we'll
7	get back to that then. How about Dana Scott (phonetic)? Is Dana
8	Scott here? And I can't always go by what the tile says because
9	you may be using somebody else's iPad or device. So that's why
10	I'm saying, is Dana Scott here? Okay. How about Patrick Fizz?
11	Patrick, are you here? If you are, could you raise a
12	hand? And you do that by going down to the reaction button and
13	picking the hands up.
14	MR. LIANG: We have Patrick.
15	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I think Patrick's here.
16	Okay, Steven, can you
17	MR. FIZZ: Yes, I'm here.
18	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Mr. Fizz?
19	MR. FIZZ: Yes.
20	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. Hold on just a
21	second here. Could you please you can put your hand down.
22	MR. FIZZ: Okay. One second. I'm new to the, the
23	Zoom meeting, so
24	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Sure.
	1

1	MR. FIZZ: Okay. I think it's down.
2	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Yes, it is. Now, could
3	you give and spell your name for the record, please?
4	MR. FIZZ: Yes. My first name Patrick. Last name
5	Fizz, F-I-Z-Z.
6	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: And are you a customer of
7	the Water Department?
8	MR. FIZZ: Yes, I am.
9	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Are you appearing on
10	behalf of any organization, or group, or as an individual?
11	MR. FIZZ: No. Just as an individual.
12	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. That's fine. Why
13	don't you go ahead then, please?
14	MR. FIZZ: Well, I'm most likely just here to hear
15	what everyone has to say. And to I suppose I advocate not
16	raising the rates mostly because as a homeowner, a new homeowner,
17	I'm living, like, paycheck to paycheck. And I've reached out to
18	different whether it's services or organizations about maybe
19	getting assistance with having my rates lowered or stabilized so
20	that it's not so difficult to pay them.
21	I'm told that I earn too much and because I don't
22	have, like significant amount of debt that there's really nothing
23	that can be done. But again, I more or less personally propose
24	that the rates do not increase, just as I said. It could be

1	it would make my situation a little more difficult. Hopefully
2	other people may not necessarily be in the same boat, but I hope
3	I don't speak alone with saying that I prefer that the rates do
4	not go up.
5	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. Thank you. Does
6	that conclude your statement?
7	MR. FIZZ: I suppose it does, yeah. I don't want to
8	get too redundant, so, yes.
9	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: No. That's fine.
10	Does anybody have any clarifying questions or
11	comments they want to make to Mr Mr. Fizz?
12	Mr. Dasent?
13	MR. DASENT: No questions. But if Mr. Fizz wants to
14	reach out to Water Revenue Bureau folks that are in the room,
15	they can he can have a private conversation in a breakout
16	session, and we'd be happy to accommodate him.
17	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Is that okay with you, Mr.
18	Fizz?
19	MR. FIZZ: Yes. I appreciate that.
20	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Talk to directly to them
21	and see if they can help you?
22	Okay. Steven, can you do that?
23	MR. FIZZ: I'd like to I'd like to continue, if
24	that's possible, with the you know the rest of the meeting.

1	I don't necessarily want to break off and have a dialogue with
2	anyone individually if that's okay. Maybe wait till the end?
3	MR. DASENT: We will put our information in the chat,
4	Judge, if that's okay?
5	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Yeah. I'm not sure
6	I'll be honest I'm not sure how these breakout rooms work if
7	you can come back into this main discussion.
8	Steven, do you know anything about that?
9	MR. LIANG: Yeah. He would be able to rejoin the
10	discussion after he finished talking with the Water Department
11	representatives.
12	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
13	MR. LIANG: But if, Mr. Fizz, you wanted to stay in
14	the meeting that's fine, too.
15	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: It's up to you.
16	MR. FIZZ: Yes. I'd like to stay in the meeting, if
17	that's possible.
18	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Sure. Absolutely. Okay.
19	The next person I have is Lira Israelova (phonetic). Somebody
20	says iPhone. That's not you, Lira, is it, I'm assuming? If that
21	is you, lower your hand. How's that? No? Okay.
22	There were some people who didn't specify what
23	session they wanted to make a statement at, so I'll go through
24	them, too. Aaron Horton (phonetic). All right. Kathy Bennet

1	(phonetic). Jeannie Dennis (phonetic). Ninian Hibbert
2	(phonetic).
3	Okay. I see Mr. Haver, did you want to say
4	something?
5	MR. HAVER: I did. I have the right, just like the
6	Water Department, to question witnesses. And I would like to
7	first thank the gentleman who testified just before for his
8	testimony and explain how important what he said was that he
9	makes too much to qualify for any of the programs, but he doesn't
10	make enough to make ends meet. And that is critically important
11	information that I at least will use in fighting against this
12	rate increase.
13	I'm not sure that the Public Advocate will, but I
14	know that I will. So thank you sir, for testifying, for being
15	brave enough to share your story with us. And I'm also hoping
16	you'll call your councilperson and tell him or her to stop the
17	rate increase by contacting the Water Department directly.
18	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Thank you.
19	MR. BALLENGER: If I may, Judge?
20	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Sure.
21	MR. BALLENGER: I didn't have the permission to
22	unmute, so I didn't say anything, and I thought we were moving on
23	to the next person.
24	I wanted to also just thank Mr. Fizz for his

1	testimony here and also direct him to look into what's called
2	the Homeowner's Assistance Fund. There may be that's a state
3	fund program I believe I heard you say you were a homeowner,
4	Mr. Fizz. That may have some resources for you. It's currently
5	restructuring somewhat, but it is run statewide and provides
6	assistance with mortgage, real estate tax, utilities, things of
7	that nature, and can provide financial benefits. You may be
8	eligible for those.
9	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Mr. Fizz, did you get all
10	that? I think you have to unmute him, Steven. I'm sorry.
11	MR. FIZZ: Yes, I did hear that. Thank you.
12	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. So it sounds like
13	you could reach out to both the Water Department and to the
14	Public Advocate to see if there's some program or assistance that
15	you can get.
16	MR. FIZZ: Yes. I recently reached out to, like,
17	housing counseling, but then somewhat similar situation, I was
18	I was told that because I'm not in severe debt and facing
19	foreclosure, that I wouldn't either qualify or be eligible. But
20	I I did try to do some research and reach out to see if I
21	could get some assistance. But I'll I'll continue doing that.
22	But so far, I haven't had any success.
23	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Well, maybe maybe there
24	is some help if you talk directly to the Water Department or
	1

1	to or to Mr. Ballenger's office. Maybe they can help you do a
2	better job of navigating through it or accessing something else.
3	But really, do you have anything to lose by talking to them?
4	MR. FIZZ: No, definitely not.
5	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Yeah. Okay. Thank you.
6	We very much appreciate you showing up and giving us your
7	thoughts.
8	Steven, who's next?
9	MR. LIANG: That would be iPhone.
10	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
11	MS. WRIGHT: My do I give my name first?
12	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Yes, please. Could you
13	give and spell your name?
14	MS. WRIGHT: Alice Wright, W-R-I-G-H-T.
15	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: And are you a customer of
16	the Water Department, Ms. Wright?
17	MS. WRIGHT: Yes, I am.
18	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: And are you appearing on
19	behalf of a group or an organization or for yourself?
20	MS. WRIGHT: I'm appearing for myself, and I'm
21	appearing for other people, especially seniors who are
22	struggling. I don't qualify for rate reduction, I'm 79, I have a
23	pension, I have a Social Security, and I have two jobs, and it's
24	still not enough. I'm food insecure.

I don't think that the industrial pollution -- the industries are taking full responsibility for the trash, the PFAS, and all of the other contaminants that are in the water.

We can take trillions of dollars and go across the world to kill people, but we can't -- we cannot take care of our own people to make sure that they have water and food? It's not fair. It is just -- it's just -- it's just not fair. We're not one another's enemy. I'm not the Water Department's enemy. I need the Water Department.

I mean, I literally have to move out of my house and find someplace to live because I cannot afford to live in my house that I've been here since 1979. What am I supposed to do? Where am I supposed to go? I call -- and I have to give the Water Department credit because they sent somebody all week long along my block to find out what our situation was and to, I guess, try to help. But call Council? Calling Council is like calling nobody because no one responds. What are we supposed to do?

HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I really sympathize with you, Ms. Wright. I do. But you know, when you say about getting their attention, that's why you're here. You have representatives from the Water Department here. You have CLS here. And maybe if you talk, they can talk directly to you and can help not just you, but your neighbors as well. Maybe there

1	is some way of dealing with this that isn't frustrating, that is
2	able to get help to people who need it.
3	But I'm not real sure what to tell you because it
4	does sound like you've done a lot. But maybe there's more that
5	can be done to help you and your neighbors. And I would suggest
6	that maybe if CLS reaches out to you or the Water Department
7	reaches out to you, would you be willing to do that?
8	MS. WRIGHT: Absolutely.
9	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
10	MS. WRIGHT: And just as a FYI, I literally had two
11	pieces of bread in my refrigerator on Sunday. You all have a
12	great day, and God bless everybody.
13	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Well, Mr. Ballenger, Mr.
14	Dasent, do you want to, like, get in touch with her or contact
15	information or I'm not sure?
16	MR. DASENT: Yes. I encourage Ms Ms. Wright to
17	look in the chat for my name. It's Andre Dasent, and we're sure
18	to help you find either the senior discount, TAP, or other
19	assistance that's available to you. And if necessary, I'll
20	direct you to my church because we have a pantry, and we want
21	people to come and access that food. So please reach out to me.
22	We will return your call, and we will answer hopefully.
23	MS. WRIGHT: And I will reach out. And is there a
24	possibility that we can get a copy of the chat?

1	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: No. Chats aren't
2	maintained after the session is done.
3	MS. WRIGHT: Oh, oh, and also in terms of picking up
4	food at different locations, if you don't have a car, how are you
5	supposed to pick up the food?
6	MR. DASENT: We'll try to come up with something for
7	that, too. If you can reach out to us, we'll try to find a
8	solution for you. And I'm sure CLS will also I mean the
9	Public Advocate.
10	MS. WRIGHT: I appreciate it.
11	MR. BALLENGER: Ms. Wright, please do give us a call.
12	You can call the main CLS number, which is (215)981-3700, and if
13	you have any difficulty, just ask for me. Ask for Robert
14	Ballenger. Okay?
15	MS. WRIGHT: I
16	MR. BALLENGER: I've got a few ideas for you. So let
17	I'm really grateful for your testimony today, and I want to
18	make sure that if we have some resources, we can connect you with
19	that we do. Thank you very much.
20	MS. WRIGHT: Thank you very take care.
21	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Thank you.
22	MS. WRIGHT: Get my hand down. Is it down?
23	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Ms. Wright.
24	Mr. Haver, did you have questions for Ms. Wright?

1	MR. HAVER: I wanted to thank her for pointing out a
2	source of funds that the Water Department and the Public Advocate
3	have refused to look at. And that is all the polluters who have
4	caused the problems that make it necessary to spend so much money
5	cleaning the water before we use it. So, I commend you.
6	I'm pointing out something that the so-called experts
7	missed, that the Water Department should be seeking money from
8	the federal government for cleaning the water that was dirtied by
9	the corporate polluters. It's a shame that the Public Advocate
10	doesn't join you in making that demand.
11	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Ms. Wright, were you able
12	to get Mr. Dasent's information?
13	Steven, could you unmute her for a second?
14	MS. WRIGHT: Thank you. I have to I'm probably
15	going to have to go come out because I pushed the wrong
16	button.
17	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Well, what I was going to
18	suggest was you can send the Rate Board an email
19	MS. WRIGHT: Okay.
20	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: and we can provide Mr.
21	Dasent's information to you directly.
22	MS. WRIGHT: Okay. Thank you. I'm going to get back
23	on. I appreciate you all for what you at least trying to do.
24	Take care. Thank you.

1	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Thank you.
2	MR. BALLENGER: Thank you.
3	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. Steven, who's next?
4	MR. LIANG: Thompson Thomas.
5	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. Is that Thompson?
6	MR. LIANG: Yeah, Thompson. Sorry.
7	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Oh, my goodness. What
8	happened to her? She was here a second ago.
9	MR. LIANG: I think she put her hand down.
10	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: She put her hand down, but
11	I also don't see her tile. Hold on.
12	Ms. Thompson, where are you?
13	MR. LIANG: Ms. Thompson, you'll have to unmute
14	yourself.
15	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay okay. You need to
16	unmute her.
17	MR. LIANG: She will have to unmute herself.
18	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Or unmute yourself, Ms.
19	Thompson? Ms. Thompson, can you unmute yourself? No, she might
20	not be there.
21	MS. THOMPSON: Okay, okay. I'm here, I'm here, I'm
22	here.
23	MR. DASENT: There she is.
24	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.

1	MS. THOMPSON: This is Constance Thompson. I am a
2	resident and I
3	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Wait, wait. Stop,
4	stop, stop. Hold on a second, please. Let me write this down.
5	Okay. Could you please give and spell your name for the record?
6	MS. THOMPSON: C-O-N-S-T-A-N-C-E. Thompson, T-H-O-M-
7	P-S-O-N.
8	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Thank you. And are you a
9	customer of the Philadelphia Water Department?
10	MS. THOMPSON: Yes, I am.
11	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: And are you appearing on
12	behalf of any group or organization or as an individual?
13	MS. THOMPSON: Individual.
14	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Thank you. Why don't you
15	go ahead?
16	MS. THOMPSON: Just two things. One, instead of
17	raising the rate, why don't you, Water Department, collect the
18	money that they're due from the people that don't pay? That's
19	one. And two, if you're going to raise the rates like the
20	woman before me, I'm a senior also if you're going to raise
21	the rate, are you going to raise the rate of how much we can make
22	so we can maybe be able to, like the other gentlemen, be able to
23	afford these services?
24	I'm a senior, and I can't get anything because you're

_	
1	\$5 over the limit. That doesn't make sense. That's my those
2	are my complaints.
3	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
4	MS. THOMPSON: Collect the money that's due you.
5	Like, I have neighbors that owe thousands, but you got to pull
6	them anyway. Just collect the money that's due you. And if
7	you're going to raise the rates, how about raising how much the
8	individuals, the residents, can make so they can perhaps be able
9	to collect the services, you know, get a discount on their water?
10	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
11	MS. THOMPSON: Thank you.
12	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Thank you for both
13	suggestions. Anybody want to respond to Ms. Thompson?
14	Mr. Ballenger?
15	MR. BALLENGER: Just to say thank you for your
16	testimony, Ms. Thompson. But also I do believe that part of the
17	proposal in this proceeding would increase the income limitation
18	for the senior citizen discount. Mr. Dasent can probably correct
19	me
20	MR. DASENT: That's correct.
21	MR. BALLENGER: but I do believe there is an
22	increase in the in the proposal, and it is supposed to go up
23	every year. So I'm optimistic that maybe things maybe
24	MS. THOMPSON: Well, if it's going to go up, you got

1 to be reasonable for someone -- you know, like I said, I'm a 2 senior. I'm feeling for the woman who's got two pieces of bread. 3 I'm able, and I have a job, but this doesn't make any sense. Yes, there's stuff available, but we can't get that. That 5 doesn't make any sense. 6 And raising it from 30,000 to 31, and I don't know 7 what it is, I'm just saying. We need a sufficient amount that is 8 raised. So, like I said, I don't know if you know. I don't 9 know, but it needs to be sufficient where at least somebody, if 10 they're collecting Social Security, or you have a part-time job, 11 and that little \$5 puts them over. You know, we need help. We 12 need help. We need help. Thank you. I'm done. 13 MR. BALLENGER: Yes, Ms. Thompson. Thank you. 14 HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Mr. Dasent, did you want 15 to respond? 16 Mr. Haver? 17 MR. HAVER: Ms. Thompson, thank you very much for 18 pointing out a very important issue. And that is, for every 19 dollar that the Water Department bills, how much does it collect? 20 How many real estate speculators, house flippers, and wealthy 21 people aren't paying their bills? Part of the difficulty in having these hearings, before the facts are known, is we can't 22 23 answer that question. 24 During discovery, I will take your question and ask

1 for it in the technical hearings. Very simply, I will ask the 2 Water Department for every dollar you bill, how much do you 3 collect? Do you collect as much as PECO does? Do you collect as much as PGW does? Because I think we're going to find out the 5 answer is no. 6 MS. THOMPSON: I think you're right. 7 MR. HAVER: The Revenue Bureau does a very poor job, 8 as you pointed out. And so thank you. I will use your statement 9 on-the-record to make that discovery request. Again, (Inaudible) 10 that the hearings are before we know the facts, where someone 11 could tell you what it was, and you could raise a factual 12 objection. 13 HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Mr. Dasent, did you want 14 to respond to Ms. Thompson? 15 MR. DASENT: Yes. Yes, Judge. We'd like to be able 16 to reach out to Ms. Thompson and indicate to her that they are 17 WRB representatives here today, and so she's invited to go to the 18 chat. And in the chat also is my email address. You can reach 19 out to me, and I'll put you in touch with those who can tell you 20 if we have a program that will help with ability-to-pay issues. 21 And we are also mindful that we need to collect those revenues 22 that are owed us, and we're happy to entertain those questions in 23 the technical hearings.

HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Is that okay with you, Ms.

24

1	Thompson?
2	Steven, I think you need to unmute her.
3	MR. LIANG: Yeah.
4	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Please.
5	MR. LIANG: Yeah. She needs to unmute.
6	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Steven, can you unmute Ms.
7	Thompson just so we can check that she's okay with this?
8	MR. LIANG: Yeah. She messaged that she's okay. I'm
9	trying to she has permission to unmute, but she'll need to
10	unmute herself.
11	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Oh, okay. Ms. Thompson,
12	you got to unmute yourself, I guess.
13	Okay. Then, I'll just leave it at that, that if you
14	reach out to Mr. Ballenger or Mr. Dasent, they can maybe guide
15	you to some resources or answer some questions that you have.
16	Okay? Okay, great.
17	Steven, who's next then?
18	MR. LIANG: Someone named Jemirah (phonetic) briefly
19	raised their hand, but they've lowered it.
20	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I saw that. I don't see
21	him now.
22	MR. LIANG: There he is.
23	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Where? Oh, I see. Okay.
24	There we go.

1	MR. LIANG: Hello? Jemirah?
2	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Jemirah? Hi. Could you
3	please give and spell your name for the record?
4	MS. JOHNSON: Jemirah. Sorry.
5	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Jemirah. Am I saying it
6	wrong? Jemirah, can you are you able to, to talk to us?
7	You're not muted. Jemirah? Jemirah? Maybe I'm not saying it
8	right. Jemirah? Okay. Then, why don't we move on? We can come
9	back to Jemirah.
10	Steven, I see someone.
11	MR. LIANG: Yeah. The comcast email. I'm asking
12	them to unmute now.
13	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. Did they need to
14	unmute themselves?
15	MR. LIANG: Mm-hmm.
16	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
17	MS. BROWN: Good evening. My name is Sherri Brown.
18	S-H-E-R-R-I
19	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I'm sorry. Could you
20	start over? I was getting ready to write that down.
21	MS. BROWN: My name is Sherri Brown. S-H-E-R-R-I, B-
22	R-O-W-N.
23	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. And are you a
24	customer of the Water Department?

1	MS. BROWN: Yes, I am.
2	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: And are you speaking on
3	behalf of any group or organization?
4	MS. BROWN: No, for myself.
5	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
6	MS. BROWN: And anyone else that's interested.
7	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. Go ahead, please.
8	MS. BROWN: Okay. My question is why has your why
9	has the Water Department decided not to use the federal and state
10	funding money? You know, the money that's available that the
11	City Council suggested and also the money that's left over from
12	COVID, if I'm not mistaken. Why have you all decided not to
13	utilize that money instead of raising our rates?
14	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Certainly that's a very,
15	very interesting question.
16	Mr. Dasent?
17	Because I'll be honest, I for the Water Department
18	should be looking for external funds before it turns to the
19	customers for rate relief. So we do want to make sure that they
20	are aggressively going after every possible dollar.
21	Right, Mr. Dasent?
22	MR. DASENT: Yes. And we have requested federal
23	assistance through
24	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Are you having a

1 connection problem? 2 MR. LIANG: Yeah, I believe he is. 3 HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. Wait, wait, wait. You're still muted there. Okay. I thought we lost you, and I 5 think this is a question that we all have. 6 MR. DASENT: Yeah. Well, the Water Department has 7 sought federal funding and has in fact been successful in getting 8 WIFIA loans and PennVEST loans, which are low-interest loans. 9 And that's a \$500,000,000 grant that was -- or actually, loans 10 that were announced by the President a few weeks ago. 11 We've not gotten any grants in terms of monies that 12 can be actually used to offset either operating costs or our 13 construction costs, but we have, you know, had the conversation 14 at least with the administration about getting assistance as we 15 did in the last case. We haven't gotten an affirmative response 16 yet, although City Council indicated that we should be interested 17 in pursuing that avenue. 18 So far, we've gotten PennVEST loans, and we have 19 grants that potentially are coming, and that helps our capital 20 costs, borrowing costs; but it does not offset the need for rate 21 relief. And we will continue to ask and continue to seek funding 22 to support our customers, but at present we don't have funding to 23 offset the need for rate relief. 24 HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: But I think everybody is

1 interested in that specific City Council money. So we want to 2 know specifically about that. What efforts have you made to 3 secure it? You don't have to answer here except general, you know --5 MR. DASENT: Okay. 6 HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: -- but I do advise --7 MS. BROWN: -- to answer here. 8 HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I'm sorry. Go ahead, Ms. 9 Brown. 10 MR. DASENT: Well, it's --11 MS. BROWN: No. I was saying he could answer here as 12 far as the --MR. DASENT: I can tell you where we are now, and 13 14 it's an ongoing conversation we're having with the City. And to 15 the degree we have the responsibility to pursue federal dollars 16 directly, we've done that looking for the WIFIA loans and the 17 PennVEST loans. But it's an ongoing conversation, and we will do 18 our best to serve our customers and lessen the burden on 19 customers going forward. But as of right now, we have to ask for 20 money because we don't have all the funds and resources that the 21 federal government presumably could offer. MS. BROWN: Well, is it possible for you all to hold 22 23 off on these hearings until you get some -- some confirmation on 24 what is available and what isn't?

MR. DASENT: No. The budget process is ongoing right now, so if we have a response from either City Council or the administration or both, we'll know where we are, and we'll make our proposals going forward based upon that information.

Until them, we just continue with the grants and loans and different other applications we have in the works and hope to lessen burdens going forward on customers. But we got to pay our bills today, and we face the same pressures that you do. And that's part of the reason we're here.

MS. BROWN: Okay. So I don't mean to go on and on about this, but just so that I have a clear understanding, is that you will go ahead with the -- with the increase in rates, and then once you -- whatever money you get from the government or from City Council, that that money will be included -- I'm not understanding. I guess, I don't understand why they can't just -- why these hearings, you know, can't be put on hold for, say, maybe another 30 days or, you know, whatever in order, you know, to see whether or not you all can actually get some additional funding where it won't affect the increase in the customers rates.

MR. DASENT: The decision in this case -
HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Well, Ms. Brown, I can
answer -- I can answer that to some extent, which is that we
really can't delay the hearings just because of the way the

statute's written, but there are ways to deal with this when it comes up during a hearing.

The last time this happened, there was a provision worked in where that if they did get federal money, it would be used to reduce any rate increase, and certainly that can be done here too. But I'm afraid it's just not possible to delay the filing under the way the law is written. But certainly we will press the Water Department to make sure that it is aggressively looking for these funds.

Mr. Ballenger, did you have something to say on this?

I assume it's something that you're looking at, too?

MR. BALLENGER: Yes, Judge. I mean, I think we're looking at this on multiple levels, both short-term, near-term, and long-term, because this is something that, you know, looking -- looking into the future here, all of these increases that the department is projecting are, are troubling, and we need to be looking for resources beyond the TAP, as I like to say, for purposes of -- of solving some of these economic problems. So we are looking into this, and I do appreciate your testimony, and I hope that we're able to come up with a solution that avoids the need for rates to go up.

MS. BROWN: Thank you. I hope that there's a solution also before these rates go up, because a lot of people will be affected, including myself. And I am a senior also.

HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Well, like I said, last time there was a provision for a special proceeding to take -- to address these types of events, and it did result in a \$3,000,000 rate decrease. So there are ways that we can -- we can reflect this and take it into account.

Mr. Haver?

MR. HAVER: Yes. Thank you very much for raising these issues. Unfortunately, you're being misled. Here's the reality. The City has a tremendous amount of cash from the federal COVID programs. The Mayor, who controls the Water Department has told the Water Department that he will not put in the budget money to make the rate increase unnecessary.

Instead, he wants to use the money that's in the budget for two things. One, a rainy-day fund. And two, business tax cuts. Now, the fact that the Hearing Examiner and the Public Advocate won't tell you that is upsetting. The fact that the Water Department won't tell you that isn't surprising because he would have to disclose the reason why he can't go back to the Mayor and demand more money is because the Mayor is his boss.

Now, here's the truth. The Mayor has a decision to make. What's the path of least resistance? Should he give the business community the tax cuts they're demanding and pay for them with higher water rates? Or should he deny the water rate increase and use that money to serve the people of Philadelphia

1	and not cut business taxes? That's the question before us.
2	Ask yourself this: If the Water Department is
3	successful at getting money out of our pockets, why should the
4	Mayor give them a single penny out of the rainy-day fund?
5	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. Let's move on.
6	Obviously, it's a complicated matter for the Mayor to allocate
7	money in the budget process, and I think we all recognize that he
8	has other factors to consider beside the Water Department, but we
9	certainly expect the Water Department to aggressively present
10	itself when there is money to be allocated. But of course, it's
11	not as simple.
12	MR. HAVER: Do you really want us to believe
13	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: No. I'm not going to
14	argue with you.
15	MR. HAVER: You really want us to believe that the
16	Water Department
17	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: No. Mr Steven, you
18	have to mute him so that we can continue. We're here to hear
19	from people not engaged in this discussion. Okay.
20	Mr. (sic) Brown, are you finished, or did you have
21	another question or a comment?
22	MS. BROWN: No. I think I'm finished and thoroughly
23	confused.
24	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Thank you. Well, the

1	budgeting process isn't an easy one, but all I can tell you is
2	that, sure, we expect and we'll be looking at the Water
3	Department's efforts to obtain external financing. We've done i
4	before, and we will do it here, too. Okay. Let's move on then.
5	Let's go back to Jemirah. Are you there now?
6	MS. JOHNSON: Yes.
7	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. Could you please
8	give and spell your name for the record? Jemirah? You're not
9	muted. Great. I can't hear you, but you're not muted from it.
10	It doesn't look like you're muted on our screen. Steven, is
11	there something from her end?
12	MR. LIANG: It's just her microphone, I think. It's
13	not working properly.
14	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. Well, maybe she
15	can maybe she can work on that, and we'll get back to her.
16	MR. LIANG: In the meantime, next is Anthony.
17	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. Anthony, do you
18	want to give and spell your name for the record, please?
19	MR. JONES: Anthony Jones.
20	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: And could you spell that
21	for the record?
22	MR. JONES: Last name Jones, J-O-N-E-S.
23	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. And are you a
24	customer of the Philadelphia Water Department?

1	MR. JONES: Yes.
2	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: And are you appearing on
3	behalf of any group or organization?
4	MR. JONES: On behalf of myself as well as a member
5	of Greenpeace.
6	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
7	MR. JONES: So for me to
8	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: But you're not speaking on
9	behalf of Greenpeace?
10	MR. JONES: No.
11	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
12	MR. JONES: As myself, but a member of Greenpeace.
13	Just for clarifying.
14	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Sure. No, that's fine.
15	Go ahead.
16	MR. JONES: Okay. So for me, the biggest questions
17	that I have so obviously with everything that's happening, it
18	is necessary for things to increase over time. That is
19	completely understandable. However, the questions that I do have
20	to ask is where how are we going to be informed of how things
21	are being allocated and where they're being sent in terms of what
22	the plan is as a whole overall? And also, if we're one of the
23	things that Mr. Abrams, I think yes. The mentioning of low-
24	income housing and those dog whistles so that I have to ask

1	the question, what other areas around are being taxed as well?
2	Because it can't just be within one particular zip code. So I'd
3	like to understand how far this expansion is actually expected to
4	go.
5	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Not sure I understand your
6	specific question.
7	Maybe maybe Mr. Abrams, do you understand exactly
8	what he's asking you?
9	MR. JONES: I would like to know, number one, where
10	all of the money is being allocated to?
11	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I'm sorry. When you say
12	allocated to that's, I guess, my confusion is allocated to
13	physical parts of the City or customer groups or
14	MR. JONES: How is it going to be broken up? If
15	you're taxing the money, where is each I would like to know
16	where each red cent that I'm working very hard for, as everyone
17	else on this call is as well? Where each penny is going just so
18	that we can understand and get a sense and have a vote from that
19	standpoint as well. So that we can actually be involved in the
20	conversation in terms of how things are being built from an
21	architectural standpoint. Does that make sense?
22	(CROSSTALK.)
23	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Well, let me see here. In
24	terms of the basis for the rates, that's in the filing. It will

1	tell you the components that go the expense components and the
2	capital costs that go into the rates itself. But the rates
3	themselves are charged on water on a volumetric basis. And I
4	guess the stormwater, you know, rates are a different
5	different story, but they're charged on a on a
6	MR. JONES: But you're still not going to
7	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: water
8	MR. JONES: have the same when you go to a
9	grocery store and you after you purchase your items, you get
10	an itemized receipt. So essentially that's that's what I'm
11	trying to that's my question. It would be nice to have an
12	itemized receipt of where you if you're asking for this amount
13	of money, where is it going? How is it being spent in terms of
14	where these pockets are so that as a consumer we can say, well,
15	we would prioritize this versus this, so that we are engaged.
16	Does that make sense, or am I spinning wheels here?
17	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: No. I'm just trying to
18	put it in the context of the rate filing. Obviously, we go
19	through it in terms of expense categories and stuff like that.
20	Mr. Ballenger, did you want to take a crack at this?
21	MR. DASENT: Well, before Rob jumps in, Judge, if I
22	can? Our chemical costs have gone up dramatically, and that's a
23	big portion of the cost of this increase. And you have to have
24	the chemicals to treat the water and to deal with wastewater

1 treatment as well. Materials and supply costs are up also 2 dramatically, and this is a phenomenon that's not unique to the 3 department. Those percentage increases can be seen and are 5 documented, particularly in PWD Statement 4 in the -- in the 6 filing. Labor costs are also going up 11 percent just for labor 7 costs. It's more like 77 percent for chemical costs. And those 8 sort of anomalies are explaining why we have to ask for 9 additional funds this year as opposed to putting it off for the 10 future, and you can't defer costs that are about treating water 11 and keeping us safe. 12 And so that's part of the quandary that faces the 13 department in managing this and faces the Rate Board in making a 14 decision as to, you know, what, what level of costs are 15 justified. And, you know -- but Rob can have some input on this 16 also because we're trying to balance the interest, competing 17 interests of ratepayers and the utility. 18 HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: You know, if you go to the 19 filing on the Rate Board's website, Mr. Jones, there's one 20 exhibit --21 MR. JONES: Not that --22 HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: -- there's one exhibit 23 that kind of summarizes all the cost categories and the 24 components that make up the rates. No?

1	MR. JONES: This question still this question that
2	I asked still was not answered.
3	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. I'm sorry then.
4	MR. JONES: In terms of concerns, I don't want to
5	hold up the rest of the meeting.
6	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: No, that's okay. We want
7	to be sure that we're clear.
8	MR. JONES: Certainly. The question still was not
9	answered. How is the money going to be allocated? You can try
10	and
11	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I don't understand when
12	you say allocated. It goes
13	MR. JONES: Where is it going? Like, how are you
14	spending it? So if you have again, let's use the grocery
15	store example. So if you go to the market, okay? You have a
16	loaf of bread, an apple, and a steak; and you don't have enough
17	money for one of the three. Which one is more important for you
18	to put back? So you, yourself, would prioritize which one you
19	would like, and your family would need. So you make that choice.
20	So that's all I'm asking. How is the funds that you're asking us
21	to pay, how is it going to be allocated?
22	It doesn't have to be an exact number, but at least
23	give me some sort of direction that you would at least want to
24	think and have your, your customers be involved in these

1 conversations. Because I can say you might want to pick the 2 steak, but I would choose the apple. So that's where I'm coming 3 from. It's just an open conversation, not trying to poke the bear, so to speak, just generally just asking questions to 5 percolate thoughts. 6 HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Well, I don't think that's 7 how the Rate Board approaches it. The approach is that you look 8 at the components that comprise the requested rate increase. For 9 example, here's the projected expenses for chemicals. Here's the 10 projected expense for salaries. And the Rate Board -- and the 11 Rate Board and the Public Advocate or any other participant --12 let me back up. 13 The Public Advocate or the participants have a chance 14 to say, no, you don't need that for your salaries. You can get 15 by with less. Or you don't need that for your chemical expenses. 16 It can be less. And then the Rate Board makes the decision. So 17 in terms of prioritizing components, probably everything in there 18 is maybe necessary to provide water. The question is, how much 19 is it going to cost? Does that answer your question better? 20 MR. JONES: It gives it a little bit of framework, 21 but we can certainly move on. 22 HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: But I can tell you that 23 there isn't -- there's like one specifically useful document in 24 the filing. Is it Schedule CV-1? What's that one? Because it's

just a -- it's just a chart and it shows all the components that
make it up and how much is requested for each of those. And
that's really what we kind of work off of when we go through the
rate determination.

Mr. Ballenger?

MR. BALLENGER: Yeah. I would just add in, and I appreciate the questioning, Mr. Jones, but just to add another potentially confusing layer to this, is that all of the costs, all of the things that you're talking about, you know, the apple or the steak, those are future apples and future steaks, right?

So when the department puts together its case, it anticipates -- it projects what it thinks it's going to have to pay for the apple, what it thinks it's going to have to pay for the steak. And so some of the things that we have to do when we scrutinize those costs, those cost categories, is figure out whether or not those are reasonable assumptions of what the costs will be in the future.

MR. JONES: Mm-hmm.

MR. BALLENGER: So we look through all of those cost categories, all of the different aspects, whether it's the cost of electricity, the cost of, you know the labor cost, the employees of the Water Department. You know, we look at all of those cost categories, and we try to determine exactly what you're saying. You know, is, is this the right amount? It's not

1 necessarily the case that we can say, don't pay the employees. 2 They have to pay the employees. But it's a question of how much 3 they will have to pay the employees. Right? So we look through all of those different aspects in 5 the case, and at some point we will identify where we think the 6 biggest and most appropriate adjustments are and present those in 7 our testimony to the Board so that they know what we think based 8 on the review of the overall condition of the department, and all 9 of those -- all those estimates of future costs quite frankly. 10 That's what they are, they're estimates of future costs. 11 MR. JONES: Certainly. I work in pharmaceutical 12 advertising. And so I -- I completely understand all of the 13 budgeting and all of the players that are necessary. So thank 14 you for that clarity. My final -- to put a button on it -- the 15 last point of my question, how far is this expansion going in 16 terms of collecting money? Because, again, the previous comment 17 about low housing and things of that nature, how far -- what 18 other pockets within Philadelphia are you guys asking for this 19 rate increase, and how is the comparison between their funds, the 20 funds that you're asking for my zip code versus theirs? 21 HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: It's not done by zip code. 22 MR. JONES: However, it's done. 23 HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: It's citywide. Does that 24 answer your question?

1 MR. JONES: I'm sorry. It's what? 2 HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: It's citywide. It's not 3 done by zip code. MR. JONES: Okay. 5 MR. DASENT: Every water customer bears 6 responsibility, and it's allocated hopefully on a fair, 7 reasonable basis to the various customer classes. If there was 8 one place to look, table C-6, attached to PWD Statement 7 in the 9 rate filing lists all the operation and maintenance expenses, 10 goes from 2023 up to 2028. And you can see year by year how they 11 increase. Those are our projections. That's your receipt. 12 MR. BALLENGER: And just to be clear, if you live in, 13 you know, the Northeast or Strawberry Mansion or South Philly or 14 West Philly, you would pay the same water bill based on the 15 amount of water that you use. If you're a residential customer 16 in any neighborhood in Philadelphia, you would pay the same 17 amount. And that's the way it is today, and that's the way it 18 will remain. 19 There can be differences, you know, between 20 residential and nonresidential or residential and commercial 21 properties. But all residential customers, aside from those who 22 are in programs that help, like programs for low-income 23 customers, other than those, all of the residential bills are the 24 same based on the amount of water that flows through the meter.

1 Got you. Thank you very much. MR. JONES: 2 appreciate all of your time and your, your consideration. 3 HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Thank you very much, Mr. Jones. I hope that answered your questions. And like I say, 5 feel free to look at the filing. 6 MR. HAVER: I'd like to be heard. 7 HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. 8 MR. HAVER: Mr. Jones, thank you for participating, 9 and I don't want to belabor the point. Three quick points. One, 10 not all the rates are the same. Commercial, industrial, 11 residential rates are different. That's one of the places you 12 can look. Second, the Water Department gives a discount to 13 certain non-profits and other non-profits it doesn't. That's 14 another place you can look. Third, in this rate filing, the 15 Water Department establishes that it has a surplus, a very large 16 surplus of almost \$130,000,000. They call it the Rate 17 Stabilization Fund. 18 One of the things that this proposed rate increase 19 will do is increase that slush fund, increase that surplus over years. So you're absolutely right. You can look at who's 20 21 paying, you can look at who's getting rewarding and who's 22 winning. And I think you've heard from a bunch of low-income 23 people today that working people are not winning. 24 HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. Anything else then?

1	Thank you very much, Mr. Jones. I appreciate your questions.
2	Steven, is there anybody else?
3	MR. LIANG: Yeah. Jemirah has tried to call, and I'm
4	going to unmute.
5	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I'm sorry. Jemirah?
6	MR. LIANG: Jemirah. Yeah. I'm not sure how to
7	pronounce her name.
8	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
9	MS. JOHNSON: My name is Jemirah Johnson.
10	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. Wait, wait.
11	Can you hold on just a second while I get myself here? Could you
12	please spell your name for the record give and spell your name
13	for the record?
14	MS. JOHNSON: Sure. Jemirah Johnson. J-E-M-I-R-A-H.
15	Johnson, J-O-H-N-S-O-N.
16	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: And are you a customer of
17	the Philadelphia Water Department?
18	MS. JOHNSON: Yes, I am.
19	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: And are you appearing on
20	behalf of any group or organization?
21	MS. JOHNSON: No. Myself.
22	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
23	MS. JOHNSON: My question I know, you know, these
24	rates are going to take effect whether we like it or not, right?

It's going to happen September 1st of 2023.

So I guess my first guestion wou

So I guess my first question would be, do we know what the percentage is going to be at this time? And also, what are we doing for the younger group? Right? We have 30, 35, 40-year-olds that are just now coming out and buying homes and things of that nature. And we have substantial income. We're raising our family. We're doing the best that we can, but it just seems like everywhere we turn, we're getting hit with another increase or another bill somewhere. You know, we got PECO, PGW, everywhere. So with these rates increasing, what is being done for the in-between group?

HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. Well, thank you.

With respect to your first question, no, it hasn't been set yet. That's the purpose of this proceeding is to see how much or any, if any, of the proposed rates should be put into effect in September. So that decision hasn't been made yet.

And, yes, I really sympathize with you with the impact on young people, but I'm not real sure if we can answer that here. I don't know if there are any particular programs or -- or avenues of assistance that are -- other than those that are cost-based.

I mean, there's age-based programs, there's cost-based programs, but I don't know.

Mr. Ballenger, do you want to take a crack at this?

MR. BALLENGER: Thanks, Judge. And thank you, Ms.

1 Johnson, for your -- for your questions. They're thought 2 provoking, because I think we tend to focus a lot of attention on 3 seniors, and there's been some seniors who've testified here and in the earlier hearing and folks who are on a fixed income. But I certainly recognize that younger adults trying to build the 5 6 equity that they want for their families and their homes face 7 particular challenges when costs of basic essentials go up. And 8 that's why it's really important to hear from you. 9 I can't honestly tell you that I have an answer for 10 an age-based, you know, a young adult-based program for 11 assistance. These programs typically are income based regardless 12 of age, and there are, again, some programs that provide senior 13 citizen discounts. 14 But if you -- if your circumstances are -- if would 15 like us to take a look at your circumstances, your household 16 information, and try to figure out if there are programs to 17 reduce the amount of those fixed costs in your household, please 18 get in touch. My contact information is in the chat, but the 19 phone number is (215) 227-9988. We'll be glad to talk with you 20 about that. 21 HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Anybody -- Mr. Dasent? 22 MR. DASENT: If I might? WRB is also -- WRB is also 23 available. 24 HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I'm sorry. Andre, go

1	ahead.
2	MR. DASENT: WRB is also yes. The Water Revenue
3	Bureau is also available in the chat if you wanted to do a
4	breakout session or my contact information,
5	andrecdasent@gmail.com is also in the chat. And we'd be happy to
6	try to find a program that will help you. It'll be an income-
7	based program, and it'll be, you know, either TAP or some of the
8	other customer assistance alternatives we can present. But if
9	you haven't had that conversation, we welcome you to have a
10	conversation with WRB. And if you can do it tonight, that's
11	great. If you want to do it later, reach out to me via email.
12	Thank you.
13	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Ms. Johnson, does that
14	satisfy you, or do you have a further question?
15	MR. LIANG: She was disconnected, but she's still
16	able to hear. She just can't speak.
17	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. Well, Ms. Johnson,
18	feel free to email the Rate Board if you have further questions
19	or follow up. That would be fine. And we can we'll either
20	address it or convey it to Mr. Dasent or Mr. Ballenger. Okay?
21	Okay. Steven, is there anybody else?
22	MR. LIANG: Not as far as I can tell.
23	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. Well, that's the
24	end of this is anybody's last chance to raise your hand or

1 speak up. I'll ask Steven to unmute everybody just in case you 2 want to speak up and don't know how to raise your hand, because 3 if you haven't given us your comments, we'd certainly be interested in hearing them. Steven, can you unmute everybody? Just somebody can 5 6 talk, and we can just do it that way? 7 MR. LIANG: Yeah. Anyone that wishes to speak can 8 unmute themselves now. 9 HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. I mean, it's 10 helpful if you raise your hand. There we go. Hazel? 11 MS. PATTERSON: Hi. Yes. My name is Hazel, H-A-Z-E-12 L. Patterson, P-A-T-T-E-R-S-O-N. I am --13 HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Excuse me. Are you a 14 customer of the Philadelphia Water Department? 15 MS. PATTERSON: Yes, I am. 16 HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: And are you appearing on 17 behalf of any group or organization? 18 MS. PATTERSON: Myself and all the low income or 19 elderly people. 20 HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. Why don't you go 21 ahead? 22 MS. PATTERSON: I been going through something with 23 the Water Department. They saying that I owe a water bill since 24 2019, up to 3,700 and something. And I brought bank statements

down there showing them that I paid my water bill. But also the Water Department left something on my door stating that they wanted to get in and they were changing the water meters all across, you know, in the neighborhood. So I allowed them to come in.

They put a box in, and they're saying that I didn't have no water usage for over three years. How could that even happen? You know. If you guys knew that your meter was faulty or whatever, you should have came back out sooner or contact me sooner. I still paid the bill because I had bank statements that I put down there and tried to show them, and nobody wanted to look at the bank statements.

Then I let them come back in and change the meter thing. They did it twice. One, the first one, I guess it was the faulty box. The second one was that they, you know, put a new one in and now they could read the water or whatever. So they're saying they going by — they saying that for three years or whatever. Then when I did go to the hearing, they're saying now it was way less than that, but still, how did it end up to \$3,700?

Like I said, I got bank statements stating that, you know, I've been paying my bill. It's not my fault that they screwed up. I still was paying a bill. I have bank statements stating that those checks were cashed by the Water Department.

1 So what's going on with that? What they're trying to get me to 2 pay somebody else's bill or something? I don't understand. 3 And I asked them before to look at it. I even went back down to -- what is that -- 15th and Arch -- 15th and 5 Chestnut, on the 6th floor. And I asked them to look at the 6 bill. They allowed me to come in there. I think he's called 7 something Master or whatever. But anyway, I sat down. He was 8 going to look at the bill. Soon as I pull things out, he said, 9 oh, I can't look at this and send me away. So I'm like, okay, 10 I'm confused. 11 Nobody's sitting down talking to me how I owe, you 12 know, to talk to me, to tell me how I owe this bill. You know, I 13 go to legal aid about all of this. And she, you know, was saying 14 to me, they're trying to say that you was only paying a service 15 bill and not usage or whatever. I told her about the two boxes 16 that they came in and installed at different times. And it's not 17 my fault that they installed a faulty box. You know? As you can 18 see, I never tried to get out of paying my bill. We always paid 19 our bill. 20 HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. Ms. Patterson, I 21 think you're kind of lucky tonight because we can direct you to people who will deal directly with you and will resolve this. 22 23 Andre? 24 MR. DASENT: Yes.

1	UEADING OFFICED CUESTNUT. Can somehody got together
1	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Can somebody get together
2	with Ms. Patterson and work this out?
3	MR. DASENT: Yes.
4	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Is that okay with you, Ms.
5	Patterson?
6	MR. DASENT: Ms. Patterson, we'd be happy to talk
7	with you, and, you know, find our way through this. It sounds
8	like you've been through an extensive process already, but we're
9	not exhausted we're just beginning in terms of at least my
10	involvement and WRB's involvement, and we'll try to sort this
11	out. And I'm sure Mr. Ballenger will help.
12	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Mr. Dasent, I'd like you
13	to be personally involved in this.
14	MS. PATTERSON: Can I
15	MR. DASENT: I have no problem in doing that.
16	MS. PATTERSON: can I
17	MR. DASENT: I'm sorry?
18	MS. PATTERSON: So how are you how are you
19	planning to help me with this when they stuck me with the bill
20	and telling me to get on the program? I put
21	MR. DASENT: Because I just have to take a fresh look
22	at it, and I and the Judge just told me to. And so that's
23	exactly what I'm going to do.
24	MS. PATTERSON: Okay.

1	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Ms. Patterson, we're going
2	to cut through all the frustration and jumping through the hoops.
3	Mr. Dasent will take care of this for you. Okay?
4	Mr. Ballenger, did you want to jump in too?
5	MR. BALLENGER: Hi, Ms. Patterson. I've had clients
6	over the years with these types of experiences, so I think I
7	understand the frustration that you've been going through. And I
8	know based on some of the locations you mentioned, some of the
9	players you've been involved with. So I'm glad that the Judge is
10	directing us to talk about this some more. Andre, I'll be in
11	touch.
12	MS. PATTERSON: Yes.
13	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
14	MR. DASENT: Please look in the chat for our contact
15	information because I do not want this to fall through the
16	cracks. So tell me, you know, if you see our names. And, Rob,
17	you can give a number right now.
18	MR. BALLENGER: I will sure. Yes. We will be in
19	touch. You don't have to worry, Andre.
20	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay, great. Thank you.
21	Thank you, Ms. Patterson.
22	MS. PATTERSON: Yes. Thank you.
23	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Thank you.
24	Ms. Thelmon (phonetic), I see you have a hand up.

1	Did you want to make a statement? I think you need to unmute
2	yourself. Ms. Thelmon? Zipporah Thelmon? Okay. Maybe we'll
3	get back oh, are you there? Ms. Thelmon, you're still muted.
4	Ms. Thelmon, you need to unmute yourself, please. Okay. While
5	she gets herself is there anybody else, then, while we're
6	waiting for Ms. Thelmon to unmute herself? I don't see any
7	hands, or does somebody want to speak up? No?
8	MR. FIZZ: I have a question, if I may?
9	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Who is speaking?
10	MR. FIZZ: It's Patrick.
11	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Well, you got to make it
12	quick, Mr Mr. Fizz. You really have had quite a bit of time.
13	You've already had your chance. But while we're waiting for Ms.
14	Thelmon, why don't you go ahead?
15	MR. FIZZ: I just have a question being if enough
16	people speak up against the rate increase, will that have any, I
17	guess you could say leverage? Will that play a part? Or, like,
18	I think the one woman earlier mentioned, is the rate increase
19	going to happen regardless?
20	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Well, I can't really
21	answer that directly. It's not like it's a referendum or a vote.
22	But certainly the more people who speak up, the more of an impact
23	it will have on the Rate Board in reminding them of who was
24	affected by these rates. So it can't do any harm, certainly.
	1

1	MR. FIZZ: Okay. Thank you.
2	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Sure. Ms. Thelmon, have
3	you ever are you able to unmute yourself yet? No. How about
4	Ms. Langston?
5	MS. LANGSTON: Oh, yes. Can you hear me?
6	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Yes, we can. Can you give
7	and spell your name for the record, please?
8	MS. LANGSTON: Charlene Langston. C-H-A-R-L-E-N-E.
9	Last name L-A-N-G-S-T-O-N.
10	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: And are you a customer of
11	the Water Department?
12	MS. LANGSTON: Yes.
13	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: And are you here appearing
14	on behalf of any organization or group?
15	MS. LANGSTON: No. Just myself.
16	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay, fine. Why don't you
17	go ahead?
18	MS. LANGSTON: Yeah. Hi. I am new actually a new
19	customer. I recently lost my mother last year at the age of 99.
20	So this is her house, and this is all new for me. I am new to
21	paying the bills and stuff, but I would like to piggyback off of
22	Ms. Thompson's statement earlier about the having, like,
23	paying over I mean, where you don't qualify because you make
24	too much money.

1	My mother was, of course, a senior citizen, not
2	working. And with her with her Social Security and her
3	she only made about \$1,600 a month, and she still was never able
4	to qualify to get any assistance. So what is the what is the
5	level or the amount of money that we are supposed to be at in
6	order to qualify for any kind of assistance? So far, I'm doing
7	okay with it, but I'm just curious.
8	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Well, Mr. Ballenger, can
9	you answer that quickly? Because otherwise, I was going to
10	suggest that perhaps, Ms. Langston, you could talk to Mr.
11	Ballenger or Mr. Dasent and see if there's some see what you
12	can qualify for, whether it's a senior citizen discount or TAP or
13	some other program.
14	MS. LANGSTON: I'm 68 myself, so I am a senior
15	citizen, and I am retired, and I'm on a pension.
16	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Why don't you talk
17	directly to them and see
18	MR. BALLENGER: And the fastest way is really to
19	I'm sorry, Judge.
20	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: No. Go ahead, Mr.
21	Ballenger.
22	MR. BALLENGER: The fastest way one of the things
23	that, you know, sort of the when we were able to successfully
24	get the City to implement the low-income program, it also the

ordinance that City Council passed requires that on the back end,
the Water Department has to basically determine the most
affordable rate for you.

MS. LANGSTON: Mm-hmm.

MR. BALLENGER: So there's a single application

MR. BALLENGER: So there's a single application called the Customer Assistance Application. It's available -- you can do it online, or you can request it from the Water Department. They will send it to you, happily, I believe.

And when you submit all of the information with that, they will determine what program is the most affordable to you, based on the amount of water that you use in the home and based on your monthly bills, and your income. That's the fastest way to really answer the question without getting hyper technical. I wanted to mention however, is the home still in your mother's name?

MS. LANGSTON: No. It's in my name now.

MR. BALLENGER: Okay. Very good, because sometimes we run into tangled title issues where a property is still held or the deed is still in the name of the -- of the departed family member, and that's something that we could offer to at least advise on, if not assist. But I'm glad to hear that it's in your name. That means you really should have no obstacle to submitting that application, having the City determine what programs you may be eligible for.

1	MS. LANGSTON: Okay.
2	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay. Does that answer
3	your question?
4	MS. LANGSTON: Yes, ma'am. That's good. Thank you.
5	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Thank you. Appreciate you
6	taking the time to come. I'll repeat again that if anybody wants
7	to make a statement at this point, raise your hand. And do that
8	by going down to the reactions and hitting the hand symbol. Or
9	if you're on a phone, hit star six, I think, to raise a hand, and
10	star nine to unmute yourself, because otherwise I don't
11	Steven? Did you just say something?
12	MR. LIANG: No, that wasn't me.
13	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Oh, I'm sorry. Anybody
14	else?
15	UNIDENTIFIED: If I may ask one question, and I did
16	put it in the chat. Is there any representation from City
17	Council or the mayor's office on these calls? Thank you.
18	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Well, I saw the same chat,
19	although I don't usually look at it, but I do not identify people
20	like that unless they want to be identified. Now, if they want
21	to be identified, that's fine, but I didn't get a request. So if
22	somebody does want to identify themselves, that's fine. But I
23	don't see any reason why you couldn't contact City Council
24	yourself.

1	UNIDENTIFIED: (Indiscernible.)
2	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Pardon me?
3	UNIDENTIFIED: (Indiscernible.)
4	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I'm sorry. I still didn't
5	hear you.
6	All right. I think we've come to the end of this
7	session. As I said earlier, we'll be meeting again with another
8	series of public hearings tomorrow, 3:00 and 6:00. And I think
9	we've got some of the issues from this afternoon worked out
10	though fingers crossed that it goes smoothly. Anything else from
11	anybody? Okay, then. Thank you very much.
12	And thank you, Stacy.
13	MR. DASENT: Thank you, Judge.
14	(Hearing concluded at 7:43 p.m.)

CERTIFICATION

I, Stacy L. Raub, hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence noted are contained fully and accurately notes taken by me in the foregoing matter, and that this is a correct transcript of the same.

STACY L. RAUB

(The foregoing certification of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means, unless under the direct control and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.)