#### **RESPONSE TO**

### PUBLIC ADVOCATE'S INTERROGATORIES (SET IV)

**AND** 

## REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

**QUESTIONS 1-38** 

Dated: March 2023

1	PA-IV-1.	REFERENCE PWD STATEMENT 7, PAGE 14, LINE 21 THROUGH PAGE 15,
2		LINE 6. PLEASE PROVIDE EVIDENCE THAT VICINITY IS BUILDING ITS
3		OWN FACILITY AND THAT THE FACILITY WILL BE OPERATIONAL BY
4		FEBRUARY 2024.
5		
6	RESPONSE:	
7	Please	see response attachment PA-IV-1. To the best of our knowledge, the facility is
8	alread	y operational.
9		
10	RESPONSE	PROVIDED BY: Philadelphia Water Department
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	I	

FOR EACH OF THE COMPANY'S WHOLESALE WASTE WATER
CUSTOMERS, PLEASE PROVIDE COPIES OF THE PROVISIONS OF EACH
CONTRACT THAT IDENTIFY THE CURRENT RATES AND PWD'S
ABILITY TO ADJUST THOSE RATES. ALSO EXPLAIN HOW PWD
ENSURES THAT EACH WHOLESALE CUSTOMER'S RATES ARE
SUFFICIENT TO RECOVER THE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH SERVICE TO
EACH CUSTOMER.

#### **RESPONSE:**

PA-IV-2.

Please see response attachment PA-IV-2.

The Council of the City of Philadelphia by Ordinance, Bill No. 1129, approved by the Mayor on May 27, 1987, authorized the Water Commissioner to enter into agreements for the sale of Wastewater Treatment Services to suburban communities. Provisions for updating rates are established under Exhibit A of Bill No. 1129.

PWD has historically updated all wholesale customer rates to reflect the most current cost of service study following a rate determination. The same cost of service study used to establish retail rates is utilized in updating wholesale rates and charges based upon the overall revenue requirements of the system.

RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Philadelphia Water Department

1	PA-IV-3.	REFERENCE PWD STATEMENT 7, PAGE 15, LINES 14-24. PLEASE
2		PROVIDE A COPY OF THE LONG-TERM CONTRACT PLAN, AND ALL
3		WORKPAPERS, CALCULATIONS AND DOCUMENTATION SUPPORTING
4		THE 1.9 % IDENTIFIED ON LINE 20, AND THE \$2.9 MILLION IDENTIFIED
5		ON LINE 23.
6		
7	RESPONSE:	
8	Prepai	ration of this response is in progress and will be provided in the future.
9		
10	RESPONSE	PROVIDED BY: Philadelphia Water Department
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

REFERENCE STATEMENT NO. 7, Q/A 12. PLEASE PROVIDE A COMPLETE COPY OF THE ANALYSIS SUPPORTING THE DEVELOPMENT OF STEP 1: THE PROJECTIONS OF GROSS BILLINGS IN EXCEL FORMAT WITH ALL FORMULAS INTACT.

#### **RESPONSE:**

PA-IV-4.

The Excel version of projected gross billings is included in the models provided to the Public Advocate and presented in PWD Exhibit 6. The workpapers for projected gross billings are also provided in PWD Exhibit-6: Finplan23\_24, Customer Worksheet. Refer to Customer-7 for projected gross water billings, Customer-15 for projected gross sewer billings, and Customer-38 for projected stormwater billings. All gross billings are presented in the context of existing rates.

**RESPONSE PROVIDED BY:** Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.

1	PA-IV-5.	REFERENCE STATEMENT NO. 2A, Q/A 27. PLEASE PROVIDE A	
2		COMPLETE COPY OF THE ANALYSIS SUPPORTING THE CHANGE IN	
3		THE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SERVICE CREDIT AND THE	
4		STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FEE IN LIEU OF CHARGES.	
5			
6	RESPONSE	:	
7	The a	nalysis supporting the proposed changes to the Stormwater Credit Application Fee	
8	and th	ne Renewal the Stormwater Management Fee in Lieu is provided in Appendix A of	
9	Sched	dule BV-4: WP-4, "Miscellaneous Fees Methodology." Please refer to page 104 of	
10	the ab	pove Appendix regarding the Stormwater Management Fee in Lieu.	
11			
12	RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Philadelphia Water Department and Black & Veatch Management		
13	Consulting, I	LLC.	
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
I	1		

PLEASE IDENTIFY THE IMPACT OF STORMWATER CREDITS ON TOTAL PA-IV-6. RESIDENTIAL, AND NON-RESIDENTIAL GA AND IA FROM THOSE USED TO SET RATES IN THE TWO PRIOR CASES.

#### **RESPONSE:**

The table below provides the impact of stormwater credits on total residential, nonresidential, and condominium GA and IA. The credit amount reflects the reduction in the billable units of service used to set rates in the two prior General Rate proceedings.

#### **Total Impact of Credits on Billable Stormwater Units of Service**

		FY 2018 Rate Proceeding		FY 2021 Rate Proceeding	
Line	Description	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2022	FY 2023
No.					
1	Impervious Area (SF)	108,341,119	115,721,711	115,807,882	121,775,789
2	Gross Area (SF)	352,820,378	372,241,706	357,324,556	371,584,613

**RESPONSE PROVIDED BY:** Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
•

PLEASE IDENTIFY EACH STORMWATER CREDIT GA AND IA
ADJUSTMENT AUTHORIZED FOR THE LAST THREE YEARS. IDENTIFY
FOR EACH ADJUSTMENT, THE CUSTOMER'S GA AND IA BEFORE THE
ADJUSTMENT AND AFTER THE ADJUSTMENT.

**RESPONSE:** 

PA-IV-7.

Please see response attachment PA-IV-7.

The workbook includes a summary of all of the credit applications, based upon PWD records, that are applicable for Fiscal Year 2020 through Fiscal Year 2022 and the associated area and credit information by parcel. Many parcels have multiple credit applications during the above period primarily due to renewals, so such parcels have multiple entries in the workbook.

RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Philadelphia Water Department

#### **PA-IV-8.** REFERENCE TABLE W-10:

- A. PLEASE PROVIDE A DETAILED BREAKDOWN OF LINE ITEMS 3, 7, AND 10 (OTHER); AND
- B. PLEASE PROVIDE A REPRESENTATION EXAMPLE OF THE INVOICES FOR PURCHASED POWER EXPENSES.

#### **RESPONSE:**

A. Please note that the current rate filing does not include a Table W-10. This response assumes that the question intends to reference Lines 3, 7 and 11 of Table 4-5 from Schedule BV-2.

A detailed breakdown of Line item 3, Raw Water Pumping – Other operation and maintenance expenses, is presented in the WCOS model provided to the Public Advocate and PWD Exhibit-6: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC, Calculations Supporting Schedules BV-1 and BV-2, WCOS Womallo-14, Line 4 (Raw Water Power & Pumping - Baxter Treatment Plant - All Other Costs) and Line 8 (Raw Water Power & Pumping – All Other Treatment Plants - All Other Costs). The O&M expenses allocated to the Raw Water Pumping – Other function include a proportionate share of the Operations Division load control, machine shop and materials management units, and a proportionate share of Water Fund administrative and general costs.

A detailed breakdown for Line item 7, Purification and Treatment – Power and Pumping – Other expenses, is presented in the WCOS model provided to the Public Advocate and PWD Exhibit-6: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC, Calculations Supporting Schedules BV-1 and BV-2, WCOS Womallo-14, Line 26 (Treatment – Treated Water Power & Pumping - Baxter Treatment Plant - L.S. - All Other Costs) and Line 30 (Treatment - Treated Water Power & Pumping - All Other

Pumping - All Other Costs). The O&M expenses allocated to the Purification and Treatment - Power and Pumping - Other function include a proportionate share of the Operations Division load control, machine shop and materials management units, and a proportionate share of Water Fund administrative and general costs.

A detailed breakdown for Line item 11, Purification and Treatment - Treatment -Other expenses, is presented in the WCOS model provided to the Public Advocate and PWD Exhibit-6: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC, Calculations Supporting Schedules BV-1 and BV-2, WCOS Womallo-14, Line 15 (Treatment -Purification - Baxter Treatment Plant - All Other Costs) and Line 21 (Treatment -Purification - All Other Treatment Plants - All Other Costs). The O&M expenses allocated to the Purification and Treatment - Treatment - Other function include the Operation Division treatment plant and treatment headquarters costs, proportionate share of the Operations Division machine shop and materials management unit, and a proportionate share of Water Fund administrative and general costs.

Note - the same allocation process for the above referenced costs was utilized in and is consistent with prior rate proceedings.

B. Attachment PA-IV-8 provides a representative sample of the invoices for purchased power expenses.

**RESPONSE PROVIDED BY:** Philadelphia Water Department and Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.

27

1	PA-IV-9.	REFERENCE TABLE WW-14. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE
2		PERCENTAGES IN FOOTNOTE (A) WERE DETERMINED. PROVIDE
3		SUPPORTING WORKPAPERS AND CALCULATIONS.
4		
5	RESPONSE:	<b>:</b>
6	Please	e note that the current rate filing does not include a Table WW-14. This response
7	assum	nes that the question intends to reference Table 7-18 from Schedule BV-2.
8		
9	The pe	ercentages in Footnote (A) of Table 7-18 are based on the ratio of average dry weather
10	flow t	to average wet weather flow, and are consistent with analogous percentages used in
11	prior 1	rate proceedings (PWD Statement 7, Schedule BV-2, page 7-40).
12		
13	RESPONSE	<b>PROVIDED BY:</b> Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	[	

PA-IV-10. PLEASE EXPLAIN IN DETAIL ANY CHANGES IN THE WATER,
WASTEWATER, AND STORMWATER COST ALLOCATION AND RATE
DESIGN METHODOLOGIES PROPOSED BY THE PWD SINCE THE LAST
PROCEEDING.

**RESPONSE:** 

There are no significant changes in the retail cost allocation and rate design methodologies since the last proceeding. Note that the response to Question 13 in PWD Statement 7, Direct Testimony of Black & Veatch (page 15), identifies a revision to the allocation of LTCPU related costs to wholesale customers. Refer to the response to PA-III-19 and PA-IV-3 for additional information regarding the revised wholesale LTCPU allocations.

Note the following reference:

• PWD Statement 7, response to Question 8 (page 6) – "the analysis and methodology used in this COS Study are consistent with that used in analogous studies performed by Black & Veatch in support of prior PWD rate proceedings."

**RESPONSE PROVIDED BY:** Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.

RESPONSE FROVIDED BY: Black & Veater Management Consuming, LLC.

## **RESPONSE:**

**PA-IV-11.** 

7 8

10

13

15

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

As noted in Section 4.5.2 of Schedule BV-2 on Page 4-9, the customer type extra capacity factors from previous cost of service studies and rate proceedings were used. Based on the following factors, Black & Veatch continued to utilize the results of the capacity factor analysis performed for the prior rate proceeding:

PLEASE EXPLAIN IN DETAIL THE BASIS FOR ANY CHANGES IN THE

WATER CUSTOMER CLASS MAXIMUM DAY AND MAXIMUM HOUR

DEMAND FACTORS COMPARED TO THE LAST PROCEEDING.

- The FY 2018 system peak maximum day to average day ratio of 1.39 is consistent with the historical peak maximum day to average day ratio of 1.40 reflected in the capacity factor analysis from prior rate proceedings.
- A high-level review of the FY 2018 monthly billing data by customer type revealed that the maximum month for some customer types was impacted by a change in the number of bills issued during the monthly billing period, which resulted in overstating the maximum month to average day ratio of the corresponding customer types. Therefore, we do not feel it is appropriate to use FY 2018 in the context of this analysis.
- Feedback provided by participants during the Alternative Rate Structure suggested that PWD should further evaluate the customer impacts of potential rate structure changes related to further adjustments with respect to the current declining block rate structure for water usage. Therefore, additional adjustments were not included as part of this rate proposal.

The prior capacity factor analysis was completed according to the methodology outlined in Appendix A of AWWA Manual M-1: Principles of Water Rates, Fees, and Charges. Accordingly, at the time of the analysis, Black & Veatch used the monthly customer billing

## PHILADELPHIA WATER DEPARTMENT Response to PA Interrogatory

data, and system historical peak demands, and weekly and hourly usage adjustments to derive an estimate of capacity factors for each customer type. **RESPONSE PROVIDED BY:** Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC. 

1	PA-IV-12.	FOR EACH OF THE PAST FIVE FISCAL YEARS, PLEASE PROVIDE FOR
2		THE WATER SYSTEM:
3		A. SYSTEM AVERAGE DAY PRODUCTION;
4		B. SYSTEM MAXIMUM DAY PRODUCTION; AND
5		C. SYSTEM MAXIMUM HOUR PRODUCTION.
6		
7	RESPONSE	:
8	Syster	m Average Day and Maximum Day Production reflect the Total Water Treatment
9	Plant	Output presented in the WCOS model provided to the Public Advocate and PWD
10	Exhib	it-6: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC, Calculations Supporting
11	Sched	lules BV-1 and BV-2WCOS23_24, Wpltallo Worksheet (page 844).
12		
13	Syster	m Maximum Hour is recorded based on the Total System Water Delivered (Total
14	Distri	cts) presented in PWD Exhibit-6: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC,
15	Calcu	lations Supporting Schedules BV-1 and BV-2, WCOS23_24, Wpltallo Worksheet
16	(page	845). Note that the Maximum Hour Demand Factors are based on the Total System
17	Water	Delivered (Total Districts) presented in PWD Exhibit-6: Black & Veatch
18	Mana	gement Consulting, LLC, Calculations Supporting Schedules BV-1 and BV-2,
19	WCO	S23_24, Wpltallo Worksheet (page 845).
20		
21	A. SY	STEM AVERAGE DAY PRODUCTION
22		FY 2017 – 222.2 MGD
23		FY 2018 – 222.6 MGD
24		FY 2019 – 221.8 MGD
25		FY 2020 – 220.3 MGD
26		FY 2021 – 226.6 MGD
27		DRAFT FY 2022 – 226.7 MGD
28		

1	B. SYSTEM MAXIMUM DAY PRODUCTION; AND
2	FY 2017 – 268.2 MGD
3	FY 2018 – 303.9 MGD
4	FY 2019 – 258.1 MGD
5	FY 2020 – 259.7 MGD
6	FY 2021 – 251.7 MGD
7	DRAFT FY 2022 – 257.9 MGD
8	
9	C. SYSTEM MAXIMUM HOUR PRODUCTION
10	FY 2017 – 402.5 MGD
11	FY 2018 – 346.0 MGD
12	FY 2019 – 330.9 MGD
13	FY 2020 – 326.0 MGD
14	FY 2021 – 472.7 MGD
15	DRAFT FY 2022 – 371.6 MGD
16	
17	MGD = Millions of gallons per day
18	
19	FY 2022 production data was not available at the time of the development of the Cost of
20	Service Study included in Schedule BV-2. The FY 2022 figures above are being finalized
21	and should be considered draft at this time.
22	
23	RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Philadelphia Water Department and Black & Veatch
24	Management Consulting, LLC.
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	<b>PA-IV-13.</b> PLEASE PROVIDE A COPY OF PWD'S MOST RECENT LONG-TERM
2	WATER SUPPLY PLAN.
3	
4	RESPONSE:
5	An overview of the plan is available via the following link:
6	https://www.phila.gov/water/wu/Documents/PWD_DrinkingWaterMasterPlan.pdf
7	
8	RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Philadelphia Water Department
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20 21	
22	
23	
23 24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
-	

1	PA-IV-15.	FOR EACH CUSTOMER CLASS REFLECTED IN THE WATER CLASS COST
2		OF SERVICE STUDY, PLEASE PROVIDE MONTHLY SALES FOR THE
3		MOST RECENT 36-MONTH AVAILABLE IN EXCEL FORMAT.
4		
5	RESPONSE	•
6	Please	e refer to response attachment PA-IV-15.
7		
8	RESPONSE	PROVIDED BY: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23 24		
2 <del>4</del> 25		
26		
20 27		
28		
_		

1	PA-IV-16.	PLEASE EXPLAIN IN DETAIL HOW THE MAXIMUM DAY AND
2		MAXIMUM HOUR CAPACITY FACTOR FOR EACH CLASS IN THE
3		WATER CLASS COST OF SERVICE STUDY WAS DETERMINED
4		(INCLUDING PUBLIC AND PRIVATE FIRE). INCLUDE SUPPORTING
5		CALCULATIONS IN EXCEL FORMAT.
6		
7	RESPONSE	<b>:</b>
8	Refer	to Section 4.5.2 of Schedule BV-2 on Page 4-9 and response to PA-IV-11.
9		
10	RESPONSE	PROVIDED BY: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	PA-IV-17.	PLEASE IDENTIFY THE ANNUAL QUANTITIES OF NON-REVENUE
2		WATER BY TYPE FOR THE MOST RECENT THREE-YEAR PERIOD
3		AVAILABLE. ALSO, IDENTIFY ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION FOR
4		THE SAME FIVE ANNUAL PERIODS.
5		
6	RESPONSE	: :
7	Respo	onse attachment response PA-IV-17 is the standard International Water Association
8	AWV	VA water balance for the Department for the last five years with key definitions. A
9	detail	ed description of the water balance can be found in the AWWA M36 Manual.
10		
11	FY 20	022 data is not available at the time.
12		
13	RESPONSE	PROVIDED BY: Philadelphia Water Department
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

**PA-IV-18.** SINCE ITS LAST CASE, HAS THE PWD CONDUCTED A COMPREHENSIVE HOLISTIC REVIEW OF ITS RATE STRUCTURE FOR WATER SERVICE UNDER WHICH SEPARATE RATE SCHEDULES WERE CONSIDERED FOR CERTAIN CUSTOMER CLASSES? IF NO, WHY NOT? IF YES, PLEASE DESCRIBE THIS REVIEW IN DETAIL AND PRESENT ALL RESULTS AND FINDINGS OF THE REVIEW.

#### **RESPONSE:**

PWD continues to review its rate structure. The Department recognizes that a comprehensive review of the current rate structure and analysis of alternative ratemaking methodologies is a lengthy and ongoing process.

Prior to the 2020 General Rate Proceeding, the Department completed an Alternative Rate Structure Analysis, a facilitated process to consider changes to its rate structure in three areas: water quantity charges, stormwater credits and incentives, and recovery of pensionrelated expenses. The Alternative Rate Structure Analysis Report, dated November 6, 2019 (the "Report") is available on the Water, Sewer and Storm Water Rate Board's website:

https://www.phila.gov/media/20191122181318/ARSG-Summary-Report-Final-2019.11.05.pdf

As noted on page 8 of the Report: "the City's existing billing system has many limitations and in particular, concerning billing by customer type. Prior to implementing any rates by customer type, the Department would need to address these limitations and verify all customer types."

27

28

Since the issuance of the Report, the Department has continued to examine updates to the stormwater rates structure as summarized in the Rate Case Settlement Monthly Report

## PHILADELPHIA WATER DEPARTMENT Response to PA Interrogatory

(dated February 24, 2023) filed with the Philadelphia Water, Sewer and Storm Water Rate Board ("Rate Board"). This Report is available at the Rate Board Website. **RESPONSE PROVIDED BY:** Philadelphia Water Department 

# PA-IV-19. PLEASE PROVIDE AN ESTIMATE OF THE REVENUE REDUCTIONS RESULTING FROM STORMWATER CREDITS FOR THE LAST FIVE FISCAL YEARS. INCLUDE SUPPORTING CALCULATIONS.

**RESPONSE:** 

#### FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2022, 2021 AND 2020

Program	Program Type	FY2022	FY2021	FY2020	FY 2019	FY 2018
SMIP <sup>(1)</sup> and GARP <sup>(2) (3)</sup>	Operating Expense	17,322,111	8,419,131	18,216,460	30,433,976	\$21,484,429
Phase in Program (CAP) <sup>(4)</sup>	Bill Reduction	1,081,778	1,405,875	1,722,703	2,003,238	2,011,096
Stormwater Credits <sup>(4)</sup>	Bill Reduction	20,596,053	19,699,865	18,740,626	17,988,320	16,038,856
Community Gardens	Bill Reduction	211	437	1,478	9,966	14,320
Tiered Assistance Program (TAP) <sup>(5)</sup>	Bill Reduction	8,525,312	9,464,471	8,934,216	8,992,124	2,927,221
Charity & School Discounts	Bill Reduction	7,071,055	6,464,986	7,295,493	10,393,514	
Senior Citizen Discount	Bill Reduction	4,215,019	4,251,353	4,317,094	4,300,459	
Total		\$58,811,539	\$49,706,118	\$59,228,070	\$74,121,597	\$42,475,922

<sup>(\*)</sup> Budgeted.

#### RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Philadelphia Water Department

 $<sup>^{\</sup>left(1\right)}\operatorname{Stormwater}$  Management Incentives Program.

<sup>(2)</sup> Grant and Greened Acres Retrofit Program.

<sup>(3)</sup> SMIP and GARP were partially funded with grants.

<sup>(4)</sup> Amounts are credits against certain customers' bills.

<sup>(5)</sup> TAP is a low-income assistance program commenced in July of 2017. It will reduce customers' bills and result in a reduction in revenue for the Water Department.

	1	
1	PA-IV-20.	PLEASE PROVIDE A COPY OF ANY STUDIES PERFORMED BY PWD
2		THAT EVALUATE THE EXTENT TO WHICH THE STORMWATER CREDIT
3		PROGRAM HAS REDUCED STORMWATER VOLUMES.
4		
5	RESPONSE	
6	After	reasonable investigation, no studies have been identified that capture the information
7	reques	sted.
8		
9	RESPONSE	PROVIDED BY: Philadelphia Water Department
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22 23		
24		
25		
$\begin{bmatrix} 25 \\ 26 \end{bmatrix}$		
27		
28		
_0		

1	PA-IV-21.	REFERENCE SCHEDULE BV-3. PLEASE PROVIDE THE WORKPAPERS
2		AND CALCULATIONS SUPPORTING EACH NEWLY CALCULATED
3		CHARGE.
4		
5	RESPONSE:	;
6	The w	orkpapers supporting Schedule BV-3 are provided in Appendix A of Schedule
7	BV-4:	WP-4 entitled "Miscellaneous Fees Methodology."
8		
9	RESPONSE	PROVIDED BY: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	i	

1	PA-IV-22. WHAT IS THE POPULATION OF PWD'S WATER SERVICE TERR	ITORY?
2		
3	RESPONSE:	
4	As noted on page 3 of PWD Statement 1, the population served by the water sy	stem is
5	approximately 1.58 million people according to the 2021 U.S. Census Bureau e	estimate.
6		
7	RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Philadelphia Water Department	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

#### **RESPONSE:**

**PA-IV-23.** 

Please note that the current rate filing does not include a Table W-10. This response assumes that the question intends to reference Table 4-5 from Schedule BV-2.

REFLECTED IN TEST YEAR O&M EXPENSE.

The estimated FY 2024 costs of the Bureau of Laboratory Services (BLS) are included in the following line items in Table 4-5.

REFERENCE BV-1, TABLE W-10. PLEASE IDENTIFY BY LINE-ITEM

NUMBER, THE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH WATER QUALITY TESTING

Line		
No.	Description	FY 2024 Costs
3	Raw Water Pumping – Other	\$275,223
7	Purification & Treatment – Power & Pumping – Other	\$513,835
11	Purification & Treatment – Other – Other	\$2,478,279
15	Mains	\$2,740,247
16	Meters	\$119,184
17	Fire Hydrants	\$25,699
18	Filtered Water Storage	\$399,654
20	Customer Accounting & Collection	\$519,080
22	Administrative & General	\$1,435,802
	Total	\$8,507,003

Note: The Allocation of the FY 2024 BLS costs is presented in the WCOS model provided to the Public Advocate and PWD Exhibit-6: Supplemental, Financial, Engineering, and Other Data Black & Veatch Workpapers WCOS23 24, Womallo-14, Column 7 (page 868-869).

There are additional water quality testing costs included in Line 8 (Purification & Treatment – Treatment – Other) of Table 4-5 which are associated with the labs located at each of the water treatment plants. The budgeted costs for each water treatment plant include the lab costs at each plant. At this time, the costs for these labs are not readily identifiable as there is not a specific cost center or unit within the treatment plant budgets to isolate these lab costs.

**RESPONSE PROVIDED BY:** Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.

PA-IV-24. PLEASE IDENTIFY THE FREQUENCY WITH WHICH PWD PERFORMS EACH WATER QUANTITY TEST AND THE CRITERIA WHICH DETERMINE HOW FREQUENTLY EACH WATER QUALITY TEST SHOULD BE PERFORMED (I.E., DAILY WEEKLY PRODUCTION QUANTITY, ETC.).

**RESPONSE:** 

The PWD does not have any information on water quantity tests. For purposes of this response, we have assumed that information related to "water quality tests" is elicited in the discovery request.

PWD's monitoring schedules for drinking water quality are determined by Federal and State regulatory requirements under the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA). The Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (Pa DEP) issues a monitoring schedule for PWD annually and provides periodic updates to the schedule throughout each year. PWD goes beyond regulatory requirements and conducts more testing than is required by the regulations. Tests are conducted on daily, weekly, monthly, quarterly, annual, triennial, and other frequency schedules to maintain compliance with monitoring requirements under the following SDWA rules: Total Coliform Rule, Disinfectants/Disinfection Byproducts Rule, Chemical Contaminants Rules, Lead and Copper Rule, Surface Water Treatment Rule, Radionuclides Rule and other regulatory programs.

**RESPONSE PROVIDED BY:** Philadelphia Water Department

1	PA-IV-25.	IN THE WASTEWATER COST OF SERVICE STUDY, PLEASE EXPLAIN
2		HOW THE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH I/I ARE ALLOCATED TO EACH
3		CUSTOMER TYPE.
4		
5	RESPONSE	:
6	In acc	cordance with the prior rate proceeding decisions, the cost of service and rate design
7	for the	e current study reflects a 30 percent recovery of pumping and treatment related I/I
8	costs	through the service charge and 70 percent through the volume charge (PWD
9	Stater	ment 7, Schedule BV-2, pages 7-35 to 7-36). Costs are allocated to customer types
10	based	upon the respective units of service.
11		
12	RESPONSE	<b>PROVIDED BY:</b> Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

**PA-IV-26.** 

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

#### **RESPONSE:**

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

PLEASE IDENTIFY LABOR EXPENSE BY LINE ITEM; A.

IN REFERENCE BV-1, TABLE W-10:

- B. PLEASE IDENTIFY THE EXTENT TO WHICH TREATMENT PLANT LABOR EXPENSE WOULD INCREASE ON A MAXIMUM DAY TO AN AMOUNT HIGHER THAN THAT EXPERIENCED ON AN AVERAGE DAY; AND
- PLEASE PROVIDE A DETAILED BREAKDOWN OF LINE NUMBER 29 C. BY CUSTOMER CLASS.

Please note that the current rate filing does not include a Table W-10. This response assumes that the question intends to reference Table 4-5 from Schedule BV-2.

Estimated FY 2024 direct labor expenses are included in the following line items in A. Table 4-5.

Line		
No.	Description	FY 2024 Costs
3	Raw Water Pumping – Other	\$2,547,175
7	Purification & Treatment – Power & Pumping – Other	\$4,755,507
11	Purification & Treatment – Other – Other	\$22,672,078
15	Mains	\$29,950,585
16	Meters	\$1,315,771
17	Fire Hydrants	\$280,888
18	Filtered Water Storage	\$4,368,170
20	Customer Accounting & Collection	\$1,730,208
22	Administrative & General	\$0
	Total	\$67,620,382

Note: The Allocation of the FY 2024 direct personnel costs is presented on PWD Exhibit-6: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC, Calculations Supporting Schedules BV-1 and BV-2 WCOS23\_24, Womallo-12, Column 9 (pages 865 and 866).

B. Black & Veatch is not aware of any available staffing or labor analysis which would identify the extent to which treatment plant labor expense would increase on a maximum day to an amount higher than that experienced on an average day.

It should be noted that the allocation basis for water treatment labor expense reflected in the current cost of service study is consistent with the AWWA's "Principles of Water Rates, Fees, and Charges" Manual of Water Supply Practices M1. As indicated on pages 66-67 of the manual: "Expenses other than power, chemical, and customer-related costs can be allocated to cost components on the basis of operating considerations or the design capacity requirements of each facility." The allocation basis for the water treatment labor expense in the current cost of service study reflects the maximum day demand, which is consistent with the design capacity requirement and operating basis of PWD's water treatment facilities.

C. Please refer to Response Attachment PA-IV-26C. A detailed breakdown of Line Number 29 of Table 4-5 by customer type can be developed by multiplying each customer type's units of service for each functional cost component (provided in Table 4-4 on page 4-11 of Schedule BV-2) by the Operating Expense unit costs of service by component (provided on Line 4 of Table 4-9 on page 4-22 of Schedule BV-2).

Note this provides the breakdown of the Net O&M Expense included in the Total Allocated Cost of Service by customer type as presented in Column 1 of Table 4-11

# PHILADELPHIA WATER DEPARTMENT Response to PA Interrogatory

1	on page 4-24 of Schedule BV-2. The resulting breakdown of Net O&M Expense is
2	prior to adjustments for the recovery of discounts.
3	
4	RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

PA-IV-27. REFERENCE THE NOVEMBER 2022 RATE CASE SETTLEMENT
PROGRESS REPORT PROVIDED TO THE PHILADELPHIA WATER,
SEWER, AND STORM WATER RATE BOARD ON DECEMBER 13, 2022,
APPENDIX A.

- A. PLEASE PROVIDE A SUMMARY OF THE RESULTS OF THE SURVEY INCLUDED ON PAGES 30-33;
- B. WITH RESPECT TO THE TWO RESIDENTIAL RATE STRUCTURE OPTIONS PRESENTED ON PAGE 24, PLEASE IDENTIFY THE ESTIMATED INCREMENTAL COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH IMPLEMENTING EACH OPTION, AND THE ANNUAL INCREMENTAL COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH MAINTAINING EACH OPTION OVER THE CURRENT BILLING PROCEDURES;
- C. ALSO, PER PAGE 24, PLEASE IDENTIFY THE ESTIMATED NUMBER
  OF APARTMENTS, ROW HOMES, SINGLES, AND TWINS THAT
  WOULD FALL UNDER EACH OF THE 1A AND GA TIERS UNDER
  OPTION #2; AND
- D. PLEASE IDENTIFY THE AMOUNT OF TIME PWD BELIEVES WOULD BE NECESSARY TO IMPLEMENT EACH OF THE TWO OPTIONS PRESENTED ON PAGE 24.

#### **RESPONSE:**

- A. Please refer to Response Attachment PA-IV-27.
- B. As stated in the subject Progress Report, as noted during the prior stakeholder meeting, updates to the Residential rate structure would be predicated on ongoing updates to the Office of Property Assessment (OPA) database, upon which stormwater classifications are based. Additionally, Billing System Software upgrades would be required to enable alternatives.

Estimates of implementation and annual incremental costs are not available at this time.

Basis2 is a limiting factor in updating potential rate structures as the billing system is currently undergoing system hardening related to cyber security, and major changes to the billing system are not able to be undertaken at this time. As discussed during the stakeholder meetings, the City is currently in the process of replacing accounting, procurement, and financial systems City-wide. Following this update to the City systems, the replacement of Basis2 system is planned to begin in FY 2026 with a target completion date of FY 2028.

Please note that the integration of the necessary system requirements, associated specifications, billing process and related customer billing data, required for either option, could be incorporated into the development of the Basis2 replacement. This also provides the potential for improved integration and tracking via the City's updated financial and accounting systems.

For both options, changes to the existing PWD stormwater billing database would be required. Additional effort would be required to maintain both options and included developing routine updates to the requisite impervious area data utilized in establishing rates and charges under both options. Further, the Basis2 system replacement would need to be designed to enable billing under either option and allow for the presentation of customer designations under the selected residential stormwater rate structure option.

With respect to Option 1: Establish Residential Rates by Building Type, would require the establishment and ongoing maintenance of building class types are outside of the control of the PWD. This information is established by OPA and the

PWD would need to rely on the accuracy of the OPA data for implementation. Further, OPA is currently undergoing a restructuring process of their data management system, which PWD relies on for customer information including billing classes. An understanding of the data maintenance requirements on both OPA's and PWD's parts would be necessary to develop costs estimates for incremental annual costs.

Beyond the above, OPA data is used to identify customers as residential, nonresidential, or condominium parcels, further validation of OPA's data will be required to confirm the correct building designation of the roughly 464,000 parcels contemplated under Option 1.

The change in rate structure would also necessitate additional discussion with stakeholders along with public education and outreach to communicate any pending change in stormwater residential rate structure.

## C. See table below for the count of building type parcels by GA and IA tier.

<b>Building Type</b>	IA	GA
Apartments	1,994	977
Row Homes	199,189	191,661
Singles	1,093	252
Twins	9,401	1,359
Total	211,677	194,249
Apartments	8,753	3,997
Row Homes	144,278	136,383
Singles	5,510	914
Twins	43,829	13,540
Total	202,370	154,834
	Row Homes Singles Twins Total Apartments Row Homes Singles Twins	Row Homes       199,189         Singles       1,093         Twins       9,401         Total       211,677         Apartments       8,753         Row Homes       144,278         Singles       5,510         Twins       43,829

Mid 2	Apartments	3,207	8,057
	Row Homes	5,058	19,026
	Singles	9,492	2,438
	Twins	14,650	44,113
	Total	32,407	73,634
High	Apartments	651	1,574
	Row Homes	1,077	2,532
	Singles	12,039	24,530
	Twins	3,069	11,937
	Total	16,836	40,573

D. Please see response to Item B above. Implementation of the updated rate structure option is predicated on updates to the OPA data systems as well as the replacement of Basis2. PWD estimates that required updates to the Stormwater Billing Database would require at least 24-36 months to complete and test prior to launch. This effort should be aligned with the replacement of the Basis2 system.

**RESPONSE PROVIDED BY:** Philadelphia Water Department, Water Revenue Bureau, and Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.

1	PA-IV-28.	REFERENCE PWD STATEMENT 7, Q/A27	AND 28. PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY
2		THE PWD COULD NOT OR DID NOT PRO	POSE TO IMPLEMENT THE
3		DESCRIBED CHANGES IN THIS PROCEED	DING.
4			
5	RESPONSE:	<b>:</b>	
6	Please	e refer to response PA-IV-27. PWD has not prop	osed any changes as they require
7	additio	ional discussions with stakeholders and changes	to the residential rate structure
8	canno	ot be implemented within the requested rate period	d.
9			
10	RESPONSE	PROVIDED BY: Philadelphia Water Dep	partment and Water Revenue Bureau,
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20   21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	PA-IV-29.	REFERENCE PWD STATEMENT 7, Q/A32. PLEASE PROVIDE THE
2		ANALYSIS SUPPORTING THE CHANGES IN EACH FEE.
3		
4	RESPONSE	<b>:</b>
5	Please	e refer to Schedule BV-4: WP-4 MISCELLANEOUS FEES METHODOLOGY
6	includ	ling the workpapers included in Appendix A.
7		
8	Also s	see response attachment PA-II-19.
9		
10	RESPONSE	<b>PROVIDED BY:</b> Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17 18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	1	

1	PA-IV-30.	REFERENCE PWD STATEMENT 7, Q/A34. PLEASE PROVIDE THE
2		ANALYSIS SUPPORTING THE CALCULATIONS OF EACH NEW FEE.
3		
4	RESPONSE:	•
5	Please	e see response to PA-IV-29 and response attachment PA-II-19.
6		
7	RESPONSE	<b>PROVIDED BY:</b> Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26 27		
28		
20		
	1	

PLEASE PROVIDE AN ESTIMATE OF THE ANNUAL COSTS INCURRED BY THE PWD TO MANAGE AND IMPLEMENT THE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT CREDIT PROGRAM BY TYPE, INCLUDING COSTS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF FACILITIES FOR FY 2020 – FY 2022. SEPARATELY IDENTIFY AMOUNTS FOR WHICH THE PWD WAS DIRECTLY RESPONSIBLE AND AMOUNTS OFFSET OR FUNDED BY OTHER SOURCES.

# **RESPONSE:**

PA-IV-31.

Implementation costs associated with the Stormwater Management Credit Program for the noted fiscal years are included in the Department's overall budget and included in the GSI Implementation Unit of the Planning and Environmental Services Division. The overall GSI Implementation Unit costs are allocated to 100% to wastewater and allocated under conveyance maintenance costs with 40% allocated to sanitary and 60% to stormwater. The stormwater credit program itself does not result in the construction of facilities.

20

21

22

23

24

25

Stormwater credits resulting from private stormwater management or activities meeting the requirements of Section 4.5 SWMS Credits of PWD Rates and Charges are not a capital or an operating expense. Stormwater credits result in a reduction in certain customer bills and therefore reduce revenue for the Water Department. In context of the cost of service study, credits are expressed as a reduction in the billable stormwater units of service as discussed in PWD Statement 7, Schedule BV-2: Water & Wastewater Cost of Service Report and further explained in Schedule BV-4: WP-2 – Stormwater Units of Service. Estimated contra revenue impacts associated with providing credits were previously presented in responses PA-IV-19.

26

27

28

**RESPONSE PROVIDED BY:** Philadelphia Water Department

PLEASE IDENTIFY THE AMOUNTS INCLUDED IN THE STORMWATER REVENUE REQUIREMENT FOR TEST YEAR 2024 FOR THE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT CREDIT PROGRAM BY TYPE, AND INDICATE WHERE THOSE COSTS ARE INCLUDED IN THE STORMWATER COST OF SERVICE STUDY. SEPARATELY IDENTIFY AMOUNTS FOR WHICH THE PWD WILL BE DIRECTLY RESPONSIBLE AND AMOUNTS OFFSET OR FUNDED BY OTHER SOURCES.

#### **RESPONSE:**

**PA-IV-32.** 

Administrative costs associated with the Stormwater Management Credit Program included in the Department's overall budget and included in the GSI Implementation Unit of the Planning and Environmental Services Division. The overall GSI Implementation Unit costs are allocated to 100% to wastewater and allocated under conveyance maintenance costs with 40% allocated to sanitary and 60% to stormwater. This process described in the Cost of Service study (see PWD Statement 7, Schedule BV-2: Water & Wastewater Cost of Service Report) following the projection of overall revenue requirements. These costs are not isolated by "Type."

Other than the overall miscellaneous and wholesale customer revenues, which reduce the overall net wastewater revenue requirements, there are no amounts offset or funded by other sources included in the Test Year 2024 revenue requirements. Stormwater credits provided to customers are treated as a reduction in the billable units of service, as described in the Cost of Service study noted above and further elaborated upon in the Stormwater Units of Service white paper (see PWD Statement 7, Schedule BV-4:WP-2 Stormwater Units of Service).

**RESPONSE PROVIDED BY:** Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.

PLEASE PROVIDE AN ESTIMATE OF THE ANNUAL COSTS ASSOCIATED **PA-IV-33.** WITH THE GREEN ACRES RETROFIT PROGRAM BY TYPE FOR FY 2020 -FY 2022. SEPARATELY IDENTIFY AMOUNTS FOR WHICH THE PWD WAS DIRECTLY RESPONSIBLE AND AMOUNTS OFFSET OR FUNDED BY OTHER SOURCES.

## **RESPONSE:**

See table below for an estimate of associated costs:

FISCAL	PWD OPERATING COST	ACT 13 GRANT	ASSOCIATED
YEAR	(SMIP/GARP GRANTS INCLUDING		CREDITS
	PIDC ADMIN EXPENSE)		
2020	\$25,000,000	\$859,675	\$2,390.900
2021	\$31,932,618	\$669,086	\$2,591,000
2022	\$20,125,000	\$464,078	\$3,200,900

The above amounts are inclusive of all grant types (SMIP and GARP). The PWD is directly responsible for funding associated with these grants through the department's operating budget.

RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Philadelphia Water Department

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PA-IV-34. PLEASE IDENTIFY THE AMOUNTS INCLUDED IN THE STORMWATER REVENUE REQUIREMENT FOR TEST YEAR 2024 FOR THE GREEN ACRES RETROFIT PROGRAM BY TYPE, AND INDICATE WHERE THOSE COSTS ARE INCLUDED IN THE STORMWATER COST OF SERVICE STUDY. SEPARATELY IDENTIFY AMOUNTS FOR WHICH THE PWD WILL BE DIRECTLY RESPONSIBLE AND AMOUNTS OFFSET OR FUNDED BY OTHER SOURCES.

**RESPONSE:** 

The Water Department proposed to temporarily reduce the combined SMIP/GARP cost from \$25.0 million to \$20.0 million in FY 2024 and FY 2025. SMIP/GARP costs, which include both grant amounts and Philadelphia Industrial Development Corporation administration costs, are allocated between the sewer and stormwater revenue requirements. Approximately 60% of these costs are recovered from all stormwater customers. This process described in the Cost of Service study (see PWD Statement 7, Schedule BV-2: Water & Wastewater Cost of Service Report) following the projection of overall revenue requirements. These costs are not isolated by "Type."

With respect to the Cost of Service Study, SMIP/GARP Costs are presented on Line 6 of Table 3-2 in Schedule BV-2 (note the same table is presented in Schedule BV-1: Table C-6). SMIP/GARP costs are reflected in the O&M expenses on Line 3 of Table 7-16. Column 4 includes the Sanitary Sewer System allocation of SMIP/GARP costs, while Column 5 includes the Stormwater System allocation of SMIP/GARP costs.

RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC

1	PA-IV-35.	PLEASE PROVIDE AN ESTIMATE OF THE ANNUAL COSTS ASSOCIATED
2		WITH GREEN CITY CLEAN WATERS BY TYPE FOR FY 2020 – FY 2022.
3		SEPARATELY IDENTIFY AMOUNTS FOR WHICH THE PWD WAS
4		DIRECTLY RESPONSIBLE AND AMOUNTS OFFSET OR FUNDED BY
5		OTHER SOURCES.
6		
7	RESPONSE:	
8	From	July 1, 2011 through and including June 30, 2022, the Water Department's capital
9	spendi	ing for COA projects was approximately \$318 million. During the same period, the
10	Water	Department spent \$416 million from its operating budget and \$6.6 million was
11	funded	d through Act 13 Marcellus Shale Grant.
12		
13	RESPONSE	PROVIDED BY: Philadelphia Water Department
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	1	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

PLEASE IDENTIFY THE AMOUNTS INCLUDED IN THE STORMWATER
REVENUE REQUIREMENT FOR TEST YEAR 2024 FOR GREEN CITY
CLEAN WATERS BY TYPE, AND INDICATE WHERE THOSE COSTS ARE
INCLUDED IN THE STORMWATER COST OF SERVICE STUDY.
SEPARATELY IDENTIFY AMOUNTS FOR WHICH THE PWD WILL BE
DIRECTLY RESPONSIBLE AND AMOUNTS OFFSET OR FUNDED BY
OTHER SOURCES.

RESPONSE:

**PA-IV-36.** 

Consistent with prior rate proceedings, Green City Clean Waters costs are not isolated within the Cost of Service Study. Operating and capital revenue requirements are allocated as described in the Cost of Service study (see PWD Statement 7, Schedule BV-2: Water & Wastewater Cost of Service Report) following the projection of overall revenue requirements. Further, these costs are not isolated by "Type."

RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC

PLEASE PROVIDE A COMPARISON OF REVENUES AT PRESENT RATES AND AT PROPOSED RATES FOR EACH RATE CLASS INCLUDED IN THE COST OF SERVICE STUDIES FOR WATER, WASTEWATER, AND STORM WATER SERVICE. INCLUDE THE SUPPORTING CALCULATIONS FOR PROPOSED REVENUES IN EXCEL FORMAT WITH ALL FORMULAS INTACT.

## **RESPONSE:**

**PA-IV-37.** 

See response attachment PA-IV-37 which provides the FY 2024 and FY 2025 projected revenue under existing and proposed rates. These results are developed with the model file (Finplan23\_24.xlsx) previously provided to the Public Advocate, utilizing the projected revenue under existing rates sections and revising the rates to reflect the proposed rate schedules.

RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC

1	PA-IV-38.	PLEASE DESCRIBE EACH OF THE PROGRAMS CURRENTLY IN PLACE
2		DESIGNED TO REDUCE RESIDENTIAL STORM WATER VOLUMES.
3		IDENTIFY THE NUMBER OF CUSTOMERS PARTICIPATING IN EACH
4		PROGRAM AND THE ESTIMATED ANNUAL REDUCTION IN STORM
5		WATER VOLUMES ACHIEVED UNDER EACH PROGRAM. ALSO
6		IDENTIFY THE ANNUAL COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH EACH PROGRAM
7		AND EXPLAIN HOW THE PROGRAM IS FUNDED.
8		
9	RESPONSE	<b>:</b>
10	Prepar	ration of this response is in progress and will be provided in the future.
11		
12	RESPONSE	PROVIDED BY: Philadelphia Water Department
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	I	