

# CITY OF PHILADELPHIA DEPARTMENT OF LICENSES AND INSPECTIONS ANNUAL CERTIFICATION FOR SPRINKLER / STANDPIPE SYSTEMS

PROPERTY ADDRESS (BRT Address Required)

TESTING CONTRACTOR (Name and Address)

License No.

## ANNUAL CERTIFICATIONS MUST BE KEPT ON SITE FOR A PERIOD OF THREE YEARS

A. OWNER'S SECTION (TO BE COMPLETED BY THE PROPERTY OWNER OR AGENT) EXPLAIN ALL NO ANSWERS, EXCEPT AS NOTED

	Γ	Υ	Ν				Υ	Ν
1. Is the building occupied?				5.	Have there been any modifications to the system(s) since the last certification? (If yes, expla	in)		
2. Has the building occupancy, hazard, or floor layout changed since the last certification? (If yes, explai	n)			6.	Was there any action or alarm since the last certification? (If yes, expla	in)		
3. Are all systems in service?				7.	Does this certification cover all fire sprinkler and standpipe system	s in		
4. Are test reports and Annual Certifications kept on site?					the building?			
OWNER/AGENT SIGNATURE				Ρ	PRINT NAME			
NOTIFY THE PHILADELPHIA FIRE DEPARTMENT AT 215-922-6000	) BEF	ORE	TES	TS -	- OUT-OF-SERVICE OPERATOR # IN-SERVICE OPE	RATC	)R #	
B. CERTIFICATE HOLDER'S SECTION (ALL TESTS								
							,	
No. of Wet Systems: Make:				NO	0. 01 DFy Systems: Make:			
Model:				Мо	odel:			
	Y	Ν	NA	]		Y	Ν	NA
8. Were sprinklers in good condition and free of obstruction?				25	5. Were dry pipe system low point drains properly drained?			
9. Were spare sprinklers and wrenches available?				26	Was air pressure on dry pipe systems adequate?			
10. Were areas protected by wet systems properly heated?				27	7. Were dry pipe valve tests conducted with quick operating devices			
11. Were heads free of accumulation in spray areas?				(QOD)?				
12. Were hydraulic nameplates in place on risers?				28	3. Were tests of QOD's satisfactory?			
13. Were alarm devices provided and in good condition?				29	P. Were dry valves trip tested, results recorded, and left at site?			
14. Do any sprinklers need to be tested or replaced? (If yes, explain)				30	). Were dry valves full flow tested, recorded and left at the site			
15. Were all sprinkler pipes and fittings in good condition?				(3-year test — 2008-2011-2014)				
<ol> <li>Were gauges on all systems in good condition, indicating the proper pressure? (tested or replaced every 5 years)</li> </ol>					. Were air maintenance devices on dry systems tested satisfactorily?			
17. Were all waterflow alarm devices tested satisfactorily?				32	<ol><li>Were dry pipe valve rooms properly heated?</li></ol>			
18. Were main drains tested on all systems, results recorded, and left at the site?				33	B. Do air pressure relief valves have the proper rating?			
19. Were there any changes in drain tests from last year? (If yes, explain)				34	I. Were PRV valves opened fully and verified that the pump was running?			
20. DRAIN TEST: Location: Size: Before: Flow: After:				35	b. Were results of full flow tests on pressure regulating valves recorded and left at the site? (5-year test — 2010-2015-2020)			
21. Were hangers in good condition and securely attached to structure and piping?				36	b. Were valves in proper open or closed position, and properly supervised?			
22. Was the type of antifreeze agent listed on the tag?				37	7. Were valves protected from damage, accessible & operable?			
23. Were the specific gravity test results for antifreeze systems acceptable?				38	8. Were low air pressure alarms on dry systems tested satisfactorily?			
24. Were downstream pressures on pressure reducing valves satisfactory?				39	9. Were deluge/preaction valves trip tested by detector satisfactorily and results left at the site?			

### B. CERTIFICATE HOLDER'S SECTION CONTINUED

	Υ	Ν	NA		Υ	Ν	NA
40. Were the preaction system supervisory air pressures correct?				45. Were backflow preventers tested per the Plumbing Code?			
41. Were strainers checked and cleaned?				46. Were there Omega sprinklers on the system? (If yes, describe how many and their location)			
<ol> <li>Were check valves given their 5-year maintenance? (Year 2010-2015-2020)</li> </ol>				47. Were there O-ring voluntary recall sprinklers on the system? (If yes, describe how many and their location)			
<ol> <li>Was the sprinkler piping given its 5-year internal inspection (Year 2010-2015-2020)</li> </ol>				48. Were there Star ME-1 recall sprinklers on the system? (If yes, describe how many and their location)			
44. Were backflow preventers operational?				49. Were there any other sprinklers on the system that have been recalled? (If yes, describe type, how many and their location)			
No. of Control Valves Type							
Open: Yes No Secured: Yes No Closed: Ye	S	_ No		Signs: Yes No Condition			

#### C. FIRE DEPARTMENT CONNECTIONS

50. Were Fire Department connections visible and accessible with caps and plugs in place?		_	52. Were automatic drain valves/ball drips operating?		
51. Were proper signs in place per the Philadelphia Fire Code?			53. Was piping backflushed?		

D.	STANDPIPES: Yes No			TYF	E:	Wet	Dry		
	Class and Quantity of each: Class I Class II _			Clas	s III .				
	1. Static pressure at gauge: psi   2. I	Flow	condi	tion a	at hig	hest outlet:	gpm (Every 5 years — 2005-2010-2	015)	
54.	Were fittings and piping in good condition?				62. \	Were hose threads	s correct to national standard?		
	Were supports and hangers in good condition and well secured to piping and structure?				63. \	Were hose cabinet	doors, glazing and latches in good condition?		
56.	Were hose valve outlets free of damage and obstruction?					Were hose cabinet accessible?	s identified, free of obstructions and		
57.	Were valve handles in place?				65. V	Were hoses remov	red, inspected and re-racked?		
58.	Were outlet caps and gaskets in place?				66. \	Were hose test dat			
59. Were restricting devices in proper locations?					(Maximum 3 years, 5 years if new)				
60	Were pressure regulating valves properly set?				67. \	Were hose nozzles	s and gaskets in place?		
	Was a full flow test conducted by a method resulting in a			e	68. \	Were hose nozzles	s operable and free of obstruction?		
	umented minimum flow of 250 gallons and a minimum rate of gpm (5-year test — 2010-2015-2020)					Were dry standpipe (5-year test — 201	es given their hydrostatic test? 0-2015-2020)		

### E. FIRE PUMP: Yes No TYPE: Diesel Electric

70. Were fire pumps flow tested with the results recorded and left at the site?		 77. Were pump controllers functioning properly and left in automatic mode?		
71. Did fire pumps operate per specification at churn, 100% and 150% flow?		78. Were batteries and cables in good condition?		
72. Were all relief valves functioning properly?		79. Were fuel tanks full?		
73. Were packing glands adjusted?		80. Was pump room ventilation operating properly?		
74. Were motor and pump bearings lubricated?		81. Were exhaust systems in good condition and properly insulated?		
75. Were pump alarms functioning properly?		82. Where the fire pump is connected to standby power, was the		
76. Were engine coolant systems operating satisfactorily?		automatic transfer switch tested		

# COMMENTS:

ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECESSARY, INCLUDE FIRE PUMP TEST RESULTS

#### NAMES / SIGNATURES

#### The inspector must hold a Fire Suppression Systems Worker Specialty Certificate.

INSPECTOR'S NAME (PRINT):								
INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE:								
CERTIFICATE HOLDER'S NAME (PRINT):								
CERTIFICATE HOLDER'S SIGNATURE:								
ADDRESS:								
EMAIL:	PHONE NUMBER							
TEST DATE:	CERTIFICATE NUMBER							