PHILADELPHIA MATER DEPARTMENT MATER, SEMER AND STORM PUBLIC AND TECHNICAL HEARING WATER RATE BOARD TAP-R

3/31/2022

PHILADELPHIA WATER DEPARTMENT WATER, SEWER AND STORM WATER RATE BOARD TAP-R PUBLIC AND TECHNICAL HEARING RATE PERIOD: FY 2022 AND 2023

Thursday, March 31, 2022 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

TIME: 10:00 a.m.

LOCATION: Virtually

HELD BEFORE: MARLENE R. CHESTNUT

Hearing Officer

- - -

1	
2	(Public Hearing commenced at 10:00 a.m.)
3	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: This is
4	the Public Hearing that's been scheduled in
5	connection with the TAP-R Reconciliation
6	Filing made by the Philadelphia Water
7	Department.
8	This is an annual reconciliation of
9	projected versus actual experience only
10	directed to the TAP-R Rate rider and its
11	revenues. I am Hearing Officer Marlene R.
12	Chestnut who's been retained by the Rate
13	Board to conduct this hearing and this
14	proceeding. The Rate Board is an
15	independent body established to set rates
16	and charges for water and sewer service.
17	As you may be aware, there is a
18	court reporter who is taking down what
19	people are being said and will produce a
20	written record of this hearing. So please,
21	be sure to speak slowly and clearly. It's,
22	also, being recorded. And I'm not sure if
23	the recording is posted at that Rate
24	Board's website, but the transcript

1	certainly will be.
2	MR. LIANG: The recordings have
3	been posted.
4	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
5	Great. Thanks, Steven.
6	As I said, this is not a general
7	rate increase. It's only directed to the
8	TAP-R Reconciliation. It's a formula
9	that's applied to see see what costs and
10	how the experience has been in the past
11	year in order to set rates for the coming
12	year. To act I will introduce the
13	representatives of the active parties and
14	let them explain their positions briefly.
15	As to the Philadelphia Water
16	Department, Mr. Dasent, did you want to say
17	something?
18	MR. DASENT: Yeah. Andre Dasent
19	for the Department. Also, with me today is
20	Carl Shultz and Ji Jun. Missy LaBuda
21	should join us during the course of the
22	day. And Glen Abrams will give a statement
23	describing our position in the TAP-R
24	proceeding if necessary because of public

1	participants.
2	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
3	Mr. Abrams?
4	MR. ABRAMS: Thank you. Just a
5	moment. Sorry about that.
6	Well, thank you, Judge Chestnut,
7	and good morning, everyone. My name is
8	Glen Abrams. And I'm speaking on behalf of
9	the Philadelphia Water Department in this
10	proceeding. We first want to thank you all
11	for joining the hearing this morning to
12	share your views about the proposed TAP
13	Rate Rider or TAP-R. Annual this is an
14	annual adjustment that the Water Department
15	has filed with the Philadelphia Water,
16	Sewer and Storm Water Rate Board.
17	The TAP-R adjustment is proposed in
18	connection with the administration of the
19	Tiered Assistance Program, or TAP. TAP
20	allows low income customers to pay a bill
21	based upon their income. It will go up or
22	down based on their water usage. TAP
23	customers' bills are fixed as a percentage
24	of their income.

1	The TAP Rate Rider is a rate making
2	tool that allows PWD to recover the cost of
3	providing discounts under the TAP program.
4	The cost of TAP recovered via the TAP Rate
5	Rider may change over time due to multiple
6	factors, including the number of TAP
7	participants, water usage, changes in PWD
8	rates among other reasons.
9	PWD proposes adjustments to the TAP
10	Rate Rider annually to account for changes
11	in projected TAP costs as well as any over
12	or under recovery of costs via the TAP-R
13	rates. Based upon the most recent
14	reconciliation results, the Water
15	Department proposes to adjust TAP-R rates
16	effective September 1, 2022. If approved
17	by the Rate Board, the water TAP-R rates
18	will increase \$0.54 per thousand cubic feet
19	of water used; and the sewer TAP-R rate
20	will increase \$0.14 per thousand cubic
21	feet.
22	If this requested adjustment is
23	approved, a typical residential customer
24	using 500 cubic feet of water per month

1	would see their combined bill increase by
2	approximately \$0.70 monthly or, roughly,
3	1 percent above existing rates due to TAP-R
4	beginning on September 1, 2022.
5	If you would like more information
6	regarding the TAP-R Rider, the associated
7	rates and the bill impacts, a summary of
8	the proposed annual adjustment is posted at
9	the Rate Board website. We would like all
10	customers to be aware that if you are
11	experiencing financial hardship, please,
12	reach out to us to see if you may be
13	eligible for TAP, the senior discount or
14	other programs that are available to assist
15	households with payment difficulties.
16	These programs are accessible
17	through the City of Philadelphia's website
18	at phila.gov/waterbillhelp or by calling
19	215-685-6300, Monday through Friday between
20	8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m.
21	Now the purpose of today's session
22	is to hear from you, our customers. We

Now the purpose of today's session is to hear from you, our customers. We appreciate you taking the time to share your views with us today, and we look

23

24

1	forward to hearing from you.
2	Thank you.
3	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
4	Mr. Abrams did you have anything
5	further, Mr. Dasent?
6	MR. DASENT: Nothing further.
7	Thank you.
8	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Then I
9	would like to introduce the representative
10	of the Public Advocate. Mr. Ballenger, you
11	can explain what you do and what the
12	position that you're taking.
13	MR. BALLENGER: Thank you, Madam
14	Hearing Officer. My name is Robert
15	Ballenger. I'm an attorney at Community
16	Legal Services. And CLS has been appointed
17	to serve as the public advocate in these
18	proceedings. I'm joined by my colleague
19	Kinteshia Scott. And we have our witness
20	on for later, Mr. Lafayette Morgan, Jr.,
21	for the technical hearings on this matter.
22	
	So in general, this proceeding
23	involves what's called a reconciliation of

1	Tiered Assistance Program. And what
2	happens is annually, we look at how much
3	the program cost in the past and we project
4	how much the program may cost in the
5	future. And we adjust the rates up or
6	down. And that's happened now for three
7	years or so.
8	And there have been minor
9	adjustments up and down to make sure the
10	Water Department has adequate funding
11	through the rates to support the needs of
12	all the low income customers who are
13	enrolled in that program. We've constantly
14	strived to increase access to the program.
15	We continue to work on increasing access to
16	the program. However, there are some
17	obstacles. There are some structural
18	barriers that need to be resolved in order
19	for all Philadelphians to have true access
20	to affordable water service in
21	Philadelphia.
22	In this particular reconciliation
23	proceeding, the Water Department has
24	projected that it will dramatically

	2, 22, 222
1	increase the number of Tiered Assistance
2	Program participants over a short period of
3	time. While that would be fantastic for
4	those participants, our real objective here
5	is to figure out what's likely to occur in
6	the future and what the basis for the
7	correct cost of this program should be
8	since these are all, you know, projections
9	of future enrollment.
10	So, we do believe there will be
11	more customers enrolled in the Tiered
12	Assistance Program in the future. But
13	we're not quite sure that we believe the
14	Water Department has estimated that
15	correctly. And so, our testimony which has
16	been filed in this case projects a more
17	modest growth over a short period of time.

But nonetheless, you know, submits that

because there is additional federal

assistance for low income customers, that

maybe we'll be able to identify more

customers who have been enrolled in TAP in

the near future. And certainly, my hope

that we do so since water is a vital

1	necessity of life. And being able to
2	afford water is, of course, crucial to
3	maintaining that service.
4	So, we are here today to hear from
5	members of the public about what you think
6	about the future of the TAP program, about
7	its costs and about the Department
8	position. And if you have any response to
9	our position, we would love to hear that,
10	as well. Thank you for joining us.
11	Judge, that's all I've got.
12	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Thank
13	you, Mr. Ballenger.
14	In addition to these two parties,
15	who are the active parties who've given
16	testimony, other participants include the
17	Philadelphia Large Users Group; the
18	Philadelphia Water Revenue Board, which
19	does the billing and collection activities
20	for the Water Department; and two
21	individuals, Lance Haver and Michael
22	Skiendzielewski.
23	Now, we have adopted a schedule.
24	And as you can see, today is the hearing.

1	And after the hearing, I will let the
2	parties discuss settlement. And if they
3	don't settle, they can file briefs. And I
4	will issue a report, probably looking at
5	early May for my report, so that the Rate
6	Board can deliberate and address this in
7	early June.
8	Now with respect to today's public
9	hearing, if you have a billing or an
10	individual problem, please, indicate that.
11	We can direct you directly to work directly
12	with the company to resolve that in a
13	breakout room. But if you do have a
14	comment and the comments have to be
15	really addressed to the issue here, which
16	is the TAP-R reconciliation. This is not a
17	general rate increase. But if you do have
18	a comment about the TAP-R rates or the
19	program, obviously, we would be very
20	interested in hearing that.
21	Now, nobody actually signed up to
22	testify today except for Mr. Haver, who is
23	also a participant and, also, I assume,
24	will be participating in the technical

1	hearing.
2	So, Mr. Haver, did you want to make
3	a statement? Or do you want to wait and
4	let people from the public talk?
5	MR. HAVER: I wish to make a public
6	statement.
7	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Sure.
8	MR. HAVER: My name is Lance Haver.
9	I pay a water bill. I am not now or have I
10	ever been an employee of the Philadelphia
11	Water Department or any of its contractors.
12	I have no direct financial interest in any
13	company that does business with the
14	Philadelphia Water Department. Although,
15	it is possible that my pension fund has an
16	investment of which I am not aware.
17	The lack of public participation
18	should be one more indication of the
19	failure of the Public Advocate. The Public
20	Advocate has, once again, failed to engage
21	the public to use these proceedings to be
22	heard. I realize that pointing out the
23	ethical lapses in conflict of interest of
24	the Hearing Examiner and the person acting

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

as the Public Advocate will not increase
the likelihood that either will protect the
public interest. But there will come a
time when a moral and ethical people will
be given control of our Water Department,
so I want to make the points going forward
as a guiding light.

Neither the Public Advocate nor the person actin as the public -- the Hearing Examiner nor the person acting as the Public Advocate has placed on the record today their financial disclosure form. The Public Advocate, as an inducement program to the last two rate hikes, had given to his law firm with the approval of this Hearing Examiner, a no-bid contract. And while the managing partners of his law firm have financial interest in companies that do business with the Philadelphia Water Department that directly impact rates, the person acting as the Public Advocate and his law firm have failed to enter into the record today or in any other public proceedings conflict of interest

1	statements. And the Hearing Examiner has
2	failed to request them.
3	The time will come when we will no
4	longer accept such unethical and immoral
5	actions. Until then, I have no illusions
6	that the Public Advocate nor the Hearing
7	Examiner will use the record I am
8	establishing today to improve the lives of
9	Philadelphians. The so-called TAP losses
10	must not raise rates on rate payers. Even
11	if you believe and I do not and will
12	explain later in my testimony that
13	allowing low-income families to pay what
14	they can so that they may keep their water
15	on in their home, loses money. The burden
16	of any loss should not be placed on other
17	rate payers.
18	The proposals being advanced by the
19	Public Advocate does just that. He is
20	arguing that we should place an additional
21	charge on Philadelphia Water rate payers a
22	sales tax, whether it's \$0.70 a month or
23	\$0.65 a month, he is advocating a sales tax
24	on a basic necessity of life to cover the

1	cost of the poverty program, the TAP.
2	Imagine if the Public Advocate were
3	honest and he were to honestly say, for the
4	good of the Water Department, its
5	contractors and bond holders, we must tax
6	water consumers to pay for poverty program.
7	It is doubtful that there would be any
8	support for that position. So instead,
9	Mr. Ballenger, to hide his intention to tax
10	water consumers to pay for a low-income
11	program, misdirects the public and allows
12	this process to be called an annual
13	adjustment.
13 14	adjustment. Our society must help low-income
14	Our society must help low-income
14 15	Our society must help low-income people and should pay for that help, if
14 15 16	Our society must help low-income people and should pay for that help, if need be, with their injust taxes, not with
14 15 16 17	Our society must help low-income people and should pay for that help, if need be, with their injust taxes, not with a sales tax on a basic necessity as
14 15 16 17 18	Our society must help low-income people and should pay for that help, if need be, with their injust taxes, not with a sales tax on a basic necessity as Mr. Ballenger and the Water Department are
14 15 16 17 18	Our society must help low-income people and should pay for that help, if need be, with their injust taxes, not with a sales tax on a basic necessity as Mr. Ballenger and the Water Department are proposing. If the Hearing Leader was a
14 15 16 17 18 19	Our society must help low-income people and should pay for that help, if need be, with their injust taxes, not with a sales tax on a basic necessity as Mr. Ballenger and the Water Department are proposing. If the Hearing Leader was a fair and judicious adjudicator, she would
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Our society must help low-income people and should pay for that help, if need be, with their injust taxes, not with a sales tax on a basic necessity as Mr. Ballenger and the Water Department are proposing. If the Hearing Leader was a fair and judicious adjudicator, she would force the Public Advocate in hearing and

1	low-income plan. But, of course, she will
2	not. She has already shown how compromised
3	she is.
4	As for the TAP program creating a
5	loss, it's highly doubtful. The failure of
6	the Public Advocate to place on the record
7	the actual cost of a gallon of water, the
8	incremental cost of reading an additional
9	meter, the incremental cost of one
10	additional bills make it impossible to know
11	if any loss is created by selling water for
12	a price that low-income families can
13	afford.
14	To make it easier to understand,
15	how much money does the department store
16	lose when it sells something on sale? How
17	much money does a cell phone company lose
18	when it sells a phone below the advertised
19	price? Does a homeowner lose money if she
20	sells her home below the asking price? Of
21	course not.
22	A principled Public Advocate would
23	use cost accounting to attack the Water
24	Department's claim that it loses money by

1	allowing low-income people to pay what they
2	can. After all, if low-income families are
3	not allowed to pay what they can, the Water
4	Department loses money trying to collect
5	what it cannot, then loses then the
6	Water Department loses more money when it
7	turns the water off. And then, the City
8	loses money when it has to bear the
9	financial burden of homelessness and the
10	uncollected real estate taxes on vacant
11	buildings.
12	But we don't have a principled

Public Advocate. We have someone who accepted a no-bid contract for his law firm as an inducement for agreeing to two rate hikes. A Public Advocate who refuses to object to the Water Department keeping its \$150 million surplus in a bank that has no retail branches in the City, that is the client of two of his law firms executive committee. Instead of placing the money in a local credit union that would keep the money circulating in Philadelphia, the Public Advocate remains silent as the Water

Department	gives	financia	al incr	reases	s and
support to	his e	xecutive	board	of hi	is law
firm.					

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A Public Advocate who refuses to publicly support a public bank that would save Water Department rate payers millions, but hurt the bank's manq -- but hurt his management team at his law firm, shows how little he cares about the rate payers. do realize that the more I point out the immorality of the hearing Examiner and the Public Advocate, the less likely they are to listen to my points. But the truth is, they are so compromised and so unwilling to listen, as proved by the Public Advocate refusing to have an advisory committee to help inform his positions, that there is no way to reach them.

Consider this. The foundation of American democracy are checks and balances. The Public Advocate has purposely shut out from any checks and balances. He has said he doesn't have to meet with the public to form his positions. He has said, he does

1	not need advisory committee. He and he
2	alone believes that he can decide what's in
3	the public interest.
4	The Public Advocate, with very
5	minor changes, and the Hearing Examiner
6	will agree to grant the Water Department
7	the equivalent of sales tax to cover the
8	costs of the low-income plan. And they
9	will, also, agree for another rate
10	increase.
11	The hearing will not be covered by
12	the media because the Public Advocate has
13	allowed the Water Department to hide what
14	it's doing. With newspapers laying off
15	reporters, it is unlikely an investigative
16	reporter will expose the failure of the
17	Hearing Examiner and Public Advocate to
18	enter into the record financial disclosure
19	forms and conflict of interest statements.
20	I recognize that I am unlikely to
21	stop the immorality of placing the sales
22	tax on water. But I cannot allow this
23	aberrant behavior to go unchallenged, even
24	if all my challenge does is leave a trail

1	for those who come next.
2	That concludes my public testimony.
3	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Thank
4	you. Did you want to respond, Mr. Dasent
5	or Mr. Ballenger? Or would you rather wait
6	till the actual hearing and respond there?
7	MR. DASENT: I would prefer to wait
8	for the Water Department till the actual
9	hearing.
10	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
11	Mr. Ballenger?
12	MR. BALLENGER: Just very briefly.
13	And just to note out not that, again,
14	the personal attacks on me and Community
15	Legal Services are really inappropriate
16	here. They've, also, been raised multiple
17	times and rejected multiple times because,
18	in fact, we serve as a consultant pursuant
19	to a contract that was bid in public and
20	entered into in public and extended for
21	purposes of this proceeding.
22	But I am not going to respond to
23	the personal allegations here. But I do
24	want to just respond briefly regarding what

1	the TAP-R is. And I think it's important
2	that we really ground our discussion in
3	facts.
4	So in the State of Pennsylvania,
5	across the State of Pennsylvania, there are
6	utility or customer assistance programs
7	called CAPS. Every regulated gas and
8	util gas and electric utility has one.
9	And the costs of assisting low-income
10	customers with their bills in CAP programs
11	statewide is recovered through what's
12	called the Universal Service Fund Charge.
13	It's a volumetric charge that provides the
14	utility with the funding to discount
15	low-income customers bills.
16	It's not a tax. And in fact, for
17	it to be a tax would violate the state's
18	Uniformity Clause. So, it can't be a tax.
19	It's a surcharge. And we constructed the
20	Tiered Assistance Program Rate Recovery
21	mechanism, which we initially proposed in
22	2016 when the TAP was first approved and,
23	subsequently, implemented in 2018 because,
24	in fact, the first year that the TAP

1	program was launched, the Water Department
2	received \$16 million in base rates to fund
3	a low-income program that provided
4	\$4 million in discounts.
5	TAP-R was a solution to including
6	the cost of this vital program and base
7	rates. TAP-R ensures that customers don't
8	have to pay more than this program costs to
9	deliver affordable bills. It's not a tax.
10	It cannot be considered a tax. It is a
11	rate for the provision of water and storm
12	water and sewer service under the tariff of
13	the Philadelphia Water Department.
14	So, we are here to talk about how
15	much that particular cost will be in the
16	future and how it should be recovered
17	through the TAP-R, which was something
18	that, in fact, the Water Department and the
19	Public Advocate both supported because it's
20	consistent with these types of programs
21	statewide.
22	So, that's the reality of the
23	situation. That's what we are here to talk
24	about. And I look forward to the technical

1	hearing when we can discuss it more fully
2	and more accurately.
3	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
4	Thank you.
5	Now, is there any member of the
6	public who would like to make a statement?
7	If you can, raise your hand and Steven will
8	unmute you.
9	No? Okay.
10	Mr. Skiendzielewski, did you want
11	to say something?
12	Steven, can you unmute him?
13	MR. LIANG: It seems he muted
14	himself. I'm asking him to unmute, but
15	MR. SKIENDZIELEWSKI: Oh, unmute.
16	Okay. We okay now?
17	MR. LIANG: Yes. We can hear you,
18	Mr. Skiendzielewski.
19	MR. SKIENDZIELEWSKI: What is the
20	status of the financial disclosure for
21	Board Members and those
22	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I'm not
23	going to address that here. You have
24	raised that, and it will be responded to.
I	

1	But this is not the appropriate forum for
2	that.
3	MR. SKIENDZIELEWSKI: Well
4	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: If you
5	have a question about the TAP filing,
6	please, raise it.
7	MR. SKIENDZIELEWSKI: Well, I've
8	always had questions about financial and
9	conflict of interests and recusals. And
10	regardless of what the particular issue is
11	now at hand, those concerns go to the heart
12	of integrity, ethics, propriety and
13	professionalism.
14	You can choose to ignore it,
15	Ms. Chestnut, Mr. Popowsky and everybody
16	else. But the fact of the matter is, if a
17	foundation is unstable, impure,
18	compromised, then all this discussion is
19	likewise compromised. So
20	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
21	Mr. Skiendzielewski, your issue
22	MR. SKIENDZIELEWSKI: Can I finish?
23	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Your
24	issue has been addressed many times. Many

1	times. And your refusal to accept that
2	doesn't
3	MR. SKIENDZIELEWSKI: What did you
4	say?
5	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: mean
6	that they're valid, okay.
7	MR. SKIENDZIELEWSKI: I didn't hear
8	what you said.
9	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Anything
10	further?
11	MR. HAVER: Why isn't he allowed to
12	give public testimony?
13	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Relevant
14	to the issue here, certainly.
15	MR. HAVER: Well, let him finish.
16	Let's see if there's any relevancy. If you
17	decide it's not relevant, you can strike it
18	later.
19	MR. LIANG: He said he was
20	finished, Mr. Haver.
21	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: He's
22	finished.
23	MR. LIANG: He stated that he was
24	finished.

1	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Uh-huh.
2	Okay.
3	MR. HAVER: I'm sorry. I didn't
4	hear for the record, who is it that said
5	Mr. Skiendzielewski is finished?
6	MR. LIANG: Mr. Skiendzielewski
7	said he was finished.
8	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: He's
9	left.
10	MR. HAVER: That's Mr that's
11	Mr. Liang who is making that ruling; is
12	that right?
13	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Nobody
14	is making any ruling.
15	MR. LIANG: I was just quoting
16	Mr. Skiendzielewski. He said: I'm
17	finished. I'm done.
18	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
19	Is there anybody else, then, who
20	has a comment about the filing?
21	(No response.)
22	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: All
23	right. Then why don't we take a ten-minute
24	break. And then when we resume, we will go

```
to the technical hearing. Thank you very
1
 2
           much.
3
         (Public Hearing adjourned at 10:26 a.m.)
 4
5
       (Technical Hearing commenced at 10:40 a.m.)
6
                   HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I'd like
 7
           to get started then. This is hearing
8
           scheduled with respect to the TAP-R filing,
9
           Reconciliation filing.
10
                   For the record, let me state that I
11
           am Hearing Officer Marlene R. Chestnut.
12
           I'd like the parties and participants to
13
           identify for the record -- themselves for
14
           the record, starting with you, Mr. Dasent.
15
                   MR. DASENT: Yes. Good morning,
16
           again, Judge Chestnut. Andre Dasent for
17
           the Philadelphia Water Department. With me
18
           today is Carl Shultz. And on the line, I
19
           believe, is Ji Jun our general counsel has
20
           joined us. And I notice Melissa LaBuda,
21
           our CFO, has been on the line from time to
22
           time. And she's sort of checking in during
23
           the course of the morning.
24
                   Our witnesses today are from Black
```

1	and Veatch Brian Merritt and Dave
2	Jagt are on the line. And from
3	Raftelis, the second in line for cross this
4	morning, Jon Davis, Henrietta Locklear and
5	Brittany Baporis.
6	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Thank
7	you. Mr. Ballenger?
8	MR. BALLENGER: Good morning,
9	Judge. Robert Ballenger, Community Legal
10	Services for the Public Advocate. And
11	joined by Kinteshia Scott, also Community
12	Legal Services. And our witness, Lafayette
13	K. Morgan, Jr. is also with us today.
14	Thank you.
15	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Thank
16	you.
17	Mr. Haver, do you want to identify
18	yourself for the record, please?
19	MR. HAVER: Lance Haver.
20	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
21	Are there any preliminary matters?
22	MR. DASENT: Just some
23	housekeeping.
24	We did circulate, Judge Chestnut, a
i	

1	cross-examination matrix, which gives you
2	an idea if you sort of just read down the
3	matrix, the order that we propose to go.
4	And in fact, I see for Black and Veatch,
5	which is our first panel, that we do have
6	some cross from Mr. Haver.
7	We would proceed then to Raftelis
8	and then Mr. Morgan.
9	MR. BALLENGER: Just one other
10	preliminary, I believe we do have counsel
11	for the Large Users Group. I don't know if
12	they also need to or intend to
13	cross-examine witnesses today.
14	MR. DASENT: They indicated they
15	did not. And it's reflected in the matrix.
16	MR. BALLENGER: Sorry. I haven't
17	looked at that.
18	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Charis,
19	did you want to identify yourself for the
20	record, or or not?
21	MS. MINCAVAGE: Yes. I'm happy to
22	do so, Your Honor.
23	Good morning. This is Charis
24	Mincavage. I'm with the law firm of

1	McNees, Wallace and Nurick. And I'm here
2	representing this morning the Philadelphia
3	Large Users Group or PLUG.
4	And Mr. Dasent is correct. At this
5	time, we have not indicated any cross from
6	any of the witnesses. Certainly, if
7	something were to come out at cross via
8	witness, we would reserve the right to
9	perform any follow up.
10	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
11	Thank you.
12	MS. MINCAVAGE: Thank you.
13	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
14	Mr. Dasent?
15	MR. DASENT: Yeah. We, also,
16	probably should agree to authentication of
17	testimony by stipulation. We, usually, do
18	that as opposed to going through
19	individually for each witness, you know,
20	their testimony is true and correct, et
21	cetera. And if that's agreeable to
22	counsel, that's how we will proceed this
23	morning.
24	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Any

1	objection?
2	MR. BALLENGER: None, thank you.
3	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
4	MR. DASENT: I might, also, mention
5	that our various exhibits proffered by the
б	Water Department for the TAP-R filing are
7	posted with the formal notice; the B&V
8	schedules or Black and Veatch, lay out the
9	calculations and methodology. The RFC
10	schedules, which also provides various
11	reports and assumptions, including the TAP
12	enrollment assumptions for the next rate
13	year.
14	We, also, have PWD Exhibit 1A and
15	1B, which shows the proposed rates and
16	charges plain and black lined. And our
17	Rebuttal Statement, which is offered by RC
18	or Raftelis Financial Consultants. And
19	that's PWD Rebuttal Statement 1. And all
20	of those are part of the record. And we
21	hope and ask that they be included in the
22	record at the conclusion of this case.
23	You will note this morning the
24	major area of dispute, Your Honor, will be

1	the determination of the average monthly
2	TAP participation level at the end of the
3	next rate year. We are at one end of I
4	call it a zone of reasonableness at
5	28,731. Mr. Morgan is at 23,075. But
6	within that range, we are saying basically
7	at the end of the next rate year, that we
8	will be at some higher level. And we are
9	starting at participation levels currently
10	at 17,148. So, we are debating that very
11	narrow issue.
12	And that's all I have.
13	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
14	Mr. Ballenger?
15	I'm sorry. I guess at this point,
16	there really isn't anything for you to say.
17	MR. BALLENGER: No. Thank you,
18	Judge.
19	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
20	Mr. Dasent, did you want to present
21	your
22	MR. DASENT: Yes. We, at this
23	time, proffer Black and Veatch as a panel.
24	It will be Brian Merritt and Dave Jagt.

1	And they are avail
2	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: And,
3	Mr. Ballenger, you indicated that you had
4	no cross for them; is that correct?
5	MR. BALLENGER: That's correct,
6	Your Honor.
7	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Now,
8	Mr. Haver, you said you do have cross for
9	this panel?
10	MR. HAVER: Correct.
11	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
12	Go ahead.
13	MR. HAVER: How many residential
14	water accounts does the Water Department
15	have?
16	MR. DASENT: We might be able to
17	give you an order of magnitude.
18	Brian, can you speak to that?
19	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Let me
20	just clarify. Do you mean at the current
21	time?
22	MR. HAVER: At the current time,
23	how many accounts does the Philadelphia
24	Water Department have? Residential

1	accounts.
2	MR. JAGT: 420,000 approximately,
3	subject to check.
4	MR. HAVER: What is the percentage
5	of Philadelphians living at or below the
6	poverty level?
7	MR. MERRITT: The statistics that I
8	have seen from the Pew Charitable Trust,
9	this would be, I believe, from 2019 subject
10	to check, is around 23 percent.
11	MR. HAVER: Would you accept the
12	Pew Study says 25.7 percent?
13	MR. MERRITT: I've seen ranges
14	between 26 and 23, so subject to check,
15	sounds reasonable.
16	MR. HAVER: How many how many
17	individuals does that mean are living at or
18	below the poverty level in Philadelphia?
19	Would you accept, subject to check, it's
20	374,000? I am sure both of you have
21	calculators on your phone. If you want to
22	take your phones out and do the numbers,
23	feel free.
24	MR. DASENT: We can stipulate to

1	that number order of magnitude, Mr. Haver.
2	MR. HAVER: How many families would
3	that be? Again, feel free to use your
4	phone calculators. It's obvious you're not
5	familiar with these numbers, so feel free
6	to just generate them now on your phones.
7	MR. DASENT: Are you assuming a
8	family of four?
9	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: If you
10	want to list numbers, that's fine.
11	MR. HAVER: I was assuming that
12	your technical experts would know what the
13	average family in Philadelphia is, but
14	perhaps they don't. Maybe I made an
15	erroneous assumption about how much or how
16	little they knew.
17	Let me back up. What is the
18	average size of the Philadelphia family?
19	Do you not know?
20	MR. DASENT: They're a mix of
21	family sizes, Mr
22	MR. HAVER: Mr. Dasent, I'm asking
23	directly of your witnesses.
24	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I don't

1	see how it's even relevant. But if you are
2	able to answer it, answer it. If you are
3	not, then just say that you don't have that
4	information.
5	MR. JAGT: Offhand, we don't have
6	that information right available to us. As
7	a rate consultant for the overall rates,
8	we're not you know, Raftelis may be a
9	better reference for the TAP-R and the
10	low-income program and the customer base
11	for TAP-R.
12	MR. HAVER: Well, I have a number
13	of questions before I get to the TAP-R
14	figures. Trying to draw from the
15	demographics. I am assuming at this point,
16	you won't know the answers. But I'm still
17	going to ask on the record.
18	How many Philadelphians live at 150
19	percent of poverty or below?
20	MR. DASENT: Mr. Haver, we can
21	answer that question. I just don't know
22	that this is the witness.
23	MR. HAVER: Okay. Who would be the
24	appropriate witness to ask that of?

1	MR. DASENT: I believe if we look
2	to Raftelis, we can get, you know, an order
3	of magnitude because we have more
4	information tied to the TAP program and the
5	various tiered assistance that we offer.
6	So, would give you a greater insight.
7	MR. HAVER: But my questions
8	revolving around what percentage of the
9	families, of the overall families are
10	enrolled in TAP are all, you're saying, for
11	different one of your witnesses; is that
12	correct?
13	MR. DASENT: That's correct.
14	MR. HAVER: Okay.
15	MR. DASENT: Raftelis is in the
16	room, so we will be able to answer it
17	shortly.
18	MR. HAVER: Okay. Thank you. I
19	will skip the next section of questions and
20	save them for your next expert.
21	To these experts, have you reviewed
22	PGW's filing with the Pennsylvania Public
23	Utility Commission on universal service?
24	MR. MERRITT: Which filings?

1	MR. HAVER: The most recent filing.
2	
	MR. MERRITT: We are familiar with
3	it. I don't know that I've I have
4	all I don't know that I have the most
5	recent one.
6	MR. HAVER: Okay. Would you know
7	how many families are enrolled in PGW's CRP
8	program?
9	MR. DASENT: How many accounts or
10	households?
11	MR. HAVER: Either one.
12	MR. DASENT: Raftelis know this
13	absolutely. It's order of magnitude,
14	62,000. Order of magnitude.
15	MR. HAVER: Mr. Dasent
16	Mr. Dasent, part of what I am trying to
17	explore is how much or how little your
18	technical experts know.
19	MR. JAGT: Chair, this is
20	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Why
21	don't you ask your questions to the scope
22	of their assignment, Mr. Haver? They are
23	not here to talk about the design of the
24	TAP program. Aren't they talking about the

1	rates and the calculation of the rates?
2	MR. DASENT: That's correct.
3	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Maybe
4	I'm wrong on that, Mr. Dasent, but that's
5	the way the scope of their testimony.
6	And that's what you should be
7	MR. HAVER: If Your Honor is not
8	going to let me ask my questions, then,
9	Your Honor, will not let me ask my
10	questions. And she will prove the point I
11	made in my opening. I ask my questions
12	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: You can
13	ask any relevant
14	MR. HAVER: I am a legal party.
15	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: You can
16	ask
17	MR. HAVER: I have a right to ask
18	my questions without your intervention.
19	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: You can
20	ask any relevant question.
21	MR. HAVER: And if you want to
22	after I ask my question
23	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: If you
24	ask questions that are not relevant

1	MR. HAVER: if you want to rule
2	me out of order, of course you can. But
3	your aiding Mr. Dasent is inappropriate and
4	just another
5	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I'm not
6	aiding Mr. Dasent. Stop making
7	accusations, Mr. Haver.
8	MR. HAVER: Why? Why shouldn't I
9	tell the truth?
10	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: It's not
11	the truth.
12	MR. HAVER: Then stop helping
13	Mr. Dasent.
14	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
15	Mr. Haver
16	MR. HAVER: Don't be prejudiced.
17	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: ask
18	questions
19	MR. HAVER: You are supposed to be
20	an adjudicator, not the aider of Mr.
21	Dasent.
22	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Come on.
23	Mr. Haver, either ask questions
24	directed to their testimony or move on.

1	MR. HAVER: I am asking questions.
2	You are interfering with my
3	cross-examination on purpose with
4	prejudice.
5	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Oh, for
6	goodness sake.
7	MR. HAVER: You are aiding
8	Mr. Dasent on purpose and with prejudice.
9	Shame on you.
10	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Shame on
11	you, Mr. Haver.
12	MR. HAVER: Going back to my
13	questions
14	MR. DASENT: I think we can answer
15	your questions. It's just the question of
16	which witness you need to ask. And we will
17	help you with that.
18	MR. HAVER: Okay.
19	Can you explain to me the
20	difference between a surcharge and a tax?
21	MR. MERRITT: Surcharge
22	specifically, the TAP-R surcharge is
23	related to the recovery of discounts
24	provided to the customer enrolled in the

1	
	TAP program. And recovery volumetric
2	charge that's included in the overall
3	quantity charges for water and sewer
4	service for Philadelphia Water Department
5	retail customers.
6	MR. HAVER: Would you in your
7	expert opinion, would it be good public
8	policy to surcharge a gallon of milk to pay
9	for the SNAP program?
10	MR. MERRITT: The I'm sorry.
11	Which program?
12	MR. HAVER: SNAP. Perhaps you're
13	not familiar with SNAP.
14	MR. MERRITT: We're providing
15	testimony on the documentation we submitted
16	in support of this filing before
17	MR. HAVER: I understand. I'm
18	asking in your expert do you not know
19	what SNAP is?
20	MR. DASENT: You're talking about
21	food stamps, I assume
22	MR. HAVER: Again, Mr. Dasent,
23	please, don't help your witnesses. Let
24	them answer they don't know it. It exposes

1	how little or how much they know. You are
2	basing your arguments on their expertise.
3	And I have every right to examine how great
4	or how little their expertise is.
5	If they don't know what SNAP is,
6	they can go on the record and say, I don't
7	know what SNAP is.
8	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I'm
9	going to start not allowing you to ask
10	questions that are irrelevant. Now, I let
11	you have a lot of latitude here.
12	MR. HAVER: I don't believe so,
13	Your Honor. I believe you are
14	prejudiced
15	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: You can
16	disagree. That's fine.
17	MR. HAVER: and you are stopping
18	me from putting on my case.
19	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Why
20	don't you move on.
21	MR. HAVER: Do you support SNAP
22	is the old food stamp program.
23	In your expert opinions, is it good
24	public policy to surcharge for a gallon of

1	milk to help low-income people pay for
2	groceries?
3	MR. DASENT: Please, note our
4	objection. Please, note our objection.
5	This is completely irrelevant to this
6	proceeding.
7	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
8	Sustained.
9	MR. HAVER: What are the
10	incremental costs of one what is the
11	actual cost of one gallon of water
12	separating out the cost of water, the
13	commodity, from the cost of delivering it
14	from the cost of billing and metering?
15	MR. JAGT: The cost of service
16	analysis that we used as recognized in the
17	2021 rate case settlement is the base-extra
18	capacity method. It's the industry
19	accepted methodology for water rate cost
20	allocation.
21	We do not use the incremental
22	methodology that you are referencing. So,
23	we did not development the incremental cost
24	as you are identifying.

1	Incremental cost method is another
2	form of subsidization that is not reflected
3	in the current approach.
4	MR. HAVER: Again, under your
5	calculations and your expert opinion, what
6	is the actual cost of one gallon of water,
7	the commodity, separated out from the
8	delivery, the meter reading and the billing
9	and the service?
10	MR. JAGT: Today's testimony is
11	regarding the TAP-R, and we can discuss
12	that. I can pull up the information from
13	the 2021 rate settlement and provide that
14	information in a follow up. But the
15	relative testimony for today, that is not,
16	you know, within the scope of the testimony
17	that we provided for TAP-R.
18	MR. HAVER: How then, in your
19	expert opinion, do you calculate the loss
20	if you don't know the commodity cost?
21	MR. DASENT: Objection.
22	We just indicated that it was
23	irrelevant to that particular analysis.
24	But to ask the direct question, how did you

1	calculate the revenue loss, is another
2	issue.
3	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Yes.
4	Go ahead, Mr. Haver.
5	MR. HAVER: I've asked my question.
6	I'm waiting for an answer.
7	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: The
8	objection was sustained.
9	MR. HAVER: We'll see how
10	prejudiced you are, and if you are not
11	going to allow know me to make my point.
12	Go ahead, Judge Chestnut. Prove
13	how much you want the next Hearing Examiner
14	position by helping Mr. Dasent out again
15	prove it.
16	MR. DASENT: Note our continuing
17	objection.
18	MR. HAVER: You must be desperate
19	for funds.
20	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Yes.
21	I'm desperate, yes.
22	MR. HAVER: By the way, where is
23	your financial disclosure form? I couldn't
24	find it either.

1	MR. DASENT: Objection. Again, we
2	are going way beyond the scope of the
3	proceeding. And we are getting into ad
4	hominem attacks.
5	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Yes.
6	MR. HAVER: Asking for financial
7	disclosure form
8	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: You want
9	to know the cost of a gallon of water. And
10	that's not relevant to this. And then, I
11	think you were trying to ask how to
12	calculate the revenue associated with the
13	TAP program, aren't you? Is that what
14	you
15	MR. DASENT: The revenue loss.
16	MR. HAVER: I am asking what I am
17	asking. And I am waiting for an answer.
18	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Well,
19	clarify what you're asking. Go back and
20	let me know what it is you're asking.
21	MR. HAVER: If you don't have a
22	figure for the commodity of a gallon of
23	water, how do you calculate the loss from
24	the discounted rate?

1	MR. JAGT: Again, we are supporting
2	the testimony we submitted. And that
3	question is not part of our testimony. We
4	can provide the overall credits. I can
5	explain how the credits are identified.
6	I mean, the credits that you are
7	referencing are the difference in cost per
8	gallon. We do, do a comparison of what the
9	TAP customers are paying based on their
10	income relative to the amount that they're
11	billed under their current rate structure.
12	And that difference is recovered through
13	TAP-R the, credits that are applied to the
14	bills.
15	So and those are all based on
16	existing rate structure relative to the
17	MR. HAVER: And I and you've
18	made that point repeatedly. And I am
19	asking once again, if you don't know how
20	much the commodity
21	MR. JAGT: I know, sir. I should
22	look at the documentation. Again, we were
23	prepared to discuss the TAP-R testimony
24	which didn't you know, does not present

1	the unit cost behind that information. I
2	can get the unit costs and provide it in a
3	response. I just
4	MR. HAVER: Thank you.
5	MR. JAGT: don't have it right
6	now.
7	MR. HAVER: I appreciate you
8	getting that. I'm going to assume you have
9	the same answer for me, but I will ask the
10	questions.
11	What's the incremental cost of
12	reading one additional meter through the
1.2	
13	Water Department's automated meter reading?
14	Is that something
15	MR. DASENT: Objection. Objection:
16	Irrelevant to this proceeding.
17	MR. HAVER: It's not irrelevant.
18	The only way to calculate the loss is to
19	know what the actual cost are for
20	incremental people.
21	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: No.
22	MR. DASENT: Why don't you ask him
23	how to calculate the loss, sir?
24	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Ask him
	HILL YSW . IONIGGUD YGOLLIO OLLIGGUD YGOLLIOL

1	to calculate it. Yeah.
2	MR. HAVER: He said how he
3	calculated it. I'm asking him to calculate
4	it in a different way.
5	He said, he looked at what the
6	current rates are and what the discount was
7	for what people on TAP were paying. I am
8	asking what the actual cost is of adding an
9	additional customer? And what the actual
10	cost is of a gallon of water? And what the
11	actual cost is for adding one person for a
12	bill?
13	Those are different questions.
13 14	
	Those are different questions.
14	Those are different questions. MR. DASENT: And we are suggesting
14 15	Those are different questions. MR. DASENT: And we are suggesting to you, based upon our analysis, it's
14 15 16	Those are different questions. MR. DASENT: And we are suggesting to you, based upon our analysis, it's irrelevant to this particular proceeding,
14 15 16 17	Those are different questions. MR. DASENT: And we are suggesting to you, based upon our analysis, it's irrelevant to this particular proceeding, but we will provide information that
14 15 16 17 18	Those are different questions. MR. DASENT: And we are suggesting to you, based upon our analysis, it's irrelevant to this particular proceeding, but we will provide information that Mr. Jagt has indicated he will try to get
14 15 16 17 18	Those are different questions. MR. DASENT: And we are suggesting to you, based upon our analysis, it's irrelevant to this particular proceeding, but we will provide information that Mr. Jagt has indicated he will try to get for you. But it's not relevant to his
14 15 16 17 18 19	Those are different questions. MR. DASENT: And we are suggesting to you, based upon our analysis, it's irrelevant to this particular proceeding, but we will provide information that Mr. Jagt has indicated he will try to get for you. But it's not relevant to his particular calculation of loss revenues
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Those are different questions. MR. DASENT: And we are suggesting to you, based upon our analysis, it's irrelevant to this particular proceeding, but we will provide information that Mr. Jagt has indicated he will try to get for you. But it's not relevant to his particular calculation of loss revenues associated with TAP. He can tell you how

1	MR. HAVER: I object to Mr. Dasent
2	testifying.
3	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: He's not
4	testifying.
5	MR. HAVER: It's not appropriate.
6	He certainly is.
7	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Listen,
8	Ms. King Mr. Haver
9	MR. HAVER: Putting on the record
10	his opinion
11	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Stop.
12	Stop.
13	MR. HAVER: He's testifying.
14	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Stop.
15	MR. HAVER: Go ahead and let him do
16	it. Again, prove my point, Your Honor.
17	Prove my point. Let him testify all he
18	wants.
19	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: The
20	attorneys do not testify. Their statements
21	have no probative weight. We've been
22	through this. Attorneys do not testify.
23	MR. HAVER: You allow them to
24	repeatedly.

1	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: He is
2	not testifying.
3	MR. HAVER: You can shout all you
4	want and you can laugh all you want, but it
5	doesn't change the facts that you are
6	prejudiced and your rulings are
7	prejudicial. And you are getting in the
8	way of justice for poor and working people.
9	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Of
10	course. Okay. Listen, I don't know how
11	much you have. But if there's anything
12	relevant, why don't you present it.
13	MR. HAVER: I am presenting it.
14	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: It's
15	already been explained to you
16	MR. HAVER: You are getting in the
17	way of me
18	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: The
19	scope
20	MR. HAVER: making my case.
21	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: of
22	the testimony.
23	MR. HAVER: You are doing the Water
24	Department's job by stopping me from

1	probing and getting my facts on the record.
2	How much
3	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I don't
4	have an obligation for you to put your
5	case on for you.
6	MR. HAVER: They have an obligation
7	to answer the questions under
8	cross-examination.
9	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: They
10	have an obligation to answer questions
11	MR. HAVER: How I choose to make
12	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
13	directed to the testimony.
14	MR. HAVER: my case is my right,
15	my legal right to make my case in the way I
16	want.
17	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Right.
18	But you can't
19	MR. HAVER: You have no right to
20	interfere with it.
21	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: You
22	cannot use other people's experts to put on
23	your case.
24	MR. HAVER: Of course I can. Of

1	course that's legal. You can cross-examine
2	other people's witnesses to make your facts
3	known. That's exactly what's done, and you
4	know it. And you are trying to stop me.
5	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
6	Mr. Haver, you know, at some point,
7	you're going to have to move on. You are
8	just
9	MR. HAVER: I'm trying to move on.
10	You are not letting me ask my questions.
11	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I am
12	trying to answer your questions, but you're
13	not
14	MR. HAVER: I have a list of
15	questions I am trying to ask to, one, probe
16	their expertise; two, probe their
17	knowledge; three, to probe their numbers;
18	and four, to probe alternative theories.
19	You are interfering with each and every one
20	of those levels on purpose. You are doing
21	it on purpose, which is amazing.
22	You are not a stupid person. You
23	are
24	MR. DASENT: Ask your question.

1	Ask your next question.
2	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Please.
3	MR. HAVER: Why are you allowed to
4	talk until you're finished, but I am not
5	allowed to talk until I'm finished? Why do
6	we have two sets of standards, Mr. Dasent?
7	MR. DASENT: I don't think we have
8	two sets of standards. I just need a
9	relevant question, and we will answer it.
10	MR. HAVER: Let me finish my
11	statement.
12	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
13	MR. DASENT: What's your question?
14	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Ask your
15	question. And you know what witnesses, if
16	it's not within the scope of your
17	testimony, I don't even need a ruling on
18	that. Then don't answer it, okay? Say
19	it's not within the scope of your
20	testimony.
21	MR. HAVER: How much does it cost
22	the Water Department to terminate someone's
23	service?
24	MR. DASENT: Is that in the scope

1	of your testimony?
2	MR. MERRITT: That's not within the
3	scope of our testimony.
4	MR. DASENT: Thank you.
5	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Move on.
6	MR. HAVER: How much does it cost
7	the Philadelphia Water Department to
8	attempt a collection on a bill that has not
9	been paid in three months?
10	MR. MERRITT: That's not within the
11	scope of our testimony.
12	MR. HAVER: How much does it cost
13	the Philadelphia Water Department to turn
14	somebody back on?
15	MR. MERRITT: That's not within the
16	scope of our testimony.
17	MR. HAVER: How much does it cost
18	the City of Philadelphia to care for a
19	family whose water has been turned off?
20	MR. MERRITT: That's not within the
21	scope of our testimony.
22	MR. HAVER: If a TAP customer pays
23	for all of the commodity charges plus
24	something, does that person does that

1	account help other rate payers or harm
2	other rate payers?
3	MR. MERRITT: Can you restate the
4	question?
5	MR. HAVER: Yes. If a TAP customer
6	covers the cost of the commodity water and
7	adds something to the infrastructure cost,
8	does that customer help lessen the burden
9	on other rate payers? Or does that
10	customer increase the burden on other rate
11	payers?
12	MR. JAGT: What is the basis for
13	your commodity?
14	I mean, it's subject to the
15	relativity of the commodity rate you are
16	assuming. Because obviously, right
17	now their TAP customers do not pay the
18	full amount. They're paying a lower amount
19	based on their income. And that's why we
20	are, you know, identifying the level of
21	credits that are added to them and
22	recovering it through TAP-R.
23	MR. HAVER: So, I don't know how to
24	pronounce your name. I will do my best.

1	Mr. Jag-it?
2	MR. JAGT: It's "yacht" like the
3	boat.
4	MR. HAVER: Mr. Jagt. I'm not
5	asking right now specific. I'm asking in
6	your expert opinion. If a customer, a TAP
7	customer covers the commodity charge plus
8	something, does that letting them pay
9	that, does that help the rest of the rate
10	base? Or does that help create a deficit
11	for the rest of the rate base?
12	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Going to
13	disallow that. This is not a proceeding to
14	talk about the implementation or design of
15	a TAP-R program. This is a recon that's
16	done in the general rate case.
17	MR. HAVER: No. You're
18	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: That's
19	is a reco
20	MR. HAVER: Another example
21	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Don't
22	interrupt me again.
23	MR. HAVER: If you want to
24	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Don't

1	interrupt me again.
2	MR. HAVER: it's a deficit
3	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Angela
4	cannot
5	MR. HAVER: that forces you the
6	surcharge from Mr. Ballenger's agreement.
7	It's a surcharge on the rate payers. I
8	have every right to find out whether the
9	experts are saying that it does create a
10	deficit for the other rate payers. That is
11	absolutely relevant.
12	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: That is
13	not correct.
14	MR. HAVER: It's absolutely
15	appropriate.
16	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: That is
17	not correct. This is not a proceeding to
18	discuss whether the TAP program should be
18	
	discuss whether the TAP program should be
19	discuss whether the TAP program should be implemented or how to implement it. This
19 20	discuss whether the TAP program should be implemented or how to implement it. This is a reconciliation proceeding.
19 20 21	discuss whether the TAP program should be implemented or how to implement it. This is a reconciliation proceeding. MR. HAVER: Right. And to find

1	MR. HAVER: To find out what the
2	deficit is.
3	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: And you
4	are talking about program design. And that
5	is not a relevant issue.
6	MR. HAVER: I am not. I am asking
7	a specific question about whether allowing
8	someone to pay for the commodity plus a
9	penny creates a deficit to the whole or
10	creates an advantage to the whole.
11	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: You
12	don't have to answer those kinds of
13	questions. Go ahead.
14	MR. HAVER: I will reserve the rest
15	of my questions for the other witnesses.
16	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
17	Does anybody have any does anybody else
18	have questions for this panel?
19	MR. LIANG: I don't have questions.
20	But the court reporter wanted me to say
21	that, she's having difficulty following
22	when multiple speak multiple people
23	speak at once. So if we can keep the
24	record more organized, I guess.

1	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Yes.
2	Thank you. And that's directed to you,
3	Mr. Haver.
4	MR. HAVER: That's funny. I'd like
5	to direct it to you.
б	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Do you
7	have any redirect, Mr. Dasent?
8	MR. HAVER: That's funny. I
9	thought it was directed to you for
10	interrupting me.
11	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
12	Mr. Dasent, do you have anything
13	further?
14	MR. DASENT: We have nothing
15	further.
16	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: For
17	redirect?
18	MR. DASENT: Thank you. Nothing
19	further.
20	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Thank
21	you, Mr. Jagt, Mr. Merritt. You are
22	excused.
23	Did you want to present your second
24	panel, Mr

1	MR. DASENT: Yes. Thank you, Your
2	Honor. Our second witness panel is from
3	Raftelis Financial Consultants Jon
4	Davis, Henrietta Locklear and Brittany
5	Baporis. I believe they are in the room.
6	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
7	MR. DASENT: The witnesses are
8	available for cross.
9	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
10	Mr. Ballenger, did you you
11	indicated no cross for them. Is that still
12	the case?
13	MR. BALLENGER: No. I, actually,
14	indicated extensive cross for them. I
15	think there's a typo that's says moderate.
16	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Oh,
17	okay. Oh, moderate, yeah. Okay. Go
18	ahead.
19	MR. BALLENGER: Thank you, Judge.
20	And just want to acknowledge distributing
21	in advance of the hearing our hearing
22	exhibit, which I've asked be entered into
23	the record and marked as Public Advocate
24	Hearing-1.

1	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
2	So marked.
3	MR. BALLENGER: Thank you.
4	And I'm just going to speak to the
5	panel and hope that one of you chime up,
6	please. I know you co-sponsored your
7	testimony multiple times here. So, I
8	don't I don't mind if one of you takes
9	the lead and others contribute as you see
10	fit just so long as we move through this.
11	And I will try to move through this as
12	quickly as possible.
13	If I can start just by directing
14	you to Schedule RFC-1 in your direct
15	testimony in the paragraph that begins with
16	the heading Projection. And I just want to
17	make sure I understand the basis for your
18	projections.
19	In that paragraph you explain that
20	the automatic
21	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Sorry.
22	Mr. Ballenger, what was that reference?
23	MR. BALLENGER: It's Schedule
24	RFC-1, which is attached to the formal

1	notice as part of the direct testimony.
2	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
3	MR. DASENT: I'm trying to get
4	there. Give me one second.
5	MR. BALLENGER: No problem. And
6	this is more overview. I expect the
7	witnesses can probably answer it without
8	having to review too carefully.
9	MR. DASENT: Okay.
10	MR. BALLENGER: The question is,
11	whether I understand correctly that your
12	projections are based on auto-enrollment on
13	a reciprocal basis with LIHWAP, Low Income
14	Home Water Assistance Program, eligibility
15	determinations; isn't that correct?
16	MR. DAVIS: I'm sorry. Yes, that
17	is correct.
18	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. And turning
19	to the very next page, this page is
20	(audio fades out.)
21	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I'm
22	sorry. Mr. Ballenger, I can't hear you
23	there.
24	MR. BALLENGER: Sorry. I just want

1	to acknowledge that each page is numbered
2	on the bottom left, but the cover page is
3	not. So if you are looking at the
4	numbering in your pdf, you are you will
5	need to go one page further than what I
6	say.
7	On the first page of Hearing
8	Exhibit 1 is response to PA Tab No. 4. Can
9	I assume you prepared that response or can
10	answer some questions about it?
11	MS. LOCKLEAR: I'm sorry, Rob. I
12	got a little confused about whether you are
13	talking what document you were talking
14	about. Could you say that again, please?
15	MR. BALLENGER: Yes. In the
16	hearing exhibit, I for your courtesy
17	here, I just included some of the data
18	responses I would like to discuss. And the
19	parts is in PA Tab 4. And the question is
20	whether you can answer some questions about
21	that particular response.
22	MR. DAVIS: That was a response by
23	the City. But I believe we can potentially
24	shed some light on it.

1	MR. BALLENGER: Thank you. And if
2	not, we can find another way.
3	In that response, it states that
4	you're working on an algorithm for a pilot
5	program to enable rapid enrollment of
6	LIHWAP recipients into TAP.
7	Is there a difference between rapid
8	enrollment and automatic enrollment? And
9	if so, can you please explain it?
10	MR. DAVIS: I think as we're using
11	the term here and, as yet, these all
12	these things have not been totally
13	finalized, I think we are using them more
14	or less synonymously.
15	MR. BALLENGER: Is it envisioned
16	that LIHWAP recipients enroll in TAP,
17	through whatever the process becomes,
18	whether it's called rapid or automatic
19	enrollment, they would to have to indicate
20	their agreement with program terms at some
21	point?
22	MR. DAVIS: Henrietta, I'm not sure
23	the determination has been made on that at
24	the city level. Can do we are we

1	able to speak to that?
2	MS. LOCKLEAR: It's likely. But I
3	don't think totally finalized. That would
4	be the case.
5	MR. BALLENGER: I ask because there
6	are obligations of TAP recipients that are
7	acknowledge in the ordinary course of
8	application.
9	For example, that they would accept
10	and reasonably maintain any
11	pre-conservation assistance provided to
12	them. And without having some sort of
13	acknowledgment, it seems to me that someone
14	automatically enrolled wouldn't have that
15	obligation.
16	Wouldn't you agree?
17	MR. DAVIS: Pending the definition
18	of automatically, which is not yet
19	determined.
20	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. In the
21	response here in PA Tab 4, it also states
22	the project team believes the majority of
23	LIHWAP recipients would be eligible to
24	enroll in TAP because the two programs

1	common residency and income requirements.
2	
	Do you see that language here?
3	MR. DAVIS: Yes.
4	MR. BALLENGER: I want to focus a
5	little bit on that. Do you agree
6	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
7	Mr. Davis, I have been told there's
8	a lot of ambient noise coming from you.
9	MR. DAVIS: Apologies. There is a
10	thunderstorm going on in my background.
11	And I'm not able to cancel all that noise
12	out.
13	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
14	That's
15	MR. BALLENGER: Understandable.
16	Do you agree that the receipt of
17	LIHWAP is not based solely on residency and
18	income eligibility determinations.
19	MR. DAVIS: This I think the
20	statement was based on the common level of
21	percentage of federal poverty level and
22	being an account holder within the system.
23	MR. BALLENGER: Isn't it true that
24	LIHWAP recipients don't have to be account

1	holders?
2	MR. DAVIS: Account holders in
3	which program?
4	MR. BALLENGER: In either program.
5	LIHWAP recipient doesn't have to be a
6	customer of the Water Department; isn't
7	that correct.
8	MR. DAVIS: I believe that is the
9	publicity they are putting out, yes.
10	MR. BALLENGER: Isn't it also true
11	that to receive LIHWAP, someone must have
12	either a past due balance or a threatened
13	or actual shut off of water service?
14	MR. DAVIS: That is our
15	understanding generally, yes.
16	MR. BALLENGER: So likewise, with
17	regard to the Tiered Assistance Program,
18	would you agree that simply having a low
19	income and residing in the City of
20	Philadelphia is not sufficient to enroll in
21	TAP?
22	MR. DAVIS: There are other
23	qualification criteria.
24	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. So just to

1	clarify, to be enrolled in TAP, you also
2	have to be a customer of the Water
3	Department, correct?
4	MR. DAVIS: That is true, yes.
5	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. And if we
6	turn to page 2 of the hearing exhibit, you
7	also stated that your projections assume
8	that any LIHWAP recipient is eligible for
9	TAP, and can become a customer, right?
10	MR. DAVIS: Yes.
11	MR. BALLENGER: And just going back
12	a page to page 1, isn't it also true that
13	the city's acknowledged that some LIHWAP
14	recipients may already participate in
15	assistance programs such as TAP?
16	MR. DAVIS: That is possible, yes.
17	MR. BALLENGER: It's possible.
18	Isn't it also true?
19	MR. DAVIS: It is possible that
20	they participate in assistance program.
21	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. Can I direct
22	you then to page 4 of the hearing exhibit,
23	which is the response to PA Tab 16. And I
24	believe you will see ton that data response
I	

1	that, in fact, multiple LIHWAP recipients
2	have already been identified as
3	participating in a Tiered Assistance
4	Program, Senior Citizens Assistance
5	Program, so on and so forth?
6	MR. DAVIS: Yes.
7	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. I would just
8	like to make sure that we're clear.
9	So it's true, then, that some
10	LIHWAP recipients will, in fact, already
11	participate in these programs, correct?
12	MR. DAVIS: Some, yes.
13	MR. BALLENGER: Some. Okay.
14	And as of February 25, 2022, this
15	is in your response to PA Tab 17 and
16	that's included on page 3 of the hearing
17	exhibit you indicated that 460 grantees
18	have received LIHWAP so far, correct?
19	MR. DAVIS: Yes.
20	MR. BALLENGER: And some subset of
21	that 460 approvals, you're not able to
22	report how many of them are or are not
23	customers of the Water Department; isn't
24	that right?

1	MR. DAVIS: Henrietta, have we been
2	able to confirm numbers for any of that?
3	MS. LOCKLEAR: As of the 2/25
4	reporting, we didn't have that information,
5	Rob.
6	MR. BALLENGER: Thank you. Because
7	it says the reporting is under development.
8	So the question was how many we
9	are trying to figure out here, how many
10	people get LIHWAP really have a pathway to
11	TAP. That's sort of the gist of things.
12	Help to know how many customers.
13	MS. LOCKLEAR: Understood.
14	MR. BALLENGER: So turning to your
15	rebuttal testimony on page 6, lines 4 to
16	10. I noticed here the you sort of
17	quantity the 77 percent of LIHWAP
18	recipients were not enrolled in TAP. But
19	based on the information you've provided,
20	can you conclude that all 77 percent or
21	even some specific number of those
22	customers can enroll in TAP?
23	MR. DAVIS: I apologize I keep
24	getting muted and trying to unmute.

1	Yes. It is possible that some
2	percentage of this 77 percent would not be
3	immediately eligible to enroll in TAP for
4	various reasons.
5	MR. BALLENGER: And you can't
6	identify with certainty here today what
7	percentage that would be?
8	MR. DAVIS: That that analysis
9	is under development.
10	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. Can I just
11	take that as confirmation then, as we sit
12	here today, Mr. Davis, that you can't tell
13	me how much of those 77 percent of
14	customers can enroll in TAP?
15	MR. DAVIS: Well, I think there's
16	the distinction between those that can
17	immediately enroll in TAP and those that
18	could potentially enroll in TAP given their
19	ability to address certain other criteria.
20	MR. BALLENGER: Can you identify
21	what percent could be automatically
22	enrolled or rapidly enrolled under the
23	program?
24	MR. DAVIS: Again, I don't think

1	we've defined the what exactly rapidly
2	enrolled or automatically enrolled might
3	be.
4	MR. BALLENGER: And just to be
5	clear, regardless of definition, can you
6	identify what percentage, how much of the
7	77 percent are currently customers with the
8	Water Department?
9	MR. DAVIS: Not sure we have any
10	information on that. Henrietta, correct me
11	if I'm wrong.
12	MS. LOCKLEAR: We are working on
13	better defining that, Rob.
14	MR. BALLENGER: Appreciate that.
15	And I know this is all moving very quickly.
16	I'm just trying to make sure that the
17	record is clear.
18	MS. LOCKLEAR: Indeed.
19	MR. BALLENGER: So if we could,
20	please, turn to page 5 of the hearing
21	exhibit. And this is a pdf of the website
22	for the City of Philadelphia that applies
23	to tenants who want to become a water
24	customer. And I have taken the liberty of

1	highlighting some relevant sections
2	throughout this exhibit so that we can get
3	through it.
4	Isn't it true that it says here on
5	the website that to become a customer, a
б	tenant needs a tenant customer needs
7	written consent from the owner?
8	MR. DAVIS: It does say that, yes.
9	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. Turning a
10	couple more pages down to page 7, want to
11	verify this is the current application for
12	service for tenants in the City of
13	Philadelphia; is that correct?
14	MR. DASENT: If you know.
15	MR. BALLENGER: Subject to check?
16	MR. DAVIS: Subject to check.
17	MR. BALLENGER: And if you turn to
18	the following page under the heading Tenant
19	Requirements, which is attached to the
20	application, isn't it also true that this
21	states that a customer needs written
22	consent to become a tenant needs written
23	consent from the owner to become a
24	customer?

1	MR. DAVIS: That is what it says,
2	yes.
3	MR. BALLENGER: And again, you've
4	confirmed and I've included the relevant
5	response to PA Tab 18, that to become to
б	be automatically enrolled, someone must be
7	a customer of the Water Department,
8	correct?
9	MR. DAVIS: Again, I don't think
10	we've defined what automatically enrolled
11	means. To be enrolled, they they would
12	need that. Yes.
13	MR. BALLENGER: So the question was
14	in PA Tab 18: Please confirm that auto
15	in PA Tab 18: Please confirm that auto enrollment in TAP, the LIHWAP recipient be
15	enrollment in TAP, the LIHWAP recipient be
15 16	enrollment in TAP, the LIHWAP recipient be contingent upon the recipient being the
15 16 17	enrollment in TAP, the LIHWAP recipient be contingent upon the recipient being the Water Department's main customer.
15 16 17 18	enrollment in TAP, the LIHWAP recipient be contingent upon the recipient being the Water Department's main customer. And you responded: This is
15 16 17 18 19	enrollment in TAP, the LIHWAP recipient be contingent upon the recipient being the Water Department's main customer. And you responded: This is confirmed.
15 16 17 18 19 20	enrollment in TAP, the LIHWAP recipient be contingent upon the recipient being the Water Department's main customer. And you responded: This is confirmed. Correct?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	enrollment in TAP, the LIHWAP recipient be contingent upon the recipient being the Water Department's main customer. And you responded: This is confirmed. Correct? MR. DAVIS: Yes, that is correct.

1	confirm, that this, also, reflects that
2	there is no changes that are proposed to
3	the Water Department's customer service
4	regulation regarding customer status. And
5	that's you, again, responded that there
6	are no such changes; is that correct.
7	MR. DAVIS: The city responded to
8	that. And again, I'm not exactly sure the
9	date of that response. But I believe that
10	to have been true at the time.
11	MR. BALLENGER: Thank you,
12	Mr. Davis. I'd forgotten that was
13	responded to by the city. I will change
14	the responsibility to that question.
15	I would like to just talk a little
16	bit about those relevant regulations. And
17	so, I've include Chapter 1 of the Water
18	Department's customer service regulation
19	starting at page 11 of the exhibit. And
20	again, I've to make things a little
21	easier, I highlighted some sections.
22	I would like you to take a look at
23	100.2(a2) of the regulations, which begins
24	on page 12 and extends to page 13 of the

1	hearing exhibit. I would like you to take
2	a moment and review that. And please, let
3	me know when you are done.
4	MR. DAVIS: (Reviews.)
5	Okay.
6	MR. BALLENGER: Can you, please,
7	identify where in the regulation you just
8	reviewed it requires a tenant to provide
9	written consent from the property owner?
10	MR. DASENT: I should note at this
11	point, that these witnesses may not be the
12	experts for sort of the legal background or
13	knowledge of where to find in regulations
14	various various requirements.
15	However, if they are generally
16	familiar and can answer at the high level
17	that they are acquainted with the
18	regulation, I won't object.
19	MR. DAVIS: I'm, certainly, not an
20	expert in in the regulations,
21	Mr. Ballenger.
22	MR. BALLENGER: But do you see in
23	the section that you just read, which
24	states that a tenant or occupant who wishes

1	to be a customer, must submit. And then,
2	there's a list of things there, correct?
3	You see that?
4	MR. DASENT: I think the regulation
5	speaks for itself, yes.
6	MR. BALLENGER: And would you,
7	also, agree that nowhere in that regulation
8	does it require written consent of the
9	(audio fades out.)
10	MR. DASENT: Written consent of
11	the it dropped off. I didn't hear the
12	last part.
13	MR. BALLENGER: Nowhere in the
14	language that you reviewed, does it require
15	written consent of the owner.
16	Isn't that correct?
17	MR. DASENT: Oh. You mean, it's
18	like they opt out or something? Tell me
19	what you mean by that.
20	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
21	Mr. Dasent, I think the question is pretty
22	clear. And think they are able to answer
23	it.
24	MR. DASENT: Okay.

_	
1	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: It's a
2	yes/no looking at these regs.
3	MR. DAVIS: I am not seeing that in
4	here.
5	MR. BALLENGER: And we will
6	continue onto pages 14 and 15 of the
7	exhibit. There is a section I have
8	highlighted here titled Notice To Owner.
9	And that carries over to page 15.
10	I would like you to, again, review
11	that language. And please, let me know
12	when you are finished reviewing it.
13	MR. DAVIS: Are you referring to
14	parts one, two and three?
15	MR. BALLENGER: No. Just part one
16	and two, the green highlighted if you are
17	looking at the same section.
18	MR. DAVIS: Okay.
19	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. Just like to
20	ask you to confirm again, in what you just
21	read, there is no requirement that an owner
22	provide written consent to a tenant's
23	application; isn't that correct?
24	MR. DASENT: Please, note my

1	continuing concern that we this
2	particular witness may not no elsewhere in
3	the regs where certain requirements may be
4	stated. They are not experts or provided
5	for that purpose. But at a high level
6	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I think
7	the question is sufficiently narrow. I
8	think he can answer it. We understand that
9	there may be other regulations that are
10	addressed to that, which you can bring up
11	on your on your recross, or on your
12	redirect. Excuse me.
13	MR. DASENT: Yeah.
14	MR. DAVIS: Can you repeat the
15	question, please?
16	MR. BALLENGER: Just like you to
17	confirm that nowhere in the language you
18	just reviewed is the owner's written
19	consent required for the tenant to become a
20	customer.
21	MR. DAVIS: I did not see that it
22	is required.
23	MR. BALLENGER: And instead,
24	

1	just reviewed requires the city to notify
2	an owner when a tenant applies for service.
3	And then, provides that the owner is deemed
4	to consent if it doesn't respond?
5	MR. DAVIS: I believe the this
6	is probably not a very good line of
7	questioning for me, Mr. Ballenger. I would
8	defer to someone who is more familiar with
9	city regulations.
10	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. I will just
11	state that in paragraph two, the last
12	the middle sentence states: The notice
13	shall afford the owner 20 days from the
14	date of the notice in which to provide the
15	Water Revenue Bureau with any comments
16	regarding the applicant's eligibility to
17	indicate any objection.
18	Right?
19	MR. DAVIS: I see that, yes.
20	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. And then the
21	following paragraph, which is highlighted
22	in orange, doesn't it say that: The owner
23	shall be deemed to have no objection to the
24	provision of utility service?

1	MR. DAVIS: I see that wording,
2	yes.
3	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. Thank you.
4	If we could go back up one page to
5	page 14 of the hearing exhibit. And there
6	is language highlighted at the very top,
7	Subsection F. And this is one of the
8	provisions under the preceding section that
9	says: An owner/tenant occupant is
10	qualified to become a customer unless
11	and then, I would like to ask you to read
12	the highlighted language in orange there,
13	please.
14	MR. DAVIS: The tenant is applying
15	for utility service at a service location
16	for which no valid residential rental
17	property license exists.
18	MR. BALLENGER: So based on the
19	discussion we have had so far, if the
20	tenant applies for service and provides
21	consent, written consent from the owner,
22	isn't it true that that tenant cannot get
23	service in their name if the property is
24	not a licensed rental?

1	MR. DAVIS: I would defer to
2	someone who has more expertise in
3	regulations.
4	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. But based on
5	what you just read, is that a reasonable
6	interpretation?
7	MR. DASENT: If you know.
8	MR. DAVIS: I'm not really
9	qualified to make that interpretation of
10	the regulations.
11	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. That's fair.
12	Let's turn to page 40 of the exhibit,
13	please.
14	MR. DASENT: Which page? I'm
15	sorry, Rob.
16	MR. BALLENGER: It's page numbered
17	40. Are you familiar with a 2018 Pew
18	Study?
19	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Can you
20	hold on a second. I'm still scrolling.
21	MR. BALLENGER: Sorry, Judge. So
22	quick with my mouse.
23	MR. DAVIS: Is this the one titled
24	Housing?

1	MR. BALLENGER: Yes. Thank you,
2	Mr. Davis. Are you familiar with the 2018
3	Pew Study entitled Philadelphia's Poor,
4	Experiences From Below the Poverty Line?
5	MR. DAVIS: I have at some point
6	read it. But I'm not depending on the
7	depth that we are going to here.
8	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. Just like
9	you to I wasn't able to highlight on
10	this page. I apologize. But if you look
11	at the last paragraph, it begins: The
12	landlords in Philadelphia.
13	In the middle sentence, starts with
14	"based on data for the Department", doesn't
15	it state there that an estimated 28 percent
16	of rental units in high poverty
17	neighborhoods were unlicensed in 2018?
18	MR. DAVIS: It does state that,
19	yes.
20	MR. BALLENGER: And that that
21	represents a larger share than in non-poor
22	neighborhoods, correct?
23	MR. DAVIS: It does state that,
24	yes.

1	MR. BALLENGER: Do you have any
2	basis for disagreeing with that statement?
3	MR. DAVIS: I don't know that much
4	about the rental market in Philadelphia.
5	MR. BALLENGER: Fair enough. If
6	you go to the next page of the exhibit, and
7	this is the response to PA Tab 24. And
8	just looking at the numbers, this is a
9	breakdown of TAP participants based on
10	customer type.
11	And looking at these numbers, I did
12	a little math. And subject to check,
13	doesn't this show approximately 84 percent
14	of TAP participants are property owners?
15	MR. DAVIS: Subject to check, yes.
16	MR. BALLENGER: I'm going to ask
17	you to reach back a little bit in your
18	memory to help. I've added a page, the
19	next page. And this is a page from Roger
20	Colton's testimony in the 2021 rate
21	proceeding. And just like to direct you to
22	the last sentence here.
23	Here in the last sentence,
24	Mr. Colton stated that 66 percent of

Philadelphians with income at or below the
federal poverty level are tenants.
As we sit here today, do you have
any reason to question that statement?
MR. DASENT: We'd object to that.
We have no ability to cross Mr. Colton and
probe, you know, the source of this
particular data. I just don't know
HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I don't
think that's what the question is. He's
asking if it's reasonable
MR. DASENT: Okay.
HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: based
on your experience.
Aren't you, Mr. Ballenger?
MR. BALLENGER: Yes.
MR. DAVIS: I can't speak to
whether it's reasonable or not.
MR. BALLENGER: Have you examined
any census figures or demographic figures
in connection with your testimony today?
MR. DAVIS: We have, over the
THE BITTE WE HAVE, OVER THE
course of our work with the city on this

1	MR. BALLENGER: Do you know what
2	percentage of Philadelphians living below
3	the poverty line live in rental housing?
4	MR. DAVIS: Not offhand, no.
5	MR. BALLENGER: Would you agree
6	that would be in the American Community
7	Survey?
8	MR. DAVIS: Umm?
9	MR. BALLENGER: Or the American
10	Housing Survey perhaps?
11	MR. DAVIS: I'm not sure that I
12	know exactly where that would be. But I
13	can't say that it's specifically in there.
14	MR. BALLENGER: Would you accept
15	the general proposition that the majority
16	of low-income Philadelphians live in rental
17	housing?
18	MR. DAVIS: Subject to check.
19	MR. BALLENGER: I want to go back
20	to your direct. And specifically, Schedule
21	RFC-4. And start at page 1. Let know me
22	when you are there, please.
23	MR. DAVIS: Okay.
24	MR. BALLENGER: And in the first

1	paragraph according to my notes in the
2	first paragraph, the date for your estimate
3	is PGW's G experience with LIHEAP; is that
4	correct?
5	MR. DAVIS: I'm sorry. I'm not in
6	the right place.
7	MR. BALLENGER: I'm on Schedule
8	RFC-1.
9	MR. DASENT: I'm trying to get
10	there also, Rob. Just, please, be patient.
11	MR. BALLENGER: All right.
12	MR. DASENT: Got to scroll a ways
13	back.
14	MR. BALLENGER: I'm sorry. My
15	citation was wrong. I'm on RFC-4. Sorry.
16	MR. DASENT: Okay. Which page of
17	that particular exhibit?
18	MR. BALLENGER: Page 2 of Schedule
19	RFC-4.
20	MR. DASENT: That's on 101 if you
21	are scrolling back from the Rate Board's
22	website.
23	MR. DAVIS: You said page 2 of
24	RFC-4?
Î .	

1	MR. BALLENGER: Yes.
2	MR. DAVIS: Okay.
3	MR. BALLENGER: So, the first
4	paragraph on this page, just in broad
5	terms, you are explaining that the basis
6	for your estimate is PGW's experience with
7	the LIHEAP program; isn't that correct.
8	MR. DAVIS: That was yes. That
9	was true of our basis of this exhibit,
10	correct.
11	MR. BALLENGER: And you state there
12	that PGW received roughly 26,000 LIHEAP
13	grants in Fiscal Year 2021; is that
14	correct?
15	MR. DAVIS: That is correct.
16	MR. BALLENGER: That statement
17	based upon your personal knowledge?
18	MR. DAVIS: That was based on
19	publicly available information.
20	MR. BALLENGER: Can you identify
21	that information?
22	MR. DAVIS: We can get you that
23	citation. I don't have it handy.
24	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. So

1	Mr. Morgan, in his testimony, points out
2	that LIHEAP consists of three separate
3	tax grant, the crisis grant and the
4	weatherization assistance program. In your
5	rebuttal on page 5, lines one through
6	six I will give you a second.
7	MR. DAVIS: Okay.
8	MR. BALLENGER: Your rebuttal
9	statement appears to express that it
10	doesn't matter if LIHEAP is composed of
11	three different types of grants, only
12	overall income and eligibility should be
13	considered.
14	Is that your testimony?
15	MR. DAVIS: With respect to the
16	development of a pool of applicants from
17	that group.
18	MR. BALLENGER: And do you agree
19	that if LIHEAP was composed of only a
20	crisis component, that PGW would have
21	received far fewer grants?
22	MR. DAVIS: I don't know. I would
23	assume so since that's a component of the
24	grants they received.

1	MR. BALLENGER: And also, in
2	Mr. Morgan's testimony at I believe it's
3	Appendix B, he provided the assistance
4	summary for 2020 through 2021?
5	MR. DAVIS: Is that by county?
6	MR. BALLENGER: It is, yes. If you
7	look at County 51 on page 119.
8	MR. DAVIS: Yes.
9	MR. BALLENGER: Isn't it true that
10	PGW received just over I'm sorry. Let
11	me restate.
12	Isn't it true that DHS reported
13	issuing just over 80,000 or I'm sorry.
14	Just under 9,000, I apologize. Just under
15	9,000 crisis grants for natural gas
16	service?
17	MR. DAVIS: I do not have that page
18	in front of me. But subject to check
19	MR. DASENT: Are we distinguishing
20	crisis from other grants, Rob?
21	MR. BALLENGER: Yes. I'm saying
22	that I think what we've already talked
23	about is that LIHWAP requires past due
24	bills or a threat of shut off. Mr. Morgan

1	testified that's more close to LIHEAP
2	crisis. And I'm asking whether, in fact,
3	the LIHEAP crisis numbers that are
4	reported, show that the natural gas the
5	number of natural gas grants is, actually,
6	much lower in Fiscal '20 to '21 or
7	sorry, program Year '20 to '21.
8	I'm asking the witness to confirm,
9	subject to check, that approximately 9,000
10	crisis grants were issued over that period
11	of time for natural gas in Philadelphia.
12	MR. DAVIS: And that's
13	MS. LOCKLEAR: I'm sorry. I was
14	just going to ask you to specify where on
15	the page that is? It's on the right-hand
16	side on
17	MR. BALLENGER: The right-hand
18	side.
19	MS. LOCKLEAR: For payments sent?
20	MR. BALLENGER: Payments sent.
21	Across from natural gas it reports 8,871
22	payments were sent under the Crisis Program
23	in the County of Philadelphia from
24	September 2020 through September 2021.
İ	

1	MR. DASENT: And you're saying
2	that's is subject to check.
3	MR. BALLENGER: Subject to check
4	that if what we are talking about here
5	is whether the crisis component would
6	result in far fewer grants than the cash
7	component? That is sort of the overall
8	gist of this.
9	MR. DAVIS: Subject to check.
10	MR. BALLENGER: And to your
11	knowledge, does the 26,000 number of grants
12	that you report, does it reflect cash
13	grants, crisis grants, one or the other, or
14	all grants?
15	MR. DAVIS: At the time, I believe
16	that that would have probably been all
17	grants.
18	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. Now you,
19	also, state that about half of PGW's CRP
20	customers receive grants. And that's
21	Schedule RFC-4, as well, correct?
22	MR. DAVIS: That was the math of
23	the 26,000 as a percentage of the 52,000
24	that we have enrolled in CRP.

1	MR. BALLENGER: And again, that's
2	not based on your personal knowledge.
3	That's based on information you received
4	from someone else?
5	MR. DAVIS: Correct.
6	MR. BALLENGER: Or some reports?
7	MR. DAVIS: Yes.
8	MR. BALLENGER: And so again, on
9	that page you indicate 52,000 I think
10	it's page one of RFC-4. 52,000 active
11	you use CRP, but I think you mean CRP
12	participants; is that correct?
13	MR. DAVIS: That is correct, yes.
14	MR. BALLENGER: So when you refer
15	to the number of grants being 26,000 and
16	reflecting half of the CRP population,
17	isn't what you really mean to say that
18	roughly half of CRP customers received some
19	form of LIHEAP assistance?
20	MR. DAVIS: Based on those numbers,
21	yes.
22	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. So would you
23	agree, that some of those customers could
24	have received multiple LIHEAP grants?

1	MR. DAVIS: I'm not aware of the
2	individual customers and what they
3	received.
4	MR. DASENT: If, Your Honor,
5	please, let me just interrupt one second.
6	Rob, if you I'm having a
7	connectivity issue. And I'm sort of barely
8	hearing you. So if we can take a brief
9	break, it would help me so that I can, you
10	know, get my audio back. Because you're
11	very faint. And I have feeling that's
12	because, you know, my cellular use or
13	something is I'm using up a lot of
14	power.
15	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Is that
16	okay with you if we take, I don't know,
17	like a two-minute break, Mr. Ballenger?
18	MR. BALLENGER: That's fine. Are
19	you having any trouble hearing me, Judge?
20	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I'm
21	having trouble hearing you, too.
22	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. It may be my
23	microphone. I will try and make some
24	adjustments over the next two minutes, as

1	well.
2	MR. DASENT: If we could stop a few
3	minutes, that would be very helpful. Thank
4	you.
5	(Off the record at 11:47 a.m.)
6	
7	(Back on the record at 11:57 a.m.)
8	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Back on
9	the record.
10	Rob, could you key us in?
11	MR. BALLENGER: Sure. We were
12	speaking about the 26,000 LIHEAP recipients
13	of PGW. And I wanted to pivot just a
14	little bit and just ask whether in
15	preparing your direct or your rebuttal
16	testimony, whether you reviewed the
17	Department of Human Services LIHEAP State
18	Plan?
19	MR. DAVIS: I I have not
20	reviewed that.
21	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. Is that
22	is that safe to say for the panel, then,
23	that review of the State Plan was not part
24	of preparation for your testimony?

1	MR. DASENT: And the State Plan
2	that you're referring to, does it include
3	by the county-by-county summary that is
4	attached to Lafayette's testimony?
5	MR. BALLENGER: No. Every year the
6	Department of Human Services publishes
7	what's called the LIHEAP State Plan, which
8	explains the entire LIHEAP program and how
9	it works statewide. Coincidentally, I've
10	include it starting at page 43 of the
11	hearing exhibit just in case.
12	MR. DASENT: We see that.
13	MR. BALLENGER: And I would like to
14	talk about a few aspects of it just so we
15	can all have a shared understanding of
16	LIHEAP.
17	So first of all, are you aware that
18	Pennsylvania runs LIHEAP as a winter
19	program?
20	MR. DAVIS: What is saying it's
21	seasonal yes.
22	MR. BALLENGER: If you turn to page
23	47 of the hearing exhibit, I believe you
24	will find confirmation that the program
İ	

1	opens in November and closes in April; is
2	that correct?
3	MR. DAVIS: I see that, yes.
4	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. And are you
5	familiar with the provisions of the plan
6	requiring a household to be
7	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: (Dog
8	barks.)Sorry.
9	MR. BALLENGER: The Judge's dogs
10	have something to say.
11	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Going to
12	mute myself for a minute here.
13	MR. BALLENGER: I don't think they
14	like this line of questioning.
15	MR. DASENT: Yeah. I don't either.
16	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. Are you
17	familiar with the provisions of the plan
18	governing the household's responsibility
19	for heat? And if not, I would ask you to
20	scroll to page 69 of the exhibit.
21	MR. DAVIS: I'm there.
22	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. And in the
23	definition of household, it indicates that
24	a customer can either be responsible for

1	paying heat directly or indirectly; isn't
2	that true?
3	MR. DAVIS: I see that, yes.
4	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. And so based
5	on that definition, if a customer is
6	responsible for heat indirectly, if you
7	scroll to page 78 of the hearing exhibit,
8	you'd see that customers can receive direct
9	payments of LIHEAP cash amounts if they are
10	not directly responsible to the utility.
11	Do you see that under Section
12	601.44?
13	MR. DAVIS: I do.
14	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. And if a
15	customer receives payment directly, would
16	you agree that PGW would have no knowledge
17	of that payment?
18	MR. DAVIS: I don't see how they
19	could.
20	MR. BALLENGER: Stands to reason,
21	doesn't it?
22	Are you familiar with the fact that
23	a LIHEAP recipient can direct cash or
24	crisis benefits to a primary fuel type or a

1	secondary fuel type? And that both of
2	those provisions appear in the green
3	highlighted sections on page 77 and page
4	80, which I apologize. It's not green.
5	It's yellow on page 80.
6	MR. DAVIS: I see that in 601.44
7	Subsection B, yes.
8	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. And then
9	again, on page 80 of the exhibit under
10	Section 601.61, will you see it again; is
11	that correct?
12	MR. DASENT: Asked and answered at
13	this point.
14	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: He can
15	affirm it.
16	MR. DASENT: Okay.
17	MR. DAVIS: I see that, yes.
18	Primary or secondary.
19	MR. BALLENGER: Are you familiar
20	with the supplemental cash payments that
21	LIHEAP recipients can receive?
22	MR. DAVIS: I'm sure you can direct
23	me to something in the reg on that.
24	MR. BALLENGER: Yes. Page 77
i .	

1	highlighted in green.
2	MR. DAVIS: I see that.
3	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. So having
4	reviewed some of the State Plan provisions,
5	would you agree that LIHEAP recipients can
6	receive multiple grants based on
7	availability of funding and the program
8	parameters?
9	MR. DAVIS: Yes.
10	MR. BALLENGER: And you would, also
11	agree that some of those payments may go
12	directly to the customer and not to the
13	utility, correct?
14	MR. DAVIS: Yes.
15	MR. BALLENGER: Did you look into
16	whether DHS ran a supplemental program in
17	the summer of 2021?
18	MR. DAVIS: No.
19	MR. BALLENGER: Would you be
20	surprised to know that they ran a robust
21	supplemental program in the context of the
22	COVID-19 pandemic?
23	MR. DAVIS: I would neither be
24	surprised nor unsurprised.

1	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. I could
2	share a link to a training on it offline if
3	you would like to take a look.
4	So going to page 5 of your
5	testimony I'm sorry, it's your rebuttal
6	testimony. You state that based on the
7	materials I'm sorry. I will wait till
8	you're there.
9	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I'm
10	sorry. Was that page 5 of the rebuttal?
11	MR. BALLENGER: Yes, Your Honor.
12	Page 5.
13	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
14	MR. BALLENGER: In the middle of
15	the page, states that based on materials
16	Mr. Morgan provided with his testimony,
17	states 49,019 applicants in Philadelphia
18	received natural gas energy assistance
19	between September 2020 and September 2021.
20	Do you see that statement?
21	MR. DAVIS: Yes.
22	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. And that's a
23	reference to Appendix B of Mr. Morgan's
24	attached to Mr. Morgan's testimony?

1	MR. DAVIS: I believe so, yes.
2	MR. BALLENGER: Can I ask you to
3	turn to that specific page of Appendix B,
4	which I believe is page 51. Getting it up
5	myself. Yes, page 51 of 136 of Appendix B.
6	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: It's
7	Mr. Morgan's testimony?
8	MR. BALLENGER: Yes.
9	MR. DAVIS: That would be page 92
10	of 177 of the pdf.
11	MR. BALLENGER: Yes. At the top it
12	says: Energy assistant summary, county 51.
13	Cash demographic report.
14	Correct?
15	MR. DAVIS: Yes.
16	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. And the
17	columns on the right side of the page are
18	preceded by a statement in bold that says:
19	Payment sent.
20	Do you see that?
21	MR. DAVIS: Yes.
22	MR. BALLENGER: And next to natural
23	gas, it quantifies a number of the payments
24	

1	Do you see that?
2	MR. DAVIS: Yes.
3	MR. BALLENGER: And that's the
4	source of the statement in your rebuttal
5	attributed to Mr. Morgan, correct?
6	MR. DAVIS: That's the source of us
7	saying that there is a large pool of
8	potential applicants that could be enrolled
9	in the TAP program, yes.
10	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. And we've
11	talked about the State Plan providing for
12	multiple types of cash grants, not all of
13	which go directly to PGW.
14	Agree?
15	MR. DAVIS: Yes.
16	MR. BALLENGER: So what I just want
17	to confirm is that the number of payments
18	sent, does not correspond on a one-to-one
19	basis with the number of PGW customers
20	whose accounts received cash assistance.
21	Do you agree with that statement?
22	MR. DAVIS: Yes. That is not our
23	contention.
24	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. So just sort

1	of having some a lot of different
2	payments made, you assume that means that
3	there are a lot of recipients; is that
4	correct?
5	MR. DAVIS: I would assume based on
6	this, that there are a lot of people who
7	applied for payments that might also be
8	eligible for TAP that we knew based on this
9	are income eligible.
10	MR. BALLENGER: Okay.
11	MR. DAVIS: And live in the County
12	of Philadelphia, which is served by both
13	PGW and PWD.
14	MR. BALLENGER: And that to the
15	best of your information, based on
16	information you received from PGW, 26,000
17	of PGW's customers received some form of
18	LIHEAP assistance, correct?
19	MR. DAVIS: I don't know about the
20	timing of those two numbers. But those are
21	the two numbers, yes.
22	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. Turning back
23	to your rebuttal on page 5, and I'm looking
24	for the specific language. Again at the

1	top, Q&A 10, your testimony states that it
2	doesn't matter whether the program is three
3	different components because, quote, all
4	LIHEAP enrollees regardless of grant type
5	are income eligible for TAP and could be
6	considered for the pool of potential
7	applicants.
8	Do you see that language?
9	MR. DAVIS: Yes.
10	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. Now I'm
11	reminded when we began this discussion, you
12	made it clear that PWD's projections were
13	based on the receipt of LIHWAP, not another
14	utilities receipt of LIHEAP; isn't that
15	correct?
16	MR. DAVIS: I don't see why we
17	would necessarily disregard any data
18	sources of income eligible folks in the
19	service area.
20	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. So, does the
21	Department project some number of customers
22	being automatically enrolled in TAP based
23	on the receipt of LIHEAP?
24	MR. DAVIS: Given that the nature

1	of the auto enrollment has not been
2	finalized, that is certainly a possibility.
3	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. And so, that
4	would be consistent with your statement on
5	page 8 of your rebuttal, that the
6	Department's proposal takes into account
7	I will wait till you get there. Sorry,
8	Mr. Davis. Trying to be cognizant of
9	everyone's time so we can get through this.
10	And I am getting near the end briefly.
11	Page 8, you state that the
12	Department's proposal takes into account
13	the potential pool of LIHWAP and LIHEAP
14	enrollees into TAP. That's consistent with
15	your earlier statement, correct?
16	MR. DAVIS: Yes.
17	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. Going back
18	to the hearing exhibit, like you to scroll
19	down to page 106, please.
20	MR. DAVIS: 106 of 177?
21	MR. BALLENGER: No. The hearing
22	exhibit, the Public Advocate hearing
23	exhibit. It contains the LIHEAP State
24	Plan. It would be page 107 of 123.

1	MR. DAVIS: Got you. Is this the
2	LIHWAP application?
3	MR. BALLENGER: It is, yes. Have
4	you seen this application before?
5	MR. DAVIS: I have not personally,
6	no.
7	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. I would like
8	you to scroll down two more pages to page
9	numbered 108. On that page, you are going
10	to see a heading. Says Certification.
11	Please, let me know when you are there.
12	MR. DAVIS: I see that.
13	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. I was able
14	to successfully highlight paragraph three
15	here. And just want you to read the
16	statement in paragraph three for me,
17	please.
18	MR. DAVIS: I authorize the release
19	of LIHWAP eligibility information to and
20	from my water suppliers, and allow them to
21	seek assistance for which I might maybe
22	qualify. The assistance may include
23	LIHWAP, cash or crisis.
24	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. You would

1	agree that the Water Department is a water
2	supplier, would you not?
3	MR. DAVIS: I believe it is.
4	MR. BALLENGER: Yeah. And so, this
5	certification would allow LIHWAP
6	eligibility information to be released to
7	the Water Department, right?
8	MR. DAVIS: Yes.
9	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. Now, I would
10	like you to scroll down to page 114,
11	please. Same exhibit. What you will see
12	here is the 2021 to 2022. So, the current
13	year LIHEAP application.
14	Have you seen this document before?
15	MR. DAVIS: I have not.
16	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. Again, I
17	would like you to scroll down to the
18	certification that starts two pages down on
19	page 116. And again, I have successfully
20	highlighted the applicable language. Which
21	I would like you, again, read here today.
22	MR. DAVIS: I authorize the release
23	of LIHEAP eligibility information to and
24	from my energy suppliers for

1	weatherization or weatherization
2	agencies and allow them to seek assistance
3	for which I may be eligible. The
4	assistance may include LIHEAP, cash, crisis
5	or weatherization benefits.
6	MR. BALLENGER: Okay.
7	Do you agree that the Water
8	Department is not an energy supplier or
9	weatherization agency?
10	MR. DAVIS: I do agree with this.
11	I was looking for the date of this. And I
12	am seeing correctly that it was revised
13	July 28 of 2015.
14	MR. BALLENGER: That's correct.
15	But this is the application for the current
16	season that we are in right now.
17	MR. DAVIS: I see that it was
18	current as of 2015.
19	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. But you
20	you acknowledged earlier that if you look
21	at page 114, again at the top, it states
22	2021 to 2022 application for the Low Income
23	Home Energy Assistance Program.
24	MR. DAVIS: I do see that, yes.

1	MR. BALLENGER: So, you would agree
2	that this application does not allow LIHEAP
3	eligibility information to be shared with
4	the Water Department?
5	Do you agree?
6	MR. DAVIS: Well, I don't see that
7	that extends beyond July 1 of 2022. But I
8	do see that that would be true of this
9	application, yes.
10	MR. BALLENGER: You see at the very
11	bottom right corner of the page, it says PA
12	TAP-R Hearing Exhibit 116? Do you see a
13	code that says HSEA-1, and then the date
14	6/21?
15	MR. DAVIS: Yes.
16	MR. BALLENGER: Okay so, would you
17	take it to mean since that same entry
18	appears on the proceeding page, page 2 of
19	the application and the page before that,
20	page 1 of the application, that this is the
21	certification that applies for the purpose
22	of the 2021/2022 LIHEAP season?
23	MR. DASENT: If you know.
24	MR. DAVIS: I don't know what

1	the the code means. I did say that I
2	agreed that it is for the 21/22 season.
3	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. Okay. I'm
4	sorry. I thought you were trying to say it
5	was for the prior season. Okay.
6	And we already talked about LIHEAP
7	being a seasonal program. So, would you
8	expect that the certification would perhaps
9	change for applicants in November of 2022,
10	if at all?
11	MR. DASENT: Calls for speculation.
12	MR. DAVIS: I don't know.
13	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: He
14	answered.
15	MR. BALLENGER: Do you believe this
16	certification would change during the
17	current season?
18	MS. LOCKLEAR: We have no way of
19	knowing that.
20	MR. DAVIS: Don't know what their
21	policy is for changing.
22	MR. BALLENGER: It's the end of
23	March. Okay. I would like to ask a few
24	questions about the 12,500 assumed new TAP

1	enrollees.
2	So on you might just want to
3	hit, you know, Control Home and go all the
4	way up to the top of the hearing exhibit.
5	We are going to go to page 4.
6	MR. DASENT: Give me a moment. My
7	fingers are tired.
8	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Hang on.
9	I'm scrolling. Control Home doesn't do it.
10	MR. BALLENGER: I messed up. It's
11	page number 3, as a matter of fact. Let me
12	edit my outline. Page 3 of the hearing
13	exhibit.
14	MR. DAVIS: Is this for PA Tab 23?
15	MR. BALLENGER: PA Tab 17.
16	MR. DAVIS: Okay.
17	MR. BALLENGER: You've reported
18	there that the average LIHWAP grant was
19	just under \$1,402, correct?
20	MR. DAVIS: Yes.
21	MS. LOCKLEAR: That's true.
22	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. You've,
23	also, confirmed that LIHWAP is administered
24	on a first come first serve basis. There

1	is no specific allocation for Philadelphia,
2	correct?
3	MR. DAVIS: Yes.
4	MS. LOCKLEAR: That's true.
5	MR. BALLENGER: Anyone following
6	along can see that on page 122 of the
7	exhibit. I've included that response to PA
8	Tab 20.
9	Do you have any information that
10	would help us understand how Philadelphia
11	is fairing in comparison to other counties
12	in the state?
13	MS. LOCKLEAR: We do not
14	specifically.
15	MR. BALLENGER: So, you can't tell
16	us how much Pittsburgh or Allentown or
17	anyone else is pulling down from the LIHWAP
18	program?
19	MS. LOCKLEAR: Not at this moment.
20	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. The total
21	the total amount allocated to the state's
22	approximately 43.2 million; is that
23	correct?
24	MR. DAVIS: Subject to check.

1	MS. LOCKLEAR: Yeah. Subject to
2	check.
3	MR. BALLENGER: So if the average
4	LIHWAP grant is \$1,402, and based on your
5	estimate that 12,500 new TAP households
6	will receive LIHWAP, that means that just
7	for new non-TAP households, the Department
8	would receive approximately \$17.5 million
9	for those accounts.
10	Is that correct mathematically?
11	MR. DAVIS: I would not assume that
12	the average grant amount would be true of
13	all recipients necessarily.
14	MR. BALLENGER: It's an average.
15	MR. DAVIS: Well, I don't it's
16	an average of the 460 grantees per date or
17	to date. I would not necessarily be able
18	to extrapolate that to all the future
19	grantees.
20	MR. BALLENGER: A program operating
21	on a first come, first serve basis like
22	LIHWAP, would you assume that time is of
23	the essence?
24	MR. DAVIS: I believe there is a

1	sunset placed on the existing funding.
2	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. And just to
3	confirm the math, you would agree that if
4	the average remains \$1,400 per household
5	and 12,500 currently non-TAP households
6	
	receive the average grant amount, that
7	mathematically results in the \$17.5 million
8	amount 1,400 times 12,500
9	mathematically, correct?
10	MS. LOCKLEAR: It does.
11	MR. DAVIS: I don't it does. I
12	don't believe that you can say in us
13	agreeing to that, that we believe that
14	12,500 LIHEAP grantees in the City of
15	Philadelphia will each receive 15 or
16	\$1,401.96. That's not what we're saying.
17	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. And of the
18	460 who have received LIHWAP I think you
19	misspoke. You said LIHEAP.
20	MR. DAVIS: Sorry.
21	MR. BALLENGER: No problem. But of
22	the 460 who have received LIHWAP, the
23	average grant amount is \$1,402.
24	MR. DAVIS: That is correct.

1	MR. BALLENGER: So, some of those
2	460 must have received more and some must
3	have received less, correct?
4	MR. DAVIS: I believe the limit on
5	it is above the \$1,401. So, yes.
6	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. The limit
7	is the limit \$5,000?
8	MS. LOCKLEAR: It is.
9	MR. DAVIS: I believe it is.
10	MR. BALLENGER: Do you have any
11	reason to believe the average LIHWAP grant
12	amount will be different than what you have
13	identified in response to discovery?
14	MR. DAVIS: Over time, we have no
15	way of knowing what it might be.
16	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. So, you
17	don't know if it will be more; and you
18	don't know if it would be less?
19	MR. DAVIS: That is correct.
20	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. And just to
21	be clear, the math we just did excludes any
22	customers who are already enrolled in
23	assistance programs, correct?
24	MR. DASENT: You mean in TAP or any

1	assistance?
2	MS. LOCKLEAR: What do you mean by
3	that?
4	MR. BALLENGER: Well, we have
5	talked about is that of the 460 upon which
6	the average is based, some 100 and change
7	are already enrolled in programs including
8	TAP, correct?
9	MS. LOCKLEAR: That's correct.
10	MR. BALLENGER: So of the 12
11	MR. DAVIS: The answer to PA Tab 16
12	on the next page, yes.
13	MS. LOCKLEAR: Right.
14	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. Okay. Just
15	want to look at the timing a little bit.
16	You've projected that 8,750 of the new TAP
17	enrollees will be obtained through
18	automatic enrollment in the months of July
19	through October, correct?
20	MR. DAVIS: I would say the basis
21	of our argument was more on the pool of
22	enrollees. And then, just roughly
23	spreading them equally over the months of
24	July through the end of the calendar year

1	as opposed to trying to hit a specific
2	number in a given month. But based on your
3	looking at the at the projection, that
4	is correct.
5	MR. BALLENGER: You said that you
6	spread them equally. But
7	MR. DAVIS: Not equally. I would
8	say more more evenly-ish.
9	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. Okay.
10	MS. LOCKLEAR: Specifically, we
11	because LIHWAP is ongoing and the auto
12	enrollment is projected to start in July,
13	we wouldn't anticipate that we immediately
14	are able to enroll the maximum LIHWAP auto
15	enrollment recipients at that time. That
16	it will come online overtime.
17	MR. BALLENGER: Thank you,
18	Ms. Locklear. And I want to say for the
19	record here, that I support automatic
20	enrollment, okay? I really I'm proud of
21	the city's efforts here, okay? I want to
22	commend the city, as well as you all, on
23	embracing this opportunity. I just have
24	questions about the numbers predominantly.

1	Sorry, Ms. Locklear.
2	MS. LOCKLEAR: Well, I and I
3	think similar to you, the city anticipates
4	an increase based on this effort. And you
5	know, your estimate your witness'
6	estimate is at one level. And ours is at
7	another based on our our work on it to
8	try to
9	MR. BALLENGER: Sure.
10	MS. LOCKLEAR: predict something
11	that's not certain. But we both agree that
12	those recipients will turn into increases
13	for the TAP program in enrollment.
14	MR. BALLENGER: I would say that we
15	sure hope they do, okay? But we are not in
16	control of that process. That's really you
17	all and the City of Philadelphia. And I
18	acknowledge
19	MR. DAVIS: and the customers
20	themselves.
21	MR. BALLENGER: And the customers.
22	But I, also, acknowledge and appreciate
23	that effort because we have supported it
24	here at CLS.

1	I'm about done. I just I guess,
2	one more question. And this goes to what
3	you just said, Ms. Locklear.
4	Your rebuttal says in a couple
5	places that Mr. Morgan, you know, fails to
6	reflect a large pool of new TAP
7	participants. Says that on page 4 and,
8	again, on page 5. Uses that language,
9	"fails to reflect." Uses, you know, the
10	phrase "large pool."
11	And I just want to make sure, you
12	acknowledge that Mr. Morgan projected 6,274
13	new TAP participants enrolling over a
14	period of five months with no decline
15	thereafter? You acknowledge that?
16	MR. DAVIS: Yes.
17	MS. LOCKLEAR: Yes.
18	MR. BALLENGER: Do you agree that
19	that's approximately one third of the
20	current enrollment level as we know it
21	today, 17,148?
22	MR. DAVIS: Yes.
23	MR. BALLENGER: Okay.
24	MS. LOCKLEAR: Yes.

1	MR. BALLENGER: Do you agree that's
2	a large pool?
3	MS. LOCKLEAR: It's
4	MR. DAVIS: I would agree that
5	that's large in relation to the number
6	currently enrolled. But the issue that we
7	took with it, was that it projects based on
8	historical increases. And I think the
9	catalyst of the auto enrollment, as we are
10	calling it here, is a catalyst that
11	provides incentive for a much larger pool
12	customers to apply.
13	So I think looking backwards to our
14	statement, looking backwards in using the
15	historical increases to project forward
16	just did not, necessarily, capture this
17	this pool of potential customers that we
18	felt could apply and would apply based
19	on on circumstances.
20	That was our issue, not that there
21	was issue with the numbers that that
22	were reflected in the testimony.
23	MR. BALLENGER: That raises, I
24	guess, a couple more quick questions.

1	Have you studied any other
2	automatic enrollment programs that may have
3	existed in Pennsylvania?
4	MR. DAVIS: In Pennsylvania, no.
5	MR. BALLENGER: In other
6	jurisdictions?
7	MR. DAVIS: Again, depending on
8	your the definition of auto enrollment.
9	MR. BALLENGER: Specifically, have
10	you have you assessed any automatic
11	enrollment programs that may have existed
12	for analogous programs, including the
13	LIHEAP program?
14	MR. DAVIS: I'm not sure I know
15	what you mean by I'm not sure what would
16	fit that definition.
17	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. Are you
18	aware that PECO Energy Company, which
19	serves Philadelphia, previously had a cap
20	auto enrollment program for LIHEAP
21	recipients?
22	Are you familiar with that?
23	MR. DAVIS: I was aware of that
24	program. But I would not say that I'm an

1	expert on the testimony to it.
2	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. So, you
3	
3	wouldn't be familiar with how many new cap
4	participants were automatically enrolled in
5	that program when it was in existence?
6	MR. DAVIS: I don't believe we have
7	that information.
8	MS. LOCKLEAR: No.
9	MR. BALLENGER: What about Duquesne
10	Light? Duquesne Light previously, also,
11	operated a cap automatic enrollment program
12	associated with LIHEAP receipt.
13	Did you examine that program?
14	MR. DAVIS: I think we said that we
15	didn't look at any programs in
16	Pennsylvania.
17	MR. BALLENGER: So, would you agree
18	that LIHWAP, as an entirely new form of
19	Federal Water Assistance that has never
20	previously existed in Pennsylvania or
21	elsewhere, doesn't really provide a very
22	good roadmap for how many people could be
23	automatically enrolled?
24	MR. DAVIS: It doesn't provide any

1	
	roadmap because it never existed anywhere.
2	MR. BALLENGER: Thank you.
3	And we've acknowledged there are
4	several obstacles, correct? Particularly
5	for tenants?
6	MR. DAVIS: I think we might
7	disagree on the extent to which the would
8	be obstacles.
9	MR. BALLENGER: Okay.
10	MS. LOCKLEAR: Yeah. Particularly
11	on the occupant application that you cited.
12	MR. BALLENGER: That was tenant and
13	occupant application, but the rules are the
14	same.
15	I have, actually, gone beyond the
16	last question on the page. I'm going to go
17	ahead and stop there. And thank you for
18	going through this with me. Thank you for
19	turning so many pages. And I appreciate
20	your candor?
21	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Anyone
22	want to ask an additional question you
23	don't know the answer to?
24	MR. DASENT: Not right now.

1	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
2	Mr. Dasent, did you want to do
3	redirect or talk to your
4	MR. DASENT: I would like to do
5	redirect. If you can give me the
6	opportunity for a brief break, I will talk
7	with my witnesses just briefly to see if I
8	can narrow the scope of any redirected.
9	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: How
10	about if we resume at 12:45?
11	MR. DASENT: That's good. Thank
12	you.
13	(Off the record at 12:32 p.m.)
14	
15	(Back on the record at 12:42 p.m.)
16	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Back on
17	the record.
18	MR. HAVER: What part of the Water
19	Department's proposal are you responsible
20	for?
21	MR. DAVIS: The panel here is
22	related to the number of enrollees or
23	participants in the TAP program and the
24	projection thereof, the average discount

1	they would receive, the consumption that
2	they could be that they would be likely
3	to have as it relates to the TAP-R filing.
4	MR. HAVER: In the opening
5	statements, the spokesperson for the Water
6	Department said the Water Department was
7	seeking to place a \$0.70 surcharge per
8	month on every water on every
9	residential water consumer; is that
10	correct?
11	MR. DASENT: The order of magnitude
12	might be off a little bit, Mr. Haver, but
13	we indicate a surcharge. Yes.
14	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
15	Mr. Dasent, why don't you hold the
16	remarks till needed.
17	MR. DASENT: Okay.
18	MR. HAVER: Mr. Davis and
19	Ms. Locklear, how much is the surcharge per
20	month for residential customer.
21	MR. DAVIS: Looking back through
22	some of the testimony, are you asking for
23	the TAP-R surcharge per MCF?
24	MR. HAVER: I'm looking for the

1	average surcharge per month per residential
2	customer.
3	MR. DAVIS: I can provide the TAP-R
4	surcharge per MCF. Which is \$0.98 for
5	water, and \$1.55 for wastewater.
6	MR. DASENT: That's the Public
7	Advocate's proposal.
8	MR. DAVIS: Oh, I'm sorry. Let's
9	not do that.
10	MS. LOCKLEAR: The proposed is 1.23
11	for MCF for water, and 1.95 for MCF for
12	sewer.
13	MR. DAVIS: Thank you. I
14	apologize.
15	MR. HAVER: Based on the average
16	usage, what would that mean for an average
17	customer? Residential customer per month?
18	MR. DAVIS: You are testing our
19	ability to do math in our heads.
20	MR. BALLENGER: Couldn't I help?
21	Wouldn't it be half of that?
22	MR. HAVER: Please, feel free to
23	take out your cell phone. And please, do
24	not allow anybody other than the witnesses
I	

1	to testify.
2	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I agree
3	with that. But isn't it in the company's
4	filing the
5	MR. DASENT: Yes.
6	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: tab
7	on the various customer class on the
8	various categories of customers?
9	MR. DASENT: Yes.
10	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Why
11	doesn't he go to that rather than taking
12	out his cell phone.
13	MR. HAVER: He is it illustrates
14	the capacity of the witnesses to show
15	whether they are familiar with their own
16	filing. As theoretically, the Hearing
17	Examiner will come to conclusions based on
18	the veracity of statements and weigh the
19	knowledge of the expert witnesses. It
20	would be helpful to know if these expert
21	witnesses know what's in their own filing.
22	MR. DAVIS: That was not part of
23	our testimony. That would have been part
24	of the Black and Veatch testimony.

1	MR. HAVER: Is it fair to say you
2	don't know?
3	MS. LOCKLEAR: It's under a dollar
4	a month, about \$0.70.
5	MR. DASENT: Yes.
6	MR. HAVER: Mr. Dasent, please
7	MR. DASENT: Sorry.
8	MR. HAVER: silence yourself.
9	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Yeah.
10	I
11	MR. HAVER: Mr. Jagt and
12	Mr. Merritt thought that there were 420,000
13	residential Philadelphia Water Department
14	accounts. Can you confirm that or correct
15	that?
16	MR. DAVIS: That is our
17	understanding, as well.
18	MR. HAVER: Mr. Jagt and
19	Mr. Merritt indicated that they believed
20	the poverty level in the City of
21	Philadelphia was approximately 25 percent.
22	Can you confirm that that is the
23	right projection?
24	MR. DAVIS: Offhand, I can't

1	confirm that. But I believe that is
2	accurate.
3	MR. HAVER: Ms. Locklear?
4	MS. LOCKLEAR: In my remembrance,
5	it's slightly higher than that. But it's
6	about 25 to 27 percent I believe.
7	MR. HAVER: And what do you base
8	that number on?
9	MS. LOCKLEAR: Past studies that I
10	can provide a citation for you, Mr. Haver,
11	after the hearing.
12	MR. HAVER: If you would just tell
13	me one study that you're referencing?
14	MS. LOCKLEAR: I believe that's
15	from Census Data.
16	MR. HAVER: Thank you.
17	Based on your expertise, what is
18	the size of the average family in
19	Philadelphia, the average residential
20	family paying a residential water bill?
21	MR. DAVIS: We don't know we
22	don't have any information on customer
23	household size. But the average household
24	size in the City of Philadelphia is in the

1	realm of, say, two and a half to three
2	persons per household.
3	MR. HAVER: And where is that data?
4	What are you relying upon for that data?
5	MR. DAVIS: That's part of Census
6	Data.
7	MR. HAVER: So accepting that data,
8	how many households are living at the
9	poverty level?
10	MR. DAVIS: Are you have we
11	established how many households are in the
12	City of Philadelphia?
13	MR. HAVER: Well, I am sure you
14	have a projection on what the population is
15	for the City of Philadelphia. If you want
16	me to continue to go point by point, I
17	will.
18	What is the population of the City
19	of Philadelphia currently according to the
20	census track?
21	MR. DAVIS: Subject to check, maybe
22	1.5 million.
23	MR. HAVER: Okay. Subject to
24	check.

1	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Excuse
2	me. Did you use this information in
3	developing the testimony?
4	MR. HAVER: Yes. I absolutely need
5	this
6	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: No. I'm
7	talking to the witnesses.
8	MR. DAVIS: No, we did not.
9	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
10	Then, it's outside the scope of their
11	testimony.
12	MR. HAVER: Then let them say that.
13	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I think
14	they are trying to be helpful.
15	MR. HAVER: Your Honor
16	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
17	Seriously, stick to your scope of
18	your testimony.
19	MR. HAVER: Your Honor is once
20	again helping the witnesses. Shame on you.
21	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
22	MR. HAVER: They have to be exposed
23	for what they know or what they don't know.
24	And your trying to bail them out, doesn't

1	help the record. All it does is obfuscate
2	and show your prejudice.
3	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Do you
4	have another question?
5	MR. HAVER: Yes.
б	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Go
7	ahead.
8	MR. HAVER: I am going.
9	So, you have said that you don't
10	know what the population in the City of
11	Philadelphia is.
12	MR. DASENT: Objection. He said
13	1.5 million about two seconds ago.
14	MR. HAVER: Then the Judge said
15	that he was outside their scope of
16	testimony, and they didn't know. I'm
17	confused. What is it?
18	MR. DASENT: If you are going to
19	say one thing and they said another,
20	that's the testimony is a record. This
21	is being recorded.
22	He said 1.5 million.
23	MR. HAVER: I agree. But the
24	Judge
i	

1	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Move on,
2	Mr. Haver. Move on.
3	MR. HAVER: Using your numbers for
4	the poverty level, how many families are
5	living at or below the poverty level?
6	MR. DAVIS: Our testimony focused
7	on the number of customers at or below the
8	income criteria for TAP and not,
9	necessarily, households. So, we would not
10	be able to characterize households.
11	MR. HAVER: According to your
12	testimony, how many families are eligible
13	for TAP?
14	MR. DAVIS: Our testimony didn't
15	cover number of families, only number of
16	customers.
17	MR. HAVER: How many customers are
18	eligible for TAP?
19	MR. DAVIS: I don't think that was
20	part of the record for this proceeding.
21	MR. HAVER: You just said that's
22	what your research is. And I'm asking you,
23	what does the research show?
24	MR. DAVIS: Our projection was for

1	how many TAP participants could be expected
2	over the period of time in question.
3	MR. HAVER: Mr. Davis, that's not
4	what you said. What you said was, you
5	didn't know how many individuals were
6	eligible for the program. You only knew
7	how many accounts were eligible for the
8	program.
9	And I'm now asking you to report on
10	that number.
11	MR. DASENT: It's in his testimony.
12	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
13	Mr. Dasent, we get it.
14	Mr. Haver, you are well, why
15	don't you attempt to answer within the
16	parameters of your testimony. And perhaps
17	refer Mr. Haver to his specific portion of
18	your testimony.
19	Mr. Haver, in fact, do you have a
20	specific part of the testimony you are
21	referring to?
22	MR. HAVER: I do.
23	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
24	MR. HAVER: He is welcome to refer

1	to it.
2	MR. DASENT: What page?
3	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: What
4	page?
5	MR. DASENT: Page 3?
6	MR. HAVER: Again, I object to
7	Mr. Dasent
8	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Yeah.
9	Mr. Dasent, you got to stop that.
10	MR. DASENT: I'm sorry. I'll stop.
11	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Mute
12	yourself, please.
13	Hold on a second. Okay. I got
14	their testimony. Where are you referring?
15	Mr. Haver?
16	MR. HAVER: Mr. Davis said it was
17	in his testimony. I'm asking where in the
18	testimony is it?
19	MR. DAVIS: I indicated that it was
20	in our testimony to project the number of
21	TAP participants for the period in
22	question. That is the Schedule RFC-1, page
23	3 of 3.
24	MR. HAVER: No, sir. That's

1	disingenuous. You said that you didn't
2	know how many individuals were living at
3	the poverty level. And all you knew were
4	the number of accounts that were eligible
5	for the TAP program.
6	The court reporter can read back
7	what you said, Mr. Davis.
8	MR. DAVIS: If that's what I said,
9	then I misspoke. I said that I meant to
10	say then that we are projecting the number
11	of participants that would be customers
12	that would be active in the TAP program as
13	of the period of time in question. We do
14	not focus on the number of households. We
15	only focus on the number of participants.
16	MR. HAVER: Do you know or have you
17	made a projection of how many households
18	would be eligible for the TAP program?
19	MR. DAVIS: That's not part of the
20	record for this proceeding.
21	MR. HAVER: In your expert opinion,
22	what would that number be?
23	MR. DAVIS: I believe the city has
24	used a number of about 60,000, subject to

1	check.
2	MR. HAVER: Well, let's go back and
3	do the numbers again.
4	So you have said, based on the
5	sense that you and Ms. Locklear have
6	said based on the Census Track Data,
7	25.7 percent of Philadelphians live in
8	poverty. You have said that the population
9	is 1.5 million. And you have said that the
10	average family is 2.5 to 3 people.
11	How is it possible that it would
12	only be 60,000?
13	MR. DAVIS: I believe that as
14	Mr. Ballenger's questioning would indicate,
15	there are a lot of households in the City
16	of Philadelphia that are not customers of
17	the Water Department.
18	MR. HAVER: Yes. Still, how is
19	that possible? Mathematically, how is that
20	possible?
21	MR. DAVIS: How is it possible that
22	a household is not a customer of the Water
23	Department?
24	MR. HAVER: No, sir. How is it

1	possible that we go based on the numbers
2	that you gave from 117,362 families living
3	at the poverty level being eligible. And
4	that's only at the poverty level. That's
5	not 150 percent of the poverty level.
6	How does it possibly go from
7	117,362 based on your numbers to 60,000?
8	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I got
9	to I got to stop you.
10	In terms of based on your
11	numbers, you asked him some general
12	questions about things that are not within
13	the scope of his testimony.
14	Do you have maybe, Mr. Davis or
15	Ms. Locklear, maybe the LIHEAP report that
16	was referenced by Mr. Ballenger? That's
17	actually numbered, instead of you doing
18	some kind of calculation here.
19	MR. DAVIS: We acknowledge that not
20	all of the families at or below the poverty
21	level or at or below the 150 percent of the
22	poverty level are customers of the Water
23	Department.
24	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: He's

1	answered your question, Mr. Haver. Why
2	don't you move on.
3	MR. HAVER: He did not answer my
4	
	question.
5	MR. DAVIS: Can you restate the
6	question?
7	MR. HAVER: Mathematically, how is
8	it possible for it to be only 60,000 people
9	eligible for the TAP program based on the
10	numbers you gave into the record?
11	MS. LOCKLEAR: It's not 60,000
12	people, sir. It's 60,000 water customers.
13	MR. HAVER: How is that possible?
14	MS. LOCKLEAR: Because the number
15	of households in poverty does not
16	correspond to the number of customers in
17	poverty, because not all households in
18	poverty are customers of the system.
19	MR. HAVER: Right. How many
20	cust how many households are living in
21	poverty? Can we establish you don't know?
22	MR. DASENT: Objection. Beyond the
23	scope, I believe, of this testimony.
24	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I think

1	so, too. Point to something in your
2	testimony that you are asking them about.
3	MR. HAVER: I'm going to get to it.
4	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Well, do
5	it now.
6	MR. HAVER: Okay. What
7	percentage
8	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: No.
9	Point to something in their testimony. A
1.0	
10	direct reference to their testimony.
11	MR. HAVER: In their testimony
12	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: A page
13	and a line number. And then, ask them
14	questions about that.
15	MR. HAVER: But you didn't require
16	that of anybody else.
17	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Because
18	there is foundation laid for
19	Mr. Ballenger's questions.
20	MR. HAVER: And I am laying the
21	foundation for my question.
22	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: No. His
23	foundation were documents and the
24	testimony.
	ccbcimony.

1	MR. HAVER: And I laying
2	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Show
3	them something in your testimony that's the
4	basis for your questions.
5	MR. HAVER: And I am laying the
6	foundation for the rest of my
7	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: But
8	you're not. You're not.
9	MR. HAVER: You are once again
10	interrupting and trying to cover up. It's
11	amazing.
12	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Amazing,
13	yes.
14	MR. HAVER: I am lay
15	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: If you
16	have any relevant questions, go ahead,
17	Mr. Haver. I have given you every
18	opportunity here.
19	MR. HAVER: No, you have not. You
20	absolutely have not. You are stopping me
21	from laying the foundation from my case and
22	my arguments.
23	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Then
24	perhaps you need to get your own expert.

1	MR. HAVER: That is my choice. I
2	can make my case the way that I wish.
3	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: You
4	can't use other people's witnesses to make
5	your case.
6	MR. HAVER: Of course I can.
7	That's the whole point of
8	cross-examination.
9	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: No, not
10	really. No.
11	Do you have any other questions for
12	these witnesses?
13	MR. HAVER: I'm waiting for an
14	answer to my question. How many
15	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I told
16	you, they don't have to answer that.
17	They've already tried to answer it. You
18	haven't accepted their answers. And so,
19	let's move on.
20	MR. HAVER: How many accounts,
21	residential water accounts, are eligible
22	for the TAP program?
23	MR. DAVIS: How many accounts are
24	eligible for the TAP program? We mentioned

1	a number. That the city uses approximately
2	60,000. But we don't know that for sure.
3	MR. HAVER: What percentage of the
4	eligible customers are enrolled?
5	MR. DAVIS: In the TAP program or
6	in all assistance programs?
7	MR. HAVER: In the TAP program.
8	MR. DAVIS: Well, in the testimony,
9	there's approximately and I'm referring
10	to RFC-4, page one and two, approximately
11	16,500 customers enrolled as of the date of
12	this table December of '20.
13	MR. HAVER: What is the percentage?
14	MR. DAVIS: 16,500 divided by
15	60,000 is approximately 27.5 percent.
16	MR. HAVER: Your expert opinion,
17	does benchmarking carry one utility to the
18	industry standard shed light on the
19	performance of the utility?
20	MR. DAVIS: Yes.
21	MR. HAVER: Do you know how many
22	residential accounts there are enrolled in
23	PGW's CRP program.
24	MR. DAVIS: As part of that same

1 t	table, the number that we have is 52,000.
2	MR. HAVER: Are you familiar with
3 t	the Pennsylvania Public Utility
4	Commission's filing on universal service?
5	MR. DAVIS: No.
6	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Excuse
7 r	me. What filing?
8	MR. HAVER: The annual filing.
9	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: What
10	year?
11	MR. HAVER: 2021.
12	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
13	MR. DAVIS: That was not part of
14	our testimony, so I'm not aware of it
15	specifically.
16	MR. HAVER: Subject to check, would
17	you agree that the number in the executive
18	summary of the number of CRP participants
19	could be different than the number you just
20	gave me?
21	MR. DAVIS: It could be, yes.
22	MR. HAVER: Could be it 62,200.
23	MR. DAVIS: I don't know.
24	MR. HAVER: Could it be?

1	MR. DAVIS: It could be anything.
2	MR. HAVER: I agree. But I'm just
3	asking you if it could be that.
4	MR. DASENT: Objection as it calls
5	for speculation.
6	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: He's
7	already answered it.
8	MR. HAVER: Can you explain
9	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: He's
10	answer. Move on.
11	MR. HAVER: Can you explain the
12	difference in enrollment percentages and
13	raw numbers between PGW's CRP program and
14	the Water Department's TAP program?
15	MR. DAVIS: Could I explain the
16	differences generally?
17	MR. HAVER: Yes, and specifically.
18	MR. DAVIS: Well, generally, I
19	would say that it's a much more mature
20	program and there is the potential for a
21	a larger discount. So, it may offset the
22	burden of application.
23	MR. HAVER: Have you or
24	Ms. Locklear reviewed the PGW's CRP program

1	to see why its enrollment is such a larger
2	
2	number than the Water Department's TAP
3	program?
4	MR. DAVIS: Specifically, we have
5	not.
6	MR. HAVER: Have you or
7	Ms. Locklear considered as part of the
8	automatic enrollment, working with PGW's
9	staff in combining CRP applications with
10	the Water Department's TAP application?
11	MR. DAVIS: I don't think that it's
12	our within our purview to investigate
13	things that are outside of the behest of
14	the city in this area. That would be a
15	question for them.
16	MR. HAVER: That mean no?
17	MR. DAVIS: We have not done that.
18	It's outside of our purview.
19	MR. HAVER: Thank you.
20	In earlier testimony, we were it
21	was testified that there are currently 460
22	residential accounts that got help from
23	LIHWAP; is that correct?
24	MS. LOCKLEAR: That was stated as

1	the end of February, February 25.
2	MR. HAVER: Is that the correct
3	number?
4	MS. LOCKLEAR: As of that time,
5	yes.
6	MR. HAVER: How many customers of
7	
	the Water Department are eligible for
8	LIWAP[sic]?
9	MR. DAVIS: We don't have that
10	number.
11	MR. HAVER: So, is there any way
12	for you to Judge whether this is a
13	successful number of people enrolled?
14	MR. DAVIS: As of this date, I
15	believe, this would only been ongoing
16	for a couple of weeks.
17	MR. HAVER: Right. But is there
18	anyway if you don't know the total
19	number of eligible people, how do you judge
20	whether this was a successful enrollment?
21	MS. LOCKLEAR: We only
22	MR. DAVIS: The judgment was based
23	on a couple weeks.
24	MR. HAVER: If the entire

1	population was 500 people, most of us would
2	say enrolling 460 of 500 is a great job.
3	If the entire population that could be
4	eligible was 250,000, most of us would say
5	460 is not a good job.
6	And I am asking you, how in your
7	expert opinion can you judge this number if
8	you don't know the total population that
9	could be eligible for L-I-W-A-P[sic]?
10	MR. DASENT: Objection. This is
11	irrelevant. This is completely irrelevant.
12	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: It is
13	completely irrelevant.
14	MS. LOCKLEAR: We haven't
15	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I don't
16	understand the basis for the question,
17	frankly. He's already explained why the
18	enrollment is at the level it was as of
19	February.
20	If you can answer it, answer it.
21	If you can't, you know.
22	MR. HAVER: The relevancy goes
23	specifically to the deficit that they are
24	claiming is created by the TAP program. If

1	there is additional money coming in, then
2	that would reduce the deficit. And to
3	decide whether the Water Department is
4	doing an adequate job in enrolling people
5	in a program that gives up to \$5,000 per
6	household is a critical point.
7	That is the relevancy.
8	MS. LOCKLEAR: Well, we haven't
9	rendered an opinion
10	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: No. Go
11	ahead, Ms. Locklear.
12	MS. LOCKLEAR: We haven't rendered
13	an opinion on the effectiveness of LIHWAP
14	at this time. And the numbers we reported
15	were for several weeks of the program's
16	existence and and grants received. I
17	don't think we are prepared to say that at
18	this time.
19	MR. HAVER: In your ex
20	MR. DAVIS: The Water Department
21	does not enroll grantees in LIHWAP.
22	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
23	Mr. Ballenger?
24	MR. HAVER: Are you saying the
1	

1	Water Department doesn't have a program to
2	help people enroll?
3	MR. DAVIS: That's a state program.
4	MR. HAVER: Right. Are you saying
5	that the Water Department doesn't have a
6	program to help people enroll?
7	MS. LOCKLEAR: In LIHWAP?
8	MR. HAVER: Yes.
9	MR. DAVIS: You have to enroll
10	through the state.
11	MR. HAVER: So, you're saying you
12	can't contact the Water Department and
13	enroll?
14	MS. LOCKLEAR: That's correct.
15	It's a state program.
16	MR. HAVER: Have you looked at the
17	Water Department's web page?
18	MR. DAVIS: The Water Department
19	does not enroll customers in LIHWAP.
20	MR. HAVER: Right. Once again,
21	Mr. Davis, so I am very clear, are you
22	saying that no customer can contact the
23	Philadelphia Water Department and get
24	enrolled in LIHWAP?

1	Is that your testimony?
2	MR. DAVIS: Are you asking if they
3	can get information or if they can enroll?
4	They cannot actually
5	MR. HAVER: If they can enroll. If
6	they can enroll by contacting the
7	Philadelphia Water Department.
8	MR. DAVIS: It's not a Philadelphia
9	Water Department
10	MR. HAVER: I'm not asking you
11	that, sir. I'm not asking you that, sir.
12	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: He's
13	answering your question.
14	MR. DAVIS: That's my answer.
15	MR. HAVER: No, he's not.
16	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: He is.
17	You don't like the answer, but that's the
18	answer.
19	MR. HAVER: That is not. He is not
20	answering my question.
21	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Asked
22	and answered. We have already gone through
23	this.
24	MR. HAVER: Specifically, can you

1	contact the Philadelphia Water Department
2	to enroll in LIHWAP? Yes or no.
3	MR. DAVIS: My answer is, it's not
4	a Philadelphia Water Department program.
5	You can't not enroll through the
6	Philadelphia Water Department.
7	MR. HAVER: Thank you. In your
8	expert opinion, what is the cause of people
9	being unable to pay their water bills?
10	MR. DAVIS: I think that would be
11	outside the scope of our testimony here.
12	MR. HAVER: In your expert opinion,
13	would poverty be one of the reasons why a
14	family would not be able to pay their water
15	bill?
16	MR. DAVIS: That would seem
17	reasonable.
18	MR. HAVER: Okay. In your expert
19	opinion, would it be the other rate payers
20	of the Philadelphia Water Department that
21	caused the structural poverty that led to
22	people being unable to pay their water
23	bills?
24	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I'm not

1	
	going to allow that question. There is no
2	foundation for it. That's a ridiculous
3	question. Certainly, outside the scope of
4	these proceedings and this testimony.
5	MR. HAVER: These are experts
6	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: You
7	know, just because you say "in your expert
8	opinion"
9	MR. HAVER: And I am asking them in
10	their expert opinion what they think. and
11	you are saying it doesn't matter?
12	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Yes, for
13	purposes of their this testimony, it
14	doesn't matter.
15	MR. HAVER: In your expert opinion,
16	with a program designed to help low-income
17	families with the basic necessity of life
18	be a good public policy if it was paid for
19	by a surcharge on milk?
20	MS. LOCKLEAR: I
21	MR. DASENT: Objection. Objection:
22	Irrelevant.
23	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
24	Objection sustained. We're not

1	here to argue the merits of the TAP program
2	or whether there should be one. That's
3	already been determined by the Rate Board
4	in a prior proceeding. We are only
5	recking looking at the reconciliation
6	which is associated with that program.
7	MR. HAVER: And you are not
8	allowing me to advance my arguments. You
9	are not allowing me to prove on the record
10	that the surcharge on people's water bill
11	is unfair, unjust and an overwhelming
12	burden.
13	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
14	Go ahead.
15	MR. HAVER: I you are limiting
16	the discussion. You are helping the Water
17	Department surcharge everybody. And it is
18	very clear that is what you are doing.
19	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay,
20	fine. Move on.
21	MR. HAVER: There is no
22	limitation
23	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Move on.
24	MR. HAVER: There is no limitation

1	in my ability to explore whether the
2	program is a tax, surcharge, and who it
3	falls on and what it pays.
4	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Right.
5	It's outside the scope of this proceeding,
6	so move on.
7	MR. HAVER: Is it inside the scope
8	of your expertise to explain whether it is
9	better for someone to pay something, or
10	it's better for them to pay nothing for the
11	water rates as a whole?
12	MR. DAVIS: I think that is outside
13	the scope of our testimony here.
14	MR. HAVER: Can you explain to me
15	how it is that you come up with
16	formulations if you don't consider that
17	point?
18	MR. DAVIS: Our our
19	participation here is strictly to project
20	the number of TAP participants in a future
21	period, the average discount they receive
22	and their consumption based on historical
23	program data.
24	MR. HAVER: Let's explore the

1	average discount.
2	Would you agree in your expert
3	opinion, that there is more than one way to
4	define the discount?
5	MR. DAVIS: Under the scope of the
6	TAP-R framework, there is a specified way
7	to determine it.
8	MR. HAVER: I did not ask you that,
9	sir. I asked in your expert opinion, is
10	there more than one way to define
11	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: He
12	answered appropriately. It's in the
13	context of this case.
14	MR. HAVER: I asked him a specific
15	question.
16	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I know.
17	But to go beyond that is improper.
18	MR. HAVER: If you're going to say
19	I can't ask that, you go right ahead. Help
20	him cover up.
21	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
22	Go ahead. We're
23	MR. HAVER: My question again
24	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Go

1	ahead, yes. We are not here to
2	MR. HAVER: My question again, in
3	your expert opinion, is there more than one
4	way to define and calculate a discount?
5	MR. DASENT: Objection.
6	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
7	Sustained.
8	MR. HAVER: Before I close my
9	testimony, I would like to move into the
10	record what I supplied to the Hearing
11	Examiner, which is the Public Utility
12	Commissions PGW filing on universal
13	service. And I would, also, make the
14	motion that Judge Chestnut's conflict of
15	interest statement and financial disclosure
16	forms be made part of this record.
17	MR. DASENT: Objection on two
18	two parts.
19	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Well,
20	first off, premature to talk about moving
21	in your exhibit because you haven't
22	testified yet. I assume you are going to
23	make a statement in addition to the one you
24	made this morning. But if you are going to

1	rely on the earlier statement, then
2	MR. HAVER: I'm only going to
3	cross-examine the next witness. I'm not
4	going to make any additional statements.
5	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
6	Then, I can I will accept that. I mean,
7	things are part of the record without
8	actually being admitted into the record.
9	And that's so, that's fine.
10	I'm not going to address this whole
11	financial interest statement issue because
12	that's going to be the subject of a
13	response. But I will tell you well, I'm
14	not going to say anything. Not even going
15	to say anything about it.
16	But, okay. Did you want to do
17	redirect, Mr. Dasent, or
18	MR. DASENT: Yes. We will have
19	some questions on redirect of Mr. Davis and
20	Ms. Locklear.
21	First of all, in the cross by
22	Mr. Ballenger, you talked about, I thought,
23	sort of a common thread in a lot of the
24	questions as to how many paths do LIHWAP

1	recipients have to TAP. How many pathways,
2	I think that was using the phrase that
3	Mr. Ballenger wrote stated.
4	Can you comment on that particular
5	phrase? Because it's seems like throughout
6	your testimony, throughout the questions,
7	that's really what the bottom of it is:
8	How to how do we get more people to TAP?
9	MR. DAVIS: Certainly. And I think
10	that is the common goal of both of us and
11	the Public Advocate. "Us" being the City.
12	I think the, you know, objective is
13	to boost enrollment into we use the term
14	auto enrollment. I think that was
15	mentioned in testimony as more of a
16	colloquialism. We aren't sure exactly what
17	form that may take. It may be more, like,
18	facilitated enrollment or something along
19	those lines using the information that we
20	have or that we hope to have.
21	Some of the obstacles that
22	Mr. Ballenger noted that tenant and
23	occupant, I think, those are beyond our
24	point that all of these folks represent

1	potential TAP participants and potential
2	enrollees. So, they are part of a a
3	large pool that this opens up this
4	opportunity of auto enrollment or
5	facilitated enrollment opens up. And that
6	we need to account for the opportunity that
7	this gives us to look forever in projecting
8	TAP enrollment in the future.
9	MR. DASENT: What does current data
10	indicate about potential TAP participation?
11	MR. DAVIS: Well, I think the very
12	preliminary data that we have dated
13	February 25 is very encouraging. I think
14	there were a couple of things in particular
15	that the of the 460 LIHWAP grantees,
16	77 percent of them were not already
17	enrolled in TAP. Even though they were
18	income eligible based on their LIHWAP
19	application.
20	So, that tells us that the LIHWAP
21	program and the incentives that it provides
22	do give appropriate incentive for people to
23	enroll who wouldn't have for TAP, otherwise
24	enrolled at TAP. So there is a that

1	tells us there is you know, we have
2	potentially potential access to a larger
3	number of enrollees.
4	And also, just kind of underlying
5	the fact that they are receiving \$1,400
6	benefit on average with a potential for up
7	to a \$5,000 benefit that's well in excess
8	of the benefit that they would receive
9	through TAP. And that would further
10	incentivize them to enroll in one program.
11	And then be auto enrolled facilitated-ly
12	enrolled, however you want to characterize
13	it, into two.
14	Do you have anything else,
15	Henrietta.
16	MS. LOCKLEAR: No. You got it.
17	Thank you.
18	MR. DASENT: Thanks, Jon.
19	Also, we talked a bit about LIHEAP
20	recipients and their getting receiving
21	multiple grants.
22	What's the significance, from your
23	perspective, of LIHEAP recipients, the fact
24	they can receive multiple grants?

1	MR. DAVIS: Well, I don't think
2	that necessarily disqualifies them as being
3	a potential participant in the TAP program.
4	I think the city is aggressively looking
5	for ways to get folks who are in LIHWAP
6	and, potentially, down the road in LIHEAP
7	into the TAP program because we know that
8	they are income eligible.
9	So, I think that that tells us that
10	there is a big pool out there of folks who
11	are not currently enrolled in TAP. And
12	they could or should be and working to get
13	them enrolled in looking to overcome as
14	best we can some of the obstacles that
15	Mr. Ballenger brought up.
16	MR. DASENT: And finally in the
17	end, toward the end of Mr. Ballenger's
18	cross, talked about a shared goal of
19	increasing TAP enrollment. And meaning
20	shared between the City and the Public
21	Advocate.
22	Can you comment on that?
23	MR. DAVIS: Yeah. I think the
24	the testimony shows that we're there is

1	a lot of common ground here. We're both
2	projecting and hoping for increases in TAP
3	enrollment as a result of this, you know,
4	reciprocal eligibility/facilitated
5	enrollment from that time that LIHWAP to
6	TAP.
7	And you know, we are working
8	diligently to do that. It's just a matter
9	of, you know, how much funding are we going
10	to provide, how much effort can we put into
11	that. We are trying to reach an agreed
12	upon number here.
13	MR. DASENT: Thank you.
14	That's all I have.
15	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Any
16	recross, Mr. Ballenger?
17	MR. BALLENGER: Nothing but to say
18	how jealous I am of your view, Mr. Davis.
19	All I see are bricks.
20	So, I appreciate your comments.
21	Thank you, sir. And also, Ms. Locklear and
22	Ms. Baporis. Thank you both.
23	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
24	Mr. Haver, any recross? Okay.

1	MR. HAVER: No, not for this
2	witness.
3	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
4	Thank you very much.
5	Mr. Davis, Ms. Locklear, you are
6	excused. Appreciate your testimony.
7	MS. LOCKLEAR: Thank you.
8	MR. DAVIS: Thank you.
9	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Do you
10	have anything else, Mr. Dasent? Or should
11	we proceed to
12	MR. DASENT: I think we are
13	proceeding to Mr. Morgan.
14	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
15	Rob, I think you need to get
16	unmuted.
17	MR. BALLENGER: Sorry. Just found
18	the button.
19	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
20	Go ahead.
21	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. I don't,
22	actually, have any, you know, prepared
23	remarks for Mr. Morgan. I suggest that we
24	just go ahead and go into cross-examination

1	on his testimony here today. I will ask
2	him if he can turn on his video. I know
3	sometimes that's challenging.
4	MR. MORGAN: That's a challenge. I
5	will say so right now.
6	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. We can hear
7	you just fine, so. So based on
8	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Hold on
9	a second while I pull up his testimony.
10	MR. BALLENGER: Okay. My
11	understanding is that the Water Department
12	had some cross here to start.
13	MR. DASENT: I am going to defer to
14	Mr. Haver.
15	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
16	MR. BALLENGER: Nice.
17	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
18	Mr. Haver, you have questions for
19	Mr. Morgan?
20	MR. HAVER: Yes, I do.
21	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
22	MR. HAVER: Mr. Morgan, I reviewed
23	the exhibits and the lengthy number of
24	places that you have testified was very
I	

1	impressive. I was unable to find your
2	financial disclosure form.
3	Can you direct me to where it is in
4	your appendixes?
5	MR. MORGAN: I don't have one
6	attached to my testimony. I'm an
7	independent consultant. It's not required.
8	MR. BALLENGER: He's not required
9	to submit one.
10	MR. HAVER: Excuse me.
11	Mr. Ballenger is not allowed to testify.
12	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: He can
13	object.
14	MR. HAVER: He can object. But he
15	cannot
16	MR. BALLENGER: I object.
17	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: That's
18	what he's doing.
19	MR. HAVER: He did not make an
20	objection. He testified.
21	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: That was
22	an objection.
23	MR. BALLENGER: I object. He's not
24	required to make a financial disclosure.

1	MR. HAVER: Mr. Morgan, if you were
2	engaged in a rate case, would you be
3	curious as to the financial interest of the
4	parties that were representing the public
5	to make sure that they did not have a
6	conflict of interest?
7	MR. BALLENGER: Note my objection.
8	Mr. Morgan serves as an expert
9	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
10	Sustained. Sustained. Complete
11	irrelevant.
12	MR. BALLENGER: for the Office
13	of Consumer Advocate and other consumer
14	advocate organizations.
15	MR. HAVER: I did not hear that for
16	the record. If the could reporter could
17	please read that answer that objection.
18	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: No.
19	She's not going to read anything back.
20	What did you say, Rob?
21	MR. BALLENGER: Just I was just
22	stating that Mr. Morgan is an independent
23	expert.
24	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Go

1	ahead, Mr. Haver.
2	MR. HAVER: I still have not heard
3	that, so I don't understand what his
4	problem is in making his objection.
5	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
6	Mr. Ballenger, could you repeat
7	that because Mr. Haver didn't hear you?
8	MR. BALLENGER: I objected because
9	Mr. Morgan does, in fact, serve as an
10	expert for entities like the Office of
11	Consumer Advocate as well as Public
12	Advocate. So, his opinions about other
13	experts are really not relevant here.
14	MR. HAVER: Thank you.
15	Mr. Morgan, we heard testimony
16	earlier today regarding what this TAP
17	adjustment would mean for residential rate
18	payers.
19	In your expert opinion, how much do
20	you project, if the proposed adjustment
21	goes through, how much do you project the
22	average residential customer would pay
23	extra per month?
24	MR. MORGAN: I believe the water

1	company already responded to that. I think
2	it's at \$0.70.
3	MR. HAVER: I'm asking you, sir, in
4	your expert opinion, if you concur?
5	MR. BALLENGER: If you have an
6	opinion, Mr. Morgan.
7	MR. MORGAN: Can you repeat?
8	MR. BALLENGER: I was just
9	clarifying, if you have an opinion on that.
10	It's not part of your testimony.
11	MR. MORGAN: The I don't I've
12	reviewed the numbers. And I did not see an
13	error, so that's their projection.
14	MR. HAVER: Again, is it your
15	opinion that that's correct?
16	MR. MORGAN: I did not see an
17	error, so I have no reason to dispute the
18	number.
19	MR. HAVER: In your expert opinion,
20	do you agree with the witnesses for the
21	Philadelphia Water Department that there
22	are 420,000 residential accounts?
23	MR. MORGAN: It's somewhere in that
24	neighborhood. I believe 423 is what's in

1	the testimony.
2	MR. HAVER: The
3	MR. MORGAN: 423,000 rather.
4	MR. HAVER: The previous experts
5	testified that their review of the Census
6	Data was that 25.7 percent of
7	Philadelphians live in poverty.
8	In your expert opinion; is that
9	correct?
10	MR. MORGAN: It's outside the scope
11	of my testimony.
12	MR. HAVER: Okay. Would you know
13	what percentage of Philadelphians live in
14	poverty?
15	MR. MORGAN: I have not done the
16	research. It's outside the scope of my
17	testimony.
18	MR. HAVER: In general, do you
19	accept the Census Data as being accurate?
20	MR. MORGAN: Which Census Data?
21	MR. HAVER: United States Census
22	Data.
23	MR. MORGAN: I have no reason to
24	dispute it.

1	MR. HAVER: Based on your
2	expertise, what is the average family size
3	of Philadelphia Water rate payers?
4	MR. MORGAN: I that's not in the
5	scope of my testimony. I cannot provide an
6	answer to you.
7	MR. HAVER: Based on your
8	expertise, how many families qualify for
9	the TAP program?
10	MR. MORGAN: That's outside the
11	scope. I did not go and do research to
12	find out people's income eligibility. So,
13	I don't have an answer for that.
14	MR. HAVER: Without knowing how
15	many people are eligible, how do you
16	determine what percentage of people will be
17	enrolling?
18	MR. MORGAN: The TAP program
19	already has an established formula. And
20	they which was accepted and ordered by
21	the Board. And so, in keeping with the
22	Board's authorization, I followed the
23	formula. And and that's the basis of my
24	recommendation.

1	MR. HAVER: Within your expert
2	opinion, would you say if the enrollment
3	rate is relatively low, it is more likely
4	that people will continue to enroll than if
5	the enrollment rate is very high as a
6	percentage?
7	MR. BALLENGER: Objection as to the
8	form of the question. I feel like there's
9	a couple of questions there. If you can,
10	please, restate that.
11	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Yeah. I
12	thought it was a little confusing, too.
13	Are you saying because this low
14	participation rate means
15	MR. HAVER: Mr. Morgan, is it if
16	a program has a 98 percent enrollment, is
17	it harder to enroll the last 2 percent than
18	it is if a program has a 2 percent
19	enrollment to enroll the other 98 percent
20	who are not already enrolled?
21	MR. BALLENGER: Objection to form.
22	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I assume
23	he can answer it. I'm not sure
24	MR. BALLENGER: I don't mind if he

1	answers. Just note my objection. It's
2	speculative.
3	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: If you
4	can answer it, Mr. Morgan. I'm not sure.
5	MR. MORGAN: Yeah, you know, it's
6	complicated. You know, I don't I cannot
7	give an honest, clear answer to that
8	question because it's dependent on so many
9	other factors.
10	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Uh-huh.
11	MR. HAVER: Hold all other factors,
12	all other into intervening areas neutral,
13	what would be your answer?
14	MR. MORGAN: I don't know.
15	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Listen,
16	I need to take a break for just a second
17	here, okay? Can we do that?
18	(Off the record at 1:31 p.m.)
19	
20	(Back on the record at 1:34 p.m.)
21	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Thank
22	you.
23	MR. HAVER: Okay. I was asking if
24	you hold all of the other intervening

1	variables and just look at the one
2	intervening variable, is it more likely to
3	enroll people if it's a 2 percent
4	enrollment in the program than it is likely
5	to enroll people if it's a 98 percent
б	enrollment holding all other intervening
7	variables separate and distinct and without
8	change?
9	MR. MORGAN: My answer was, I don't
10	know. And it's because I've not worked in
11	the situation where I participated in
12	enrolling people to such a program.
13	MR. HAVER: So, you don't have an
14	opinion whether it's easier to add people
15	if it's only 2 percent enrolled as opposed
16	to if they're 98 percent enrolled; is that
17	correct?
18	MR. MORGAN: I don't have an
19	opinion.
20	MR. HAVER: Okay. And again, I
21	will ask you, but I am sure that you have
22	made it clear.
23	Do you have do you know what
24	percentage of eligible families, eligible

1	accounts, are enrolled in the TAP program?
2	MR. MORGAN: I do not know. That
3	was outside the scope of my review.
4	MR. HAVER: In your expert opinion,
5	does benchmarking comparing one utility
6	to the industry's standard shed light on
7	the performance of the utility?
8	MR. MORGAN: In some situations.
9	MR. HAVER: Do you know how many
10	accounts are enrolled in PGW's CRP program?
11	MR. MORGAN: Other than what the
12	utility indicated, I have not done such a
13	review.
14	MR. HAVER: And what has the
15	utility indicated, sir?
16	MR. MORGAN: Let' see. I think it
17	was, like, 62,000.
18	MR. HAVER: Can you explain in your
19	expert opinion, why the TAP number of
20	people enrolled in the TAP rate is under
21	only a third of the number of people
22	enrolled in PGW CRP rate?
23	MR. MORGAN: The TAP is a new
24	program as compared to PGW's programs. So

1	that in itself provides no other
2	explanation. But other than that, I have
3	not done a full analysis. I can't go
4	beyond that.
5	MR. HAVER: In your expert opinion,
6	would allowing PGW to help enroll water
7	customers in the TAP program when they
8	enroll gas customers in the CRP program,
9	increase the likelihood of enrollment in
10	TAP?
11	MR. MORGAN: I'm not sure PGW wants
12	to do that. But again, I don't know if
13	they have the same customers in all
14	instances. So, that's a difficult question
15	to answer.
16	MR. HAVER: Do you know if they
17	have the same financial criteria?
18	MR. MORGAN: It's my understanding
19	that they do.
20	MR. HAVER: And again, without
21	asking whether you know if PGW wants to do
22	it or not do it, my question was in your
23	expert opinion, would having PGW when it
24	does intake for CRP also do intake for TAP,

1	would that help increase the number for
2	TAP?
3	MR. MORGAN: I mean, that's any
4	time you can get an additional source of
5	enrollees, it will help. But I you
6	know, there has to be some explaining. And
7	there are some other factors that have to
8	be taken into account. So for me to
9	blanketly say yes, I think it'd be
10	erroneous.
11	MR. HAVER: Your expert opinion,
12	what is acceptable percentage of eligible
13	TAP customers enrolled?
14	MR. MORGAN: I don't have one.
15	MR. HAVER: So in your expert, a
16	1 percent enrollment would be acceptable?
17	MR. MORGAN: No.
18	MR. HAVER: Would a 5 percent
19	enrollment, in your expert opinion, be
20	acceptable?
21	MR. MORGAN: No.
22	MR. HAVER: Would a 10 percent
23	enrollment be acceptable?
24	MR. MORGAN: Again, we could just

1	keep going and going and going. Again,
2	ultimately, I don't have a percentage that
3	I can give to you.
4	MR. HAVER: But you've already said
5	on the record that there are some
6	percentages that you consider too low.
7	Is that not correct?
8	MR. BALLENGER: Objection. No
9	MR. MORGAN: I didn't say that.
10	MR. BALLENGER: Could you point us
11	to what you are referring to, Mr. Haver?
12	MR. HAVER: His statements just on
13	the record now.
14	MR. MORGAN: I don't believe I said
15	that.
16	MR. HAVER: I asked you if 1
17	percent was acceptable, and you said no. I
18	asked you if 5 percent was acceptable, and
19	you said no.
20	MR. BALLENGER: I, again, object.
21	I think Mr. Morgan said that he didn't have
22	an opinion. And when you asked more
23	specifically whether something was okay, he
24	said no. Which is confirmation that he

1	doesn't share that that number is an
2	acceptable one. He doesn't have an opinion
3	as to what is.
4	MR. HAVER: And I'm asking if he
5	knows what is not acceptable, how is it
6	that he can't know what is acceptable?
7	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: They're
8	not the same. I think he's tried to
9	answer
10	MR. HAVER: How is it that you can
11	possibly help him answer a question?
12	Your Honor, how is it possible for
13	you to intervene in my investigation by
14	giving him an answer? You're limited, or
15	should be limited. Again, you're
16	prejudicial nature shows clearly you are
17	helping him answer questions.
18	If he wants to say it's not
19	equivalent, let him say it. If
20	Mr. Ballenger wants to obfuscate and cover
21	up, let him do so. But you have no right
22	to intervene in my questioning.
23	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I have
24	every right to address an objection.

1	MR. HAVER: There was no objection
2	before you.
3	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: There
4	was. There was.
5	MR. HAVER: You have made you
6	have made yourself clear.
7	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Go
8	ahead.
9	MR. HAVER: Mr. Morgan, we
10	already you already testified in your
11	expert opinion, the surcharge would be
12	\$0.70 per month per family on the
13	residential water.
14	MR. BALLENGER: Objection, again.
15	That was not his testimony. He was
16	referring to testimony offered by the
17	Department.
18	MR. HAVER: Mr. Morgan, you said
19	you see no reason to think that that number
20	is incorrect.
21	MR. BALLENGER: Objection.
22	Mr. Morgan wasn't saying 70 percent
23	was incorrect.
24	MR. HAVER: \$0.70.

1	MR. BALLENGER: Pardon me. He was
2	referring to the testimony that he reviewed
3	and the rate model provided in the
4	proceeding.
5	MR. HAVER: Mr. Morgan, did you not
6	say that you see you have no reason to
7	think that that number is wrong?
8	MR. MORGAN: That's what I said.
9	MR. HAVER: Thank you.
10	MR. MORGAN: That was not my expert
11	opinion that it was the right number.
12	MR. HAVER: Yes. But your expert
13	opinion was that you saw no reason to
14	challenge that number?
15	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: That's
16	not what he said.
17	MR. BALLENGER: Objection.
18	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: That's
19	not what he said.
20	MR. BALLENGER: Objection. He said
21	he saw no mistake or error in the
22	calculation of that number. That is not
23	Mr. Morgan's proposal in this in his own
24	testimony.

1	MR. HAVER: Mr. Morgan, can you
2	explain in your expert opinion, the
3	rationale for surcharging working people
4	for a poverty program?
5	MR. BALLENGER: Objection. All
6	customers of the Water Department pay a
7	surcharge pursuant to the tariff in effect.
8	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I'll
9	sustain. It's outside the scope of this
10	proceeding, the adoption or implementation
11	or design of the TAP program is not an
12	issue.
13	MR. HAVER: Mr. Morgan, are you
14	familiar with the SNAP program?
15	MR. MORGAN: No.
16	MR. HAVER: Are you familiar with
17	the well, what used to be called the
18	Food Stamp Program?
19	MR. MORGAN: No.
20	MR. HAVER: Are you familiar with
21	any low-income programs other than for
22	utilities?
23	MR. MORGAN: I've heard of them,
24	but I'm not familiar. I can't answer any

1	questions with respect to any details.
2	MR. HAVER: So if I were to ask you
3	how SNAP is funded, you would not know?
4	MR. BALLENGER: Objection.
5	MR. MORGAN: That's correct.
6	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
7	Sustained.
8	MR. MORGAN: I would not know.
9	MR. HAVER: In your expert opinion,
10	do you believe it's good public policy to
11	tax or surcharge a basic necessity of life
12	to pay for a program to help low-income
13	families?
14	MR. MORGAN: I do not know of such
15	a program that taxes to pay for basic
16	necessity.
17	MR. HAVER: Right. I asked in your
18	expert opinion, would that be good public
19	policy.
20	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I'm
21	sorry. You know, you can't use the phrase
22	"in your expert opinion" to justify every
23	question. He's here with a it's got to
24	be within the scope of his testimony. And

1	
1	how to fund, you know, these unrelated
2	programs are just not within the scope of
3	it. Ask about, you know, his testimony.
4	MR. HAVER: I am asking about his
5	testimony.
6	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: That's
7	not his testimony.
8	MR. HAVER: I am examining the bias
9	
10	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Ask him
11	about the projections of
12	MR. HAVER: I'm
13	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: TAP
14	enrollees.
15	MR. HAVER: I'm exploring his
16	biases, which is my right.
17	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: No.
18	MR. BALLENGER: Objection.
19	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: That
20	doesn't open for you asking those kinds of
21	questions.
22	MR. BALLENGER: It might help to
23	clarify the purposes of Mr. Morgan's
24	testimony.

1	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Please.
2	MR. BALLENGER: Mr. Morgan, were
3	you retained to examine how TAP functions
4	in general?
5	MR. MORGAN: No. In this instance,
6	I was retained to evaluate the change in
7	the TAP rate.
8	MR. BALLENGER: And when you say
9	the change, you mean the change in the
10	funding mechanism that the Board approved
11	in 2018 and which has been reconciled every
12	year since then?
13	MR. MORGAN: Well, this is the
14	change because of the reconciliation of the
15	lost revenue.
16	MR. BALLENGER: So, is it safe to
17	say that your testimony is based upon your
18	experience in utility rate financial
19	matters as opposed to utility programmatic
20	matters?
21	MR. MORGAN: That's correct.
22	MR. BALLENGER: Okay.
23	Anything else you would like to
24	share about the limitations on the scope of

1	your testimony today, Mr. Morgan?
2	MR. MORGAN: Nothing other than
3	that the scope of my testimony did not
4	involve looking at alternatives to the TAP
5	program. It did not involve comparison to
6	other public policy matters. It did not
7	involve an analysis to determine poverty
8	levels and what have you.
9	It was limited to the annual
10	reconciliation.
11	MR. BALLENGER: Thank you.
12	Mr. Haver, please proceed.
13	MR. HAVER: Just to be clear,
14	Mr. Morgan, asking you about loss revenue
15	is appropriate?
16	MR. MORGAN: Yes.
17	MR. HAVER: Okay. In your is
18	there more than one way to calculate lost
19	revenue?
20	MR. MORGAN: It depends on what you
21	quantify.
22	MR. HAVER: Directly, if a
23	Department store sells a suit for \$200 and
24	then has a sale for \$180, could someone

1	calculate that it's a \$20 lost revenue?
2	MR. MORGAN: That's a competitive
3	environment. It's you know, the
4	department store has the option to charge
5	someone else's someone else more. The
6	utility does not have that opportunity.
7	So, you simply can't look at that situation
8	and say that's lost revenues.
9	MR. HAVER: So what then is lost
10	revenue?
11	MR. MORGAN: Essentially
12	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Excuse
13	me. For purposes of this proceeding.
14	MR. MORGAN: For purposes of this
15	proceeding, every year there is a
16	calculation of the projected revenues based
17	on the number of TAP participants. At the
18	end of the year, the utility files makes
19	the filing, the actual revenues that
20	compare to what was projected.
21	If the utility recovered less than
22	what based on the number of if it
23	recovered less than it should have, then it
24	has the opportunity to recover those

1	revenues. Those revenues are considered to
2	be lost revenues.
3	MR. BALLENGER: Just if I may
4	clarify here, Mr. Morgan, what happens if
5	the utility collects more than the
6	programmatic costs?
7	MR. MORGAN: Then those are
8	returned to rate payers.
9	MR. HAVER: Mr. Morgan, in your
10	definition, does it matter if it would cost
11	the Water Department more money to turn
12	somebody off and turn them back on than it
13	would to put them on the TAP program?
14	MR. MORGAN: I don't have an expert
15	opinion on that.
16	MR. HAVER: I'm sorry. I didn't
17	hear you.
18	MR. MORGAN: I do not have an
19	expert opinion an that.
20	MR. HAVER: Let me let me walk
21	you through it because you had just gone
22	through what a loss for these proceedings
23	means.
24	So if someone is not on the TAP

1	program and their water is cut off, there
2	is an expense on P on the Water
3	Department's books; is there not?
4	MR. MORGAN: To turn it off?
5	MR. HAVER: Yes.
6	MR. MORGAN: Yes.
7	MR. HAVER: And there is an expense
8	for attempting to collect the old bill; is
9	there not?
10	MR. DAVIS: Yes.
11	MR. HAVER: And for calculating
12	these proceedings, are you saying that you
13	don't take into consideration what those
14	costs are?
15	MR. BALLENGER: Objection. Yeah,
16	not understand the question. I object.
17	You refer to calculating these proceedings.
18	Could you explain what you mean, Mr. Haver?
19	MR. HAVER: I am waiting for a
20	ruling.
21	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I don't
22	understand how it relates to this
23	proceeding. I mean, the question of
24	whether there should be a TAP program has
	wildered bildara be a fill program hab

1	already been made. And that
2	MR. HAVER: I am asking how the
3	losses
4	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: He's
5	just talking about the revenue associated
6	with the last year's operation of the
7	program.
8	MR. HAVER: I'm asking how the
9	losses are projected and calculated. And
10	if they are net, or they are gross. And in
11	doing so
12	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I don't
13	understand this net or gross. Maybe that's
14	the issue.
15	MR. HAVER: Well, I will explain it
16	to you. Net would be the difference
17	between what it would cost rate payers when
18	you take into account the expenses occurred
19	by turning someone off in attempting to
20	collect that money, and then turning
21	someone on. That would be the net loss.
22	A gross loss would not calculate in
23	the alternative what would happen to a TAP
24	customer.

1	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
2	That's completely outside the scope of
3	this. And it's wrong. I mean, it's just
4	completely wrong. This is supposed to be
5	about revenue.
6	MR. HAVER: What is wrong about net
7	versus gross? That's basic accounting.
8	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: It's
9	overly simplistic accounting for one thing,
10	but it's not the issue here.
11	Mr. Ballenger, maybe you can
12	explain it better than I am doing.
13	MR. HAVER: I would object to
14	Mr. Ballenger testifying.
15	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: He's not
16	testifying.
17	MR. BALLENGER: I guess I take
18	issue with the premise that there is some
19	correlation and determination expense and
20	TAP discounts. One is an overall operating
21	expense. Another is very particular to
22	this program. So, lining them up I think
23	is what Mr. Morgan is struggling with
24	because it's not part of the equation.

1	MR. HAVER: I will make it part of
2	the equation. If somebody is not on the
3	TAP program and they are terminated and
4	there is an attempt to collect the bill,
5	that has a financial cost.
6	Is that right, Mr. Morgan?
7	MR. MORGAN: That is correct.
8	MR. HAVER: And the TAP program in
9	many cases stops a termination.
10	Is that correct, Mr. Morgan?
11	MR. BALLENGER: If you know,
12	Mr. Morgan.
13	MR. MORGAN: I don't know.
14	MR. HAVER: I'm sorry, sir. You
15	don't know whether the TAP program stops
16	terminations?
17	MR. MORGAN: In general, what is
18	designed to do, prevent those situations.
19	MR. HAVER: And is it
20	MR. MORGAN: But I don't know if
21	it if you are asking me if I can opine.
22	I would say no because I don't know the
23	intricacies of that process.
24	MR. HAVER: Just to make it clear,

1	you don't whether the TAP program
2	successfully avoids shutoffs?
3	MR. BALLENGER: I believe that's a
4	question for the Department, not
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
5	Mr. Morgan. He's not in charge of
6	shutoffs.
7	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Go
8	ahead, Mr. Haver. I mean, he's right.
9	MR. HAVER: I waiting for an answer
10	from Mr. Morgan.
11	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: He
12	already answered.
13	MR. MORGAN: I'm not familiar with
14	the intricacies. So no, I don't have an
15	answer for you.
16	MR. HAVER: So, there would be no
17	way for you to calculate the gross loss
18	versus the net loss, would there?
19	MR. MORGAN: I don't see how that
20	is related to what to the scope of my
21	testimony in this proceeding.
22	MR. HAVER: I will help explain it
23	for you then, sir.
24	You are tasked with projecting what

1	the, quote/unquote, loss is as a result of
2	running the TAP program. And you are
3	projecting what the surcharges should be on
4	every other rate payer. Calculating that
5	loss, a gross loss versus a net loss, would
6	have a direct impact on what the surcharge,
7	which I call a tax, would be.
8	Is that not correct?
9	MR. BALLENGER: Object again. I
10	think again, the premise here is that
11	termination expense is somehow either
12	recovered or considered in evaluating the
13	discounted bills issued pursuant to the TAP
14	program. This is about recovering the
15	value of the discounts provided. It does
16	not have anything to do with termination
17	expense.
18	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: To the
19	extent it's an outstanding objection,
20	sustained. I think Mr. Ballenger tried to
21	explain it to you, Mr. Haver.
22	MR. HAVER: Mr. Ballenger, as
23	normal, is helping the Water Department and
24	not rate payers. The reality is every time

1	we avoid a shutoff, we avoid costs. And
2	that should be taken into consideration
3	when we decide the efficacy of the TAP
4	program. Mr. Ballenger's refusal to look
5	at that and refusal to hire a technical
6	expert to look at that, is part of what he
7	does to help the Water Department.
8	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
9	Stop right there. This I don't know why
10	you can't listen to me, Mr. Haver.
11	The point of this proceeding is a
12	reconciliation proceeding. It's not to
13	discuss program issues involved with the
14	TAP-R program. That's not what Mr. Morgan
15	was retained to do. That's not what we're
16	looking at.
17	There's a formula. If you want to
18	ask questions about the formula that's used
19	to derive these numbers, go ahead.
20	MR. HAVER: I am.
21	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: No,
22	you're not.
23	MR. HAVER: I am asking
24	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: You're

1	not asking
2	MR. HAVER: specifically about
3	the formula. The fact that you can't see
4	it, is not my problem. It's the problem of
5	every rate payer. Any cost avoidance would
6	be part of the formula. People are
7	refusing to allow that into the record for
8	some reason.
9	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
10	Just move on. There's no point arguing
11	with you again. This is just a waste of
12	everybody's time to go over the same
13	ground.
14	MR. HAVER: You are not tasked
15	you are not tasked with arguing for me or
16	with me or interfering with my
17	cross-examination. That is a role you have
18	taken to assert the power.
19	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Do you
20	have any other questions for this witness?
21	MR. HAVER: Mr. Morgan, if a family
22	
	is kept on as a Philadelphia Water
23	Department customer and pays for the
24	commodities of water, does that customer

1	also help pay for the infrastructure?
2	MR. BALLENGER: Objection.
3	Commodity is not a term we tend to use
4	here. So, I will allow Mr. Morgan to
5	answer if he knows. But I don't think that
6	follows. Note my continuing objection.
7	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Yeah. I
8	don't understand it either, frankly. But
9	if Mr. Morgan wants to take a stab at it
10	and recast it in his own terms, he can.
11	I think it's outside the scope of
12	this.
13	MR. MORGAN: I will try. The
14	commodity is the water. So paying for the
15	water, simply just the water, you're not
16	contributing to infrastructure.
17	MR. HAVER: If you pay one cent
18	more than the commodity cost, would that be
19	contributing to the cost of the
20	infrastructure?
21	MR. MORGAN: I don't think so.
22	There you know, you can't there is
23	not a scale where you can say after you pay
24	for the water commodity, then you pay for

l ir	nfrastructure, then you pay for salaries
² ar	nd so on and so forth. So, your example
3 or	your question just I would say it's
4 jı	ust not valid.
5	MR. HAVER: Why can't you account
6 fo	or it in that manner?
7	MR. MORGAN: That's not how
8 ac	ccounting works.
9	MR. HAVER: Accounting doesn't
10 br	reak down in many different ways? There's
11 no	ot more than one way, one accounting
12 me	ethod?
13	MR. MORGAN: For presentation
14 pı	urposes, yes. But when it comes to
15 CC	ollection rates, it doesn't there is no
16 so	cale or hierarchy or pyramid where it says
17 w∈	e start off by paying for water, then we
18 pa	ay infrastructure, so on and so forth.
19	So, that's just not how it works.
20	MR. HAVER: That concludes my
21 Cr	coss-examination.
22	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
23 Th	nat takes us to Mr. Dasent then.
24	MR. DASENT: Uh, I have no

1	questions for Mr. Morgan. I just want to
2	thank him for his contributions to this
3	proceeding.
4	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
5	Did you wanted to redirect, Mr. Ballenger?
6	MR. BALLENGER: If I could maybe
7	just have a couple minutes, and I will call
8	Mr. Morgan separately, and then come back
9	on.
10	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
11	It's 1:59. So, let's say we will resume at
12	2:10.
13	MR. BALLENGER: Perfect. Thank
14	you.
15	(Off the record.)
16	
17	(Back on the record at 2:12 p.m.)
18	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
19	Mr. Ballenger.
20	MR. BALLENGER: Thank you, Judge.
21	Mr. Morgan, just one question.
22	Does this proceeding provide an
23	opportunity for us to change the TAP
24	framework and the TAP-R mechanism?

1	MR. MORGAN: No. The TAP-R formula
2	has been approved
3	MR. HAVER: That's outside the
4	scope of his testimony. He has no legal
5	expertise. He has not test not
б	introduced testimony.
7	Objection.
8	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: He can
9	talk about the scope of his testimony,
10	which I think is what Mr. Ballenger asked
11	him.
12	MR. HAVER: That is not what
13	Mr. Ballenger asked him. He asked if it
14	was possible. If he wants to talk about
15	the scope of his testimony, he is free to
16	do so. But he does not
17	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Your
18	objection is overruled. Go ahead.
19	MR. MORGAN: So as I was saying,
20	the TAP-R program is based on a formula
21	that has been approved by the Board, and it
22	has very limited input. That equation has
23	been set forth in the tariffs that have
24	been filed. And we cannot, with this

1	proceeding, modify it.
2	So, this proceeding is limited to
3	what I explained earlier which is to look
4	at those look at the formula that was
5	set up. And based on the experience
6	factors, to determine what the future
7	conditions would be.
8	So no, we can't change the
9	framework.
10	MR. BALLENGER: Thank you.
11	No further questions.
12	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
13	Mr. Dasent?
14	MR. DASENT: Nothing further.
15	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
16	Mr. Haver?
17	MR. HAVER: Mr. Morgan, are you an
18	attorney?
19	MR. MORGAN: No. I've been in this
20	business for over 30 years.
21	MR. HAVER: Yes. Your resume is
22	very impressive. Do you have an expertise
23	in legal opining?
24	MR. MORGAN: No, I don't.

1	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Don't
2	even answer that, you know.
3	MR. HAVER: There was no objection.
4	You are not allowed to do that.
5	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I can do
6	that.
7	MR. HAVER: The prejudicial acts
8	are incredible.
9	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: I
10	certainly can do that.
11	MR. HAVER: You are suppose to be
12	neutral. If somebody else wants to object
13	to my questions, they are welcome to do so.
14	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: It
15	doesn't work like that, Mr. Haver.
16	MR. HAVER: It certainly should.
17	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: If you
18	have any questions for Mr. Morgan that are
19	appropriate, ask them.
20	MR. HAVER: I was. But you know
21	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: No.
22	MR. HAVER: you are so
23	prejudicial, you won't let me get on the
24	record proof that he has no right to make a
İ	

1	legal opinion.
2	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: He can
3	talk about the scope of his testimony, the
4	context in which you examine his answers.
5	That's the point of it.
6	MR. HAVER: That's not what he was
7	doing.
8	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: That's
9	exactly what he was doing.
10	MR. BALLENGER: That's what he was
11	doing.
12	MR. HAVER: Not a surprise,
13	Mrs. Ballenger, that you support the
14	Hearing Examiner who allows your no-bid
15	contract. Just not a surprise.
16	MR. BALLENGER: No such thing.
17	I think the important point is that
18	the tariff sets forth the mechanism to
19	prove. That's what Mr. Morgan said. He
20	was not giving a legal opinion. He was
21	talking about a tariff. For a utility
22	expert, I think that's well within his
23	expertise.
24	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Yes.

1	MR. HAVER: And Mr. Ballenger has a
2	right to make a ruling because?
3	MR. BALLENGER: No ruling.
4	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay.
5	You know, Mr. Haver, you obviously don't
6	have any more questions that are relevant,
7	so I think we are going to wrap it up here.
8	MR. HAVER: How would you know?
9	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Because
10	I know. If you had a relevant question, I
11	think you would have asked it. I don't
12	think engaging in argument, name calling
13	is is appropriate. But you know, you do
14	what you do.
15	Okay. Then, I think we will
16	conclude this hearing.
17	MR. DASENT: Thank you, Your Honor.
18	MR. BALLENGER: Thank you, Your
19	Honor.
20	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: If there
21	are briefs or a settlement petition, that
22	would be due April 22.
23	MR. DASENT: Yes.
24	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Or

1	before. Anything else before we adjourn?
2	Mr. Dasent?
3	MR. DASENT: Nothing more. Thank
4	you.
5	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
6	
	Mr. Ballenger?
7	MR. BALLENGER: Nothing more, Your
8	Honor. Thank you.
9	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
10	Mr. Haver?
11	MR. HAVER: Already made it clear I
12	can't ask my questions.
13	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT:
14	Ms. Mincavage, if you're even
15	there.
16	MS. MINCAVAGE: Apologies, Your
17	Honor. I have not questions. Thank you,
18	Your Honor.
19	HEARING OFFICER CHESTNUT: Okay
20	then. Thank you very much then.
21	The hearing is adjourned 2:17 p.m.
22	(Hearing adjourned at 2:17 p.m.)
23	
24	

CERTIFICATION

I, hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence noted are contained fully and accurately in the stenographic notes taken by me in the foregoing matter, and that this is a correct transcript of the same.

ANGELA M. KING, RPR, Court Reporter, Notary Public

(The foregoing certification of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means, unless under the direct control and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.)

WORD INDEX	11 77:19 11:47 97:5	2018 21:23	122:7	8 108:5, 11	accounting 16:23 194:7, 9
INDEA	11:4 7 97:3 11:57 97:7	84: <i>17</i> 85:2, <i>17</i> 188: <i>11</i>	40 84:12, 17	8,750 119: <i>16</i> 8,871 93:2 <i>1</i>	201:8, 9, 11
<\$>	11:5 7 97:7 114 110: <i>10</i>	2019 34:9	420,000 34:2 131: <i>12</i> 172:22	8:00 6:20	201:8, 9, 11 accounts
\$0.14 5:20	111:21	2019 34.9 2020 92:4	423 172:24	80 101:4, 5, 9	33: <i>14</i> , <i>23</i> 34: <i>1</i>
\$0.14 5.20 \$0.54 5:18	111 .21 116 110:19	93:24 103:19	423,000 173:3	80,000 92: <i>13</i>	38:9 105:20
\$0.65 14:23	110 110.19	2021 44: <i>17</i>	423,000 173.3 43 98:10	84 86: <i>13</i>	116:9 131: <i>14</i>
\$0.05 14.25 \$0.70 6:2	117,362 141:2,	45:13 86:20	43.2 115:22	04 00.13	137:7 139:4
14:22 128:7	7	90:13 92:4	45,019 104:24	<9>	145:20, 21, 23
131:4 172:2	119 92:7	93:24 102:17	460 71: <i>17</i> , 2 <i>1</i>	9,000 92:14,	146:22 149:22
183:12, 24	12 77:24	103:19 110:12	116:16 117:18,	15 93:9	172:22 178: <i>1</i> ,
\$0.98 129:4	119:10	111:22 147:11	22 118:2	92 104:9	10
\$1,400 117:4	12,500 113:24	2021/2022	119:5 149:21	98 175:16, 19	accurate
164:5	116:5 117:5, 8,	112:22	151:2, 5	177:5, 16	132:2 173:19
\$1,401 118:5	14	2022 1:1 5:16	163:15	177.5,10	accurately
\$1,401.96	12:32 127: <i>13</i>	6: <i>4</i> 71: <i>14</i>	47 98:23	< A >	23:2 209:1
117:16	12:42 127: <i>15</i>	110:12 111:22	49,019 103: <i>17</i>	a.m 1:1 2:2	accusations
\$1,402 114: <i>19</i>	12:45 127: <i>10</i>	112:7 113:9		6:20 27:3, 5	40:7
116:4 117:23	122 115:6	2023 1:1	<5>	97:5, 7	acknowledge
\$1.55 129:5	123 108:24	21 93:6, 7	5 74:20 91:5	aberrant 19:23	62:20 65:1
\$150 17: <i>18</i>	13 77:24	21/22 113:2	103:4, 10, 12	ability 73:19	67:7 121: <i>18</i> ,
\$16 22:2	136 104:5	215-685-6300	106:23 122:8	87:6 129: <i>19</i>	22 122:12, 15
\$17.5 116:8	14 80:6 83:5	6:19	180: <i>18</i> 181: <i>18</i>	158: <i>1</i>	141: <i>1</i> 9
117:7	15 80:6, 9	22 207:22	5:00 6:20	able 9:21	acknowledged
\$180 189:24	117: <i>15</i>	23 34:10, 14	500 5:24	10: <i>1</i> 33: <i>16</i>	70: <i>13</i> 111:20
\$20 190: <i>1</i>	150 36:18	114: <i>14</i>	151: <i>1</i> , 2	36:2 37:16	126:3
\$200 189:23	141:5, <i>21</i>	23,075 32:5	51 92:7 104:4,	67:1 68:11	acknowledgmen
\$4 22: <i>4</i>	16 70:23	24 86:7	5, 12	71:21 72:2	t 67:13
\$5,000 118:7	119: <i>11</i>	25 71: <i>14</i>	52,000 94:23	79:22 85:9	acquainted
152:5 164:7	16,500 146: <i>11</i> ,	131:2 <i>1</i> 132:6	95:9, <i>10</i> 147: <i>1</i>	109: <i>13</i> 116: <i>17</i>	78: <i>17</i>
	14	150: <i>1</i> 163: <i>13</i>		120: <i>14</i> 136: <i>10</i>	act 3:12
<1>	17 71: <i>15</i>	25.7 34:12	<6>	155: <i>14</i>	actin 13:9
1 5:16 6:3, 4	114: <i>15</i>	140:7 173:6	6 72:15	Abrams 3:22	acting 12:24
31:19 65:8	17,148 32: <i>10</i>	250,000 151:4	6,274 122: <i>12</i>	4:3, 4, 8 7:4	13: <i>10</i> , <i>21</i>
70:12 77:17	122:2 <i>1</i>	26 34: <i>14</i>	6/21 112: <i>14</i>	absolutely	actions 14:5
88:2 <i>1</i> 112:7,	177 104: <i>10</i>	26,000 90:12	60,000 139:24	38:13 59:11,	active 3:13
20 180:16	108:20	94:11, 23	140:12 141:7	14 134:4	10:15 95:10
181: <i>16</i>	18 76:5, 14	95:15 97:12	142:8, 11, 12	144:20	139:12
1,400 117:8	19 76:24	106:16	146:2, 15	accept 14:4	activities 10:19
1.23 129:10	1A 31:14	27 132:6	601.44 100: <i>12</i>	25:1 34:11, 19	acts 205:7
1.5 133:22	1B 31: <i>15</i>	27.5 146: <i>15</i>	101:6	67:9 88:14	actual 2:9
135: <i>13</i> , 22 140: <i>9</i>	<2>	28 85: <i>15</i> 111: <i>13</i>	601.61 101: <i>10</i> 62,000 38: <i>14</i>	161:6 173: <i>19</i> acceptable	16:7 20:6, 8 44:11 45:6
1.95 129: <i>11</i>	2 70:6 89:18,	28,731 32:5	178:17	180: <i>12</i> , <i>16</i> , <i>20</i> ,	49:19 50:8, 9,
1:31 176:18	23 112:18	20,731 32.3	62,200 147:22	23 181:17, 18	11 69:13
1:34 176:20	175:17, 18	<3>	66 86:24	182:2, 5, 6	190:19
1:59 202:11	177:3, 15	3 71:16	69 99:20	accepted	ad 47:3
10 72:16	2.5 140: <i>10</i>	114:11, 12	0, ,,,,,,	17: <i>14</i> 44: <i>19</i>	add 177:14
107:1 180:22	2/25 72:3	138:5, 23	<7>	145:18 174:20	added 57:21
10:00 1:1 2:2	2:10 202:12	140:10	7 75:10	accepting	86:18
10:26 27:3	2:12 202:17	30 204:20	70 183:22	133:7	adding 50:8,
10:40 27:5	2:17 208:21, 22	31 1: <i>1</i>	77 72:17, 20	access 8:14, 15,	11
100 119:6	20 82: <i>13</i> 93: <i>6</i> ,	374,000 34:20	73:2, 13 74:7	19 164:2	addition 10: <i>14</i>
100.2 (a2 77:23	7 115:8	, in the second of the second	101:3, 24	accessible 6:16	160:23
101 89:20	146:12	<4>	163: <i>16</i>	account 5:10	additional
106 108:19, 20	2015 111: <i>13</i> ,	4 65:8, 19	78 100:7	57:1 68:22, 24	9:19 14:20
107 108:24	18	67:21 70:22		69:2 108:6, 12	16:8, <i>10</i> 49: <i>12</i>
108 109:9	2016 21:22	72: <i>15</i> 114: <i>5</i>	< 8 >	163:6 180:8	50:9 126:22
	l		l	193: <i>18</i> 201: <i>5</i>	

150 1 161 4	l 1 4.	l II 10.22	1 140 2 145 14	I 140 22 140 10	16.20
152: <i>1</i> 161: <i>4</i> 180: <i>4</i>	advocating 14:23	allow 19:22 46:11 51:23	142:3 145:14, 16, 17 148:10	148:22 149: <i>10</i> 163: <i>19</i>	asking 16:20 23:14 35:22
address 11:6	affirm 101:15	109:20 110:5	151:20 154:14,	applications	41:1 42:18
23:23 73:19	afford 10:2	111:2 112:2	17, 18 155:3	149:9	47:6, 16, 17, 19,
161:10 182:24	16:13 82:13	129:24 156: <i>1</i>	170:17 174:6,	applied 3:9	20 48:19 50:3,
addressed	affordable	199:7 200:4	13 175:23	48: <i>13</i> 106: <i>7</i>	8 58:5 60:6
11:15 24:24	8:20 22:9	allowed 17:3	176:4, 7, 13	applies 74:22	87:11 93:2, 8
81:10	agencies 111:2	19:13 25:11	177:9 179:15	82:2 83:20	128:22 136:22
adds 57:7	agency 111:9	55:3, 5 169:11	182:9, 11, 14,	112:2 <i>1</i>	137:9 138: <i>17</i>
adequate 8:10	aggressively	205:4	17 185:24	apply 123:12,	143:2 148: <i>3</i>
152:4	165:4	allowing 14:13	196:9, <i>15</i>	18 209:1	151:6 154:2,
adjourn 208:1	ago 135:13	17:1 43:9	200:5 205:2	applying 83: <i>14</i>	10, 11 156:9
adjourned	agree 19:6, 9	60:7 157:8, 9	answered	appointed 7:16	172:3 176:23
27: <i>3</i> 208:2 <i>1</i> ,	30:16 67:16	179:6	101:12 113:14	appreciate	179:2 <i>1</i> 182: <i>4</i>
22	68:5, 16 69:18	allows 4:20	142: <i>1</i> 148: <i>7</i>	6:23 49:7	187: <i>4</i> , <i>20</i>
adjudicator	79:7 81:2 <i>4</i>	5:2 15:11	154:22 159:12	74: <i>14</i> 121:22	189: <i>14</i> 193:2,
15:20 40:20	88: <i>5</i> 91: <i>18</i>	206:14	196:12	126:19 166:20	8 195:2 <i>1</i>
adjust 5:15	95:2 <i>3</i> 100: <i>16</i>	alternative	answering	167:6	198:2 <i>3</i> 199: <i>1</i>
8:5	102:5, 11	54:18 193:23	154:13, 20	approach 45:3	aspects 98:14
adjustment	105:14, 21	alternatives	answers 36:16	appropriate	assert 199:18
4:14, 17 5:22	110:1 111:7,	189:4	145:18 176:1	24:1 36:24	assessed
6:8 15: <i>13</i>	10 112:1, 5	amazing 54:21	206:4	51:5 59:15	124:10
171: <i>17</i> , 20	117:3 121:11	144:11, 12	anticipate 120: <i>13</i>	163:22 189: <i>15</i> 205: <i>19</i> 207: <i>13</i>	assignment 38:22
adjustments 5:9 8:9 96:24	122: <i>18</i> 123: <i>1</i> , <i>4</i> 125: <i>17</i>	ambient 68:8 American			38:22 assist 6:14
administered	130:2 135:23	18:20 88:6, 9	anticipates 121:3	appropriately 159: <i>12</i>	Assistance
114:23	147:17 148:2	amount 48:10	anybody	approval 13:15	4:19 8:1 9:1,
administration	159:2 172:20	57:18 115:21	26:19 60:17	approval 13.13	12, 20 21:6, 20
4: <i>18</i>	agreeable	116:12 117:6,	129:24 143:16	71:2 <i>1</i>	37:5 64: <i>14</i>
admitted 161:8	30:21	8, 23 118:12	anyway 150:18	approved 5:16,	67:11 69:17
adopted 10:23	agreed 113:2	amounts 100:9	Apologies	23 21:22	70:15, 20 71:3,
adoption	166: <i>11</i>	analogous	68:9 208: <i>16</i>	188:10 203:2,	4 91:4 92:3
185: <i>10</i>	agreeing	124:12	apologize	21	95: <i>19</i> 103: <i>18</i>
advance 62:21	17:15 117:13	analysis 44:16	72:23 85:10	approximately	105:20 106:18
157:8	agreement	45:23 50:15	92: <i>14</i> 101: <i>4</i>	6:2 34:2	109:2 <i>1</i> , 22
advanced	59:6 66:20	73:8 179:3	129: <i>14</i>	86:13 93:9	111:2, 4, 23
14:18	ahead 33:12	189:7	appear 101:2	115:22 116:8	118:2 <i>3</i> 119: <i>1</i>
advantage	46:4, 12 51:15	and/or 209:1	appears 91:9	122: <i>19</i> 131:2 <i>1</i>	125: <i>19</i> 146: <i>6</i>
60:10	60:13 62:18	Andre 3:18	112:18	146: <i>1</i> , <i>9</i> , <i>10</i> , <i>15</i>	assistant
advertised	126:17 135:7	27:16	Appendix	April 99: <i>1</i>	104:12
16: <i>18</i>	144:16 152:11	Angela 59:3	92:3 103:23	207:22	assisting 21:9
advisory	157:14 159:19,	209:1	104:3, 5	area 31:24	associated 6:6
18:16 19:1	22 160:1	annual 2:8	appendixes 169:4	107:19 149:14	47:12 50:21
Advocate 7:10,	167:20, 24	4:13, 14 6:8	applicable	areas 176:12	125: <i>12</i> 157: <i>6</i> 193: <i>5</i>
17 12:19, 20 13:1, 8, 11, 13,	171: <i>1</i> 183:8 196:8 198: <i>19</i>	15: <i>12</i> 147:8 189:9	110:20	argue 157:1 arguing 14:20	assume 11:23
21 14:6, 19	203:18	annually 5:10	applicants	199:10, 15	42:21 49:8
15:2, 21 16:6,	aider 40:20	8:2	91: <i>16</i> 103: <i>17</i>	argument	65:9 70:7
22 17:13, 16,	aiding 40:3, 6	answer 36:2,	105:8 107:7	119:21 207:12	91:23 106:2, 5
24 18:4, 12, 15,	41:7	21 37:16	113:9	arguments	116:11, 22
21 19:4, 12, 17	algorithm 66:4	41:14 42:24	applicant's	43:2 144:22	160:22 175:22
22:19 28:10	allegations	46:6 47:17	82: <i>16</i>	157:8	assumed
62:23 108:22	20:23	49:9 53:7, 10	application	asked 46:5	113:24
162: <i>11</i> 165:2 <i>1</i>	Allentown	54:12 55:9, 18	67:8 75:11, 20	62:22 101:12	assuming 35:7,
170:13, 14	115: <i>16</i>	60:12 64:7	80:23 109:2, 4	141: <i>11</i> 154:2 <i>1</i>	11 36:15
171: <i>11</i> , <i>12</i>	allocated	65:10, 20	110:13 111:15,	159:9, <i>14</i>	57:16
Advocate's	115:2 <i>1</i>	78:16 79:22	22 112:2, 9, 19,	181: <i>16</i> , <i>18</i> , 22	assumption
129:7	allocation	81:8 119: <i>11</i>	20 126:11, 13	186: <i>17</i> 203: <i>10</i> ,	35:15
	44:20 115: <i>1</i>	126:23 137:15	I	13 207:11	

assumptions	117:4, 6, 23	76:3, 13, 22	172:5, 8 175:7,	base-extra	142:22 159:17
31: <i>11</i> , <i>12</i>	118:11 119:6	77:11 78:6, 21,	21, 24 181:8,	44: <i>17</i>	162:23 179:4
attached	127:24 129:1,	22 79:6, 13	10, 20 182:20	basic 14:24	bias 187:8
63:24 75:19	15, 16 132:18,	80:5, 15, 19	183:14, 21	15:17 156:17	biases 187:16
98:4 103:24	19, 23 140:10	81:16, 23 82:7,	184:1, 17, 20	186:11, 15	bid 20:19
169:6	158:2 <i>1</i> 159: <i>1</i>	10, 20 83:3, 18	185:5 186:4	194:7	big 165:10
attack 16:23	164:6 171:22	84:4, 11, 16, 21	187:18, 22	basically 32:6	bill 4:20 6:1,
attacks 20:14	174:2	85:1, 8, 20	188:2, 8, 16, 22	basing 43:2	7 12:9 50: <i>12</i> 56:8 132: <i>20</i>
47:4	avoid 198:1	86:1, 5, 16	189:11 191:3	basis 9:6	
attempt 56:8	avoidance	87:15, 16, 19	192:15 194:11,	57:12 63:17	155:15 157:10
137:15 195:4	199:5	88:1, 5, 9, 14,	14, 17 195:11	64:13 86:2	192:8 195:4
attempting	avoids 196:2	19, 24 89:7, 11,	196:3 197:9,	90:5, 9 105:19	billed 48:11
192:8 193:19	aware 2:17 6:10 12:16	14, 18 90:1, 3,	20, 22 200:2	114:24 116:21	billing 10: <i>19</i> 11:9 44: <i>14</i>
attorney 7:15 204:18	96:1 98:17	11, 16, 20, 24	202:5, 6, 13, 19,	119:20 144:4	45:8
		91:8, 18 92:1,	20 203:10, 13	151:16 174:23	
attorneys	124:18, 23	6, 9, 21 93:17,	204:10 206:10,	bear 17:8	bills 4:23
51:20, 22	147: <i>14</i>	20 94:3, 10, 18	13, 16 207:1, 3,	began 107:11	16:10 21:10,
attributed	. D.	95:1, 6, 8, 14,	18 208:6, 7	beginning 6:4	15 22:9 48:14
105:5		22 96:17, 18,	Ballenger's	begins 63:15	92:24 155:9,
audio 64:20 79:9 96:10	B&V 31:7 back 35:17	22 97:11, 21 98:5, 13, 22	59:6 140: <i>14</i> 143: <i>19</i> 165: <i>17</i>	77:23 85:11 behalf 4:8	23 197:13 bit 68:5
authentication	41:12 47:19	99:4, 9, 13, 16,	198:4	behavior 19:23	77:16 86:17
30: <i>16</i>	56:14 70:11	22 100:4, 14,	bank 17:18	behest 149:13	97: <i>14</i> 119: <i>15</i>
authorization	83:4 86:17	20 101:8, 19,	18:5	believe 9:10,	128:12 164:19
174:22	88: <i>19</i> 89: <i>13</i> ,	24 102:3, 10,	bank's 18:7	13 14:11	Black 27:24
authorize	21 96:10 97:7,	15, 19 103:1,	Baporis 28:5	27:19 29:10	29:4 31:8, 16
109:18 110:22	8 106:22	11, 14, 22	62:5 166:22	34:9 37:1	32:23 130:24
auto 76:14	108:17 127:15,	104:2, 8, 11, 16,	barely 96:7	43:12, 13 62:5	blanketly
108:1 120:11,	16 128:21	22 105:3, 10,	barks.)Sorry	65:23 69:8	180:9
14 123:9	139:6 140:2	16, 24 106:10,	99:8	70:24 77:9	BOARD 1:1
124:8, 20	170:19 176:20	14, 22 107:10,	barriers 8:18	82:5 92:2	2:13, 14 4:16
162: <i>14</i> 163: <i>4</i>	191:12 202:8,	20 108:3, 17,	base 22:2, 6	94:15 98:23	5:17 6:9
164:11	17	21 109:3, 7, 13,	36:10 58:10,	104:1, 4 110:3	10:18 11:6
auto-	background	24 110:4, 9, 16	11 132:7	113:15 116:24	18:2 23:21
enrollment	68:10 78:12	111:6, 14, 19	based 4:21, 22	117:12, 13	157:3 174:2 <i>1</i>
64:12	backwards	112:1, 10, 16	5:13 48:9, 15	118:4, 9, 11	188:10 203:21
automated	123: <i>13</i> , <i>14</i>	113:3, 15, 22	50:15 57:19	125:6 132:1, 6,	Board's 2:24
49:13	bail 134:24	114:10, 15, 17,	64:12 68:17,	14 139:23	89:2 <i>1</i> 174:22
automatic	balance 69:12	22 115:5, 15,	20 72:19	140:13 142:23	boat 58:3
63:20 66:8, 18	balances	20 116:3, 14,	83:18 84:4	150:15 171:24	body 2:15
119:18 120:19	18:20, 22	20 117:2, 17,	85:14 86:9	172:24 181: <i>14</i>	bold 104:18
124:2, 10	Ballenger 7:10,	21 118:1, 6, 10,	87: <i>13</i> 90: <i>17</i> ,	186: <i>10</i> 196: <i>3</i>	bond 15:5
125:11 149:8	<i>13, 15</i> 10: <i>13</i>	<i>16</i> , <i>20</i> 119: <i>4</i> ,	18 95:2, 3, 20	believed	books 192:3
automatically	15:9, 18 20:5,	10, 14 120:5, 9,	100:4 102:6	131: <i>19</i>	boost 162:13
67:14, 18	11, 12 28:7, 8,	<i>17</i> 121:9, <i>14</i> ,	103:6, 15	believes 19:2	bottom 65:2
73:21 74:2	9 29:9, 16	21 122:18, 23	106:5, 8, 15	67:22	112: <i>11</i> 162:7
76:6, 10	31:2 32:14, 17	123: <i>1</i> , <i>23</i>	107:13, 22	benchmarking	branches 17:19
107:22 125: <i>4</i> ,	33:3, 5 62:10,	124:5, 9, 17	116:4 119:6	146: <i>17</i> 178: <i>5</i>	break 26:24
23	13, 19 63:3, 22,	125:2, 9, 17	120:2 121:4, 7	benefit 164:6,	96:9, <i>17</i> 127:6
avail 33:1	23 64:5, 10, 18,	126:2, 9, 12	123:7, <i>18</i>	7, 8	176: <i>16</i> 201: <i>10</i>
availability	22, 24 65:15	129:20 141: <i>16</i>	129:15 130:17	benefits	breakdown
102:7	66:1, 15 67:5,	152:23 161:22	132:17 140:4,	100:24 111:5	86:9
available 6:14	20 68:4, 15, 23	162:3, 22	6 141:1, 7, 10	best 57:24	breakout 11:13
36:6 62:8	69:4, 10, 16, 24	165: <i>15</i> 166: <i>16</i> ,	142:9 150:22	106: <i>15</i> 165: <i>14</i>	Brian 28: <i>1</i>
90:19	70:5, 11, 17, 21	<i>17</i> 167: <i>17</i> , 2 <i>1</i>	158:22 163:18	better 36:9	32:24 33:18
average 32:1	71:7, 13, 20	168:6, 10, 16	168:7 174:1, 7	74:13 158:9,	bricks 166:19
35:13, 18	72:6, 14 73:5,	169:8, 11, 16,	188:17 190:16,	10 194:12	brief 96:8
114:18 116:3,	10, 20 74:4, 14,	23 170:7, 12,	22 203:20	beyond 47:2	127:6
12, 14, 16	19 75:9, 15, 17	l <i>21</i> 171:6, 8	1 204:5	112:7 126: <i>15</i>	I

briefly 3:14	capacity 44:18	cetera 30:21	39:3, 12, 15, 19,	184:15, 18	clarifying
20:12, 24	130:14	CFO 27:21	23 40:5, 10, 14,	185:8 186:6,	172:9
108:10 127:7	CAPS 21:7	Chair 38:19	17, 22 41:5, 10	20 187:6, 10,	class 130:7
briefs 11:3	capture 123:16	challenge	43:8, 15, 19	13, 17, 19	Clause 21:18
207:21	care 56:18	19:24 168:4	44:7 46:3, 7,	188:1 190:12	clear 71:8
		184:14	1		74:5, 17 79:22
bring 81:10	carefully 64:8		12, 20 47:5, 8,	192:21 193:4,	
Brittany 28:5	cares 18:9	challenging	18 49:21, 24	12 194:1, 8, 15	107:12 118:21
62:4	Carl 3:20	168:3	51:3, 7, 11, 14,	196:7, 11	153:21 157:18
broad 90:4	27:18	change 5:5	19 52:1, 9, 14,	197: <i>18</i> 198:8,	176:7 177:22
brought	carries 80:9	52:5 77:13	18, 21 53:3, 9,	<i>21, 24</i> 199:9,	183:6 189: <i>13</i>
165: <i>15</i>	carry 146: <i>17</i>	113:9, <i>16</i>	12, 17, 21 54:5,	19 200:7	195:24 208:11
buildings	case 9:16	119:6 177:8	11 55:2, 12, 14	201:22 202:4,	clearly 2:21
17: <i>11</i>	31:22 43:18	188:6, 9, <i>14</i>	56:5 58:12, 18,	10, 18 203:8,	182: <i>16</i>
burden 14:15	44:17 52:20	202:23 204:8	21, 24 59:3, 12,	17 204:12, 15	client 17:20
17:9 57:8, 10	53:5, 14, 15, 23	changes 5:7,	16, 23 60:3, 11,	205:1, 5, 9, 14,	close 93:1
148:22 157:12	58:16 62:12	10 19:5 77:2,	16 61:1, 6, 11,	17, 21 206:2, 8,	160:8
Bureau 82:15	67:4 98:11	6	16, 20 62:6, 9,	24 207:4, 9, 20,	closes 99:1
business 12:13	144:21 145:2,	changing	16 63:1, 21	24 208:5, 9, 13,	CLS 7:16
13:19 204:20	5 159:13	113:2 <i>1</i>	64:2, 21 68:6,	19	121:24
button 167:18	170:2	Chapter 77:17	13 79:20 80:1	Chestnut's	code 112:13
Dutton 107.10	cases 195:9	characterize	81:6 84:19	160:14	113: <i>1</i>
< C >	cash 94:6, 12	136:10 164:12	87:9, 13 96:15,	chime 63:5	cognizant
calculate	100:9, 23	charge 14:21	20 97:8 99:7,	choice 145:1	108:8
45:19 46:1	101:20 104:13	21:12, 13 42:2	11 101:14	choose 24:14	Coincidentally
47:12, 23	105:12, 20	58:7 190:4	103:9, 13	53:11	98:9
49:18, 23 50:1,	109:23 111:4	196:5	104:6 113:13	circulate 28:24	colleague 7:18
<i>3</i> 160: <i>4</i>	catalyst 123:9,	charges 2:16	114:8 126:2 <i>1</i>	circulating	collect 17:4
189: <i>18</i> 190: <i>1</i>	10	31:16 42:3	127:1, 9, 16	17:23	192:8 193:20
193:22 196: <i>17</i>	categories	56:23	128:14 130:2,	circumstance	195: <i>4</i>
calculated	130:8	Charis 29:18,	6, 10 131:9	50:23	collection
50:3 193:9	cause 155:8	23	134:1, 6, 9, 13,	circumstances	10:19 56:8
calculating	caused 155:21	Charitable	16, 21 135:3, 6	123:19	201:15
192: <i>11</i> , <i>17</i>	cell 16:17	34:8	136:1 137:12,	citation 89:15	collects 191:5
197:4	129:23 130:12	check 34:3, 10,	23 138:3, 8, 11	90:23 132:10	colloquialism
calculation	cellular 96:12	14, 19 75:15,	141:8, 24	cited 126:11	162: <i>1</i> 6
39:1 50:20	census 87:20	16 86:12, 15	142:24 143:4,	Citizens 71:4	Colton 86:24
141:18 184:22	132:15 133:5,	88:18 92:18	8, 12, 17, 22	City 6:17	87:6
190:16	20 140:6	93:9 94:2, 3, 9	144:2, 7, 12, 15,	17:7, 19 56:18	Colton's 86:20
calculations	173:5, 19, 20,	115:24 116:2	23 145:3, 9, 15	65:23 66:24	columns
31:9 45:5	21	133:21, 24	147:6, 9, 12	69:19 74:22	104:17
calculators	cent 200:17	140:1 147:16	148:6, 9	75:12 77:7, 13	combined 6:1
34:21 35:4	certain 73:19	checking 27:22	151:12, 15	82:1, 9 87:23	combining
		_	1		
calendar	81:3 121:11	checks 18:20,	152:10, 22	117:14 120:22	149:9
119:24	certainly 3:1	22 CHESTNUT	154:12, 16, 21	121:3, 17	come 13:3
call 32:4	9:23 25:14	CHESTNUT	155:24 156:6,	131:20 132:24	14:3 20:1
197:7 202:7	30:6 51:6	1:1 2:3, 12	12, 23 157:13,	133:12, 15, 18	30:7 40:22
called 7:23	78:19 108:2	3:4 4:2, 6 7:3,	19, 23 158:4	135:10 139:23	114:2 <i>4</i> 116:2 <i>1</i>
15:12 21:7, 12	156:3 162:9	8 10:12 12:7	159:11, 16, 21,	140: <i>15</i> 146: <i>1</i>	120:16 130:17
66:18 98:7	205:10, 16	20:3, 10 23:3,	24 160:6, 19	149: <i>14</i> 162: <i>11</i>	158: <i>15</i> 202:8
185: <i>17</i>	certainty 73:6	22 24:4, 15, 20,	161:5 166: <i>15</i> ,	165:4, 20	comes 201:14
calling 6:18	Certification	23 25:5, 9, 13,	23 167:3, 9, 14,	city's 70:13	coming 3:11
123:10 207:12	109: <i>10</i> 110: <i>5</i> ,	21 26:1, 8, 13,	19 168:8, 15,	120:2 <i>1</i>	68:8 152: <i>1</i>
Calls 113: <i>11</i>	<i>18</i> 112:2 <i>1</i>	18, 22 27:6, 11,	17, 21 169:12,	claim 16:24	commenced
148:4	113:8, <i>16</i>	16 28:6, 15, 20,	17, 21 170:9,	claiming	2:2 27:5
cancel 68:11	209:1	24 29:18	18, 24 171:5	151:24	commend
candor 126:20	certify 209:1	30:10, 13, 24	175:11, 22	clarify 33:20	120:22
CAP 21:10	certifying	31:3 32:13, 19	176:3, 10, 15,	47:19 70:1	comment
124:19 125:3,	209:1	33:2, 7, 11, 19	21 182:7, 23	187:23 191:4	11:14, 18
	1 200.1	35:9, 24 38:20	183:3, 7	107.23 171.7	11.17, 10
11		1 17.9 /4 18./11			

26:20 162:4	components	consistent	70:3 71:11, 18	counties	53:8 145:8
165:22	107:3	22:20 108:4,	74:10 75:13	115: <i>11</i>	167:24 199: <i>17</i>
comments	composed	14	76:8, 20, 21	county 92:5, 7	201:2 <i>1</i>
11:14 82:15	91: <i>10</i> , <i>19</i>	consists 91:2	77:6 79:2, 16	93:23 104:12	cross-examine
166:20	compromised	constantly 8:13	80:23 85:22	106: <i>11</i>	29: <i>13</i> 54: <i>1</i>
Commission	16:2 18: <i>14</i>	constructed	89:4 90:7, 10,	county-by-	161:3
37:2 <i>3</i>	24:18, 19	21:19	14, 15 94:21	county 98: <i>3</i>	CRP 38:7
Commissions	concern 81:1	consultant	95:5, 12, 13	couple 75:10	94: <i>19</i> , 24
160:12	concerns 24:11	20:18 36:7	99:2 101: <i>11</i>	122:4 123:24	95:11, 16, 18
Commission's	conclude	169:7	102:13 104:14	150: <i>16</i> , <i>23</i>	146:2 <i>3</i> 147: <i>1</i> 8
147: <i>4</i>	72:20 207:16	Consultants	105:5 106:4,	163: <i>14</i> 175:9	148: <i>13</i> , 24
committee	concludes	31:18 62:3	18 107:15	202:7	149:9 178: <i>10</i> ,
17:2 <i>1</i> 18: <i>16</i>	20:2 201:20	consumer	108: <i>15</i> 111: <i>14</i>	course 3:21	22 179:8, 24
19: <i>1</i>	conclusion	128:9 170: <i>13</i>	114:19 115:2,	10:2 16: <i>1</i> , 2 <i>1</i>	crucial 10:2
commodities	31:22	171: <i>11</i>	23 116:10	27:23 40:2	cubic 5:18, 20,
199:24	conclusions	consumers	117:9, 24	52:10 53:24	24
commodity	130:17	15:6, 10	118:3, 19, 23	54:1 67:7	curious 170: <i>3</i>
44:13 45:7, 20	concur 172:4	consumption	119:8, 9, 19	87:23 145:6	current 33:20,
47:22 48:20	conditions	128: <i>1</i> 158:22	120:4 126:4	court 2:18	22 45:3 48:11
56:23 57:6, 13,	204:7	contact 153:12,	128:10 131:14	60:20 139:6	50:6 75:11
15 58:7 60:8	conduct 2:13	22 155:1	149:23 150:2	209:1	110:12 111:15,
200:3, 14, 18,	confirm 72:2	contacting	153:14 172:15	courtesy 65:16	18 113:17
24	76:14 77:1	154:6	173:9 177:17	cover 14:24	122:20 163:9
common 68:1,	80:20 81:17	contained	181:7 186:5	19:7 65:2	currently 32:9
20 161:23	93:8 105: <i>17</i>	209:1	188:2 <i>1</i> 195:7,	136:15 144:10	74:7 117:5
162: <i>10</i> 166: <i>1</i>	117: <i>3</i> 131: <i>14</i> ,	contains	10 197:8	159:20 182:20	123:6 133: <i>19</i>
Community	22 132:1	108:23	209:1	covered 19: <i>11</i>	149:2 <i>1</i> 165: <i>11</i>
7:15 20:14	confirmation	contention	correctly 9:15	covers 57:6	cust 142:20
28:9, 11 88:6	73:11 98:24	105:23	64:11 111:12	58:7	customer 5:23
companies	181:2 <i>4</i>	context 102:21	correlation	COVID-19	21:6 36:10
13: <i>1</i> 8	confirmed	159: <i>13</i> 206: <i>4</i>	194: <i>19</i>	102:22	41:24 50:9
company	76: <i>4</i> , <i>19</i>	contingent	correspond	create 58:10	56:22 57:5, 8,
11:12 12:13	114:23	76:16	105:18 142:16	59:9	10 58:6, 7
16:17 124:18	conflict 12:23	continue 8:15	co-sponsored	created 16: <i>11</i>	69:6 70:2, 9
172: <i>1</i>	13:24 19: <i>1</i> 9	80:6 133:16	63:6	151:2 <i>4</i>	74:2 <i>4</i> 75:5, <i>6</i> ,
company's	24:9 160: <i>14</i>	175: <i>4</i>	cost 5:2, 4	creates 60:9,	21, 24 76:7, 17
130:3	170:6	continuing	8:3, 4 9:7	10	77:3, 4, 18
compare	confused	46: <i>16</i> 81: <i>1</i>	15: <i>1</i> 16:7, 8, 9,	creating 16:4	79: <i>1</i> 81:20
190:20	65:12 135:17	200:6	23 22:6, 15	credit 17:22	83:10 86:10
compared	confusing	contract 13:16	44:11, 12, 13,	credits 48:4, 5,	99:24 100:5,
178:24	175:12	17: <i>14</i> 20: <i>19</i>	14, 15, 19, 23	6, 13 57:21	<i>15</i> 102: <i>12</i>
comparing	connection 2:5	206:15	45:1, 6, 20	crisis 91:3, 20	128:20 129:2,
178:5	4:18 87:21	contractors	47:9 48:7	92:15, 20 93:2,	<i>17</i> 130:7
comparison	connectivity	12: <i>11</i> 15: <i>5</i>	49:1, 11, 19	3, 10, 22 94:5,	132:22 140:22
48:8 115: <i>11</i>	96:7	contribute	50:8, 10, 11	<i>13</i> 100:24	153:22 171:22
189:5	consent 75:7,	63:9	55:21 56:6, 12,	109:23 111:4	193:2 <i>4</i> 199:2 <i>3</i> ,
competitive	22, 23 78:9	contributing	17 57:6, 7	criteria 69:2 <i>3</i>	24
190:2	79:8, 10, 15	200:16, 19	191: <i>10</i> 193: <i>17</i>	73:19 136:8	customers
Complete	80:22 81:19	contributions	195:5 199:5	179: <i>17</i>	4:20, 23 6:10,
170 10	1 02.4 02.21	202:2	200:18, 19	critical 152:6	22 8:12 9:11,
170:10	82:4 83:21		1 00040 2.0 5.11	1 amaga 20.2	20, 22 21:10,
completely	Consider	control 13:5	costs 3:9 5:11,	cross 28:3	
completely 44:5 151:11,	Consider 18: <i>19</i> 158: <i>16</i>	114:3, 9	12 7:24 10:7	29:6 30:5, 7	15 22:7 42:5
completely 44:5 151:11, 13 194:2, 4	Consider 18:19 158:16 181:6	114: <i>3</i> , <i>9</i> 121: <i>16</i> 209: <i>1</i>	12 7:24 10:7 19:8 21:9	29:6 30:5, 7 33:4, 8 62:8,	15 22:7 42:5 48:9 57:17
completely 44:5 151:11, 13 194:2, 4 complicated	Consider 18:19 158:16 181:6 consideration	114:3, 9 121:16 209:1 corner 112:11	12 7:24 10:7 19:8 21:9 22:8 44:10	29:6 30:5, 7 33:4, 8 62:8, 11, 14 87:6	15 22:7 42:5 48:9 57:17 71:23 72:12,
completely 44:5 151:11, 13 194:2, 4 complicated 176:6	Consider 18:19 158:16 181:6 consideration 192:13 198:2	114:3, 9 121:16 209:1 corner 112:11 correct 9:7	12 7:24 10:7 19:8 21:9 22:8 44:10 49:2 191:6	29:6 30:5, 7 33:4, 8 62:8, 11, 14 87:6 161:21 165:18	15 22:7 42:5 48:9 57:17 71:23 72:12, 22 73:14 74:7
completely 44:5 151:11, 13 194:2, 4 complicated 176:6 component	Consider 18:19 158:16 181:6 consideration 192:13 198:2 considered	114:3, 9 121:16 209:1 corner 112:11 correct 9:7 30:4, 20 33:4,	12 7:24 10:7 19:8 21:9 22:8 44:10 49:2 191:6 192:14 198:1	29:6 30:5, 7 33:4, 8 62:8, 11, 14 87:6 161:21 165:18 168:12	15 22:7 42:5 48:9 57:17 71:23 72:12, 22 73:14 74:7 94:20 95:18,
completely 44:5 151:11, 13 194:2, 4 complicated 176:6 component 91:20, 23 94:5,	Consider 18:19 158:16 181:6 consideration 192:13 198:2 considered 22:10 91:13	114:3, 9 121:16 209:1 corner 112:11 correct 9:7 30:4, 20 33:4, 5, 10 37:12, 13	12 7:24 10:7 19:8 21:9 22:8 44:10 49:2 191:6 192:14 198:1 counsel 27:19	29:6 30:5, 7 33:4, 8 62:8, 11, 14 87:6 161:21 165:18 168:12 cross-	15 22:7 42:5 48:9 57:17 71:23 72:12, 22 73:14 74:7 94:20 95:18, 23 96:2 100:8
completely 44:5 151:11, 13 194:2, 4 complicated 176:6 component	Consider 18:19 158:16 181:6 consideration 192:13 198:2 considered	114:3, 9 121:16 209:1 corner 112:11 correct 9:7 30:4, 20 33:4,	12 7:24 10:7 19:8 21:9 22:8 44:10 49:2 191:6 192:14 198:1	29:6 30:5, 7 33:4, 8 62:8, 11, 14 87:6 161:21 165:18 168:12	15 22:7 42:5 48:9 57:17 71:23 72:12, 22 73:14 74:7 94:20 95:18,

121:19, 21	167:10, 12	111:10, 17, 24	define 159:4,	12 127:19	64:1 70:21
123:12, 17	168:13 201:23,	112:6, 15, 24	10 160:4	148: <i>14</i> 149:2,	86:21 88:20
130:8 136:7,	24 204:13, 14	113:12, 20	defined 74:1	10 153:17	97: <i>15</i> 100:8,
16, 17 139:11	207:17, 23	114: <i>14</i> , <i>16</i> , <i>20</i>	76:10	192:3	23 101:22
140: <i>16</i> 141:22	208:2, 3	115:3, 24	defining 74:13	dependent	143: <i>10</i> 169: <i>3</i>
142:12, 16, 18	data 65:17	116:11, 15, 24	definition	176:8	197:6 209: <i>1</i>
146:4, 11	70:24 85:14	117:11, 20, 24	67:17 74:5	depending	directed 2:10
150:6 153: <i>19</i>	87:8 107: <i>17</i> 132: <i>15</i> 133: <i>3</i> ,	118:4, 9, 14, 19	99:23 100:5 124:8, <i>16</i>	85:6 124:7	3:7 40:24 53:13 61:2, 9
179:7, 8, 13 180:13 185:6	4, 6, 7 140:6	119: <i>11</i> , 20 120:7 121: <i>19</i>	124:8, 10 191:10	depends 189:20	directing 63:13
cut 192:1	158:23 163:9,	120.7 121.19	deliberate 11:6	depth 85:7	directly 11:11
040 1,2.1	12 173:6, 19,	123:4 124:4, 7,	deliver 22:9	derive 198:19	13:20 35:23
< D >	20, 22	14, 23 125:6,	delivering	describing	100:1, 10, 15
Dasent 3:16,	date 77:9	<i>14</i> , <i>24</i> 126:6	44:13	3:23	102: <i>12</i> 105: <i>13</i>
18 7:5, 6 20:4,	82:14 89:2	127:2 <i>1</i> 128: <i>18</i> ,	delivery 45:8	design 38:23	189:22
7 27:14, 15, 16	111: <i>11</i> 112: <i>13</i>	21 129:3, 8, 13,	democracy	58: <i>14</i> 60: <i>4</i>	disagree 43:16
28:22 29:14	116:16, 17	18 130:22	18:20	185: <i>11</i>	126:7
30:4, 14, 15	146:11 150:14	131:16, 24	demographic	designed	disagreeing
31:4 32:20, 22 33:16 34:24	dated 163:12 Dave 28:1	132:21 133:5, 10, 21 134:8	87:20 104:13	156: <i>16</i> 195: <i>18</i> desperate	86:2 disallow 58: <i>13</i>
35:7, 20, 22	32:24	136:6, 14, 19,	demographics 36: <i>15</i>	46: <i>18</i> , <i>21</i>	disclosure
36:20 37:1, 13,	Davis 28:4	24 137:3	DEPARTMEN	details 186:1	13: <i>12</i> 19: <i>18</i>
15 38:9, 12, 15,	62:4 64:16	138:16, 19	T 1:1 2:7	determination	23:20 46:23
16 39:2, 4	65:22 66:10,	139:7, 8, 19, 23	3:16, 19 4:9,	32:1 66:23	47:7 160: <i>15</i>
40:3, 6, 13, 21	22 67:17 68:3,	140:13, 21	14 5:15 8:10,	194: <i>19</i>	169:2, 2 <i>4</i>
41:8, 14 42:20,	7, 9, 19 69:2, 8,	141: <i>14</i> , <i>19</i>	<i>23</i> 9: <i>14</i> 10: <i>7</i> ,	determinations	discount 6:13
22 44:3 45:2 <i>1</i>	14, 22 70:4, 10,	142:5 145:23	20 12:11, 14	64: <i>15</i> 68: <i>18</i>	21: <i>14</i> 50: <i>6</i>
46:14, 16 47:1,	16, 19 71:6, 12,	146:5, 8, 14, 20,	13:5, 20 15:4,	determine	127:24 148:2 <i>1</i>
15 49:15, 22	19 72:1, 23	24 147:5, 13,	18, 22 16:15	159:7 174:16	158:2 <i>1</i> 159: <i>1</i> ,
50:14 51:1	73:8, 12, 15, 24	21, 23 148:1,	17:4, 6, 17	189:7 204:6	4 160:4
54:24 55:6, 7, 13, 24 56:4	74:9 75:8, 16 76:1, 9, 21	15, 18 149:4, 11, 17 150:9,	18:1, 6 19:6, 13 20:8 22:1,	determined 67: <i>19</i> 157: <i>3</i>	discounted 47:24 197:13
61:7, 12, 14, 18	77:7, 12 78:4,	14, 22 152:20	13, 18 27:17	developing	discounts 5:3
62:1, 7 64:3, 9	19 80:3, 13, 18	153:3, 9, 18, 21	31:6 33:14, 24	134: <i>3</i>	22:4 41:23
75:14 78:10	81:14, 21 82:5,	154:2, 8, 14	42:4 55:22	development	194:20 197:15
79:4, 10, 17, 21,	19 83:1, 14	155:3, 10, 16	56:7, <i>13</i> 69:6	44:23 72:7	discovery
24 80:24	84:1, 8, 23	158:12, 18	70:3 71:23	73:9 91: <i>16</i>	118: <i>13</i>
81:13 84:7, 14	85:2, 5, 18, 23	159:5 161: <i>19</i>	74:8 76:7	DHS 92:12	discuss 11:2
87:5, 12 89:9,	86:3, 15 87:17,	162:9 163: <i>11</i>	85:14 97:17	102:16	23:1 45:11
12, 16, 20	22 88:4, 8, 11,	165:1, 23	98:6 107:2 <i>1</i>	difference	48:23 59:18
92: <i>19</i> 94: <i>1</i> 96: <i>4</i> 97: <i>2</i>	18, 23 89:5, 23 90:2, 8, 15, 18,	166: <i>18</i> 167: <i>5</i> , <i>8</i> 192: <i>10</i>	110: <i>1</i> , <i>7</i> 111:8 112: <i>4</i> 116: <i>7</i>	41:20 48:7, 12 66:7 148:12	65: <i>18</i> 198: <i>13</i> discussion
98:1, 12 99:15	22 91:7, 15, 22	day 3:22	128:6 131: <i>13</i>	193: <i>16</i>	21:2 24: <i>18</i>
101:12, 16	92:5, 8, 17	days 82:13	140:17, 23	differences	83:19 107:11
112:23 113: <i>11</i>	93:12 94:9, 15,	debating 32:10	141:23 150:7	148: <i>16</i>	157: <i>16</i>
114:6 118:24	22 95:5, 7, 13,	December	152:3, 20	different	disingenuous
126:24 127:2,	20 96:1 97:19	146:12	153: <i>1</i> , <i>5</i> , <i>12</i> , <i>18</i> ,	37: <i>11</i> 50: <i>4</i> , <i>13</i>	139: <i>1</i>
4, 11 128:11,	98:20 99:3, 21	decide 19:2	23 154:7, 9	91: <i>11</i> 106: <i>1</i>	dispute 31:24
15, 17 129:6	100:3, 13, 18	25: <i>17</i> 152: <i>3</i>	155:1, 4, 6, 20	107:3 118:12	172:17 173:24
130:5, 9 131:5, 6 7 135:12 18	101:6, 17, 22	198: <i>3</i> decline 122: <i>14</i>	157: <i>17</i> 168: <i>11</i> 172:2 <i>1</i> 183: <i>17</i>	147: <i>19</i> 201: <i>10</i> difficult 179: <i>14</i>	disqualifies 165:2
6, 7 135:12, 18 137:11, 13	102:2, 9, 14, 18, 23 103:21	deemed 82:3,	185:6 189:23	difficulties	disregard
138:2, 5, 7, 9,	104:1, 9, 15, 21	23	190:4 191: <i>11</i>	6: <i>15</i>	107: <i>17</i>
10 142:22	105:2, 6, 15, 22	defer 82:8	196:4 197:23	difficulty 60:21	distinct 177:7
148: <i>4</i> 151: <i>10</i>	106:5, 11, 19	84: <i>1</i> 168: <i>13</i>	198:7 199:2 <i>3</i>	diligently	distinction
156:2 <i>1</i> 160:5,	107:9, <i>16</i> , <i>24</i>	deficit 58:10	Department's	166:8	73:16
<i>17</i> 161: <i>17</i> , <i>18</i>	108:8, 16, 20	59:2, 10 60:2,	16:2 <i>4</i> 49: <i>13</i>	direct 11:11	distinguishing
163:9 164: <i>18</i>	109:1, 5, 12, 18	9 151:23	52:24 76:17	12:12 45:24	92:19
165: <i>16</i> 166: <i>13</i>	110:3, 8, 15, 22	152:2	77:3, 18 108:6,	61:5 63:14	

distributing	eligibility/facilit	175:20 177:15,	Essentially	executive	179:5, 23
62:20	ated 166:4	16 178:1, 10,	190: <i>11</i>	17:20 18:2	180:11, 15, 19
divided 146:14	eligible 6:13	20, 22 180:13	establish	147:17	183:11 184:10,
document	67:23 70:8	enrollees	142:2 <i>1</i>	Exhibit 31:14	12 185:2
65: <i>13</i> 110: <i>14</i>	73:3 106:8, 9	107:4 108: <i>14</i>	established	62:22 65:8, 16	186:9, <i>18</i> , 22
documentation	107:5, 18	114: <i>1</i> 119: <i>17</i> ,	2:15 133:11	70:6, 22 71:17	191: <i>14</i> , <i>1</i> 9
42:15 48:22	111: <i>3</i> 136: <i>1</i> 2,	22 127:22	174:19	74:21 75:2	198:6 206:22
documents	<i>18</i> 137:6, 7	163:2 164: <i>3</i>	establishing	76:23 77:19	expertise 43:2,
143:23	139:4, 18	180:5 187: <i>14</i>	14:8	78:1 80:7	4 54:16 84:2
Dog 99:7	141:3 142:9	enrolling	estate 17:10	83:5 84:12	132:17 158:8
dogs 99:9	145:2 <i>1</i> , 24	122: <i>13</i> 151:2	estimate 89:2	86:6 89:17	174:2, 8 203:5
doing 19: <i>14</i>	146:4 150:7,	152: <i>4</i> 174: <i>17</i>	90:6 116:5	90:9 98:11, 23	204:22 206:23
52:23 54:20	19 151:4, 9	177:12	121:5, 6	99:20 100:7	experts 35:12
141: <i>17</i> 152: <i>4</i>	163:18 165:8	enrollment	estimated 9:14	101:9 108:18,	37:21 38:18
157:18 169:18	174:15 177:24	9:9 31:12	85:15	22, 23 110:11	53:22 59:9
193:11 194:12	180:12	66:5, 8, 19	et 30:20	112:12 114:4,	78:12 81:4
206:7, 9, 11	else's 190:5	76:15 108:1	ethical 12:23	13 115:7	156:5 171: <i>13</i>
dollar 131:3	embracing	119:18 120:12,	13:4 ethics 24:12	160:21	173:4
doubtful 15:7 16:5	120:2 <i>3</i> employee	15, 20 121:13 122:20 123:9	evaluate 188:6	exhibits 31:5 168:23	explain 3:14 7:11 14:12
dramatically	12: <i>10</i>	124:2, 8, 11, 20	evaluating	existed 124:3,	41:19 48:5
8:2 <i>4</i>	enable 66:5	125:11 148:12	197: <i>12</i>	11 125:20	63:19 66:9
draw 36:14	encouraging	149:1, 8	evenly-ish	126:1	148:8, 11, 15
dropped 79:11	163: <i>13</i>	150:20 151:18	120:8	existence	158:8, 14
due 5:5 6:3	energy 103:18	162:13, 14, 18	everybody	125:5 152:16	178:18 185:2
69:12 92:23	104:12 110:24	163:4, 5, 8	24:15 157:17	existing 6:3	192:18 193:15
207:22	111:8, 23	165: <i>19</i> 166: <i>3</i> ,	everybody's	48: <i>16</i> 117: <i>1</i>	194:12 196:22
Duquesne	124:18	5 175:2, 5, 16,	199:12	exists 83:17	197:2 <i>1</i>
125:9, <i>10</i>	engage 12:20	19 177:4, 6	everyone's	expect 64:6	explained
	engaged 170:2	179:9 180: <i>16</i> ,	108:9	113:8	52:15 151:17
< E >	engaging	19, 23	evidence 209:1	expected 137:1	204:3
earlier 108: <i>15</i>	207:12	ensures 22:7	ex 152:19	expense 192:2,	explaining
111:20 149:20	enroll 66: <i>16</i>	enter 13:22	exactly 54:3	7 194:19, 21	90:5 180:6
161: <i>1</i> 171: <i>16</i>	67:24 69:20	19:18	74:1 77:8	197: <i>11</i> , <i>17</i>	explains 98:8
204:3	72:22 73:3, 14,	entered 20:20	88:12 162:16	expenses	explanation
early 11:5, 7	17, 18 120:14	62:22	206:9	193:18	179:2
easier 16: <i>14</i>	152:21 153:2,	entire 98:8	examine 43:3	experience 2:9	explore 38:17
77:21 177:14	6, 9, 13, 19	150:24 151:3	125:13 188:3	3:10 87:14	158:1, 24
edit 114: <i>12</i> effect 185:7	154:3, 5, 6	entirely 125:18	206:4	89: <i>3</i> 90: <i>6</i> 188: <i>18</i> 204: <i>5</i>	exploring
effective 5:16	155:2, 5 163:23 164:10	entities 171: <i>10</i> entitled 85: <i>3</i>	examined 87: <i>19</i>	Experiences	187: <i>15</i> expose 19: <i>16</i>
effectiveness	175:4, 17, 19	entry 112:17	Examiner	85: <i>4</i>	exposed 134:22
152: <i>13</i>	177:3, 5 179:6,	environment	12:24 13:10,	experiencing	exposes 42:24
efficacy 198:3	8	190:3	16 14:1, 7	6: <i>11</i>	express 91:9
effort 121:4,	enrolled 8:13	envisioned	18:11 19:5, 17	expert 37:20	extended 20:20
23 166:10	9:11, 22 37:10	66:15	46:13 130:17	42:7, 18 43:23	extends 77:24
efforts 120:21	38:7 41:24	equally 119:23	160:11 206:14	45:5, 19 58:6	112:7
either 13:2	67: <i>14</i> 70: <i>1</i>	120:6, 7	examining	78:20 125:1	extensive 62:14
38:11 40:23	72:18 73:22	equation	187:8	130:19, 20	extent 126:7
46:24 69:4, 12	74:2 76:6, 10,	194:24 195:2	example 58:20	139:2 <i>1</i> 144:2 <i>4</i>	197: <i>19</i>
99:15, 24	<i>11</i> 94:24	203:22	67:9 201:2	146: <i>16</i> 151: <i>7</i>	extra 171:23
197:11 200:8	105:8 107:22	equivalent	excess 164:7	155:8, 12, 18	extrapolate
electric 21:8	118:22 119:7	19:7 182: <i>19</i>	excludes	156:7, 10, 15	116: <i>18</i>
eligibility	123:6 125:4,	erroneous	118:21	159:2, 9 160:3	_
64:14 68:18	23 146:4, 11,	35:15 180:10	Excuse 81:12	170:8, 23	<f></f>
82:16 91:12	22 150:13	error 172:13,	134:1 147:6	171:10, 19	facilitated
109: <i>19</i> 110: <i>6</i> ,	153:24 163:17,	17 184:21	169:10 190:12	172:4, 19	162:18 163:5
23 112:3	24 164:11, 12	essence 116:23	excused 61:22	173:8 175:1	facilitated-ly
174:12	165:11, 13	ı	l 167:6	178:4, 19	164: <i>11</i>

fact 20:18	federal 9:19	17 55:4, 5	formulations	gallon 16:7	170:24 174:11
21:16, 24	68:21 87:2	80:12	158:16	42:8 43:24	179:3 183:7
22:18 24:16	125:19	firm 13:15, 17,	forth 71:5	44:11 45:6	196:7 198:19
29:4 71:1, 10	feel 34:23	22 17:14 18:3,	201:2, 18	47:9, 22 48:8	199:12 203:18
93:2 100:22	35:3, 5 129:22	8 29:24	203:23 206:18	50:10	goal 162:10
114:11 137:19	175:8	firms 17:20	forum 24:1	gas 21:7, 8	165:18
164:5, 23	feeling 96:11	first 4:10	forward 7:1	92:15 93:4, 5,	goes 122:2
171:9 199:3	feet 5:18, 21,	21:22, 24 29:5	13:6 22:24	11, 21 103:18	151:22 171:2 <i>1</i>
factors 5:6	24	65:7 88:24	123:15	104:23 179:8	going 13:6
176:9, 11	felt 123:18	89:2 90:3	found 167:17	general 3:6	20:22 23:23
180:7 204:6	fewer 91:21	98:17 114:24	foundation	7:22 11: <i>17</i> 27: <i>1</i> 9 58: <i>16</i>	30:18 36:17
facts 21:3	94:6	116:21 160:20	18:19 24:17		39:8 41:12
52:5 53: <i>1</i> 54:2	figure 9:5 47:22 72:9	161:2 <i>1</i> Fiscal 90: <i>13</i>	143:18, 21, 23	88: <i>15</i> 141: <i>11</i> 173: <i>18</i> 188: <i>4</i>	43:9 46: <i>11</i> 47:2 49:8
fades 64:20	figures 36:14	93:6	144:6, 2 <i>1</i> 156:2	195:17	54:7 58:12
79:9	87:20	fit 63:10	four 35:8	generally	63:4 68:10
failed 12:20	file 11:3	124: <i>16</i>	54:18	69:15 78:15	70:11 85:7
13:22 14:2	filed 4:15	five 122:14	framework	148:16, 18	86: <i>16</i> 93: <i>14</i>
fails 122:5, 9	9:16 203:24	fixed 4:23	159:6 202:24	generate 35:6	99:11 103:4
failure 12:19	files 190:18	focus 68:4	204:9	getting 47:3	108:17 109:9
16:5 19: <i>16</i>	Filing 2:6	139:14, 15	frankly	49:8 52:7, 16	114:5 126:16,
faint 96:11	24:5 26:20	focused 136:6	151:17 200:8	53:1 72:24	18 135:8, 18
fair 15:20	27:8, 9 31:6	folks 107:18	free 34:23	104:4 108:10	143: <i>3</i> 156: <i>1</i>
84:11 86:5	37:22 38: <i>1</i>	162:24 165:5,	35:3, 5 129:22	164:20	159:18 160:22,
131: <i>1</i>	42: <i>16</i> 128: <i>3</i>	10	203:15	gist 72:11	24 161:2, 4, 10,
fairing 115: <i>11</i>	130:4, 16, 21	follow 30:9	Friday 6:19	94:8	<i>12, 14</i> 166:9
falls 158:3	147: <i>4</i> , <i>7</i> , <i>8</i>	45: <i>14</i>	front 92:18	give 3:22	168: <i>13</i> 170: <i>19</i>
familiar 35:5	160:12 190:19	followed	fuel 100:24	25:12 33:17	181: <i>1</i> 207:7
38:2 42:13	filings 37:24	174:22	101: <i>1</i>	37:6 64: <i>4</i>	good 4:7 15:4
78:16 82:8	finalized	following	full 57:18	91:6 114:6	27:15 28:8
84:17 85:2	66:13 67:3	60:21 75:18	179:3	127:5 163:22	29:23 42:7
99:5, <i>17</i>	108:2	76:2 <i>3</i> 82:2 <i>1</i>	fully 23:1	176:7 181: <i>3</i>	43:23 82:6
100:22 101:19	finally 165: <i>16</i>	115:5	209: <i>1</i>	given 10:15	125:22 127: <i>11</i>
124:22 125:3	financial 6:11	follows 200:6	functions	13:5, 14 73:18	151:5 156: <i>18</i>
130:15 147:2	12:12 13:12,	food 42:21	188:3	107:24 120:2	186:10, 18
185: <i>14</i> , <i>16</i> , <i>20</i> ,	18 17:9 18:1	43:22 185:18	fund 12: <i>15</i>	144: <i>17</i>	goodness 41:6
24 196:13	19:18 23:20	force 15:21	21:12 22:2	gives 18:1	governing
families 14:13	24:8 31:18	forces 59:5	187: <i>1</i>	29:1 152:5	99:18
16: <i>12</i> 17:2	46:23 47:6	foregoing	funded 186:3	163:7	grant 19:6
35:2 37:9	62:3 160:15	209:1	funding 8:10	giving 182:14	91:3 107:4
38:7 136:4, <i>1</i> 2,	161: <i>11</i> 169:2, 24 170:3	forever 163:7	21: <i>14</i> 102: <i>7</i> 117: <i>1</i> 166: <i>9</i>	206:20 Glen 3:22 4:8	114: <i>18</i> 116: <i>4</i> ,
15 141:2, 20 156:17 174:8	179: <i>17</i> 188: <i>18</i>	forgotten 77:12	188:10	go 4:21 19:23	12 117:6, 23 118:11
177:24 186: <i>13</i>	195:5	form 13:12	funds 46:19	24:11 26:24	grantees 71:17
family 35:8,	find 46:24	18:24 45:2	funny 61:4, 8	29:3 33:12	116: <i>16</i> , <i>19</i>
13, 18, 21	59:8, 21 60:1	46:23 47:7	further 7:5, 6	43:6 46:4, 12	110:16, 15 117:14 152:21
56:19 132:18,	66:2 78:13	95:19 106:17	25:10 61:13,	47:19 51:15	163:15
20 140:10	98:24 169: <i>1</i>	125:18 162:17	15, 19 65:5	60:13 62:17	grants 90:13
155: <i>14</i> 174:2	174:12	169:2 175:8,	164:9 204: <i>11</i> ,	65:5 83:4	91:11, 21, 24
183: <i>1</i> 2 199:2 <i>1</i>	fine 35:10	21	14	86:6 88:19	92:15, 20 93:5,
fantastic 9:3	43:16 96:18	formal 31:7	future 8:5	102: <i>11</i> 105: <i>13</i>	10 94:6, 11, 13,
far 71: <i>18</i>	157:20 161:9	63:24	9:6, 9, 12, 23	114:3, 5	14, 17, 20
83: <i>19</i> 91: <i>21</i>	168:7	forms 19: <i>19</i>	10:6 22:16	126: <i>16</i> 130: <i>11</i>	95: <i>15</i> , <i>24</i>
94:6	fingers 114:7	160: <i>16</i>	116: <i>18</i> 158:20	133: <i>16</i> 135: <i>6</i>	102:6 105: <i>12</i>
February	finish 24:22	formula 3:8	163:8 204:6	140:2 141: <i>1</i> , 6	152: <i>16</i> 164:2 <i>1</i> ,
71: <i>14</i> 150: <i>1</i>	25:15 55:10	174:19, 23	FY 1:1	144:16 152:10	24
151: <i>19</i> 163: <i>13</i>	finished 25:20,	198:17, 18		157:14 159:17,	Great 3:5
	22, 24 26:5, 7,	199:3, 6 203:1,	<g></g>	19, 22, 24	43:3 151:2
		20 204:4	I	l 167:20, 24	greater 37:6

green 80:16 101:2, 4 102:1	9, 14 55:3, 10, 21 56:6, 12, 17,	180:11, 15, 18, 22 181:4, 11,	33:2, 7, 11, 19 35:9, 24 38:20	19 161:5 166:15, 23	Henrietta 28:4 62:4 66:22
groceries 44:2	22 57:5, 23	12, 16 182:4,	39:3, 12, 15, 19,	167:3, 9, 14, 19	72:1 74:10
gross 193:10,	58:4, 17, 20, 23	10 183:1, 5, 9,	23 40:5, 10, 14,	168:8, 15, 17,	164: <i>15</i>
<i>13</i> , <i>22</i> 194:7	59:2, 5, 14, 21	<i>18</i> , <i>24</i> 184:5, 9,	17, 22 41:5, 10	21 169:12, 17,	hide 15:9
196: <i>17</i> 197: <i>5</i>	60:1, 6, 14	<i>12</i> 185: <i>1</i> , <i>13</i> ,	43:8, 15, 19	21 170:9, 18,	19: <i>13</i>
ground 21:2	61:3, 4, 8	<i>16</i> , <i>20</i> 186:2, <i>9</i> ,	44:7 46:3, 7,	24 171:5	hierarchy
166: <i>1</i> 199: <i>13</i>	127: <i>18</i> 128: <i>4</i> ,	<i>17</i> 187: <i>4</i> , <i>8</i> , <i>12</i> ,	13, 20 47:5, 8,	175:11, 22	201: <i>16</i>
Group 10:17	12, 18, 24	<i>15</i> 189: <i>12</i> , <i>13</i> ,	18 49:21, 24	176:3, 10, 15,	high 78:16
29:11 30:3	129:15, 22	17, 22 190:9	51:3, 7, 11, 14,	21 182:7, 23	81:5 85:16
91: <i>17</i>	130:13 131:1,	191:9, 16, 20	19 52:1, 9, 14,	183:3, 7	175:5
growth 9:17	6, 8, 11, 18	192:5, 7, 11, 18,	18, 21 53:3, 9,	184:15, 18	higher 32:8
guess 32:15 60:24 122:1	132:3, 7, 10, 12,	19 193:2, 8, 15	12, 17, 21 54:5,	185:8 186:6, 20 187:6, 10,	132:5 highlight 85:9
123:24 194:17	16 133:3, 7, 13, 23 134:4, 12,	194:6, <i>13</i> 195: <i>1</i> , <i>8</i> , <i>14</i> , <i>19</i> ,	11 55:2, 12, 14 56:5 58:12, 18,	13, 17, 19	109: <i>14</i>
guiding 13:7	15, 19, 22	24 196:8, 9, 16,	21, 24 59:3, 12,	188:1 190:12	highlighted
guiding 13.7	135:5, 8, 14, 23	22 197:21, 22	16, 23 60:3, 11,	192:21 193:4,	77:21 80:8, 16
< H >	136:2, 3, 11, 17,	198:10, 20, 23	16 61:1, 6, 11,	12 194:1, 8, 15	82:21 83:6, 12
half 94:19	21 137:3, 14,	199:2, 14, 21	16, 20 62:6, 9,	196:7, 11	101: <i>3</i> 102: <i>1</i>
95:16, 18	17, 19, 22, 24	200:17 201:5,	16, 21 63:1, 21	197: <i>18</i> 198:8,	110:20
129:2 <i>1</i> 133: <i>1</i>	138: <i>6</i> , <i>15</i> , <i>16</i> ,	9, 20 203:3, 12	64:2, 21 65:7,	21, 24 199:9,	highlighting
hand 23:7	24 139:16, 21	204:16, 17, 21	16 68:6, 13	19 200:7	75: <i>1</i>
24:11	140:2, <i>18</i> , <i>24</i>	205:3, 7, 11, 15,	70:6, 22 71:16	201:22 202:4,	highly 16:5
handy 90:23	142:1, 3, 7, 13,	16, 20, 22	74:20 78:1	10, 18 203:8,	hikes 13: <i>14</i>
Hang 114:8	19 143:3, 6, 11,	206:6, 12	79:20 80:1	17 204:12, 15	17:16
happen 193:23	15, 20 144:1, 5,	207:1, 5, 8	81:6 83:5	205:1, 5, 9, 14,	hire 198:5
happened 8:6	9, 14, 17, 19	208:10, 11	84:19 87:9, 13	17, 21 206:2, 8,	historical
happens 8:2	145:1, 6, 13, 20	heading 63:16	96:8, 15, 19, 20,	14, 24 207:4, 9,	123:8, 15
191: <i>4</i> happy 29:2 <i>1</i>	146:3, 7, 13, 16, 21 147:2, 8, 11,	75:18 109:10 heads 129:19	21 97:8 98:11, 23 99:7,11	16, 20, 24 208:5, 9, 13, 19,	158:22 hit 114:3
harder 175: <i>17</i>	16, 22, 24	hear 6:22	100:7 101:14	21, 22	120: <i>1</i>
hardship 6:11	148:2, 8, 11, 17,	10:4, 9 23:17	103:9, 13	Hearing-1	hold 84:20
harm 57:1	23 149:6, 16,	25:7 26:4	104:6 108:18,	62:24	128:15 138:13
Haver 10:21	19 150:2, 6, 11,	64:22 79:11	21, 22 112:12	hearings 7:21	168:8 176: <i>11</i> ,
11:22 12:2, 5,	17, 24 151:22	168:6 170: <i>15</i>	113: <i>13</i> 114: <i>4</i> ,	heart 24:11	24
8 25:11, 15, 20	152: <i>19</i> , <i>24</i>	171:7 191: <i>17</i>	8, <i>12</i> 126:2 <i>1</i>	heat 99:19	holder 68:22
26:3, 10 28:17,	153:4, 8, 11, 16,	heard 12:22	127:1, 9, 16	100:1, 6	holders 15:5
19 29:6 33:8,	20 154:5, 10,	171:2, <i>15</i>	128: <i>14</i> 130:2,	HELD 1:1	69:1, 2
10, 13, 22 34:4,	15, 19, 24	185:23	6, 10, 16 131:9	help 15:14, 15	holding 177:6
11, 16 35:1, 2,	155:7, 12, 18	HEARING	132:11 134:1,	18:17 41:17	home 14:15
11, 22 36:12,	156:5, 9, 15	1:1 2:2, 3, 4,	6, 9, 13, 16, 21	42:23 44:1	16:20 64: <i>14</i>
20, 23 37:7, 14,	157:7, 15, 21, 24 158:7, 14,	11, 13, 20 3:4 4:2, 11 7:1, 3,	135:3, 6 136:1 137:12, 23	57:1, 8 58:9, 10 72:12	111:23 114:3,
18 38:1, 6, 11, 15, 22 39:7, 14,	24 159:8, 14,	8, 14 10:12, 24	137.12, 23	86:18 96:9	homelessness
17, 21 40:1, 7,	18, 23 160:2, 8	11:1, 9, 20	141:8, 24	115:10 129:20	17:9
8, 12, 15, 16, 19,	161:2 166:24	12:1, 7, 24	142:24 143:4,	135:1 149:22	homeowner
23 41:1, 7, 11,	167: <i>1</i> 168: <i>14</i> ,	13:9, 16 14:1,	8, 12, 17, 22	153:2, 6	16:19
12, 18 42:6, 12,	18, 20, 22	6 15:19, 21	144:2, 7, 12, 15,	156:16 159:19	hominem 47: <i>4</i>
17, 22 43:12,	169: <i>10, 14, 19</i>	18: <i>11</i> 19: <i>5</i> , <i>11</i> ,	23 145:3, 9, 15	179:6 180: <i>1</i> , 5	honest 15: <i>3</i>
17, 21 44:9	170: <i>1</i> , <i>15</i>	<i>17</i> 20:3, 6, 9,	147:6, 9, 12	182: <i>11</i> 186: <i>12</i>	176:7
45:4, 18 46:4,	171: <i>1</i> , 2, 7, <i>14</i>	10 23:1, 3, 22	148:6, 9	187:22 196:22	honestly 15:3
5, 9, 18, 22	172:3, 14, 19	24:4, 20, 23	151:12, 15	198:7 200: <i>1</i>	Honor 29:22
47:6, 16, 21	173:2, 4, 12, 18,	25:5, 9, 13, 21	152:10, 22	helpful 97:3	31:24 33:6
48:17 49:4, 7,	21 174:1, 7, 14	26:1, 8, 13, 18,	154:12, 16, 21	130:20 134:14	39:7, 9 43:13
17 50:2 51:1,	175:1, 15	22 27:1, 3, 5, 6,	155:24 156:6,	helping 40:12	51:16 62:2
5, 8, 9, 13, 15,	176: <i>11</i> , 23 177: <i>13</i> , 20	7, 11 28:6, 15, 20 29:18	12, 23 157:13, 19, 23 158:4	46: <i>14</i> 134:20 157: <i>16</i> 182: <i>17</i>	96: <i>4</i> 103: <i>11</i> 134: <i>15</i> , <i>19</i>
23 52:3, 13, 16, 20, 23 53:6, 11,	177:13, 20	30:10, 13, 24	159:11, 16, 21,	197:23	182:12 207:17,
14, 19, 24 54:6,	179:5, 16, 20	31:3 32:13, 19	24 160:6, 10,	171.23	102.12 207.17,
	, , ,	- , -	, -,		

19 208:8, 17,		incredible	insight 37:6	issue 11:4, 15	jurisdictions
18	implementation	205:8	instance 188:5	24:10, 21, 24	124:6
hope 9:23 31:21 63:5	58: <i>14</i> 185: <i>10</i>	incremental	instances 179: <i>14</i>	25: <i>14</i> 32: <i>11</i> 46:2 60: <i>5</i>	justice 52:8
121: <i>15</i> 162:20	implemented 21:23 59:19	16:8, 9 44:10, 21, 23 45:1	intake 179:24	96:7 123:6, 20,	justify 186:22
hoping 166:2	important	49:11, 20	integrity 24:12	21 161:11	< K >
household	7:24 21:1	independent	integrity 24.12	185: <i>12</i> 193: <i>14</i>	keep 14: <i>14</i>
99:6, 23 117:4	206:17	2: <i>15</i> 169: <i>7</i>	intention 15:9	194:10, 18	17:22 60:23
132:23 133:2	impossible	170:22	interest 12:12,	issued 93:10	72:23 181: <i>I</i>
140:22 152:6	16: <i>10</i>	indicate 11:10	23 13:3, 18, 24	197:13	keeping 17:17
households	impressive	66:19 82:17	19:3, 19	issues 198:13	174:2 <i>1</i>
6:15 38:10	169: <i>1</i> 204:22	95:9 128: <i>13</i>	160: <i>15</i> 161: <i>11</i>	issuing 92:13	kept 199:22
116:5, 7 117:5	improper	140: <i>14</i> 163: <i>10</i>	170: <i>3</i> , <i>6</i>	it'd 180:9	key 97:10
133:8, <i>11</i>	159: <i>17</i>	indicated	interested	its 2:10 10:7	kind 141: <i>18</i>
136:9, <i>10</i>	improve 14:8	29:14 30:5	11:20	12: <i>11</i> 15: <i>4</i>	164: <i>4</i>
139: <i>14</i> , <i>17</i>	impure 24: <i>17</i>	33:3 45:22	interests 24:9	17: <i>17</i> 149: <i>1</i>	kinds 60:12
140: <i>15</i> 142: <i>15</i> ,	inappropriate	50:18 62:11,	interfere 53:20		187:20
17, 20	20:15 40:3	<i>14</i> 71: <i>17</i>	interfering	<j></j>	King 51:8
household's	incentive	131:19 138:19	41:2 54:19	Jag-it 58:1	209:1
99: <i>18</i>	123:11 163:22	178:12, 15	199:16	Jagt 28:2	Kinteshia 7:19
housekeeping 28:23	incentives 163:2 <i>1</i>	indicates 99:23 indication	interpretation 84:6, 9	32:24 34:2 36:5 38:19	28: <i>11</i> knew 35: <i>16</i>
Housing 84:24	incentivize	12: <i>18</i>	interrupt	44:15 45:10	106:8 137:6
88: <i>3</i> , <i>10</i> , <i>17</i>	164: <i>10</i>	indirectly	58:22 59: <i>1</i>	48:1, 21 49:5	139:3
HSEA-1	include 10:16	100:1, 6	96:5	50:18 57:12	know 9:8, 18
112:13	77:17 98:2, 10	individual	interrupting	58:2, <i>4</i> 61:2 <i>1</i>	16:10 29:11
Human 97:17	109:22 111:4	11:10 96:2	61:10 144:10	131:11, 18	30:19 35:12,
98:6	included	individually	intervene	jealous 166: <i>18</i>	19 36:8, 16, 21
hurt 18:7	31:2 <i>1</i> 42:2	30:19	182: <i>13</i> , 22	Ji 3:20 27:19	37:2 38: <i>3</i> , <i>4</i> , <i>6</i> ,
	65: <i>17</i> 71: <i>16</i>	individuals	intervening	job 52:24	12, 18 42:18,
<i></i>	76:4 115:7	10:21 34:17	176:12, 24	151:2, 5 152:4	24 43:1, 5, 7
idea 29:2	including 5:6	137:5 139:2	177:2, 6	join 3:21	45:16, 20
identified 48:5	22:5 31:11	inducement	intervention	joined 7:18	46:11 47:9, 20
71:2 118: <i>13</i> identify 9:2 <i>1</i>	119:7 124: <i>12</i> income 4:20,	13: <i>13</i> 17: <i>15</i> industry 44: <i>18</i>	39:18 intricacies	27:20 28:11 joining 4:11	48:19, 21, 24 49:19 52:10
27: <i>13</i> 28: <i>17</i>	21, 24 8:12	146:18	195:23 196:14	10:10	54:4, 6 55:15
29:19 73:6, 20	9:20 48:10	industry's	introduce 3:12	Jon 28:4 62:3	57:20, 23 63:6
74:6 78:7	57:19 64:13	178:6	7:9	164:18	72:12 74:15
90:20	68:1, 18 69:19	inform 18: <i>17</i>	introduced	Jr 7:20 28:13	75:14 78:3
identifying	87: <i>1</i> 91: <i>1</i> 2	information	203:6	Judge 4:6	80:11 84:7
44:24 57:20	106:9 107:5,	6:5 36:4, 6	investigate	10:11 27:16	86:3 87:7, 8
ignore 24:14	<i>18</i> 111:22	37:4 45:12, 14	149: <i>12</i>	28:9, 24 32:18	88: <i>1</i> , <i>12</i> , <i>21</i>
illusions 14:5	136:8 163: <i>18</i>	49: <i>1</i> 50: <i>17</i>	investigation	46:12 62:19	91:22 96: <i>10</i> ,
illustrates	165:8 174:12	72:4, 19 74:10	182:13	84:21 96:19	12, 16 102:20
130:13	incorrect	90:19, 21 95:3	investigative	135:14, 24	106:19 109:11
Imagine 15:2	183:20, 23	106:15, 16	19:15	150:12, 19	112:23, 24
immediately 73: <i>3</i> , <i>17</i>	increase 3:7 5:18, 20 6:1	109: <i>19</i> 110: <i>6</i> , 2 <i>3</i> 112: <i>3</i>	investment 12: <i>16</i>	151:7 160: <i>14</i> 202: <i>20</i>	113: <i>12</i> , <i>20</i> 114: <i>3</i> 118: <i>17</i> ,
120: <i>13</i>	8:14 9:1	115:9 125:7	involve 189:4,	Judge's 99:9	114.3 118.17, 18 121:5
immoral 14:4	11: <i>17</i> 13: <i>1</i>	132:22 134:2	5, 7	judgment	122:5, 9, 20
immorality	19:10 57:10	154:3 162:19	involved	50:23 150:22	124:14 126:23
18: <i>11</i> 19: <i>21</i>	121:4 179:9	infrastructure	198:13	judicious 15:20	130:20, 2 <i>1</i>
impact 13:20	180: <i>1</i>	57:7 200: <i>1</i> , <i>16</i> ,	involves 7:23	July 111: <i>13</i>	131:2 132:2 <i>1</i>
197:6	increases 18:1	20 201:1, 18	irrelevant	112:7 119: <i>18</i> ,	134:2 <i>3</i> 135: <i>10</i> ,
impacts 6:7	121:12 123:8,	initially 21:21	43:10 44:5	24 120:12	<i>16</i> 137:5
implement	<i>15</i> 166:2	injust 15:16	45:23 49:16,	Jun 3:20	139:2, 16
59:19	increasing	input 203:22	17 50:16	27:19	142:21 146:2,
	8: <i>15</i> 165: <i>19</i>	inside 158:7	151: <i>11</i> , <i>13</i>	June 11:7	21 147:23
.	1		156:22 170: <i>11</i>		150: <i>18</i> 151: <i>8</i> ,

21 156.7	laugh 52.4	100.12.22	10.0	100.7 100.4 6	
21 156:7 159:16 162:12	laugh 52:4 launched 22:1	108: <i>13</i> , 23 110: <i>13</i> , 23	little 18:9 35:16 38:17	190:7 198:4, 6 204:3, 4	main 76: <i>17</i> maintain 67: <i>10</i>
164: <i>1</i> 165: <i>7</i>	law 13:15, 17,	110.73, 23	43:1, 4 65:12	looked 29:17	maintain 07.10
166:3, 7, 9	22 17:14, 20	22 113:6	68:5 77:15, 20	50:5 153:16	10: <i>3</i>
167:22 168:2	18:2, 8 29:24	117:14, 19	86:12, 17	looking 11:4	major 31:24
173:12 176:5,	lay 31:8	124:13, 20	97: <i>14</i> 119: <i>15</i>	65:3 80:2, 17	majority
<i>6</i> , <i>14</i> 177: <i>10</i> ,	144: <i>14</i>	125: <i>12</i> 141: <i>15</i>	128:12 175:12	86:8, 11	67:22 88: <i>15</i>
23 178:2, 9	laying 19: <i>14</i>	164: <i>19</i> , <i>23</i>	live 36:18	106:23 111: <i>11</i>	making 5:1
179: <i>12</i> , <i>16</i> , <i>21</i>	143:20 144: <i>1</i> ,	165:6	88:3, 16	120: <i>3</i> 123: <i>13</i> ,	26: <i>11</i> , <i>14</i> 40: <i>6</i>
180:6 182:6	5, 21	LIHWAP	106:11 140:7	14 128:21, 24	52:20 171:4
186:3, 8, 14, 21	lead 63:9	64:13 66:6, 16	173:7, 13	157:5 165:4,	management
187:1, 3 190:3	Leader 15:19 leave 19:24	67:23 68:17, 24 69:5, 11	lives 14:8 living 34:5, 17	13 189:4 198:16	18:8
195: <i>11</i> , <i>13</i> , <i>15</i> , 20, 22 198:9	led 155:21	70:8, 13 71:1,	88:2 133:8	lose 16:16, 17,	managing 13: <i>17</i>
200:22 205:2,	left 26:9 65:2	10, 18 72:10,	136:5 139:2	19	mang 18:7
20 207:5, 8, 10,	Legal 7:16	17 76:15	141:2 142:20	loses 14:15	manner 201:6
13	20:15 28:9, 12	92:23 107:13	LIWAP[sic	16:24 17:4, 5,	March 1:1
knowing	39:14 53:15	108: <i>13</i> 109:2,	150:8	6, 8	113:2 <i>3</i>
113: <i>19</i> 118: <i>15</i>	54:1 78:12	19, 23 110:5	L-I-W-A-P[sic	loss 14:16	marked 62:2 <i>3</i>
174: <i>14</i>	203:4 204:23	114:18, 23	151:9	16:5, 11 45:19	63:2
knowledge	206:1, 20	115:17 116:4,	local 17:22	46:1 47:15, 23	market 86:4
54:17 78:13	lengthy 168:23	6, 22 117:18,	LOCATION	49:18, 23	MARLENE
90: <i>17</i> 94: <i>11</i> 95:2 100: <i>16</i>	lessen 57:8 letting 54:10	22 118:11	1:1 83:15 Locklear 28:4	50:20 189: <i>14</i> 191:22 193:2 <i>1</i> ,	1: <i>1</i> 2: <i>11</i> 27: <i>11</i>
130:19	58:8	120: <i>11</i> , <i>14</i> 125: <i>18</i> 149:2 <i>3</i>	62:4 65:11	22 196:17, 18	materials
known 54:3	level 32:2, 8	152:13, 21	67:2 72:3, 13	197:1, 5	103:7, <i>15</i>
knows 182:5	34:6, 18 57:20	153:7, 19, 24	74:12, 18	losses 14:9	math 86:12
200:5	66:24 68:20,	155:2 161:24	93:13, 19	193:3, 9	94:22 117:3
	21 78:16 81:5	163:15, 18, 20	113: <i>18</i> 114:2 <i>1</i>	lost 188:15	118:2 <i>1</i> 129: <i>19</i>
< L >	87:2 121:6	165:5 166:5	115: <i>4</i> , <i>13</i> , <i>19</i>	189: <i>18</i> 190: <i>1</i> ,	mathematically
LaBuda 3:20	122:20 131:20	likelihood	116:1 117:10	8, 9 191:2	116:10 117:7,
27:20	133:9 136:4, 5	13:2 179:9	118:8 119:2, 9,	lot 43:11 68:8	9 140:19
lack 12:17	139:3 141:3, 4,	likewise 24:19	13 120:10, 18	96: <i>13</i> 106: <i>1</i> , <i>3</i> , <i>6</i> 140: <i>15</i>	142:7
Lafayette 7:20 28:12	5, 21, 22 151:18	69: <i>16</i> limit 118: <i>4</i> , <i>6</i> ,	121: <i>1</i> , 2, <i>10</i> 122: <i>3</i> , <i>17</i> , 24	161:23 166:1	matrix 29:1, 3,
Lafayette's	levels 32:9	7	123:3 125:8	love 10:9	matter 7:21
98: <i>4</i>	54:20 189:8	limitation	126:10 128:19	low 4:20 8:12	24:16 91:10
laid 143:18	LIANG 3:2	157:22, 24	129:10 131:3	9:20 64:13	107:2 114:11
Lance 10:21	23:13, 17	limitations	132:3, 4, 9, 14	69: <i>18</i> 111:22	156: <i>11</i> , <i>14</i>
12:8 28:19	25:19, 23 26:6,	188:24	140:5 141: <i>15</i>	175: <i>3</i> , <i>13</i>	166:8 191: <i>10</i>
landlords	11, 15 60:19	limited 182:14,	142:11, 14	181:6	209:1
85:12	liberty 74:24	15 189:9	148:24 149:7,	lower 57:18	matters 28:21
language 68:2 79: <i>14</i> 80: <i>11</i>	license 83:17	203:22 204:2	24 150:4, 21	93:6	188: <i>19</i> , <i>20</i>
81: <i>17</i> , <i>24</i> 83: <i>6</i> ,	licensed 83:24 life 10:1	limiting 157:15 line 27:18, 21	151: <i>14</i> 152:8, <i>11</i> , <i>12</i> 153:7,	low-income 14:13 15:10,	189:6 mature 148: <i>19</i>
12 106:24	14:24 156:17	28:2, 3 82:6	14 156:20	14.13 13.10,	maximum
107:8 110:20	186:11	85:4 88: <i>3</i>	161:20 164:16	17:1, 2 19:8	120: <i>14</i>
122:8	light 13:7	99:14 143:13	166:2 <i>1</i> 167:5,	21:9, 15 22:3	MCF 128:23
lapses 12:23	65:24 125:10	lined 31:16	7	36:10 44:1	129: <i>4</i> , <i>11</i>
Large 10:17	146: <i>18</i> 178: <i>6</i>	lines 72:15	long 63:10	88: <i>16</i> 156: <i>16</i>	McNees 30:1
29:11 30:3	LIHEAP 89:3	91:5 162:19	longer 14:4	185:2 <i>1</i> 186: <i>1</i> 2	mean 25:5
105:7 122:6,	90:7, 12 91:2,	lining 194:22	look 6:24 8:2		33:20 34:17
10 123:2, 5	10, 19 93:1, 3	link 103:2	22:24 37:1	<m> Modern 7.12</m>	48:6 57:14
163: <i>3</i>	95:19, 24 97:12, 17 98:7,	list 35:10 54:14 79:2	48:22 59:23 77:22 85:10	Madam 7:13	79:17, 19
larger 85:21 123:11 148:21	8, 16, 18 100:9,	listen 18:13,	92:7 102:15	magnitude 33:17 35:1	95: <i>11, 17</i> 112: <i>17</i> 118:2 <i>4</i>
149:1 164:2	23 101:21	15 51:7 52:10	103:3 111:20	37:3 38:13, 14	119:2 124:15
latitude 43:11	102:5 106:18	176:15 198:10	119:15 125:15	128:11	129:16 149:16
	107:4, 14, 23	l	163:7 177: <i>1</i>		161:6 171: <i>17</i>

180: <i>3</i> 188: <i>9</i>	minor 8:8	<i>10</i> 185: <i>1</i> , <i>13</i> ,	natural 92:15	46:16 78:10	
192: <i>18</i> , <i>23</i>	19:5	15, 19, 23	93:4, 5, 11, 21	80:24 170:7	<0>
194:3 196:8	minute 99:12	186: <i>5</i> , <i>8</i> , <i>14</i>	103:18 104:22	176: <i>1</i> 200: <i>6</i>	obfuscate
meaning	minutes 96:24	188:2, <i>5</i> , <i>13</i> , <i>21</i>	nature 107:24	noted 162:22	135: <i>1</i> 182:20
165: <i>19</i>	97:3 202:7	189: <i>1</i> , <i>2</i> , <i>14</i> , <i>16</i> ,	182: <i>16</i>	209:1	object 17:17
means 76:11	misdirects	20 190:2, 11,	near 9:23	notes 89:1	51:1 78:18
106:2 113: <i>1</i>	15: <i>11</i>	<i>14</i> 191: <i>4</i> , <i>7</i> , 9,	108:10	209:1	87:5 138:6
116:6 175: <i>14</i>	misspoke	<i>14, 18</i> 192: <i>4, 6</i>	necessarily	notice 27:20	169: <i>13</i> , <i>14</i> , <i>16</i> ,
191:2 <i>3</i> 209: <i>1</i>	117: <i>19</i> 139:9	194:23 195:6,	107:17 116:13,	31:7 64: <i>1</i>	23 181:20
meant 139:9	Missy 3:20	7, 10, 12, 13, 17,	<i>17</i> 123: <i>16</i>	80:8 82:12, 14	192: <i>16</i> 194: <i>13</i>
mechanism	mistake 184:2 <i>1</i>	20 196:5, 10,	136:9 165:2	noticed 72:16	197:9 205: <i>12</i>
21:21 188:10	mix 35:20	<i>13, 19</i> 198: <i>14</i>	necessary 3:24	notify 82:1	objected 171:8
202:24 206:18	model 184: <i>3</i>	199:2 <i>1</i> 200:4,	necessity 10:1	November	objection 31:1
media 19:12	moderate	9, 13, 21 201:7,	14:24 15:17	99: <i>1</i> 113:9	44: <i>4</i> 45:2 <i>1</i>
meet 18:23	62:15, 17	13 202:1, 8, 21	156: <i>17</i> 186: <i>11</i> ,	number 5:6	46:8, 17 47:1
Melissa 27:20	modest 9:17	203:1, 19	16	9:1 35:1	49:15 82:17,
member 23:5	modify 204:1	204:17, 19, 24	need 8:18	36:12 72:21	23 135:12
members 10:5	moment 4:5	205:18 206:19	15: <i>16</i> 19: <i>1</i>	93:5 94:11	142:22 148:4
23:21	78:2 114:6	Morgan's 92:2	29:12 41:16	95:15 104:23	151:10 156:21,
memory 86:18	115: <i>1</i> 9	103:23, 24	55:8, 17 65:5	105:17, 19	24 160:5, 17
mention 31:4	Monday 6:19	104:7 184:23	76: <i>1</i> 2 134: <i>4</i>	107:2 <i>1</i> 114: <i>11</i>	169:20, 22
mentioned	money 14:15	187:23	144:24 163:6	120:2 123:5	170:7, 17
145:24 162:15	16: <i>15</i> , <i>17</i> , <i>19</i> ,	morning 4:7,	167:15 176:16	127:22 132:8	171: <i>4</i> 175: <i>7</i> ,
merits 157:1	24 17:4, 6, 8,	11 27:15, 23	needed 128:16	136: <i>7</i> , <i>15</i>	21 176:1
Merritt 28:1	21, 23 152:1	28:4, 8 29:23	needs 8:11	137:10 138:20	181:8 182:2 <i>4</i>
32:24 34:7, 13	191: <i>11</i> 193:20	30:2, 23 31:23	75:6, 21, 22	139:4, 10, 14,	183: <i>1</i> , <i>14</i> , <i>21</i>
37:24 38:2	month 5:24	160:24	neighborhood	15, 22, 24	184:17, 20
41:21 42:10,	14:22, 23	motion 160:14	172:24	142: <i>14</i> , <i>16</i>	185:5 186:4
14 56:2, 10, 15,	120:2 128:8,	mouse 84:22	neighborhoods	143: <i>13</i> 146: <i>1</i>	187:18 192:15
20 57:3 61:21	20 129:1, 17	move 40:24	85:17, 22	147: <i>1</i> , <i>17</i> , <i>18</i> ,	197:19 200:2,
131:12, 19	131:4 171:23	43:20 54:7, 9	Neither 13:8	19 149:2	6 203:7, 18
messed 114:10	183:12	56:5 63:10, 11	102:23	150:3, 10, 13,	205:3
meter 16:9	monthly 6:2	136: <i>1</i> , <i>2</i> 142: <i>2</i>	net 193:10, 13,	19 151:7	objective 9:4
45:8 49:12, 13	32:1	145:19 148:10	16, 21 194:6	158:20 164:3	162:12
metering 44:14	months 56:9	157:20, 23	196: <i>18</i> 197: <i>5</i>	166: <i>12</i> 168: <i>23</i>	obligation
method 44:18	119: <i>18</i> , <i>23</i>	158:6 160:9	neutral 176: <i>12</i>	172:18 178:19,	53:4, 6, 10
45: <i>1</i> 201: <i>12</i>	122: <i>14</i>	199: <i>10</i>	205:12	21 180:1	67:15
methodology	moral 13:4	moving 74:15	never 125:19	182: <i>1</i> 183: <i>19</i>	obligations
31:9 44:19, 22	Morgan 7:20	160:20	126: <i>1</i>	184: <i>7</i> , <i>11</i> , <i>14</i> ,	67:6
Michael 10:21	28:13 29:8	multiple 5:5	new 113:24	22 190:17, 22	obstacles 8:17
microphone	32:5 91: <i>1</i>	20:16, 17	116:5, 7	numbered	126: <i>4</i> , <i>8</i>
96:23	92:24 103:16	60:22 63:7	119:16 122:6,	65:1 84:16	162:2 <i>1</i> 165: <i>14</i>
middle 82:12	105:5 122:5,	71:1 95:24	13 125:3, 18	109:9 141: <i>17</i>	obtained
85: <i>13</i> 103: <i>14</i>	12 167:13, 23	102:6 105:12	178:23	numbering	119:17
milk 42:8	168:4, 19, 22	164:21, 24	newspapers	65:4	obvious 35:4
44:1 156:19	169:5 170:1, 8,	mute 99:12	19:14	numbers	obviously
million 17:18	22 171:9, 15,	138:11	Nice 168:16	34:22 35:5, 10	11:19 57:16
22:2, 4 115:22	24 172:6, 7, 11,	muted 23:13	no-bid 13:16	54:17 59:24	207:5
116:8 117:7	16, 23 173:3,	72:24	17:14 206:14	72:2 86:8, 11	occupant
133:22 135: <i>13</i> ,	10, 15, 20, 23	. % T .	noise 68:8, 11	93:3 95:20	78:24 83:9
22 140:9	174:4, 10, 18	<n></n>	non-poor	106:20, 21	126:11, 13
millions 18:6	175:15 176:4,	name 4:7	85:21	120:24 123:21	162:23
MINCAVAGE 29:21, 24	5, 14 177:9, 18 178:2, 8, 11, 16,	7: <i>14</i> 12:8 57: <i>24</i> 83: <i>23</i>	non-TAP 116:7 117:5	136:3 140:3	occur 9:5 occurred
30:12 208:14,	23 179:11, 18	207:12	normal 50:23	141: <i>1</i> , <i>7</i> , <i>11</i> 142: <i>10</i> 148: <i>13</i>	193: <i>18</i>
16 208.14,	180:3, 14, 17,	narrow 32:11	197:23	152:14 172:12	October
mind 63:8	21, 24 181:9,	81:7 127:8	Notary 209:1	198:19	119:19
175:24	14, 21 183:9,	127.0	note 20:13	Nurick 30:1	offer 37:5
	18, 22 184:5, 8,		31:23 44:3, 4		
	,,		,		

offered 31:17 145.6, 9, 12 80:18, 19 90:187.20						
Offmand 36.55 Jake., 9 82.10, 20 83.24 Jay. 1 5152.10, 22 91.70 opening 39.11 outside 14.12 91.5 92.7, 17 opening 39.11 outside 14.14 91.5 99.7, 10 outside 14.14 91.5 99.7, 10 outside 13.24 00 91.7 94.18 91.7 94.18 operating 13.34 12.2 31 57.13, 3 95.22 95.16 16.32, 5 operated 155.15, 14.19 15.5 14.19 13.5 15.19 98.70, 22 101.3, 5, 9, 24 101.3, 5, 9, 24 101.3, 5, 9, 24 101.3, 5, 9, 24 101.3, 5, 9, 24 101.3, 5, 9, 24 101.3, 5, 9, 24 101.3, 5, 9, 24 101.3, 5, 9, 24 101.3, 5, 9, 24 101.3, 5, 9, 24 101.3, 5, 9, 24 101.3, 5, 9, 24 101.3, 5, 9, 24 101.3, 10, 12, 12 101.3, 10, 12, 12 101.3, 10, 13, 11 101.3, 10, 12 101.3, 10, 13, 13 115.0, 12 101.3, 10, 13, 14 101.3, 14 101.3, 14 101.3, 14 101.3, 14 101.9 101.1, 19 101.1, 19 101.1, 19 101.1, 19 101.1, 19 101.1, 19 101.1, 19 101.1, 19 101.1, 19 101.1, 19	offered 31:17	23 145:3, 9, 15	78:5 79:20, 24	online 120: <i>16</i>	organized	88:21 89:16,
8884 131:24 151:12, 15 844.7 II 85.8 st. 28 82.3 (opens 99:1) 151:15 149:13, 98:10, 22 st. 28 98:10, 22 opens 99:1 151:15 149:13, 98:10, 22 st. 28 98:10, 22 opens 99:1 93:15 199:13, 199:13 149:13, 98:10, 22 st. 28 149:13, 180:13, 180:13, 180:13, 180:13, 180:12, 127 140:13, 180:14,	183: <i>16</i>	147:6, 9, 12	80:18, 19	open 187:20	60:24	18, 23 90:4
Office 170:12 152:10.22 87:12 88:23 open 99:1 153:15 149:13 98:10.22 99:00 100.7 Officer 1:1 155:24 15:66 91:7 94:18 155:24 156:3.15 18:15:11 19:23:18:34 12.23:18:34 12.23:18:41 19:23:158:4 159:11, 16, 21, 16, 21, 16, 22:1004, 16, 22:1004, 16, 22:1004, 16, 22:1004, 16, 22:1004, 16, 22:1004, 16, 22:276, 11 17:21:16:10.13 17:21:10:13:13, 91.4, 16, 22:1004, 16, 22:1004, 16, 22:1004, 16, 22:276, 11 17:21:16:10:12, 12 17:31:0.16 10:33:5, 92.4, 17:10:30, 10, 13, 19:34, 10:34, 10.22 19:36:0 operation outstanding operation outstanding operation operation outstanding operati	Offhand 36:5	148:6, 9	82:10, 20 83:3	opening 39:11	outline 114: <i>12</i>	91:5 92:7, 17
Office 170:12 152:10, 22 87:12 88:23 open 99:1 135:15 149:13 98:10, 22 99:00 100:7 Officer 1:1 155:24 155:24 156:3 158:3 158:3 158:3 159:10:00:7 4:2 7:3, 8, 14 19, 23 158:4 19, 23 158:4 22 97:21 99-4 10:12 12:37:10.16 10:3, 5, 92 10:3, 5, 92 10:3, 4, 0, 12 203, 10 23:3, 22 24 160:6, 19 16:22 100:4, 100:4, 100:3 10:30:3 19:36 174:10 178:3 15 1043; 4, 0, 12 22 27:6, 11 17, 21 160:12, 100:3 103:13, 9, 14, 100:3 103:10, 19 19:36 10:35, 9, 19 24 109:3, 9 24	88:4 131:24	151: <i>12</i> , <i>15</i>	84:4, 11 85:8	128:4	outside 134: <i>10</i>	93:15 95:9, 10
171:10	Office 170:12	152:10, 22	87:12 88:23	opens 99:1	135: <i>15</i> 149: <i>13</i> ,	98:10, 22
Officer 1:1 155:24 156:6, 91.7 94:18 156:3 158:5, 101:3, 5, 92.2 96:6, 101:2, 11 159:11, 16, 21, 101:3, 15 101:3, 15, 92.2 96:4, 101:2 12:7 159:11, 16, 21, 102:3 103:1, 103:4, 10.2 103:3, 103:4, 10.2 103:5, 11.19, 103:5, 11.19, 103:5, 11.19, 103:5, 11.19, 103:5, 11.19, 103:6, 103:6, 103:5, 11.19, 103:6, 103:6, 103:5, 11.19, 103:6, 103:6, 103:5, 11.19, 103:6, 103:6, 103:6, 103:6, 103:6, 103:5, 11.19, 103:6, 103:6, 103:5, 11.19, 103:6, 103:6, 103:5, 11.19, 103:6, 103:6, 103:5, 11.19, 103:6, 103:6, 103:5, 11.19, 103:6, 103:6, 103:6, 103:5, 11.19, 103:6, 103:3, 103:6, 103:3, 103:6, 103:5, 11.19, 103:6, 103:3, 103:6, 103:6, 103:6, 103:5, 11.19, 103:6, 103:3, 103:6, 103:5, 11.19, 103:3, 103:6, 103:6, 103:3, 103:6, 103:5, 11.19, 103:6, 103:3, 103:6,		T				i ·
2.2. 1.1 3.4				· ·		
4.2 7:3, 8, 14 19, 23 158:4 22 97:21 99-4, operating 174:10 178:3 77 106:23 20:3, 10 23:3, 24 160:6, 19 14 101:8, 16 operation 193:6 operation					T	
10:12 12:7 159:11, 16, 21, 16, 22 100:4, 16, 22 100:4, 17:20 100:10, 23 103:3, 17:31 100:10, 24 101:8, 16, 22 103:4, 18, 18, 19 168:8, 15, 17, 21 169:12, 100:10, 22 100:10, 20:12, 23 100:10, 20:12, 2					·	
202 2449, 02 3 1615 16615, 1023 1031, 193:6 optation 200:11 203:3 108:5, 11, 19, 25:5, 9, 13, 27 22 616, 13, 13, 18, 19 168-8, 15, 105:10, 24 107:10, 20 45:5, 19 51:10 48:4 91:12 114:5, 11, 12 112:11, 19, 202:13, 23, 13 175:11, 22 109:7, 13, 24 1616:151:7 58:6 139:21 44:4 91:12 114:5, 11, 12 112:17, 112:11, 19, 202:14, 23, 23 176:17, 22 109:7, 13, 24 146:16 151:7 156:19 152:9, 13 156:13 156:13 156:13 156:13 156:13 156:13 156:13 156:13 156:13 156:19 152:9, 13 156:13 156:13 156:13 156:13 156:13 156:13 156:14 156:10 151:7 156:10 151:7 156:10 151:7 156:10 151:7 156:10 151:7 156:10 151:7 156:10 151:7 156:10 151:7 156:10 151:7 156:10 151:7 156:11 156:10 151:7 156:11 156:10 151:7 156:11 156:10 151:7 156:11 156:10 151:7 156:11 156:10 151:7 156:10 151:7 156:11 156:10 151:7 156:11 156:10 151:7 156:11 156:10 151:7 156:11 156:10 151:7 156:11 156:10 151:7 156:11 156:10 151:7 156:11 156:10 151:7 156:11 156:10 151:7 156:11 156:10 151:7 156:11 156:10 151:7 156:11 156:10 151:7 156:11 156:10 151:7 156:11 156:10 151:7 156:11			· ·			
22 24-4, 20, 23 161:5 166:15, 102:3 103:1, 193:6 opine 195:21 opining 204:23 op						•
25.5, 9, 13, 21 26.1, 8, 13, 18, 9 168.8, 15, 20 27.6, 11 17, 21 169.12, 105.10, 22 28.6, 15, 20 17, 21 170.9, 107.10, 20 32.13, 19 33.2, 176.3, 10, 15, 110.9, 16 32.13, 19 33.2, 176.3, 10, 15, 110.9, 16 32.13, 19 33.2, 176.3, 10, 15, 110.9, 16 32.13, 19 33.2, 176.3, 10, 15, 110.9, 16 32.13, 19 33.2, 176.3, 10, 15, 110.9, 16 32.13, 19 33.2, 176.3, 10, 15, 110.9, 16 32.13, 19 33.2, 176.3, 10, 15, 110.9, 16 32.13, 19 33.2, 176.3, 10, 15, 110.9, 16 32.13, 19 33.2, 176.3, 10, 15, 110.9, 16 32.13, 19 33.2, 176.3, 10, 15, 110.9, 16 32.13, 19 33.2, 183.3, 7 31.2, 18.4, 19 31.2, 18.5, 18.66, 20 31.1, 17.2, 17.9 31.8, 18.5, 18.66, 20 31.1, 17.2, 17.9 31.1, 11.1, 19 31.1						
226.1, 8, 13, 18, 19 168.8, 15, 105.10, 24 opining 204.23 overall 36.7 111.21 112.11, 22.11, 22.2 22.2 48.6, 15, 20 17, 21 170.9, 107.10, 20 45.5, 19 51.10 48.4 91.12 114.5, 11, 12 122.11, 29.18 30.10, 18, 24 171.5 108.3, 17 58.6 139.21 94.7 194.20 115.6 119.12 122.7, 32.13, 19 33.2, 176.3, 10, 15, 110.9, 16 152.9, 13 153.1, 19 35.9, 21 182.7, 23 111.6, 19 152.8, 12, 19 overruled 152.9, 13 165.13 122.1, 11.2, 1					O	
22 27.6, 11			1			
28.6, 15, 20 29.18 30:10, 18.24 171:5 108:3, 17 28.13, 19 33:2, 176:3, 10, 15, 171, 19 33:2, 176:3, 10, 15, 171, 19, 23 183:3, 7 112:16 113:3, 152:9, 13 172, 15, 19, 23 183:3, 7 112:16 113:3, 156:8, 10, 15 171:19 172:4, 105.10, 14, 17, 124 15:10, 120 187:6, 10, 148:8 186:6, 122 115:20 171:19 172:4, 109.14, 17, 185:8 186:6, 122 115:20 171:19 172:4, 109.14, 17, 185:8 186:6, 120 187:6, 10, 148:7, 19, 20 187:1, 19, 23 183:1, 190:12 181:1, 190:12 180:1, 19, 20 0verruled 144:0, 153:1, 19, 20 180:1, 19, 19, 10, 15 180:1, 19, 20 180:1, 19, 19, 20 180:1,			· ·			
29:18 30:10, 18, 24 171:5 108:3, 17 146:16 151:7 152:7, 8 132:7, 3 175:11, 22 109:7, 13, 24 146:16 151:7 165:13 122:7, 8 122:7, 127 172:4, 19 172:4,			· ·			
132.4 31.3 175.11, 22 109.7, 13, 24 146.16 151.7 165.17 165.17 165.17 165.17 165.17 165.17 165.17 165.17 165.17 165.17 165.18 165.17 165.18 165						
32:13.19 33:2, 176:3.10, 15, 1110:9.16 15:8, 12, 19		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
7. 11. 19 35:9. 21 182:7, 23 111:6. 19 155:8, 12. 19 overly 194:9 d. 5, 22 143:12 415:10 20 184:15, 18 5, 23 114:16. 159:3, 9 160:3 203:18 pages 75:10 20 185:8, 18 192:1 193:4 120:9, 177:14, 19 186:6, 16, 20 188:7 190:12 119:14 120:9, 177:14, 19 186:7, 11 125:2 126:9 180:11, 19 180:14, 19 180:14, 19 180:14, 19 180:17, 11 14. 19 180:7, 11 125:2 126:9 180:11, 19 180:14, 19		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				i ·
24 38:20 39:3, 183:3,7			· '	· '		
12, 15, 19, 23		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·		_	
40.5, 10, 14, 17, 22 41:5, 10 20 187:6, 10, 117:2, 17 6, 9, 15, 19 120:16 120:16 138:15, 19 13, 17, 19 118:6, 16, 20 117:14, 19 172:4, 19 175:2 overview 64:6 46:40:12, 14 175:2, 192:21 193:4, 19 121:15 178:4, 19 157:11 152:21 193:4, 19 196:7, 11 125:2 126:9 180:11, 19 179:5, 23 overview 64:6 10:222 12:15 179:5, 23 overview 64:6 10:222 12:15 179:5, 23 overview 64:6 10:222 12:15 179:14, 19 196:7, 11 125:2 126:9 180:11, 19 179:5, 23 overview 64:6 10:222 12:15 179:5, 23 overview 64:6 overwhelming 150:18 10:222 12:15 179:5, 23 overview 64:6 overwhelming 150:18 150:18 179:5, 10:222 179:5, 23 overview 64:6 overwhelming 150:18 150:18 179:15 10:222 12:15 179:5, 23 overview 64:6 overwhelming 150:18 150:18 179:5, 23 overview 64:6 overwhelming 150:18 150:18 179:5, 23 overview 64:6 overwhelming 150:18 150:18 179:5, 23 overview 64:6 overwhelming 150:18 150:18 179:5, 23 overview 64:6 overwhelming 150:18 150:18 179:5, 23 overview 64:6 overwhelming 150:18 150:18 179:5, 23 overview 64:6 overwhelming 150:18 179:5, 23 overview 64:6 overwhelming 150:18 170:14 179:5, 23 overview 64:6 overwhelming 150:18 170:14 179:5, 23 overview 64:6 overwhelming 150:18 170:14 1	,	· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, , ,		
22 41:5, 10						
43:8, 15, 19 43:7, 46:3, 7, 188:1 190:12 119:14 120:9, 117:14, 19 120:41:53, 8, 18 49:21, 24 51:3, 7, 11, 14, 19 196:7, 11 125:2 126:9 180:11, 14, 19 197:18 198:8, 128:17 133:23 181:22 182:2 182:2 182:2 182:2 182:2 183:11 184:11, 3, 13, 22 83:21 192:20:20:4, 138:31 143:6 188:9, 18:17 133:23 181:22 182:2 182:2 182:2 183:11 184:11, 3, 13, 22 83:21 00:18 61:24 00:18						
44.7 46.3, 7, 20 47.5, 8, 18 190.12 193.4, 20, 21 121.15 178.4, 19 157.11 pandemic 102.22 pandemic 102.22 pandemic 102.22 pandemic 102.23 pandemic 102.24 pandemic 102.25 pand						
20 47:5, 8, 18 49:21, 24 51:3, 12 194:1, 8, 15 12:23 124:17 179:5, 23 cover 75:7, 13, 14, 19 196:7, 11 125:2 126:9 180:11, 19 23 78:9 79:15 panel 29:5 23:23 33:9 181:22 182:2 80:8, 21 82:2, 32:23 33:9 181:22 182:1 33:33, 9, 12, 21, 24 199:9, 134:9, 21 183:11 184:11, 3, 13, 22 83:21 60:18 61:24 172, 21 54:5, 11 19 200:7 137:12, 23 138:13 143:6 186:9, 18, 22 83:9 97:22 127:21 56:5 58:12, 18, 10, 18 203:8, 147:12 155:18 191:15, 19 206:1, 20, 14, 192:1 163:1, 201:2, 202:2, 18:12, 14 201:22 202:4, 18:13:1 184:11, 19:15, 19 206:1, 20 20:1, 2, 9, 14, 19:15 166:1.6, 11, 17, 21 206:2, 8, 16 166:24 20:1, 20 2						
49:21, 24 51:3, 12 194:1, 8, 15 122:23 124:17 179:5, 23 owner 75:7, 23 78:9 79:15 102:22 panel 29:5 7, 11, 14, 19 196:7, 11 125:2 126:9 180:11, 19 23 78:9 79:15 panel 29:5 52:1, 9, 14, 18, 197:18 198:8, 128:17 133:23 181:22 182:2 80:8, 21 82:2, 32:23 32:23 33:9 21 53:3, 9, 12, 17, 21 54:5, 11 19 200:7 137:12, 23 13 185:2 owner/tenant 62:2 63:5 55:2, 12, 14 201:22 202:4, 138:13 143:6 186:9, 18, 22 owner's 86:14 owner's 86:14 owner's 86:14 owner's 81:18 63:5, 99 97:22 127:21 16, 24 59:3, 12, 17 204:12, 15 157:13, 19 206:1, 20 opinions 43:23 171:12 opinions 43:23 171:12 p.m 6:20 90:4 109:14, 16 16, 20 62:6, 9, 16 63:1, 21 24 208:5, 9, 13, 17:20 181:23 21 168:6, 10, 120:23 127:6 176:17, 23 171:12 opportunity p.m 6:20 p0:4 109:14, 16 16 63:1, 21 42 208:5, 9, 13, 17:20 181:23 20 181:23 opposed 30:18 PA 65:8, 19 parameters 13 79:20 80:1 19:1 175:20 181:23 opposed 30:18 PA 65:8, 1					overwhelming	
7, 11, 14, 19	20 47:5, 8, 18	192:2 <i>1</i> 193:4,	20, 21 121:15		157: <i>11</i>	
52:1, 9, 14, 18, 21 197:18 198:8, 134:9, 21 128:17 133:23 181:22 182:2 183:11 80:8, 21 82:2, 3, 13:23 33:9 32:23 33:9 71, 21 54:5, 11 19 200:7 137:12, 23 13 185:2 80:8, 21 82:2, 0mer/tenant 32:23 33:9 60:18 61:24 75:2, 12, 14 201:22 202:4, 138:13 143:6 186:9, 18, 22 83:9 97:22 127:21 56:5 58:12, 18, 21, 72 04:12, 15 10, 18 203:8, 14, 17 204:12, 15 157:13, 19 206:1, 20 owner/tenant 62:2 63:5 83:9 97:22 127:21 97:22 127:21 83:9 97:22 127:21 56:5 58:12, 18, 10, 18 203:8, 12, 17 204:12, 15 157:13, 19 206:1, 20 owner/s 81:18 63:15, 19 16, 23 60:3, 11, 12 205:1, 5, 9, 14, 16 159:21 161:5, 17:13, 19 opinions 43:23 63:1, 19 16, 63:1, 21 24 208:5, 9, 13, 21 173:12 16 166:24 171:12 opportunity p.m 6:20 90:4 109:14, 16 64:2, 21 68:6, 13 79:20 80:1 offline 103:2 176:17, 23 6 190:6, 24 202:17 208:21, 173:15 16 81:6 84:19 0fest 148:21 177:20 181:23 209c3:23 22 PA 65:8, 19	49:2 <i>1</i> , 2 <i>4</i> 51: <i>3</i> ,		122:2 <i>3</i> 124: <i>17</i>	179:5, 23	owner 75:7,	102:22
21 53:3, 9, 12, 17, 21 54:5, 11 19 200:7 137:12, 23 138:13 143:6 186:9, 18, 22 83:21 owner/tenant 55:2, 12, 14 201:22 202:4, 138:13 143:6 186:9, 18, 22 83:9 97:22 127:21 56:5 58:12, 18, 10, 18 203:8, 147:12 155:18 191:15, 19 owners 86:14 paragraph 63:15, 19 16, 23 60:3, 11, 16, 61:1, 6, 11, 17, 21 206:2, 8, 16 166:24 17:12 opnions 43:23 17:12 0pnions 43:23 17:12 17:13 15 16 0pnions 43:23 17:12 17:13 15 16 0pnions 43:23 17:13 16 0pnions 43:23 17:13 16 0pnions 43:23 17:13 16 0pnions 43:23 17:13 16 0pnions 43:23 17:13 16 0pnions 43:23 17:13 16 0pnions 43:23 17:13 16 0pnions 43:23 17:13 16 0pnions 43:23 17:13 16 0pnions 43:23 17:13 16 0pnions 43:23 17:13 16 0pnions 43:23 17:13 16 0pnions 43:23 17:13 16 0pnions 43:23 17:13 16 0pnions 43:23 17:13 16 0pnions 43:23 17:13 16 0pnions 43:23 17:13 17:12 17:13 15:15 16 0pnions 43:23 17:13 17:12 17:13 15:15 16 0pnions 43:23 17:13 17:12 17:13 15:15 16 0pnions 43:23 17:13 17:12 17:13 15:15 16 0pnions 43:23 17:13 17:15 1	7, 11, 14, 19	196: <i>7</i> , <i>11</i>	125:2 126:9	180: <i>11</i> , <i>19</i>	23 78:9 79:15	panel 29:5
17, 21 54:5, 11	52:1, 9, 14, 18,	197: <i>18</i> 198:8,	128: <i>17</i> 133: <i>23</i>	181:22 182:2	80:8, 21 82:2,	32:23 33:9
55:2, 12, 14 201:22 202:4, 16, 16, 20 138:13 143:6 186:9, 18, 22 83:9 97:22 127:21 56:5 58:12, 18, 21, 18, 21, 24 59:3, 12, 17 204:12, 15 10, 18 203:8, 17 138:13 143:6 186:9, 18, 22 83:9 owners 86:14 paragraph 63:15, 19 16, 23 60:3, 11, 20 205:1, 5, 9, 14, 17, 21 206:2, 8, 16 166:24 159:21 161:5, 19 171:12 opnions 43:23 82:11, 21 16 61:1, 6, 11, 16, 20 62:6, 9, 16 63:1, 21 24 207:4, 9, 20, 20, 15; 21, 73:12 167:3, 14, 19, 172:12 opportunity 120:23 127:6 pm 6:20 pu.4 109:14, 16 16-84:2, 12 68:6, 19 19 155:21 173:12 144:18 163:4, 176:18, 20 176:18, 20 parameters 13 79:20 80:1 offline 103:2 offset 148:21 177:20 181:23 202:23 22 PA 65:8, 19 parameters 81:6 84:19 Oh 23:15 188:22 189:17 opposed 30:18 PA 65:8, 19 part 31:20 20 97:8 99:7, 41:5 62:16, 17 194:1 198:8 120:1 177:15 67:21 70:23 38:16 48:3 104:6 113:13 23:3, 9, 16 207:4, 15 option 190:4 112:11 114:14 127:18 130:22, 127:23 128:14 130:2	21 53:3, 9, 12,	<i>21, 24</i> 199:9,	134:9, <i>21</i>	183: <i>11</i> 184: <i>11</i> ,	<i>3</i> , <i>13</i> , <i>22</i> 83:2 <i>1</i>	60:18 61:24
147:12 155:18 191:15, 19 206:1, 20	17, 21 54:5, 11	19 200:7	137: <i>1</i> 2, <i>23</i>	<i>13</i> 185:2	owner/tenant	62:2 63:5
56:5 58:12, 18, 21, 24 59:3, 12, 17 204:12, 15 15:18 15:13, 19 206:1, 20 owners 86:14 owner's 81:18 63:15, 19 16, 23 60:3, 11, 205:1, 5, 9, 14, 16 61:1, 6, 11, 17, 21 206:2, 8, 16 166:24 17:12 2 opnorunity p.m 6:20 90:4 109:14, 16 63:1, 21 24 208:5, 9, 13, 21 168:6, 10, 15, 21 173:12 176:18, 20 176:18, 20 176:18, 20 176:18, 21 177:20 181:23 176:18, 20 176:18, 2	55:2, 12, 14	201:22 202:4,	138: <i>13</i> 143:6	186:9, 18, 22	83:9	97:22 127:2 <i>1</i>
21, 24 59:3, 12, 17 204:12, 15 157:13, 19 16, 23 60:3, 11, 17 21 206:2, 8, 16 166:24 17:12 21 60:1, 6, 11, 17, 21 206:2, 8, 16 166:24 17:12 22 20:2, 9, 16 63:1, 21 24 208:5, 9, 13, 21 168:6, 10, 16:2, 21 68:6, 19 15, 21 173:12 173:12 144:18 163:4, 16 84:19 offset 148:21 177:20 181:23 188:22 189:17 20 97:8 99:7, 15 62:16, 17 194:1 198:8 1101:14 79:17 129:8 199:9 201:22 103:9, 13 104:6 113:13 23:3, 9, 16 207:4, 15 207:4, 15 207:4, 15 207:4, 15 208:14 130:2, 114:18 126:21 25:6 26:2, 18 208:19 208:14 130:2, 131:33 33:11 192:8 208:14 130:2, 131:33 33:14 192:8 208:14 130:2, 131:33 36:23 37:14, 134:1, 6, 9, 13, 18 38:6 41:18 177:20 181:20 20 174:20 20:20 20:17 208:21, 102:8 137:16 160:16 177:16 131:9 36:23 37:14, 102:8 130:22, 102:8 137:20 130:19 130:28 130:19 130:28 130:19 130:28 130:19 130:28 130:19 130:28 130:19 130:28 130:19 130:28 130:19 130:28 130:19 130:28 130:19 130:28 130:19 130:28 130:19 130:28 130:19 130:28 130:29 130:10 130:9 13 13 33:11 192:8 130:22 130:10 130:9 13 130:23 37:14, 141:8, 124:14, 127:18 130:23 130:20 130:10 130:19 36:23 37:14, 18 60:16 62:6, 153:20 130:10 130:11 130:12 130:13 130:13 130:13 18:30 130:14 141:8, 24 143:4, 68:13 69:24 142:24 143:4, 68:13 69:24 70:5, 21 71:7, 71		10, 18 203:8,	147:12 155:18		owners 86:14	paragraph
16, 23			157: <i>13</i> , <i>19</i>			
16 61:1, 6, 11, 17, 21 206:2, 8, 16 166:24 171:12 cpportunity p.m 6:20 90:4 109:14, 16 63:1, 21 24 208:5, 9, 13, 21 168:6, 10, 120:23 127:6 127:13, 15 16						
16, 20 62:6, 9, 16 24 207:4, 9, 20, 24 167:3, 14, 19, 21 opportunity 120:23 p.m. 6:20 90:4 109:14, 16 16 63:1, 21 24 208:5, 9, 13, 24 168:6, 10, 15, 21 173:12 144:18 163:4, 176:18, 20 parameters 13 79:20 80:1 offset 148:21 177:20 181:23 202:23 202:17 208:21, 202:17 102:8 137:16 87:9, 13 96:15, 96:15 Oh 23:15 188:22 189:17 opposed 30:18 offset 148:21 PA 65:8, 19 opposed 30:18					<p></p>	
16 63:1, 21 24 208:5, 9, 13, 19 21 168:6, 10, 15, 21 173:12 144:18 163:4, 176:18, 20 16 parameters 13 79:20 80:1 offine 103:2 176:17, 23 6 190:6, 24 202:17 208:21, 208:21, 202:8 102:8 137:16 81:6 84:19 offset 148:21 177:20 181:23 202:23 22 Padon 184:1 87:9, 13 96:15, 99:7, 41:5 62:16, 17 194:1 198:8 120:1 177:15 67:21 70:23 38:16 48:3 10 10:14 79:17 129:8 199:9 201:22 188:19 71:15 76:5, 14, 67:14 64:1 79:12 103:9, 13 Okay 3:4 4:2 202:4, 10 option 190:4 112:11 114:14 127:18 130:22, 133:5 127:1, 9, 16 28:20 30:10 old 43:22 83:12 119:11 136:20 137:20 128:14 130:2, 3 31:3 33:11 192:8						
64:2, 21 68:6, 13 79:20 80:1 19 offline 103:2 offset 148:21 15, 21 173:12 176:17, 23 170:16, 24 202:17 208:21, 202:17 208:21, 202:17 208:21, 202:17 208:21, 202:17 208:21, 202:17 208:21, 202:17 208:21, 202:23 20 20:23 20 20:23 20 20:23 20 20:23 20 20:23 20 20:23 20 20:23 20 20:23 20 20:23 20 20:23 20 20:23 20 20:23 20 20:23 20:23 20 20:23 20:23 20 20:23 20 20:23 20:23 20 20:23 20 20:23					_	,
13 79:20 80:1 offline 103:2 176:17, 23 6 190:6, 24 202:17 208:21, 102:8 137:16 81:6 84:19 offset 148:21 177:20 181:23 202:23 22 PA 65:8, 19 part 31:20 87:9, 13 96:15, 41:5 62:16, 17 194:1 198:8 120:1 177:15 67:21 70:23 38:16 48:3 11 101:14 79:17 129:8 199:9 201:22 188:19 71:15 76:5, 14, 64:1 79:12 103:9, 13 Okay 3:4 4:2 202:4, 10 opt 79:18 24 86:7 80:15 97:23 104:6 113:13 23:3, 9, 16 208:19 orange 82:22 15 115:17 136:20 137:20 127:1, 9, 16 28:20 30:10 old 43:22 83:12 119:11 136:20 137:20 128:14 130:2, 31:3 33:11 48:19 60:23						
81:6 84:19 87:9, 13 96:15, 20 97:8 99:7, 11 101:14 103:9, 13 104:6 113:13 104:6 113:13 125:6 26:2, 18 105:16 128:19 105:18 106:16 61:6 106:16 61:7 106:14 136:24 107:20 181:23 188:22 189:17 198:8 120:1 177:15 120:1				· ·		_
87:9, 13 96:15, 20 Oh 23:15 188:22 189:17 194:1 opposed 30:18 120:1 PA 65:8, 19 67:21 70:23 38:16 48:3 part 31:20 38:16 48:3 11 101:14 79:17 129:8 199:9 201:22 202:4, 10 202:4, 10 207:4, 15 23:3, 9, 16 207:4, 15 208:19 option 190:4 orange 82:22 208:19 112:11 114:14, 127:18 130:22, 133:5 127:1, 9, 16 28:20 30:10 31:3 33:11 36:23 37:14, 134:1, 6, 9, 13, 18 38:6 41:18 16, 21 135:3, 6 52:10 55:12, 134:19 144:9 136:1 137:12, 18 60:16 62:6, 153:20 313:3, 8, 11 17 63:1 64:2, 23 138:3, 8, 11 17 63:1 64:2, 23 138:3, 8, 11 17 63:1 64:2, 24 143:4, 68:13 69:24 142:24 143:4, 68:13 69:24 8, 12, 17, 22 188:22 189:17 194:8 120:1 177:15 188:19 71:16 72:15 115:7 23 136:3 120 PA 65:8, 19 67:21 70:23 38:16 48:3 71:15 76:5, 14, 64:1 79:12 202:4 143:4, 68:13 69:24 70:5, 21 71:7, 72 188:19 0rtion 190:4 0rtion 190:4 112:11 114:14, 127:18 130:22, 115:17 23 133:5 127:18 130:22, 130:22, 119:11 136:20 137:20 130:22, 119:11 136:20 137:20 130:22 139:19 146:24 130:2, 119:11 136:20 137:20 139:19 146:24 140:24 14			· ·			
20 97:8 99:7, 41:5 62:16, 17 194:1 198:8 120:1 177:15 67:21 70:23 38:16 48:3 11 101:14 79:17 129:8 199:9 201:22 188:19 71:15 76:5, 14, 64:1 79:12 103:9, 13 Okay 3:4 4:2 202:4, 10 opt 79:18 24 86:7 80:15 97:23 104:6 113:13 23:3, 9, 16 207:4, 15 option 190:4 112:11 114:14, 127:18 130:22, 114:8 126:21 25:6 26:2, 18 208:19 orange 82:22 15 115:7 23 133:5 127:1, 9, 16 28:20 30:10 old 43:22 83:12 119:11 136:20 137:20 128:14 130:2, 31:3 33:11 192:8 order 3:11 page 64:19 139:19 146:24 6, 10 131:9 36:23 37:14, 48:19 60:23 33:17 35:1 70:6, 12, 22 160:16 161:7 134:1, 6, 9, 13, 18 38:6 41:18 48:19 60:23 33:17 35:1 70:6, 12, 22 160:16 161:7 136:1 137:12, 18 60:16 62:6, 153:20 40:2 128:11 74:20 75:10, 194:24 195:1 23 138:3, 8, 11 17 63:1 64:2, one-to-one ordinary 67:7 77:19, 24 80:9 participant 141:8, 24						
11 101:14 79:17 129:8 199:9 201:22 188:19 71:15 76:5, 14, 76:5, 14, 80:15 64:1 79:12 103:9, 13 Okay 3:4 4:2 202:4, 10 opt 79:18 24 86:7 80:15 97:23 104:6 113:13 23:3, 9, 16 207:4, 15 option 190:4 112:11 114:14.4 127:18 130:22, 114:8 126:21 25:6 26:2, 18 208:19 orange 82:22 15 115:7 23 133:5 127:1, 9, 16 28:20 30:10 old 43:22 83:12 119:11 136:20 137:20 128:14 130:2, 31:3 33:11 192:8 order 3:11 page 64:19 139:19 146:24 6, 10 131:9 36:23 37:14, once 12:20 8:18 29:3 65:1, 2, 5, 7 147:13 149:7 134:1, 6, 9, 13, 18 38:6 41:18 48:19 60:23 33:17 35:1 70:6, 12, 22 160:16 161:7 136:1 137:12,						_
103:9, 13 Okay 3:4 4:2 202:4, 10 opt 79:18 24 86:7 80:15 97:23 104:6 113:13 23:3, 9, 16 207:4, 15 option 190:4 112:11 114:14, 127:18 130:22, 114:8 126:21 25:6 26:2, 18 208:19 orange 82:22 15 115:7 23 133:5 127:1, 9, 16 28:20 30:10 old 43:22 83:12 119:11 136:20 137:20 128:14 130:2, 31:3 33:11 192:8 order 3:11 page 64:19 139:19 146:24 6, 10 131:9 36:23 37:14, once 12:20 8:18 29:3 65:1, 2, 5, 7 147:13 149:7 134:1, 6, 9, 13, 18 38:6 41:18 48:19 60:23 33:17 35:1 70:6, 12, 22 160:16 161:7 16, 21 135:3, 6 52:10 55:12, 134:19 144:9 37:2 38:13, 14 71:16 72:15 163:2 172:10 136:1 137:12, 18 60:16 62:6, 153:20 40:2 128:11 74:20 75:10, 194:24 195:1 23 138:3, 8, 11 17 63:1 64:2, one-to-one ordinary 67:7 77:19, 24 80:9 participant 142:24 143:4, 68:13 69:24						
104:6 113:13 23:3, 9, 16 207:4, 15 option 190:4 112:11 114:14, 127:18 130:22, 114:8 126:21 25:6 26:2, 18 208:19 orange 82:22 15 115:7 23 133:5 127:1, 9, 16 28:20 30:10 old 43:22 83:12 119:11 136:20 137:20 128:14 130:2, 31:3 33:11 192:8 order 3:11 page 64:19 139:19 146:24 6, 10 131:9 36:23 37:14, once 12:20 8:18 29:3 65:1, 2, 5, 7 147:13 149:7 134:1, 6, 9, 13, 18 38:6 41:18 48:19 60:23 33:17 35:1 70:6, 12, 22 160:16 161:7 16, 21 137:12, 18 60:16 62:6, 153:20 40:2 128:11 74:20 75:10, 194:24 195:1 23 138:3, 8, 11 17 63:1 64:2, one-to-one ordinary 67:7 77:19, 24 80:9 participant						
114:8 126:21 25:6 26:2, 18 208:19 orange 82:22 15 115:7 23 133:5 127:1, 9, 16 28:20 30:10 old 43:22 83:12 119:11 136:20 137:20 128:14 130:2, 6, 10 131:9 36:23 37:14, 7.14 once 12:20 8:18 29:3 65:1, 2, 5, 7 147:13 149:7 134:1, 6, 9, 13, 16, 21 135:3, 6 52:10 55:12, 134:19 144:9 134:19 144:9 37:2 38:13, 14 70:6, 12, 22 160:16 161:7 136:1 137:12, 18 60:16 62:6, 153:20 40:2 128:11 74:20 75:10, 194:24 195:1 23 138:3, 8, 11 17 63:1 64:2, 9, 18 67:20 105:18 ordinary 67:7 77:19, 24 80:9 participant 142:24 143:4, 68:13 69:24 70:5, 21 71:7, 120:11 150:15 170:14 14, 16 85:10 participants			· ·			
127:1, 9, 16 28:20 30:10 old 43:22 83:12 119:11 136:20 137:20 128:14 130:2, 6, 10 131:9 36:23 37:14, 134:18 once 12:20 8:18 29:3 65:1, 2, 5, 7 147:13 149:7 134:1, 6, 9, 13, 16, 21 135:3, 6 52:10 55:12, 134:19 144:9 134:19 144:9 37:2 38:13, 14 71:16 72:15 163:2 172:10 136:1 137:12, 18 60:16 62:6, 141:8, 24 17 63:1 64:2, 9, 18 67:20 one-to-one 105:18 ordinary 67:7 organizations 18 76:23 198:6 199:6 141:8, 24 9, 18 67:20 105:18 organizations 83:4, 5 84:12, 11:23 165:3 8, 12, 17, 22 70:5, 21 71:7, 120:11 150:15 170:14 14, 16 85:10 participants						
128:14 130:2, 31:3 33:11 192:8 order 3:11 page 64:19 139:19 146:24 6, 10 131:9 36:23 37:14, once 12:20 8:18 29:3 65:1, 2, 5, 7 147:13 149:7 134:1, 6, 9, 13, 18 38:6 41:18 48:19 60:23 33:17 35:1 70:6, 12, 22 160:16 161:7 16, 21 135:3, 6 52:10 55:12, 134:19 144:9 37:2 38:13, 14 71:16 72:15 163:2 172:10 136:1 137:12, 18 60:16 62:6, 153:20 40:2 128:11 74:20 75:10, 194:24 195:1 23 138:3, 8, 11 17 63:1 64:2, one-to-one ordered 174:20 18 76:23 198:6 199:6 141:8, 24 9, 18 67:20 105:18 ordinary 67:7 77:19, 24 80:9 participant 142:24 143:4, 68:13 69:24 ongoing 170:14 14, 16 85:10 participants <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
6, 10 131:9 36:23 37:14, once 12:20 8:18 29:3 65:1, 2, 5, 7 147:13 149:7 134:1, 6, 9, 13, 18 38:6 41:18 48:19 60:23 33:17 35:1 70:6, 12, 22 160:16 160:16 161:7 16, 21 135:3, 6 52:10 55:12, 134:19 144:9 37:2 38:13, 14 71:16 72:15 163:2 172:10 136:1 137:12, 18 60:16 62:6, 153:20 40:2 128:11 74:20 75:10, 194:24 195:1 23 138:3, 8, 11 17 63:1 64:2, one-to-one ordered 174:20 18 76:23 198:6 199:6 141:8, 24 9, 18 67:20 105:18 ordinary 67:7 77:19, 24 80:9 participant 142:24 143:4, 68:13 69:24 120:11 150:15 170:14 14, 16 85:10 participants						
134:1, 6, 9, 13, 18 38:6 41:18 48:19 60:23 33:17 35:1 70:6, 12, 22 160:16 161:7 16, 21 135:3, 6 52:10 55:12, 134:19 144:9 37:2 38:13, 14 71:16 72:15 163:2 172:10 136:1 137:12, 18 60:16 62:6, 153:20 40:2 128:11 74:20 75:10, 194:24 195:1 23 138:3, 8, 11 17 63:1 64:2, one-to-one ordinary 67:7 18 76:23 198:6 199:6 141:8, 24 9, 18 67:20 105:18 ordinary 67:7 77:19, 24 80:9 participant 142:24 143:4, 68:13 69:24 ongoing 170:14 14, 16 85:10 participants						
16, 21 135:3, 6 52:10 55:12, 134:19 144:9 37:2 38:13, 14 71:16 72:15 163:2 172:10 136:1 137:12, 18 60:16 62:6, 153:20 40:2 128:11 74:20 75:10, 194:24 195:1 23 138:3, 8, 11 17 63:1 64:2, one-to-one ordinary 67:7 18 76:23 198:6 199:6 141:8, 24 9, 18 67:20 105:18 organizations 83:4, 5 84:12, 11:23 165:3 8, 12, 17, 22 70:5, 21 71:7, 120:11 150:15 170:14 14, 16 85:10 participants						
136:1 137:12, 18 60:16 62:6, 153:20 40:2 128:11 74:20 75:10, 194:24 195:1 23 138:3, 8, 11 17 63:1 64:2, one-to-one ordered 174:20 18 76:23 198:6 199:6 141:8, 24 9, 18 67:20 105:18 ordinary 67:7 77:19, 24 80:9 participant 142:24 143:4, 68:13 69:24 ongoing organizations 83:4, 5 84:12, 11:23 165:3 8, 12, 17, 22 70:5, 21 71:7, 120:11 150:15 170:14 14, 16 85:10 participants						
23 138:3, 8, 11 17 63:1 64:2, one-to-one ordered 174:20 18 76:23 198:6 199:6 141:8, 24 9, 18 67:20 105:18 ordinary 67:7 77:19, 24 80:9 participant 142:24 143:4, 68:13 69:24 ongoing organizations 83:4, 5 84:12, 11:23 165:3 8, 12, 17, 22 70:5, 21 71:7, 120:11 150:15 170:14 14, 16 85:10 participants						
141:8, 24 9, 18 67:20 105:18 ordinary 67:7 77:19, 24 80:9 participant 142:24 143:4, 8, 12, 17, 22 68:13 69:24 ongoing 120:11 150:15 170:14 83:4, 5 84:12, 14, 16 85:10 11:23 165:3 140:21 1 150:21 120:21 1 150:25 170:21 14, 16 85:10 14					· ·	
142:24 143:4, 68:13 69:24 ongoing organizations 83:4, 5 84:12, 11:23 165:3 8, 12, 17, 22 70:5, 21 71:7, 120:11 150:15 170:14 14, 16 85:10 participants						
8, 12, 17, 22 70:5, 21 71:7, 120:11 150:15 170:14 14, 16 85:10 participants				-		
144:2, /, 12, 15, 13 73:10 75:9			120:11 150:15	17/0:14		
	144:2, /, 12, 15,	13 /3:10 /5:9	<u> </u>	l	86:0, 18, 19	4:1 5:/ 9:2, 4

10.12 171.13 171.14 171.15 171.16 17				1		
1227.13	10:16 27:12	155:19 171:18	163:16 173:6	PHILADELPH	<i>17</i> 102: <i>4</i>	position 3:23
1254 127:23 paying 48:9 16 180:16 18. 18. 12:10,14 24:6 28:18 28:17 18. 13:11,15 13:11,15 13:11,15 100:1 13:220 183:22 13:19.17 200:14 20:17 200:14 20:17 20:17 20:18 22:13 20:18 22:13 20:18 22:13 20:18 22:13 20:18 22:13 20:18 22:13 20:18 22:13 20:18 22:13 20:18 22:13 20:18 20	<i>'</i>		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	l		
138:11 138:21 138:22 100:1 132:20 132:	*			· '		
139:11, 15 158:20 200:14 201:17 201:18 201:19 200:14 201:17 201:19 200:14 201:17 201:19 201		1 0				
147:18 158:20 100:15 17 201:17 201:18 17:19 201:18 100:15 17 201:18 21:15 201:18 21:15 201:18 201				·		
163:1 190:17 payment 6:15 4:23 34:4 70:14 20 100:15 17 73:18 70:14 20 100:19 100:19 70:11 100:19 101:20 13 173:13 65:17 13:3 84:13 27 14:11 17:19 17:24 180:12 183:19 183:10 100:11 104:23 174:16 175:6 183:17 106:17 106:2 177:24 180:12 183:19 183:10 183:	·			l		•
Darticipate	147:18 158:20					
Title	163: <i>1</i> 190: <i>17</i>			l		
Participated 93.19, 20, 22 143.7 146.3, 69.20 74.22 83.18 84.13 84.13 140.11, 19, 20, 147.7 146.3, 140.11 173.24 181.2 100.17 100.2, 177.24 180.17 100.2, 177.24 180.17 100.2, 177.24 180.17 100.2, 177.24 180.17 100.2, 177.24 180.17 177.2	participate	100:15, 17	37:8 68:2 <i>1</i>	33:23 34:18	74:20 76:14	63:12 70:16,
participated 73:19, 20, 22 143:7 146:3, 173:18 173:19 173:19 100:19 101:20 174:16 175:6 173:19 105:17 106:21 174:16 175:6 175:14	70:14, 20	104: <i>19</i>		35: <i>13</i> , <i>18</i> 42: <i>4</i>	78:2, 6 80:11,	<i>17, 19</i> 73: <i>1</i>
1751/1 100.9 101.20 13 173.13 175.13 85.12 88.22 89.10 142.8, 13 112.47 113.1 105.17 106.2 177.24 180.12 103.17 106.12 106.12 177.24 180.12 115.1, 10 115.1, 10 110.11 122.2 158.3 199.23 148.12 181.6 175.10 183.1 183.1 185.1 183.1 183.1 183.1 183.1 183.1 183.1 183.1 183.1 183.1	71: <i>11</i>	payments	88:2 94:2 <i>3</i>	56:7, 13, 18	24 81: <i>15</i>	140: <i>11</i> , <i>19</i> , <i>20</i> ,
Darticipating 102:11 104:23 174:16 175:6 86:4 93:11, 23 96:5 108:19 possibly 141:6 182:11 102:17 132:2, 9 pays 56:22 pays 56:22 percentages 117:15 121:17 23 131:6 138:2 175:14 pdf 65:4 performance 138:12 133:12, 15:19 131:13, 138:12 170:16 188:1 175:14 pdf 65:4 performance 135:17 140:16 188:1 posted 2:23 175:14 pdf 65:4 performance 135:17 140:16 PLUG 30:3 165:8 107:6 10	participated	93:19, 20, 22	143:7 146: <i>3</i> ,	69:20 74:22	83:13 84:13	21 141:1
103:17 106:2, 177:24 180:12 103:17 106:12 109:11, 17 10:11 129:22, 158:19 163:10 158:3 199:23 148:12 181:6 177:15 121:17 23 131:6 182:11 posted 2:23 133:6 175:10 188:10 175:10 188:10 175:10	177: <i>11</i>	100:9 101:20	<i>13</i> 173: <i>13</i>	75:13 85:12	88:22 89:10	142:8, <i>13</i>
Particulary 12:17 32:2,9 pays 56:22 precentages 115:1,16 110:1/1 129:22, posted 2:23 131:6 posted 2:23 131:6 posted 2:23 135:17:17:17 posted 2:23 131:6 posted 2:23 135:17:17:17 posted 2:23 135:17 135:17:17:17 135:17:17:17 135:17:17 135:17:17 135:17:17 135:17:17 135:17:17 135:17 146:19 135:17 146:16 146:19 135:17 146:16 146:19 135:17 146:16 146:19 135:17 146:16 146:19 135:17 146:17 146:19 147:37 posted 2:23 131:6 135:17 135:17 135:17 135:17 146:19 135:17 146:16 146:17 posted 2:23 131:6 135:17 135:17 135:17 135:17 146:19 135:17 146:16 146:18 146:19 135:17 146:19 135:17 146:16 146:19 135:17 146:16 146:19 145:17 146:17 147	participating	102:11 104:23	174: <i>16</i> 175:6	86:4 93:11, 23	96:5 108: <i>19</i>	182:12 203:14
12:17 32:2,9 pays 56:22 158:3 199:23 148:12 181:6 124:19 131:13, 138:12 170:17 175:14 pdf 65:4 perform 30:9 133:12, 15, 19 133:12, 15, 19 133:12, 15, 19 133:12, 15, 19 158:2 130:6 105:8 107:6 105:8 10	11:24 71:3	105: <i>17</i> 106:2,	177:24 180: <i>12</i>	103:17 106:12	109: <i>11</i> , <i>17</i>	possibly 141:6
12:17 32:2,9 pays 56:22 percentages 117:15 12:17 13:13:16 138:12 131:6 posted 2:23 13:61 posted 2:23 perform 30:9 perf	participation	7	181:2	115: <i>1</i> , <i>10</i>	110: <i>11</i> 129:22,	182: <i>11</i>
158:3 199:23 148:12 181:6 124:19 131:13, 138:12 170:17 73:3 68 31:7 175:10 188:1 175:10 188:1 189:12 170:17 180:13 180:13 190:16 190:16 190:16 190:16 190:16 190:16 190:16 190:16 190:16 190:16 190:16 190:16 190:16 190:16 190:16 190:16 190:18 190:16 190:18 190		pays 56:22	percentages	117:15 121:17	23 131:6	posted 2:23
Perfect Perfect Perfect Perfect Perfect Perform 30.9 Perfo	158:19 163:10			124: <i>19</i> 131: <i>13</i> ,	138: <i>12</i> 170: <i>17</i>	3:3 6:8 31:7
Barticular 74:21 104:10 perform 30:9 performance 133:12, 15, 19 189:12 105:8 107:6 24:10 45:23 Pending 67:17 146:19 178:7 153:23 154:7, plus 56:23 plus 56:23 plus 56:23 plus 56:23 plus 56:23 plus 56:24 plus	175: <i>14</i>	pdf 65:4	Perfect 202:13		175: <i>10</i> 188: <i>1</i>	potential
8:22 22:15 PECO 124:18 Pending 67:17 Pending 67:17 Pennsylvania 135:11 140:16 140:16 plus 56:23 PLUG 30:3 plus 56:23 148:20 163:1, 146:19 178:7 108:13 123:17 148:20 163:1, 150:16, 20 Pennsylvania 12:1 21:4, 5 st.7 pennsylvania 12:1 21:4, 5 st.7 pennsylvania 12:1 21:4, 5 st.7 pennsylvania 12:1 21:4, 5 st.7 pennsylvania 12:1 21:4, 5 st.7 pennsylvania 12:1 4 3:23:17 plus 56:23 148:20 163:1, 164:21 point 18:10 16:13 123:17 plus 56:23 121:21 point 18:10 165:3 16:14:3 15:3:15 58:7 50:8 potentially 16:2:3 73:18 potentially 16:2:3 73:18 potentially 16:2:3 73:18 potentially 16:2:3 73:18 potentially 16:2:3 73:18 potentially 16:2:3 73:18 potentially 16:2:3 73:18 potentially 16:2:3 73:18 potentially 16:2:3 73:18 potentially 16:2:3 73:18 potentially 16:2:3 73:18 potentially 16:2:3 73:18 potentially 16:2:3 73:18 potentially 16:2:3 73:18 potentially 16:2:3 73:18 potentially 16:2:3 73:18 potentially 16:2:3 73:18 potentially 16:2:3 73:18 potentially 16:2:3 73:18 potentially 16:2:4 16:17 18:18 potentially 16:2:4 16:17 18:18 potentially 16:2:4 16:17 18:18 potentially 16:2:4 13:18 potentially 16:2:4 13:18 potentially 16:2:3 73:18 potentially 16:2:3 73:18 potentially 16:2:4 13:4 potentially 16:3:3 18:18 potentially 16:2:3 73:18 potentially 16:2:4 13:4 potentially 16:2:4 13:4	particular	_				
24:10 45:23 Pending 67:17 746:19 178:7 153:23 154:7, plus 56:23 148:20 163:1, 10 164:2, 6 165:21 presion 12:14 137:2 presion 12:15 poper sonal 13:17 parts 65:19 44:1 49:20 point 16:14 pathways 16:21 153:2, 6 155:8, pathways 16:21 153:2, 6 155:8, pathways 16:21 153:2, 6 155:8, pathways 16:21 153:2, 6 155:8, pathways 16:21 153:2, 6 155:8, pathways 16:17 153:2, 6 155:8, pathway 16:17 153:2, 6 155:8, pathway 16:17 153:2, 6 155:8, pathway 16:17 153:2, 6 155:8, pathway 16:17 173:3, 12, 14 155:47 pathway 16:17 173:4, 15:47 175	-	PECO 124:18		· · ·		
Decomposition Pennsylvania Perror		_	l			
65:21 81:2 1:1 21:4,5 9:2, 17 93:10 20 172:21 point 18:10 165:3 87:8 89:17 37:22 98:18 122:14 137:2 174:3 19:20 32:15 36:15 potentially 162:4 163:14 124:3.4 125:16,20 158:21 138:21 149:40 148:18 51:16 164:2 165:3 potentially 126:4, 10 penp 60:9 158:21 34:5 36:18 7 54:6 66:21 poverty 15:18 17 54:6 66:21 poverty 15:18 17 54:6 66:21 poverty 15:18 75:4:6 66:21 poverty 15:18 75:2.6 56:21 poverty 15:18 16:18 75:4:6 66:21 poverty 15:17 15:15 17:1 20:14,23 6:17 85:3 16:24 18:10 19:18 90:17 95:2 poresonal Philadelphia's 15:26 15:57:7 88:3 131:20 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
87:8 89:17 37:22 98:18 122:14 137:2 174:3 199:22 32:15 36:15 potentially 162:4 163:14 125:16, 20 158:21 138:21 139:13 149:9 48:18 51:16 164:2 165:6 poverty 15:1, 162:4 163:13 pension 12:15 50:11 54:22 140:7 173:7, 101:13 133:16 36:19 68:21 10:14, 15 11:2 people 2:19 56:24 13 143:1, 9 145:7 88:4, 16 87:2 27:12 170:4 12:4 13:4 personal personally partners 13:17 15:15 17:1 20:14, 23 points 65:19 44:1 49:20 90:17 95:2 personally partners 13:17 15:15 17:1 109:5 points 161:24 106:6 125:22 personally partners 13:17 160:18 151:1 152:4 133:19 151:1 152:4 133:19 151:1 152:4 140:10 142:8, perspective partners 13:17 151:1 152:4 pathway 72:10 140:10 142:8, perspective partners 13:17 151:1 152:4 pathway 72:10 153:2, 6 155:8, part 4:13 15:6, 10, 15.24 17:1, 3 16 175:4 173:2, 21 173:7, 14 151:1 152:4 pathway 8:12 150:13, 19 164:23 phrase 122:10 pointy 4:8 199:18 106:12 178:20, 21 105:13, 19 phrase 122:10 phrase 122:10 preceding 83:8 precedidge 13:11 poor 52:8 precedidge 13:12 precedidge 13:14 poor 52:8 precedidge 13:14 prec	· ·					
162:4 163:14 124:3,4 125:16,20 158:21 139:13 139:13 130:14 133:16		-	T	l	_	
194:21				l		_
Particularly 126.4, 10 penny 60:9 pension 12:15 50:11 54:22 140:7 173:7, 101:13 133:16 36:16 88:4, 16 87:2 139:10:14, 15 11:2 people 2:19 56:24 13 personal Philadelphia's 152:6 158:17 88:3 131:20 132:0 parts 65:19 44:1 49:20 90:17 95:2 personal 161:24 part 66:19 44:1 49:20 90:17 95:2 personal philadelphia's 161:24 part 66:19 44:1 49:20 90:17 95:2 personal 161:24 part 66:19 166:6 125:22 personal 161:24 path 153:2, 6 155:8, Pew 34:8, 12 path 153:2, 6 155:8, Pew 34:8, 12 path 153:2, 6 155:8, Pew 34:8, 12 path 156:10, 104:15 156:18 186:10, 199:18 166:24 path 157:3, 5, 12, 14 44:1 57:17 178:20, 21 155:13, 19 place 14:20 pool 17:6 163:3 165:20 preceding 83:8 preceded 13:9, 10:14 17:14 15:59, 14, 22 people's 53:22 160:12 178:22 158:9, 10 54:2 157:10 174:12 21 155:13, 19 place 13:11 poor 52:8 preceding 13:14 13:16 preceding 13:14 14:16 17:17 path 16:17 path		· ·				
126:4, 10		· ·		l	· ·	
parties 3:13 pension 12:15 people 2:19 50:11 54:22 people 3:6:24 140:7 173:7, 13 133:16 10:13 133:16 133:16 36:19 68:21 85:4, 16 87:2 27:12 170:4 partners 13:17 parts 65:19 12:4 13:4 personal 15:15 17:1 20:14, 23 personally 15:15 17:1 6:17 85:3 personal 16:2:4 181:10 133:9 136:4, 5 88:3 131:20 80:14 160:18 party 39:14 party 39:14 party 39:14 pathway 72:10 pathways 161:24 pathways 12:10 pathways 12:10 pathways 12:10 pathways 12:10 pathways 12:10 pathways 12:10 pathways 12:10:13:2, 6 155:8, persons 133:2 persons 133:2 persons 133:2 persons 133:2 points 13:6 18:13 91:1 21 173:7, 14 paths 16:24 pathway 12:9 22 162:8 84:17 85:3 points 13:6 18:21 points 13:6 18:3 91:1 21 173:7, 14 paths 16:24 pathway 12:9 22 162:8 84:17 85:3 points 13:6 18:21 points 13:6 18:3 91:1 points 13:6 poi	•			l		
10:14, 15 11:2 people 2:19 56:24 personal Philadelphia's 15:26 158:17 88:4, 16 87:2 27:12 170:4 12:4 13:4 personal Philadelphia's 15:26 158:17 88:3 131:20 133:9 136:4, 5 5 5 16:24 181:10 133:9 136:4, 5 5 5 16:17 198:11 199:10 139:3 140:8 18 34:21 35:4 206:5, 17 141:3, 4, 5, 20, party 39:14 60:22 72:10 109:5 129:23 130:12 pointing 12:22 22 142:15, 17, paths 161:24 106:6 125:22 persons 133:2 phones 34:22 pointing 12:22 22 142:15, 17, pathways 12 150:13, 19 164:23 perspective 35:6 18:13 91:1 21 173:7, 14 140:10 142:8, pathways 12 150:13, 19 164:23 petition 207:21 162:2, 5 43:24 113:21 power 96:14 185:4 185:4 189:7 power 96:14 185:4	· ·			· ·		
27:12 170:4 12:4 13:4 personal Philadelphia's 15:2:6 158:17 88:3 131:20 partners 13:17 15:15 17:1 20:14, 23 90:17 95:2 phone 16:2:4 181:10 133:9 136:4, 5 parts 65:19 44:1 49:20 90:17 95:2 phone 16:12 198:11 199:10 133:9 136:4, 5 party 39:14 60:22 72:10 109:5 129:23 130:12 pointing 12:22 22 142:5, 7 141:3, 4, 5, 20, pathway 72:10 140:10 142:8, persons 133:2 phones 34:22 pointing 12:22 22 142:15, 17, 17 pathways 12:150:13, 19 164:23 perspective 35:6 18:13 91:1 21 73:7, 14 patient 89:10 153:2, 6 155:8, Pew 34:8, 12 186:21 156:18 186:10, 199:18 prover 96:14 14:13 <t< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	-	-				
partners 13:17 15:15 17:1 20:14, 23 6:17 85:3 162:24 181:10 133:9 136:4, 5 parts 65:19 44:1 49:20 90:17 95:2 phone 16:17, 198:11 199:10 139:3 140:8 139:3 140:8 206:5, 17 139:3 140:8 141:3, 4, 5, 20, 185:3 185:3 185:3 185:3 185:3 185:3 185:3 185:3 185:3 185:4 189:1 185:4 189:7 185:4 189:7 142:1 173:7, 14 185:4 189:7 185:4 189:7 185:4 189:7 185:4 189:7 185:4 189:7 190:6 14 <t< td=""><td>*</td><td></td><td></td><td>l</td><td>*</td><td></td></t<>	*			l	*	
parts 65:19 44:1 49:20 90:17 95:2 personally phone 16:17, 18 34:21 35:4 206:5, 17 141:3, 4, 5, 20, 20, 20:5, 17 party 39:14 60:22 72:10 109:5 129:23 130:12 pointing 12:22 21:415, 17, 20, 21:513, 19 pathway 72:10 142:8, perspective 16:12, perspective 15:1 152:4 perspective 15:1 152:4 perspective 16:27 phones 34:22 points 13:3 18, 21 155:13, 14 155:13, 14 155:13, 14 164:23 perspective 16:12, 5 43:24 113:21 power 96:14 pay 4:20 12:9 22 162:8 84:17 85:3 pilot 66:4 19 189:6 preceded 14:13 15:6, 10, 163:22 174:15, PGW 90:12 Pittsburgh 106:16 19:86 preceding 83:8 15:24 177:3, 5, 12, 14 97:13 100:16 pivot 97:13 108:13 19:21 preceding 83:8 preceding 83:8 <			_			
80:14 160:18 party 39:14 50:7 52:8 personally 109:5 18 34:21 35:4 206:5, 17 pointing 12:22 pointing 12:24 pointing 12:24 pointing 12:24 pointing 12:24 pointing 12:24 pointing 12:24 pointing 12:24 pointing 12:24 pointing 12:24 pointing 12:24 pointing 12:24 pointing 12:24 pointing 12:24 poin				l		
party 39:14 paths 60:22 72:10 106:6 125:22 persons 133:2 persons 133:2 persons 133:2 persons phones 34:22 points 13:6 18:13 91:1 points 12:15:13, 18:13 91:1 points 12:15:13, 19:13:6 18:31 91:1 points 21:15:13, 19:13:6 18:31 91:1 points 21:17:17:7, 14 12:17:17:7, 14 13:6 18:31 91:1 points 13:6 18:33 91:1 points 13:6 18:33 91:1 points 13:6 18:33 91:1 points 13:6 18:33 91:1 points 13:6 18:33 91:1 points 13:6 18:33 91:1 points 13:6 18:33 91:1 points 13:6 13:21 points 13:6 13:21 points 13:2	•					
paths 161:24 pathway 106:6 125:22 persons 133:2 perspective phones 34:22 st./s. points 13:6 lt./s./s. 18:13 91:1 lt./s./s. 18:13 91:1 lt./s./s. 21 173:7, 14 lt./s./s. 18:13 91:1 lt./s./s. 18:13 91:1 lt./s./s. 18:13 91:1 lt./s./s. 18:13 91:1 lt./s./s. 18:13 91:1 lt./s./s. 18:13 91:1 lt./s./s. 18:13 91:1 lt./s./s./s. 18:13 91:1 lt./s./s./s./s. 18:13 91:1 lt./s./s./s. 18:13 91:1 lt./s./s./s. 18:13 91:1 lt./s./s./s. 18:13 91:1 lt./s./s./s. 18:13 91:1 lt./s./s./s./s. 18:13 91:1 lt./s./s./s. 19:18 lt./s. 19:18 lt./s. 19:18 lt./s. 19:18 lt./s. 19:18 lt./s. 19:18 lt./s. 19:18 lt					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
pathway 72:10 140:10 142:8, 12 perspective 35:6 18:13 91:1 21 173:7, 14 pathways 12 150:13, 19 164:23 phrase 122:10 policy 42:8 185:4 189:7 patient 89:10 153:2, 6 155:8, petition 207:21 162:2, 5 43:24 113:21 power 96:14 pay 4:20 12:9 22 162:8 84:17 85:3 pilot 66:4 19 189:6 preceded 14:13 15:6, 10, 16 163:22 174:15, Pew 99:12 Pittsburgh 105:7 107:6 preceded 15; 24 17:1, 3 16 175:4 91:20 92:10 115:16 105:7 107:6 preceding 83:8 22:8 42:8 177:3, 5, 12, 14 97:13 100:16 pivot 97:13 108:13 119:21 preceding 83:8 22:8 40:8 185:3 199:6 106:13, 16 12:0 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>						
pathways 12 150:13, 19 164:23 phrase 122:10 policy 42:8 185:4 189:7 162:1 patient 89:10 153:2, 6 155:8, pay petition 207:21 162:2, 5 43:24 113:21 power 96:14 pay 4:20 12:9 22 162:8 84:17 85:3 pilot 66:4 19 189:6 preceded 14:13 15:6, 10, 163:22 174:15, PGW 90:12 Pittsburgh 105:7 107:6 104:18 22:8 42:8 177:3, 5, 12, 14 97:13 100:16 pivot 97:13 107:6 105:7 107:6 preceding 83:8 22:8 42:8 177:3, 5, 12, 14 97:13 100:16 pivot 97:13 107:6 105:13 preceding 83:8 8:8 60:8 185:3 199:6 106:13, 16 16:6 89:6 123:2, 11, 17 67:11 predict 121:10 158:9, 10 54			_			
162:1 patient 89:10 patient 89:10 patient 89:10 pay 4:20 12:9 22 162:8 84:17 85:3 pilot 66:4 pool 91:16 104:18 preceded 14:13 15:6, 10, 16 175:4 91:20 92:10 115:16 pivot 97:13 108:13 119:21 preceding 83:8 pre	•	,				
patient 89:10 pay 153:2, 6 155:8, pay Pew 34:8, 12 st.7 186:21 pilot 156:18 186:10, 19 189:18 preceded 14:13 15:6, 10, 15:6, 10, 15; 4 163:22 174:15, 16 175:4 pilot 166:4 pool 91:16 pool 104:18 preceded 22:8 42:8 d.28 177:3, 5, 12, 14 pool 97:13 pool 105:13, 19 pool 105:13, 19 pool 105:13, 19 pool 106:13, 16 pool 106:13, 16 pool 122:6, 10 pool conservation 58:8 60:8 185:3 199:6 106:13, 16 pool 123:2, 11, 17 pool 67:11 predict 121:10 poor 67:11 predict 121:10 poor 52:6, 10 pool 123:2, 11, 17 pool 67:11 predict 121:10 poor 52:8 pool 123:2, 11, 17 pool 67:11 predict 121:10 poor 52:8 pool 123:2, 11, 17 pool 67:11 predict 121:10 poor 52:8 pool 120:24 pool 120:24 pool 120:24 pool 120:24 pool 120:24 pool 120:24 pool 120:24 pool 120:24 pool 120:24 pool 120:24 pool 120:24 pool 120:24 pool 120:24 pool 120:24 pool 120:24 pool						
pay 4:20 12:9 22 163:22 174:15, PGW 90:12 Pittsburgh 19 189:6 preceded 14:13 15:6, 10, 163:22 174:15, 91:20 92:10 115:16 105:7 107:6 preceding 83:8 22:8 42:8 177:3, 5, 12, 14 97:13 100:16 pivot 97:13 108:13 119:21 preceding 83:8 44:1 57:17 178:20, 21 105:13, 19 place 14:20 122:6, 10 conservation 58:8 60:8 185:3 199:6 106:13, 16 16:6 89:6 123:2, 11, 17 67:11 155:9, 14, 22 people's 53:22 160:12 178:22 128:7 163:3 165:10 predict 121:10 158:9, 10 54:2 145:4 179:6, 11, 21, placed 13:11 poor 52:8 predominantly 171:22 185:6 157:10 174:12 23 168:24 24:15 prejudice 1						
14:13 15:6, 10, 163:22 174:15, PGW 90:12 Pittsburgh pool 91:16 104:18 15, 24 17:1, 3 16 175:4 91:20 92:10 115:16 105:7 107:6 preceding 83:8 22:8 42:8 177:3, 5, 12, 14 97:13 100:16 pivot 97:13 108:13 119:21 preceding 83:8 44:1 57:17 178:20, 21 105:13, 19 place 14:20 122:6, 10 conservation 58:8 60:8 185:3 199:6 106:13, 16 16:6 89:6 123:2, 11, 17 67:11 155:9, 14, 22 people's 53:22 160:12 178:22 128:7 163:3 165:10 predict 121:10 158:9, 10 54:2 145:4 179:6, 11, 21, placed 13:11 poor 52:8 predominantly 171:22 185:6 157:10 174:12 23 14:16 117:1 85:3 120:24 pool:1, 17, 23, 34:10, 12 38:7 89:3 168:24 pool:16 133:14, <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>l</td> <td></td> <td></td>	•			l		
15, 24 17:1, 3 16 175:4 91:20 92:10 115:16 105:7 107:6 preceding 83:8 22:8 42:8 177:3, 5, 12, 14 97:13 100:16 pivot 97:13 108:13 119:21 preceding 83:8 44:1 57:17 178:20, 21 105:13, 19 place 14:20 122:6, 10 conservation 58:8 60:8 185:3 199:6 106:13, 16 16:6 89:6 123:2, 11, 17 67:11 155:9, 14, 22 people's 53:22 160:12 178:22 128:7 poor 52:8 predict 121:10 158:9, 10 54:2 145:4 179:6, 11, 21, placed 13:11 poor 52:8 predominantly 171:22 185:6 157:10 174:12 23 14:16 117:1 85:3 120:24 percent 6:3 PGW's 37:22 places 122:5 Popowsky prefer 20:7 200:1, 17, 23, 34:10, 12 38:7 89:3 168:24 24:15 population 8 1	- •			_		•
22:8 42:8 177:3, 5, 12, 14 97:13 100:16 pivot 97:13 108:13 119:21 pre- 44:1 57:17 178:20, 21 105:13, 19 place 14:20 122:6, 10 conservation 58:8 60:8 185:3 199:6 106:13, 16 16:6 89:6 123:2, 11, 17 67:11 155:9, 14, 22 people's 53:22 160:12 178:22 128:7 placed 13:11 predict 121:10 158:9, 10 54:2 145:4 179:6, 11, 21, placed 13:11 poor 52:8 predominantly 171:22 185:6 157:10 174:12 23 14:16 117:1 85:3 120:24 186:12, 15 percent 6:3 PGW's 37:22 places 122:5 Popowsky prefer 20:7 200:1, 17, 23, 34:10, 12 38:7 89:3 168:24 24:15 population 8 135:2 payer 197:4 20 73:2, 13, 21 106:17 146:23 19:21 95:16 133:14, prejudiced 199:5 74:7 85:15 148:13, 24 plain 31:16 18 135:10 40:16 43:14 payers 14:10, 17, 21 18:6, 9 131:21 132:6 24 19:8 97:18, 23 8 prejudicial 57:1, 2, 9, 11 140:7 141:5, phila.g		*		O		
44:1 57:17 178:20, 21 105:13, 19 place 14:20 122:6, 10 conservation 58:8 60:8 185:3 199:6 106:13, 16 16:6 89:6 123:2, 11, 17 67:11 155:9, 14, 22 people's 53:22 160:12 178:22 128:7 163:3 165:10 predict 121:10 158:9, 10 54:2 145:4 179:6, 11, 21, placed 13:11 poor 52:8 predominantly 171:22 185:6 157:10 174:12 23 PGW's 37:22 places 122:5 Popowsky prefer 20:7 200:1, 17, 23, 34:10, 12 38:7 89:3 168:24 24:15 prejudice 41:4, 24 201:1, 18 36:19 72:17, 90:6 94:19 placing 17:21 population 8 135:2 payer 197:4 20 73:2, 13, 21 106:17 146:23 19:21 95:16 133:14, prejudiced 199:5 74:7 85:15 148:13, 24 plain 31:16 18 135:10 40:16 43:14 payers 14:10, 86:13, 24 149:8 178:10, plan 16:1 140:8 151:1, 3, 46:10 52:6 17, 21 18:6, 9 131:21 132:6 24 19:8 97:18, 23 8 prejudicial 57:1, 2, 9, 11 140:7 141:				l		-
58:8 60:8 185:3 199:6 106:13, 16 16:6 89:6 123:2, 11, 17 67:11 155:9, 14, 22 people's 53:22 160:12 178:22 128:7 163:3 165:10 predict 121:10 158:9, 10 54:2 145:4 179:6, 11, 21, placed 13:11 poor 52:8 predominantly 171:22 185:6 157:10 174:12 23 14:16 117:1 85:3 120:24 186:12, 15 percent 6:3 PGW's 37:22 places 122:5 Popowsky prefer 20:7 200:1, 17, 23, 24 34:10, 12 38:7 89:3 168:24 24:15 prejudice 41:4, 24 201:1, 18 36:19 72:17, 90:6 94:19 placing 17:21 population 8 135:2 payer 197:4 20 73:2, 13, 21 106:17 146:23 19:21 95:16 133:14, prejudiced 199:5 74:7 85:15 148:13, 24 plain 31:16 18 135:10 40:16 43:14 payers 14:10, 17, 21 18:6, 9 131:21 132:6 24 19:8 97:18, 23 8 prejudical 57:1, 2, 9, 11 140:7 141:5, phila.gov/water 98:1, 7 99:5, portion 137:17 52:7 182:16				_		•
155:9, 14, 22 people's 53:22 160:12 178:22 128:7 placed 13:11 poor 52:8 predict 121:10 158:9, 10 171:22 185:6 157:10 174:12 23 14:16 117:1 85:3 120:24 186:12, 15 percent 6:3 PGW's 37:22 places 122:5 Popowsky prefer 20:7 200:1, 17, 23, 24 34:10, 12 38:7 89:3 168:24 places 122:5 population 8 135:2 payer 197:4 20 73:2, 13, 21 106:17 146:23 19:21 psin 31:16 95:16 133:14, prejudiced 199:5 74:7 85:15 148:13, 24 plain 31:16 18 135:10 40:16 43:14 payers 14:10, 17, 21 86:13, 24 149:8 178:10, plan 16:1 140:8 151:1, 3, 46:10 52:6 17, 21 18:6, 9 131:21 132:6 24 19:8 97:18, 23 8 prejudical 57:1, 2, 9, 11 140:7 141:5, phila.gov/water 98:1, 7 99:5, portion 137:17 52:7 182:16			T	_	*	
158:9, 10 54:2 145:4 179:6, 11, 21, placed 13:11 poor 52:8 predominantly 171:22 185:6 157:10 174:12 23 14:16 117:1 85:3 120:24 186:12, 15 percent 6:3 PGW's 37:22 places 122:5 Popowsky prefer 20:7 200:1, 17, 23, 24 34:10, 12 38:7 89:3 168:24 placing 17:21 population 8 135:2 payer 197:4 20 73:2, 13, 21 106:17 146:23 19:21 95:16 133:14, prejudiced 199:5 74:7 85:15 148:13, 24 plain 31:16 18 135:10 40:16 43:14 payers 14:10, 17, 21 18:6, 9 131:21 132:6 24 19:8 97:18, 23 8 prejudical 57:1, 2, 9, 11 140:7 141:5, phila.gov/water 98:1, 7 99:5, portion 137:17 52:7 182:16			· ·			
171:22 185:6 157:10 174:12 23 14:16 117:1 85:3 120:24 186:12, 15 percent 6:3 PGW's 37:22 places 122:5 Popowsky prefer 20:7 200:1, 17, 23, 24 24:10, 12 38:7 89:3 168:24 24:15 prejudice 41:4, 24 201:1, 18 payer 197:4 36:19 72:17, 20 73:2, 13, 21 90:6 94:19 placing 17:21 population 95:16 133:14, prejudiced 8 135:2 199:5 74:7 85:15 148:13, 24 plain 31:16 plain 31:16 18 135:10 plain 16:1 40:16 43:14 plain 16:1 17, 21 18:6, 9 57:1, 2, 9, 11 140:7 141:5, phila.gov/water 98:1, 7 99:5, portion 137:17 52:7 182:16				l		_
186:12, 15 percent 6:3 PGW's 37:22 places 122:5 Popowsky prefer 20:7 200:1, 17, 23, 24 34:10, 12 38:7 89:3 168:24 24:15 prejudice 41:4, 24 201:1, 18 payer 197:4 20 73:2, 13, 21 106:17 146:23 19:21 95:16 133:14, prejudiced 199:5 74:7 85:15 148:13, 24 plain 31:16 18 135:10 40:16 43:14 payers 14:10, 17, 21 18:6, 9 131:21 132:6 24 19:8 97:18, 23 8 prejudical 57:1, 2, 9, 11 140:7 141:5, phila.gov/water 98:1, 7 99:5, portion 137:17 52:7 182:16	*				_	_
200:1, 17, 23, 34:10, 12 38:7 89:3 168:24 24:15 prejudice 41:4, 24 201:1, 18 36:19 72:17, 90:6 94:19 placing 17:21 population 8 135:2 payer 197:4 20 73:2, 13, 21 106:17 146:23 19:21 95:16 133:14, prejudiced 199:5 74:7 85:15 148:13, 24 plain 31:16 18 135:10 40:16 43:14 payers 14:10, 86:13, 24 149:8 178:10, plan 16:1 140:8 151:1, 3, 46:10 52:6 17, 21 18:6, 9 131:21 132:6 24 19:8 97:18, 23 8 prejudical 57:1, 2, 9, 11 140:7 141:5, phila.gov/water 98:1, 7 99:5, portion 137:17 52:7 182:16						
24 201:1, 18 36:19 72:17, 90:6 94:19 placing 17:21 population 8 135:2 payer 197:4 20 73:2, 13, 21 106:17 146:23 19:21 95:16 133:14, prejudiced 199:5 74:7 85:15 148:13, 24 plain 31:16 18 135:10 40:16 43:14 payers 14:10, 86:13, 24 149:8 178:10, plan 16:1 140:8 151:1, 3, 46:10 52:6 17, 21 18:6, 9 131:21 132:6 24 19:8 97:18, 23 8 prejudical 57:1, 2, 9, 11 140:7 141:5, phila.gov/water 98:1, 7 99:5, portion 137:17 52:7 182:16		_		_		
payer 197:4 20 73:2, 13, 21 106:17 146:23 19:21 95:16 133:14, prejudiced 199:5 74:7 85:15 148:13, 24 plain 31:16 18 135:10 40:16 43:14 payers 14:10, 86:13, 24 149:8 178:10, plan 16:1 140:8 151:1, 3, 46:10 52:6 17, 21 18:6, 9 131:21 132:6 24 19:8 97:18, 23 8 prejudicial 57:1, 2, 9, 11 140:7 141:5, phila.gov/water 98:1, 7 99:5, portion 137:17 52:7 182:16		*		l		
199:5 74:7 85:15 148:13, 24 plain 31:16 18 135:10 40:16 43:14 payers 14:10, 17, 21 18:6, 9 131:21 132:6 24 plain 16:1 140:8 151:1, 3, 46:10 52:6 46:10 52:6 57:1, 2, 9, 11 140:7 141:5, 140:5 phila.gov/water 98:1, 7 99:5, 140:10 portion 137:17 52:7 182:16						
payers 14:10, 86:13, 24 149:8 178:10, plan 16:1 140:8 151:1, 3, 46:10 52:6 17, 21 18:6, 9 131:21 132:6 24 19:8 97:18, 23 8 prejudicial 57:1, 2, 9, 11 140:7 141:5, phila.gov/water 98:1, 7 99:5, portion 137:17 52:7 182:16				l	· ·	
17, 21 18:6, 9 131:21 132:6 24 19:8 97:18, 23 8 prejudicial 57:1, 2, 9, 11 140:7 141:5, phila.gov/water 98:1, 7 99:5, portion 137:17 52:7 182:16			· ·			
57:1, 2, 9, 11 140:7 141:5, phila.gov/water 98:1, 7 99:5, portion 137:17 52:7 182:16				_		
				l '	_	
59:7, 10 21 146:15 billhelp 6:18 205:7, 23				98:1, 7 99:5,	portion 137: <i>17</i>	
	59:/, 10	21 146:15	billhelp 6:18	l 	l 	205:7, 23

preliminary	158:5 167:13	175:16, 18	127:19 129:7	16, 24 18:4, 5,	quantify
28:21 29:10 163:12	184: <i>4</i> 185: <i>10</i> 190: <i>13</i> , <i>15</i>	177:4, 12	184:23	12, 15, 21, 23	189:2 <i>1</i>
	190:13, 13 192:23 196:21	178: <i>1</i> , <i>10</i> , <i>24</i> 179: <i>7</i> , <i>8</i> 185: <i>4</i> ,	proposals 14:18	19:3, 4, 12, 17	quantity 42:3 72:17
premature 160:20	192:23 190:21	11, 14, 18	propose 29:3	20:2, 19, 20 22:19 23:6	question 24:5
premise	202:3, 22	186:12, 15	proposed 4:12,	25:12 27:3	36:21 39:20,
194: <i>18</i> 197: <i>10</i>	202.3, 22	189:5 191: <i>13</i>	17 6:8 21:21	28:10 37:22	22 41:15
preparation	proceedings	192:1, 24	31:15 77:2	42:7 43:24	45:24 46:5
97:2 <i>4</i>	7:18 12:21	193:7 194:22	129:10 171:20	62:23 108:22	48:3 54:24
prepared	13:24 156:4	195:3, 8, 15	proposes 5:9,	129:6 147:3	55:1, 9, 13, 15
48:23 65:9	191:22 192:12,	196: <i>1</i> 197:2,	15	156:18 160:11	57:4 60:7
152:17 167:22	17 209:1	<i>14</i> 198:4, <i>13</i> ,	proposing	162:11 165:20	64:10 65:19
preparing	process 15:12	14 203:20	15:19, 23	170:4 171: <i>11</i>	72:8 76:13
97:15	66:17 121:16	programmatic	proposition	186: <i>10</i> , <i>18</i>	77:14 79:21
present 32:20	195:23	188: <i>19</i> 191:6	88:15	189:6 209: <i>1</i>	81:7, 15 87:4,
48:24 50:24	produce 2:19	programs	propriety	publicity 69:9	10 122:2
52:12 61:23	professionalism	6:14, 16 21:6,	24:12	publicly 18:5	126: <i>16</i> , 22
presentation	24:13	10 22:20	protect 13:2	90:19	135:4 137:2
201: <i>13</i>	proffer 32:23	67:24 70:15	proud 120:20	publishes 98:6	138:22 139: <i>13</i>
presenting	proffered 31:5	71:11 118:23	prove 39:10	pull 45:12	142:1, 4, 6
52:13	Program 4:19	119:7 124:2,	46:12, 15	168:9	143:2 <i>1</i> 145: <i>14</i>
pretty 79:21	5:3 7:24 8:1,	11, 12 125:15	51:16, 17	pulling 115:17	149:15 151:16
prevent 195:18	3, 4, 13, 14, 16	146:6 178:24	157:9 206:19	purpose 6:21	154:13, 20
previous 173:4	9:2, 7, 12 10:6	185:2 <i>1</i> 187:2	proved 18:15	41:3, 8 54:20,	156:1, 3
previously	11:19 13:13	program's	provide 45:13	21 81:5	159:15, 23
124: <i>19</i> 125: <i>10</i> , 20	15:1, 6, 11 16:4 21:20	152:15	48:4 49:2 50:17 78:8	112:2 <i>1</i>	160:2 175:8
price 16:12,	22:1, 3, 6, 8	project 8: <i>3</i> 67:22 107:2 <i>1</i>	80:22 82:14	purposely 18:21	176:8 179: <i>14</i> , 22 182: <i>11</i>
19, 20	36:10 37:4	123:15 138:20	125:21, 24	purposes	186:2 <i>3</i> 192:16,
primary	38:8, 24 42:1,	158:19 171:20,	129:3 132:10	20:21 156:13	23 196:4
100:24 101:18	9, 11 43:22	21	166:10 174:5	187:23 190: <i>13</i> ,	201:3 202:21
principled	47:13 58:15	projected 2:9	202:22	14 201:14	207:10
16:22 17:12	59:18 60:4	5:11 8:24	provided 22:3	pursuant	questioning
prior 113:5	64:14 66:5, 20	119:16 120:12	41:24 45:17	20:18 185:7	82:7 99: <i>14</i>
157:4	69:3, 4, 17	122:12 190:16,	67:11 72:19	197: <i>13</i>	140: <i>14</i> 182:22
probably 11:4	70:20 71:4, 5	20 193:9	81:4 92:3	purview	questions 24:8
30:16 64:7	73:23 87:24	projecting	103: <i>16</i> 184: <i>3</i>	149:12, 18	36: <i>13</i> 37: <i>7</i> , <i>19</i>
82:6 94:16	90:7 91:4	139:10 163:7	197: <i>15</i>	put 53:4, 22	38:21 39:8, 10,
probative	93:7, 22 98:8,	166:2 196:2 <i>4</i>	provides	166: <i>10</i> 191: <i>13</i>	11, 18, 24
51:2 <i>1</i>	<i>19</i> , <i>24</i> 102:7,	197:3	21:13 31:10	putting 43:18	40:18, 23 41:1,
probe 54:15,	<i>16</i> , <i>21</i> 105:9	Projection	82:3 83:20	51:9 69:9	13, 15 43:10
16, 17, 18 87:7	107:2 111:23	63: <i>16</i> 120: <i>3</i>	123:11 163:21	PWD 5:2, 7, 9	49:10 50:13
probing 53:1	113:7 115:18	127:24 131:23	179: <i>1</i>	31:14, 19	53:7, 10 54:10,
problem 11:10	116:20 121:13	133:14 136:24	providing 5:3	106: <i>13</i>	12, 15 60:13,
64:5 117:21	124:13, 20, 24	139:17 172:13	42:14 105:11	PWD's 107:12	15, 18, 19
171:4 199:4 proceed 29:7	125:5, 11, 13 127:23 137:6,	projections 9:8 63:18	provision 22: <i>11</i> 82: <i>24</i>	pyramid 201: <i>16</i>	65: <i>10</i> , <i>20</i> 113: <i>24</i> 120: <i>24</i>
30:22 167: <i>11</i>	8 139:5, 12, 18	64:12 70:7	provisions	201.10	123:24 120:24
189:12	142:9 145:22,	107:12 187:11	83:8 99:5, <i>17</i>	< Q >	143:14, 19
proceeding	24 146:5, 7, 23	projects 9:16	101:2 102:4	Q&A 107:1	144:4, 16
2:14 3:24	148:13, 14, 20,	123:7	PUBLIC 1:1	qualification	145:11 161:19,
4:10 7:22	24 149:3	pronounce	2:2, 4 3:24	69:23	24 162:6
8:23 20:21	151:24 152:5	57:24	7:10, 17 10:5	qualified	168:18 175:9
44:6 47:3	153: <i>1</i> , <i>3</i> , <i>6</i> , <i>15</i>	proof 205:24	11:8 12:4, 5,	83:10 84:9	182: <i>17</i> 186: <i>1</i>
49:16 50:16	155:4 156: <i>16</i>	property 78:9	<i>17, 19, 21</i> 13: <i>1</i> ,	qualify 109:22	187:2 <i>1</i> 198: <i>18</i>
58:13 59:17,	157: <i>1</i> , <i>6</i> 158:2,	83:17, 23	3, 8, 9, 11, 13,	174:8	199:20 202: <i>1</i>
20 86:21	<i>23</i> 163:2 <i>1</i>	86: <i>14</i>	21, 23 14:6, 19	quantifies	204:11 205:13,
112:18 136:20	164: <i>10</i> 165: <i>3</i> ,	proposal	15:2, <i>11</i> , <i>21</i>	104:23	18 207:6
139:20 157:4	7 174:9, 18	108:6, 12	16:6, 22 17: <i>13</i> ,	<u> </u>	208:12, 17

quick 84:22 123:24	36:7 39: <i>1</i> 50:6 158: <i>11</i>	116:6, 8 117:6, 15 128:1	60:24 62:23 74:17 97:5, 7,	reflected 29: <i>15</i> 45:2	remains 17:24 117:4
quickly 63:12	201: <i>15</i>	158:2 <i>1</i> 164:8,	9 120:19	123:22	remarks
74:15	rationale 185:3	24	127:13, 15, 17	reflecting	128:16 167:23
quite 9:13	raw 148: <i>13</i>	received 22:2	135:1, 20	95: <i>16</i>	remembrance
quote 107:3	RC 31:17	71:18 90:12	136:20 139:20	reflects 77:1	132:4
quote/unquote	reach 6:12	91:2 <i>1</i> , 24	142:10 157:9	refusal 25:1	reminded
197: <i>1</i>	18: <i>18</i> 86: <i>17</i>	92:10 95:3, 18,	160: <i>10</i> , <i>16</i>	198: <i>4</i> , <i>5</i>	107: <i>11</i>
quoting 26:15	166: <i>11</i>	24 96:3	161:7, 8	refuses 17:16	rendered
. n .	read 29:2	103:18 105:20	170:16 176:18,	18:4	152:9, 12
< R > Raftelis 28: <i>3</i>	78:23 80:21 83:11 84:5	106: <i>16</i> , <i>17</i> 117: <i>18</i> , 22	20 181:5, 13 199:7 202:15,	refusing 18: <i>16</i> 199: <i>7</i>	rental 83:16, 24 85:16 86:4
29:7 31: <i>18</i>	85:6 109: <i>15</i>	117.76, 22	17 205:24	reg 101:23	88:3, 16
36:8 37:2, <i>15</i>	110:21 139:6	152: <i>16</i>	recorded 2:22	regard 69:17	repeat 81:14
38:12 62:3	170:17, 19	receives 100:15	135:21	regarding 6:6	171:6 172:7
raise 14:10	reading 16:8	receiving	recording 2:23	20:24 45:11	repeatedly
23:7 24:6	45:8 49:12, 13	164:5, 20	recordings 3:2	77:4 82:16	48:18 51:24
raised 20:16	real 9:4 17:10	recipient 69:5	recover 5:2	171: <i>16</i>	report 11:4, 5
23:24	reality 22:22	70:8 76:15, 16	190:24	regardless	71:22 94:12
raises 123:23	197:24	100:23	recovered 5:4	24: <i>10</i> 74: <i>5</i> 107: <i>4</i>	104:13 137:9
ran 102:16, 20 range 32:6	realize 12:22 18:10	recipients 66:6, <i>16</i> 67:6,	21: <i>11</i> 22: <i>16</i> 48: <i>12</i> 190: <i>21</i> ,	regs 80:2 81:3	141: <i>15</i> reported
ranges 34:13	really 11:15	23 68:24	23 197:12	regulated 21:7	92: <i>12</i> 93: <i>4</i>
rapid 66:5, 7,	20:15 21:2	70:14 71:1, 10	recovering	regulation	114: <i>17</i> 152: <i>14</i>
18	32:16 72:10	72:18 97:12	57:22 197: <i>14</i>	77:4, 18 78:7,	reporter 2:18
rapidly 73:22	84:8 95: <i>17</i>	101:2 <i>1</i> 102:5	recovery 5:12	<i>18</i> 79:4, 7	19: <i>16</i> 60: <i>20</i>
74: <i>1</i>	120:20 121: <i>16</i>	106: <i>3</i> 116: <i>13</i>	21:20 41:23	regulations	139:6 170: <i>16</i>
RATE 1:1	125:21 145:10	120:15 121:12	42:1	77:16, 23	209:1
2:10, 12, 14, 23	162:7 171: <i>13</i>	124:2 <i>1</i> 162: <i>1</i>	recross 81:11	78:13, 20 81:9	reporters
3:7 4:13, 16 5:1, 4, 10, 17,	realm 133: <i>1</i> reason 87: <i>4</i>	164:20, 23 reciprocal	166: <i>16</i> , <i>24</i> recusals 24:9	82:9 84:3, 10 rejected 20:17	19: <i>15</i> reporting 72: <i>4</i> ,
19 6:9 11:5,	100:20 118:11	64: <i>13</i> 166: <i>4</i>	redirect 61:7,	related 41:23	7
17 13:14	172:17 173:23	recking 157:5	17 81:12	127:22 196:20	reports 31:11
14:10, 17, 21	183: <i>19</i> 184: <i>6</i> ,	reco 58:19	127:3, 5	relates 128:3	93:21 95:6
17: <i>15</i> 18: <i>6</i> , <i>9</i>	<i>13</i> 199:8	recognize	161: <i>17</i> , <i>19</i>	192:22	represent
19:9 21:20	reasonable	19:20	202:5	relation 123:5	162:24
22:11 31:12	34:15 84:5	recognized	redirected	relative 45:15	representative
32:3, 7 36:7	87:11, 18	44:16	127:8 reduce 152:2	48:10, 16	7:9
44: <i>17</i> , <i>19</i> 45: <i>13</i> 47: <i>24</i>	155: <i>17</i> reasonableness	recommendatio n 174:24	refer 95:14	relatively 175: <i>3</i>	representatives 3:13
48:11, 16 57:1,	32: <i>4</i>	recon 58:15	137:17, 24	relativity 57:15	representing
2, 9, 10, 15	reasonably	reconciled	192:17	release 109:18	30:2 170:4
58:9, 11, 16	67:10	188: <i>11</i>	reference 36:9	110:22	represents
59:7, 10 86:20	reasons 5:8	Reconciliation	63:22 103:23	released 110:6	85:21
89:21 155:19	73:4 155: <i>13</i>	2:5, 8 3:8	143:10	relevancy	reproduction
157:3 170:2	Rebuttal	5:14 7:23	referenced	25: <i>16</i> 151:22	209:1
171: <i>17</i> 174: <i>3</i> 175: <i>3</i> , <i>5</i> , <i>14</i>	31: <i>17</i> , <i>19</i> 72: <i>15</i> 91: <i>5</i> , 8	8:22 11: <i>16</i> 27:9 59:20	141: <i>16</i> referencing	152:7 Relevant	request 14:2 requested 5:22
178:20, 22	97: <i>15</i> 103: <i>5</i> ,	157:5 188: <i>14</i>	44:22 48:7	25: <i>13</i> , <i>17</i> 36: <i>1</i>	require 79:8,
184:3 188:7,	10 105:4	189:10 198:12	132:13	39:13, 20, 24	14 143:15
<i>18</i> 191:8	106:23 108:5	record 2:20	referring	47:10 50:19	required
193: <i>17</i> 197: <i>4</i> ,	122:4	13:11, 23 14:7	80:13 98:2	52:12 55:9	81:19, 22
24 199:5	recast 200:10	15:22 16:6	137:21 138:14	59:11 60:5	169:7, 8, 24
rates 2:15	receipt 68:16	19:18 26:4	146:9 181:11	75:1 76:4	requirement
3:11 5:8, 13, 15 17 6:3 7	107: <i>13</i> , <i>14</i> , <i>23</i> 125: <i>12</i>	27:10, 13, 14 28:18 29:20	183: <i>16</i> 184:2 reflect 94: <i>12</i>	77: <i>16</i> 144: <i>16</i> 171: <i>13</i> 207: <i>6</i> ,	80:21 requirements
15, 17 6:3, 7 8:5, 11 11:18	receive 69:11	31:20, 22	122:6, 9	171:13 207:0,	68: <i>1</i> 75: <i>19</i>
13:20 14:10	94:20 100:8	36: <i>17</i> 43: <i>6</i>	122.0, /	rely 161:1	78: <i>14</i> 81: <i>3</i>
22:2, 7 31:15	101:21 102:6	51:9 53:1		relying 133:4	

requires 78:8	retail 17:19	159:19 168:5	183:22 192:12	secondary	September
82:1 92:23	42:5	182:21, 24	203:19	101:1, 18	5:16 6:4
requiring 99:6	retained 2:12	184:11 186:17	says 34:12	seconds 135:13	93:24 103:19
research	188:3, 6	187:16 195:6	62:15 72:7	section 37:19	Seriously
136:22, 23	198:15	196:8 198:9	75:4 76:1	78:23 80:7, 17	134:17
173:16 174:11	returned 191:8	205:24 207:2	83:9 104:12,	83:8 100:11	serve 7:17
reserve 30:8	Revenue	right-hand	18 109:10	101:10	20:18 114:24
60:14	10:18 46:1	93:15, 17	112:11, 13	sections 75:1	116:21 171:9
residency 68:1,	47:12, 15	road 165:6	122:4, 7	77:21 101:3	served 106:12
17	82:15 188:15	roadmap	201:16	see 3:9 6:1,	serves 124:19
residential	189:14, 19	125:22 126: <i>1</i>	scale 200:23	12 10:24	170:8
5:23 33:13, 24	190:1, 10	Rob 65:11	201:16	25:16 29:4	service 2:16
83:16 128:9,	193:5 194:5	72:5 74:13	schedule	36:1 46:9	8:20 10:3
20 129:1, 17	revenues 2:11	84:15 89:10	10:23 63:14,	63:9 68:2	21:12 22:12
131: <i>13</i> 132: <i>19</i> ,	50:20 190:8,	92:20 96:6	23 88:20 89:7,	70:24 78:22	37:23 42:4
20 145:2 <i>1</i>	16, 19 191:1, 2	97:10 167:15	18 94:21	79:3 81:2 <i>1</i>	44:15 45:9
146:22 149:22	review 64:8	170:20	138:22	82:19 83:1	55:23 69:13
171:17, 22	78:2 80:10	Robert 7:14	scheduled 2:4	98:12 99:3	75:12 77:3, 18
172:22 183: <i>13</i>	97:23 173:5	28:9	27:8	100:3, 8, 11, 18	82:2, 24 83:15,
residing 69:19	178:3, 13	robust 102:20	schedules 31:8,	101:6, 10, 17	20, 23 92:16
resolve 11:12	reviewed	Roger 86:19	10	102:2 103:20	107:19 147:4
resolved 8:18	37:21 78:8	role 199:17	scope 38:21	104:20 105: <i>1</i>	160:13
respect 11:8	79:14 81:18	room 11:13	39:5 45:16	107:8, 16	Services 7:16
27:8 91: <i>15</i>	82:1 97:16, 20	37:16 62:5	47:2 52:19	109:10, 12	20:15 28:10,
186: <i>1</i>	102:4 148:24	roughly 6:2	55:16, 19, 24	110: <i>11</i> 111: <i>17</i> ,	12 97:17 98:6
respond 20:4,	168:22 172:12	90:12 95:18	56:3, 11, 16, 21	24 112:6, 8, 10,	session 6:21
6, 22, 24 82:4	184:2	119:22	127:8 134:10,	<i>12</i> 115:6	set 2:15 3:11
responded	reviewing	RPR 209:1	17 135:15	127:7 149: <i>1</i>	203:23 204:5
23:24 76:18	80:12	rule 40:1	141:13 142:23	166:19 172:12,	sets 55:6, 8
77:5, 7, 13	Reviews 78:4	rules 126:13	155:11 156:3	16 178:16	206:18
172: <i>1</i>	revised 111:12	ruling 26:11,	158:5, 7, 13	183:19 184:6	settle 11:3
response 10:8	revolving 37:8	14 55:17	159:5 173:10,	196: <i>19</i> 199: <i>3</i>	settlement
26:21 49:3	RFC 31:9	192:20 207:2,	16 174:5, 11	seeing 80:3	11:2 44:17
65:8, 9, 21, 22	RFC-1 63:14,	3	178:3 185:9	111:12	45:13 207:21
66:3 67:21	24 89:8	rulings 52:6	186:24 187:2	seek 109:21	SEWER 1:1
70:23, 24	138:22	running 197:2	188:24 189:3	111:2	2:16 4:16
71:15 76:5, 24	RFC-4 88:21	runs 98:18	194:2 196:20	seeking 128:7	5:19 22:12
77:9 86:7	89:15, 19, 24	G.	200:11 203:4,	seen 34:8, 13	42:3 129: <i>12</i>
115:7 118: <i>13</i>	94:21 95:10	<s></s>	9, 15 206:3	109:4 110:14	Shame 41:9,
161: <i>13</i>	146:10	safe 97:22	Scott 7:19	selling 16:11	10 134:20
responses	rider 2:10	188:16	28:11	sells 16:16, 18,	share 4:12
65:18	4:13 5:1, 5, 10	sake 41:6	scroll 89:12	20 189:23	6:23 85:21
responsibility	6:6	salaries 201: <i>1</i>	99:20 100:7	senior 6:13	103:2 182: <i>1</i> 188:24
77:14 99:18	ridiculous	sale 16: <i>16</i> 189: <i>24</i>	108:18 109:8	71:4	
responsible 99: <i>24</i> 100: <i>6</i> ,	156:2 right 26:12, 23	sales 14:22, 23	110:10, 17	sense 140:5	shared 98:15
,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	scrolling	sent 93:19, 20,	112:3 165:18, 20
10 127:19 rest 58:9, 11	30:8 36:6	15:17, 24 19:7, 21	84:20 89:21	22 104:19 105:18	
*	39:17 43:3		114:9		shed 65:24 146:18 178:6
60:14 144:6	49:5 53:14, 15,	save 18:6	season 111:16	sentence 82: <i>12</i> 85: <i>13</i> 86: <i>22</i> ,	
restate 57:3 92:11 142:5	17, 19 57:16 58:5 59:8, 21	37:20 saw 184:13, 21	112:22 113:2, 5, 17	23	short 9:2, 17 shortly 37:17
175: <i>10</i>	70:9 71:24	saw 164.13, 21 saying 32:6	seasonal 98:21		shout 52:3
result 94:6	82:18 89:6, 11	37:10 59:9	113:7	separate 91:2 177:7	show 86:13
166: <i>3</i> 197: <i>1</i>	104:17 110:7	92:21 94:1	second 28:3		93:4 130:14
results 5:14	111:16 112:11	98:20 105:7	61:23 62:2	separated 45:7 separately	135:2 136:23
117:7	111:10 112:11	117:16 152:24	64:4 84:20	202:8	144:2
resume 26:24	131:23 142:19	153:4, 11, 22	91:6 96:5	separating	shown 16:2
127:10 202:11	150:17 153:4,	156:11 175:13	138:13 168:9	separating 44: <i>12</i>	SHOWH 10.2
204:2 <i>1</i>	20 158:4	150.11 1/5.15	176:16	44.12	
	. 20 130.7	•	. 170.10	•	•

shows 18:8	somebody	128:5	107: <i>1</i> 111:2 <i>1</i>	submit 79:1	156:19 157:10,
31:15 165:24	56: <i>14</i> 191: <i>12</i>	spread 120:6	173:2 <i>1</i>	169:9	<i>17</i> 158:2
182: <i>16</i>	195:2 205:12	spreading	state's 21:17	submits 9:18	183: <i>11</i> 185: <i>7</i>
Shultz 3:20	someone's	119:23	115:2 <i>1</i>	submitted	186: <i>11</i> 197:6
27:18	55:22	stab 200:9	statewide	42:15 48:2	surcharges
shut 18:21	Sorry 4:5	staff 149:9	21:11 22:21	Subsection	197:3
69:13 92:24	26:3 29:16	stamp 43:22	98:9	83:7 101:7	surcharging
shutoff 198: <i>1</i>	32:15 42:10	185:18	stating 170:22	subsequently	185:3
shutoffs 196:2,	63:21 64:16,	stamps 42:21	statistics 34:7	21:23	sure 2:21, 22
6	22, 24 65:11	standard	status 23:20	subset 71:20	8:9 9:13 12:7
side 93:16, 18	84:15, 21 89:5,	146:18 178:6	77:4	subsidization	34:20 63:17
104:17	14, 15 92:10,	standards	stenographic	45:2	66:22 71:8
signed 11:21	13 93:7, 13	55:6, 8 Stands 100:20	209:1	successful 150:13, 20	74:9, 16 77:8 88:11 97:11
significance 164:22	103:5, 7, 10	start 43:9	Steven 3:5 23:7, 12	· ·	101:22 121:9,
silence 131:8	108:7 113:4 117:20 121:1	63:13 88:21	stick 134:17	successfully 109:14 110:19	15 122:11
silent 17:24	129:8 131:7	120:12 168:12	stipulate 34:24	196:2	124:14, 15
similar 121:3	138:10 167:17	201:17	stipulation	sufficient	133:13 146:2
simplistic	186:2 <i>1</i> 191: <i>16</i>	started 27:7	30: <i>17</i>	69:20	162: <i>16</i> 170: <i>5</i>
194:9	195:14	starting 27:14	stop 19:21	sufficiently	175:23 176:4
simply 69:18	sort 27:22	32:9 77:19	40:6, 12 51:11,	81:7	177:21 179:11
190:7 200:15	29:2 67:12	98:10	12, 14 54:4	suggest 167:23	surplus 17:18
sir 48:21	72:11, 16	starts 85:13	97:2 126:17	suggesting	surprise
49:23 138:24	78: <i>12</i> 94: <i>7</i>	110:18	138:9, 10	50: <i>14</i>	206:12, 15
140:24 142:12	96:7 105:24	state 15:22	141:9 198:9	suit 189:23	surprised
154:11 159:9	161:23	21:4, 5 27:10	stopping	summary 6:7	102:20, 24
166:2 <i>1</i> 172: <i>3</i>	sounds 34:15	82:11 85:15,	43:17 52:24	92:4 98:3	Survey 88:7,
178: <i>15</i> 195: <i>14</i>	source 87:7	18, 23 90:11	144:20	104:12 147:18	10
196:23	105:4, 6 180:4	94:19 97:17,	stops 195:9, 15	summer	sustain 185:9
sit 73:11 87:3	sources 107:18	23 98:1, 7	store 16:15	102: <i>17</i>	Sustained
situation	speak 2:21	102:4 103:6	189:2 <i>3</i> 190: <i>4</i>	sunset 117: <i>1</i>	44:8 46:8
22:23 177:11	33:18 60:22,	105: <i>11</i> 108: <i>11</i> ,	STORM 1:1	supervision	156:24 160:7
190:7	23 63:4 67:1	23 115:12	4:16 22:11	209:1	170: <i>10</i> 186: <i>7</i>
situations	87: <i>17</i>	153:3, 10, 15	strictly 158:19	supplemental	197:20
178:8 195: <i>18</i>	speaking 4:8	stated 25:23	strike 25:17	101:20 102: <i>16</i> ,	synonymously
six 91:6	97:12	70:7 81: <i>4</i>	strived 8:14	21	66: <i>14</i>
size 35:18	speaks 79:5	86:24 149:24	structural	supplied	system 68:22
132: <i>18</i> , <i>23</i> , <i>24</i>	specific 58:5	162:3	8: <i>17</i> 155:2 <i>1</i>	160:10	142: <i>18</i>
174:2	60:7 72:21	statement	structure	supplier 110:2	_
sizes 35:21	104:3 106:24	3:22 12:3, 6	48:11, 16	111:8	<t></t>
a	115: <i>1</i> 120: <i>1</i>	23:6 31:17, 19	struggling	suppliers	Tab 65:8, 19
Skiendzielewski	137:17, 20	55:11 68:20	194:23	109:20 110:24	67:21 70:23
10:22 23:10,	159: <i>14</i>	86:2 87:4	studied 124:1	support 8:11	71:15 76:5, 14,
15, 18, 19 24:3,	specifically	90:16 91:9	studies 132:9	15:8 18:2, 5	24 86:7
7, 21, 22 25:3, 7 26:5, 6, 16	41:22 88: <i>13</i> , 20 115: <i>14</i>	103:20 104:18 105:4, 21	Study 34:12 84:18 85:3	42: <i>16</i> 43: <i>21</i> 120: <i>19</i> 206: <i>13</i>	114: <i>14</i> , <i>15</i> 115:8 119: <i>11</i>
skip 37:19	120:10 124:9	105:4, 21 108:4, 15	132:13	supported	130:6
slightly 132:5	147:15 148:17	109:16 123:14	stupid 54:22	22: <i>19</i> 121:23	table 146:12
slowly 2:21	149:4 151:23	160:15, 23	subject 34:3, 9,	supporting	147:1
SNAP 42:9, 12,	154:24 181:23	161:1, 11	14, 19 57:14	48: <i>1</i>	take 26:23
13, 19 43:5, 7,	199:2	statements	75:15, 16	suppose 205:11	34:22 73: <i>11</i>
21 185:14	specified 159:6	14:1 19:19	86:12, 15	supposed	77:22 78:1
186:3	specify 93:14	51:20 128:5	88:18 92:18	40: <i>19</i> 194: <i>4</i>	96:8, 16 103:3
so-called 14:9	speculation	130:18 161:4	93:9 94:2, 3, 9	surcharge	112:17 129:23
society 15:14	113:11 148:5	181:12	115:24 116: <i>1</i>	21:19 41:20,	162:17 176:16
solely 68: <i>17</i>	speculative	states 66:3	133:2 <i>1</i> , 2 <i>3</i>	21, 22 42:8	192: <i>13</i> 193: <i>18</i>
solution 22:5	176:2	67:21 75:21	139:2 <i>4</i> 147: <i>16</i>	43:24 59:6, 7	194: <i>17</i> 200:9
	spokesperson	78:24 82:12	161: <i>12</i>	128:7, 13, 19,	
	I	103:15, 17	I	23 129:1, 4	I

taken 74:24	171:16 174:9,	tenant 75:6,	136:6, 12, 14	79:2 141:12	till 20:6, 8
180:8 198:2	18 178:1, 19,	18, 22 78:8, 24	137:11, 16, 18,	149:13 161:7	103:7 108:7
199:18 209:1	20, 23 179:7,	81:19 82:2	20 138:14, 17,	163:14	128:16
takes 63:8				think 10:5	TIME 1:1
	10, 24 180:2,	83:14, 20, 22	18, 20 141:13		
108:6, 12	13 185:11	126:12 162:22	142:23 143:2,	21:1 41:14	5:5 6:23 9:3,
201:23	187:13 188:3,	tenants 74:23	9, 10, 11, 24	47:11 55:7	<i>17</i> 13:4 14:3
talk 12:4	7 189:4	75:12 87:2	144:3 146:8	62:15 66:10,	27:21, 22 30:5
22:14, 23	190: <i>17</i> 191: <i>13</i> ,	126:5	147:14 149:20	13 67:3 68:19	32:23 33:21,
38:2 <i>3</i> 55:4, 5	24 192:24	tenant's 80:22	154: <i>1</i> 155: <i>11</i>	73:15, 24 76:9	22 77:10
58:14 77:15	193:23 194:20	tend 200:3	156: <i>4</i> , <i>13</i>	79:4, 21, 22	93:11 94:15
98:14 127:3, 6	195: <i>3</i> , <i>8</i> , <i>15</i>	ten-minute	158: <i>13</i> 160:9	81:6, 8 87:10	108:9 116:22
160:20 203:9,	196: <i>1</i> 197:2,	26:23	162:6, 15	92:22 95:9, 11	118:14 120:15
<i>14</i> 206: <i>3</i>	13 198:3	term 15:24	165:24 167:6	99:13 117:18	137:2 139:13
talked 92:22	202:23	66:11 162:13	168:1, 9 169:6	121:3 123:8,	150:4 152:14,
105:11 113:6	TAP-R 1:1	200:3	171:15 172:10	13 125:14	18 166:5
	2:5, 10 3:8, 23			126:6 134:13	180:4 197:24
119:5 161:22		terminate	173:1, 11, 17		
164:19 165:18	4:13, 17 5:12,	55:22	174:5 183:15,	136:19 142:24	199:12
talking 38:24	15, 17, 19 6:3,	terminated	16 184:2, 24	149:11 152:17	times 20:17
42:20 60:4	6 11:16, 18	195:3	186:24 187:3,	155:10 156:10	24:24 25:1
65: <i>13</i> 94: <i>4</i>	21:1 22:5, 7,	termination	5, 7, 24 188:17	158: <i>12</i> 162:2,	63:7 117:8
134:7 193:5	17 27:8 31:6	195:9 197: <i>11</i> ,	189: <i>1</i> , <i>3</i>	9, 12, 14, 23	timing 106:20
206:2 <i>1</i>	36:9, 11, 13	16	196:2 <i>1</i> 203:4,	163: <i>11</i> , <i>13</i>	119: <i>15</i>
TAP 4:12, 19,	41:22 45:11,	terminations	6, 9, 15 206:3	165: <i>1</i> , <i>4</i> , <i>9</i> , <i>23</i>	tired 114:7
22 5:1, 3, 4, 6,	17 48:13, 23	195: <i>16</i>	testing 129:18	167: <i>1</i> 2, <i>1</i> 5	titled 80:8
9, 11 6:13	57:22 58:15	terms 66:20	Thank 4:4, 6,	172: <i>1</i> 178: <i>16</i>	84:23
9:22 10:6	112:12 128:3,	90:5 141:10	10 7:2, 7, 13	180:9 181:2 <i>1</i>	today 3:19
14:9 15: <i>1</i>	23 129:3	200:10	10:10, 12 20:3	182:8 183:19	6:24 10:4, 24
16:4 21:22, 24	159:6 198: <i>14</i>	test 203:5	23:4 27:1	184:7 194:22	11:22 13:12,
24:5 31:11	202:24 203:1,	testified 93:1	28:6, 14, 15	197:10, 20	23 14:8 27:18,
	202.24 203.1,			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	24 28:13
32:2 37:4, 10		149:21 160:22	30:11, 12 31:2	200:5, 11, 21	
38:24 42:1	tariff 22:12	168:24 169:20	32:17 37:18	203:10 206:17,	29:13 45:15
47:13 48:9	185:7 206:18,	173:5 183:10	49:4 56:4	22 207:7, 11,	73:6, 12 87:3,
50:7, 21 56:22	21	testify 11:22	61:2, 18, 20	12, 15	<i>21</i> 110:2 <i>1</i>
57:5, 17 58:6	tariffs 203:23	51:17, 20, 22	62:1, 19 63:3	third 122: <i>19</i>	122:2 <i>1</i> 168: <i>1</i>
59:18 66:6, 16	tasked 196:24	130: <i>1</i> 169: <i>11</i>	66:1 72:6	178:2 <i>1</i>	171: <i>16</i> 189: <i>1</i>
67:6, 24 69:21	199: <i>14</i> , <i>15</i>	testifying 51:2,	77:11 83:3	thought 61:9	today's 6:21
70:1, 9, 15	tax 14:22, 23	4, 13 52:2	85:1 97:3	113: <i>4</i> 131: <i>12</i>	11:8 45:10
72:11, 18, 22	15:5, 9, 17, 24	194: <i>14</i> , <i>16</i>	120:17 126:2,	161:22 175:12	told 68:7
73:3, 14, 17, 18	19:7, 22 21: <i>16</i> ,	testimony	<i>17, 18</i> 127: <i>11</i>	thousand 5:18,	145: <i>15</i>
76:15 86:9, 14	17, 18 22:9, 10	9:15 10:16	129:13 132:16	20	ton 70:24
105:9 106:8	41:20 91:3	14:12 20:2	149:19 155:7	thread 161:23	tool 5:2
107:5, 22	158:2 186: <i>11</i>	25:12 30:17,	164:17 166:13,	threat 92:24	top 83:6
108:14 113:24	197:7	20 39:5 40:24	21, 22 167:4, 7,	threatened	104: <i>11</i> 107: <i>1</i>
116:5 118:24	taxes 15:16	42:15 45:10,	8 171:14	69: <i>12</i>	111:21 114:4
119:8, 16	17:10 186:15	15, 16 48:2, 3,	176:21 184:9	three 8:6	total 115:20,
119.8, 10 121: <i>13</i> 122:6,	team 18:8	23 52:22	189:11 202:2,	54:17 56:9	21 150:18
,	67:22	53:13 55:17,	· '		151:8
13 127:23			13, 20 204:10	80:14 91:2, 11	
136:8, 13, 18	TECHNICAL	20 56:1, 3, 11,	207:17, 18	107:2 109:14,	totally 66:12
137: <i>1</i> 138:2 <i>1</i>	1:1 7:21	16, 21 63:7, 15	208:3, 8, 17, 20	<i>16</i> 133: <i>1</i>	67:3
139:5, 12, 18	11:24 22:24	64:1 72:15	Thanks 3:5	thunderstorm	track 133:20
142:9 145:22,	27:1, 5 35:12	86:20 87:21	164: <i>18</i>	68:10	140:6
24 146:5, 7	38:18 198:5	91: <i>1</i> , <i>14</i> 92:2	theoretically	Thursday 1:1	trail 19:24
148: <i>14</i> 149:2,	tell 40:9	97:16, 24 98:4	130: <i>16</i>	tied 37:4	training 103:2
10 151:24	50:21 73:12	103:5, 6, 16, 24	theories 54:18	Tiered 4:19	transcript
157: <i>1</i> 158:20	79: <i>18</i> 115: <i>15</i>	104:7 107: <i>1</i>	thereof 127:24	8:1 9:1, 11	2:24 209:1
162: <i>1</i> , <i>8</i> 163: <i>1</i> ,	132:12 161:13	123:22 125: <i>1</i>	thing 135:19	21:20 37:5	tried 145:17
8, 10, 17, 23, 24	tells 163:20	128:22 130:23,	194:9 206: <i>16</i>	69: <i>17</i> 71: <i>3</i>	182:8 197:20
164:9 165:3, 7,	164: <i>1</i> 165:9	24 134:3, 11,	things 66:12		trouble 96:19,
11, 19 166:2, 6	101 100./	18 135:16, 20	72:11 77:20		21
	-	- 10 100.10, 20	- /2.11 //.20	-	

true 8:19	< U >	use 12:21	wait 12: <i>3</i>	49:13 50:10	Well 4:6 5:11
30:20 68:23	Uh 201:24	14:7 16:23	20:5, 7 103:7	52:23 55:22	10:10 24:3, 7
69:10 70:4, 12,	Uh-huh 26:1	35: <i>3</i> 44:2 <i>1</i>	108:7	56:7, 13, 19	25:15 36:12
<i>18</i> 71:9 75:4,	176:10	53:22 95:11	waiting 46:6	57:6 64:14	47:18 73:15
20 77:10	ultimately	96:12 134:2	47:17 145:13	69:6, 13 70:2	94:21 97:1
83:22 90:9	181:2	145:4 162:13	192:19 196:9	71:23 74:8, 23	112:6 116:15
92:9, 12 100:2	Umm 88:8	186:21 200:3	walk 191:20	76:7, 17 77:3,	119:4 120:22
112:8 114:2 <i>1</i>	unable 155:9,	Users 10:17	Wallace 30:1	17 82:15	121:2 131:17
115:4 116:12 Trust 34:8	22 169: <i>1</i>	29: <i>11</i> 30: <i>3</i> Uses 122: <i>8</i> , <i>9</i>	want 3:16 4:10 12:2, 3	109:20 110: <i>1</i> , 7 111:7 112: <i>4</i>	133: <i>13</i> 137: <i>14</i> 140:2 143: <i>4</i>
truth 18:13	unchallenged 19:23	146:1	13:6 20:4, 24	125:19 127:18	140:2 143:4
40:9, 11	uncollected	usually 30:17	23:10 28:17	128:5, 6, 8, 9	152:8 160:19
try 50:18	17: <i>10</i>	util 21:8	29:19 32:20	129:5, 11	161:13 163:11
63: <i>11</i> 96:2 <i>3</i>	underlying	utilities	34:21 35:10	131:13 132:20	164:7 165: <i>1</i>
121:8 200:13	164:4	107:14 185:22	39:21 40:1	140:17, 22	171:11 185:17
trying 17:4	understand	utility 21:6, 8,	46:13 47:8	141:22 142:12	188:13 193:15
36:14 38:16	16: <i>14</i> 42: <i>17</i>	14 37:23	52:4 53:16	145:2 <i>1</i> 148: <i>14</i>	206:22
47:11 54:4, 9,	63:17 64:11	82:24 83:15	58:23 61:23	149:2, 10	we're 9:13
<i>12, 15</i> 64: <i>3</i>	81:8 115: <i>10</i>	100:10 102:13	62:20 63:16	150:7 152: <i>3</i> ,	36:8 42: <i>14</i>
72:9, 24 74:16	151: <i>16</i> 171: <i>3</i>	146: <i>17</i> , <i>19</i>	64:24 68:4	20 153:1, 5, 12,	66:10 71:8
89:9 108:8	192: <i>16</i> , <i>22</i>	147: <i>3</i> 160: <i>11</i>	74:23 75:10	17, 18, 23	117: <i>16</i> 156:24
113: <i>4</i> 120: <i>1</i>	193: <i>13</i> 200:8	178:5, 7, 12, 15	76:24 88:19	154:7, 9 155: <i>1</i> ,	159:22 165:24
134: <i>14</i> , <i>24</i>		188: <i>18</i> , <i>19</i>	105: <i>16</i> 109: <i>15</i>	4, 6, 9, 14, 20,	166: <i>1</i> 198: <i>15</i>
144: <i>10</i> 166: <i>11</i>	Understandable	190:6, 18, 21	114:2 119: <i>15</i>	22 157:10, 16	We've 8:13
turn 56:13	68:15	191:5 206:2 <i>1</i>	120:18, 21	158:11 168:11	51:21 74:1
70:6 74:20	understanding	. T 7 .	122:11 126:22	171:24 172:21	76:10 92:22
75:17 84:12	69:15 98:15	<v></v>	127:2 133:15	174:3 179:6	105:10 126:3
98:22 104: <i>3</i> 121: <i>1</i> 2 168:2	131: <i>17</i> 168: <i>11</i> 179: <i>18</i>	vacant 17: <i>10</i> valid 25: <i>6</i>	161: <i>16</i> 164: <i>12</i> 198: <i>17</i> 202: <i>1</i>	183: <i>13</i> 185: <i>6</i> 191: <i>11</i> 192: <i>1</i> ,	who've 10:15 winter 98:18
121.12 108.2 191:11, 12	Understood	83:16 201:4	wanted 60:20	2 197:23	wish 12:5
191.77, 72 192:4	72: <i>13</i>	value 197:15	97:13 202:5	198:7 199:22,	145:2
turned 56:19	unethical 14:4	variable 177:2	wants 51:18	24 200:14, 15,	wishes 78:24
turning 64:18	unfair 157:11	variables	179:11, 21	24 201:17	witness 7:19
72:14 75:9	Uniformity	177: <i>1</i> , <i>7</i>	182:18, 20	way 18:18	28:12 30:8, 19
106:22 126:19	21:18	various 31:5,	200:9 203:14	39:5 46:22	36:22, 24
193:19, 20	union 17:22	10 37:5 73:4	205:12	47:2 49:18	41:16 50:24
turns 17:7	unit 49:1, 2	78: <i>14</i> 130:7, 8	waste 199:11	50:4 52:8, 17	62:2 81:2
two 10:14, 20	United 173:21	Veatch 28:1	wastewater	53:15 66:2	93:8 121:5
13: <i>14</i> 17: <i>15</i> ,	units 85:16	29:4 31:8	129:5	113: <i>18</i> 114: <i>4</i>	161:3 167:2
20 54:16 55:6,	Universal	32:23 130:24	WATER 1: <i>1</i>	118: <i>15</i> 145:2	199:20
8 67:24 80:14,	21:12 37:23	veracity	2:6, 16 3:15	150:11 159:3,	witnesses
16 82:11	147:4 160:12	130:18	4:9, 14, 15, 16,	6, 10 160:4	27:24 29:13
96:24 106:20,	unjust 157: <i>11</i> unlicensed	verify 75:11	22 5:7, 14, 17,	189:18 196:17	30:6 35:23
21 109:8 110:18 133:1	85: <i>17</i>	versus 2:9 194:7 196: <i>18</i>	19, 24 8:10, 20, 23 9:14, 24	201:11	37:11 42:23 54:2 55:15
135:13 146:10	unmute 23:8,	194:7 196:78	10:2, 18, 20	ways 89: <i>12</i> 165: <i>5</i> 201: <i>10</i>	60:15 62:7
160:17, 18	12, 14, 15	video 168:2	12:9, 11, 14	weatherization	64:7 78:11
164: <i>13</i>	72:24	view 166:18	13:5, 19 14:14,	91:4 111: <i>1</i> , 5,	127:7 129:24
two-minute	unmuted	views 4:12	21 15:4, 6, 10,	9	130:14, 19, 21
96: <i>17</i>	167: <i>16</i>	6:24	18, 22, 24 16:7,	web 153:17	134:7, 20
type 86:10	unrelated	violate 21:17	11, 23 17:3, 6,	website 2:24	145:4, 12
100:24 101: <i>1</i>	187: <i>1</i>	Virtually 1:1	7, 17, 24 18:6	6:9, 17 74:21	172:20
107:4	unstable 24:17	vital 9:24	19:6, 13, 22	75:5 89:22	wording 83:1
types 22:20	unsurprised	22:6	20:8 22:1, 11,	weeks 150:16,	work 8:15
91: <i>11</i> 105: <i>12</i>	102:24	volumetric	12, 13, 18	23 152:15	11:11 87:23
typical 5:23	unwilling 18: <i>14</i>	21:13 42:1	27:17 31:6	weigh 130:18	121:7 205:15
typo 62:15	usage 4:22	< W >	33:14, 24 42:3, 4 44:11, 12, 19	weight 51:21 welcome	worked 177:10 working 52:8
	5:7 129:16	` '' ′	45:6 47:9, 23	137:24 205:13	66:4 74:12
			,,		

	3/31/	_	
149:8 165:12 166:7 185:3 works 98:9 201:8, 19 wrap 207:7 written 2:20 75:7, 21, 22 78:9 79:8, 10, 15 80:22 81:18 83:21 wrong 39:4 74:11 89:15 184:7 194:3, 4, 6 wrote 162:3			
<pre>wrote 162:3 <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <p< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th></p<></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre>			