

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

Philadelphia; Water/Sewer

Primary Credit Analyst:

Theodore A Chapman, Farmers Branch + 1 (214) 871 1401; theodore.chapman@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:

Jeffrey M Panger, New York + 1 (212) 438 2076; jeff.panger@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

Rating Action

Stable Outlook

Related Research

Summary:

Philadelphia; Water/Sewer

Credit Profile

US\$303.78 mil wtr and wastewtr rev rfdg bnds (Federally Taxable) ser 2021B due 06/01/2051

Long Term Rating

A+/Stable

New

Rating Action

S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'A+' long-term rating to Philadelphia's \$303.78 million series 2021B taxable water and wastewater revenue refunding bonds. At the same time, we affirmed our 'A+' long-term ratings and underlying ratings (SPURs) on the city's existing \$2.3 billion of water and wastewater revenue bonds. The outlook on the ratings is stable.

The city will use the proceeds to advance refund eligible maturities of its series 2014A and 2015A and 2015B revenue bonds for savings purposes. Management is not extending the maturity schedule.

The bonds are secured by a first-lien pledge on the net revenue of the city's water and sewer fund. A reserve funded in the amount of maximum annual debt service provides additional liquidity. Pledged revenue is defined as -- net of operating expenses -- rates and charges of the system, transfers from the rate stabilization fund (RSF), and interest earnings and must be budgeted to generate at least 1.2x annual debt service on senior revenue bonds and 1.0x coverage when including all subordinate debt. There is no subordinate-lien debt currently outstanding. The city can issue additional debt as long as it is complying with the rate covenant at the time of issuance and net revenue projections are sufficient to provide for rate covenant compliance for the two fiscal years following the debt issuance. There is an additional test that requires that the city maintain net system revenue (excluding transfers from the RSF) totaling at least 90% of operating requirements, providing some limit on the deployment of the RSF. Transfers of surplus net revenue are limited to the lesser of a formula or \$4.994 million; in recent history it has been the latter. Surplus net revenue is otherwise not allowed to be used for non-utility purposes, also serving to prohibit interfund borrowing.

Credit overview

Factors that we view as key credit drivers include:

- Liquidity that remains ample, even with continued planned use of the RSF to lessen rate shock. The combined unrestricted and RSF balances have typically represented 200-250 days' operations (\$250 million to \$300 million);
- A large, regulatory-driven capital improvement plan (CIP) that could lead to a doubling of debt by 2027; and
- The management and governance team's ongoing need to balance operational needs and revenue requirements with affordability concerns given Philadelphia's median household effective buying income is 76% that of the U.S., elevated poverty rate of approximately twice that of the U.S. level, and a still-elevated unemployment rate of over 9% as of April 2021. The utility's service territory, however, serves more than 1.58 million people, including wholesale relationships outside the city for both water and wastewater.

In addition to a number of cost containment measures to both the operating and capital budgets that Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) management implemented in the early days of the COVID-19 pandemic, it also withdrew a proposed 9% adjustment for fiscal 2021, instead re-filing in February 2021 with the city's rate board for a requested 7.74% increase, phased in over fiscal years 2022 and 2023. This was less than the original January 2021 request of 17.6%, partly due to savings PWD realized from a recalculation in its recurring obligation for PWD's allocable share of the substantially underfunded citywide pension liability. The rate request could be reduced even further in 2023 depending on how much federal American Rescue Plan and related assistance PWD may yet receive; the city has tentatively been allocated \$1.4 billion over the next two years, though city leaders plan to use it to shore up the general fund. Management has similarly not yet been informed about the eligibility, timing, or amount of any of the \$1.1 billion federal low-income water household assistance program funds it could potentially receive.

As of this analysis, PWD had not received the rate board's decision on the rate case, though we view the ability to increase revenue as crucial to rating stability. The rate board had previously agreed to long-term financial targets, including senior debt service coverage (DSC) of 1.3x (including RSF use), a combined RSF and residual fund balance of \$150 million, and 20% pay-as-you-go spending for capital needs. As of fiscal 2021, even after RSF draws, the combined designated reserve balance was \$160 million, by itself equivalent to about 90 days of operating expenses, with a beginning-of-year balance in the operating fund of another \$132 million.

Our all-in DSC calculation of 1.2x-1.4x for the past four audited fiscal years does not include nonrecurring sources of revenue, such as transfers from the RSF. All-in DSC is S&P Global Ratings' adjusted DSC metric that incorporates all use of operating revenue regardless of lien or accounting treatment. Management is targeting traditionally calculated senior-lien DSC of between 1.2x-1.3x in each of fiscal years 2022 and 2023, assuming the proposed phased-in rate adjustment is approved, and 1.3x thereafter, though the outer years of its forecast are also heavily predicated on future planned high single-digit rate adjustments. Given the likelihood that PWD's total debt outstanding could easily double before decade's end, as about 23% of capital commitments are related to an environmental mandate, maintenance of similar financial capacity and liquidity is vital to the current rating, though in our view is attainable.

A 2011 consent decree related to the combined sewer system is driving the need for those rate increases. The corrective action plan to resolve the consent decree must be completed by 2036. The 25-year timeline is generally much longer than those of cities with similar unfunded mandates, providing the city the ability to stretch out the debt and rate impacts from what might otherwise be a more compressed timeline. Philadelphia recently received a seven-month extension, to 2022, to provide the state a comprehensive report on the first 10 years of progress on what could eventually be \$3.5 billion in total capital investments and \$1 billion in cumulative operating costs related to the consent decree. Management's forecast notes that just within the next five years, 23% of the capital budget will be allocated to required projects, even as PWD aims to invest an additional \$2.5 billion in drinking water infrastructure rehabilitation, such as aging pipes, advance metering infrastructure, and continued lead service line replacements.

The system predominantly serves retail residential customers in the city, as well as in 11 surrounding townships and utility authorities on a wholesale basis. The number of retail accounts has remained relatively stable since 2001 and currently totals about 490,000 for the water system and 545,000 for the wastewater system, which includes about 60,000 stormwater-only accounts. The water department customer base, in which the city is the leading user, remains

stable and diverse: The 10 leading retail customers accounted for less than 10% of total revenue in fiscal 2020, with no reported material disruptions to any of the key accounts.

Environmental, social and governance (ESG) factors

We note that because of the ongoing corrective action plan measures and the attention that must be paid to affordability metrics, both environmental and social factors carry outsize credit relevance. The moratorium on shutoffs and disconnects, implemented in March 2020, has been extended through at least April 2022. While long-term customer billings did dip in 2020 and again in 2021, the consulting engineer's 2021 forecast has assumed it will return to the historical level of at least 96%. Still, the city has an industry-recognized, multi-faceted customer bill-pay assistance program for qualifying customers, including payment programs, bill reductions, and a dedicated surcharge on usage to provide dedicated funding for a portion of the program. The average monthly residential water and sewer bill, assuming a favorable decision from the rate board, would be just under \$70, or 2.7% of median household effective buying income, though higher for those at the lowest end of the income distribution curve.

Although the system is older and the capital plan will be driven by reinvestment needs, it still has ample and reliable raw water supply and treatment capacity. We note that in some aspects it even compares favorably versus peers, such as readiness for potential state rulemaking on currently unregulated contaminants, and that it converts 100% of its biosolids for Class A beneficial land application usage.

The CIP, although daunting, is supported by operational and financial management that is highly aligned, establishing prioritization among non-mandated projects and to the extent reasonably possible, inclusive of resilience measures toward climate change and overall risk management that we view as comparable with peer very large systems.

Stable Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our opinion that the water department should be able to continue meeting or exceeding its financial projections as long as it receives sufficient rate adjustments from the rate board and controls its overall costs in a fashion consistent with or better than what the projections indicate.

Downside scenario

Setting aside the short-term impacts from the pandemic, the rating or outlook could be pressured should we view financial capacity to be potentially outstripped -- even beyond our two-year outlook horizon -- by the rapidly escalating needs of the capital budget. We would also inform our forward-looking view by observing the ongoing relationship between PWD and the rate board as assessed by the timing and magnitude of future rate cases and the delta -- if any -- between that which is requested versus what is ultimately granted. Actual results that prove to be weaker than the forecast, as measured by both DSC and higher-than-planned use of designated reserves, could cause us to lower the rating.

Upside scenario

We view any upside potential as remote for the next two years, given the disruption to management's rate request, lower system revenue due to the pandemic and recession, and the large amount of capital and debt needs. In time, if the city's actual financial performance significantly and consistently exceeds current projections, we could raise the

rating.

Related Research

- Through The ESG Lens 2.0: A Deeper Dive Into U.S. Public Finance Credit Factors, April 28, 2020

Ratings Detail (As Of June 14, 2021)		
Philadelphia wtr & swr <i>Long Term Rating</i>	A+/Stable	Affirmed
Philadelphia wtr & swr (AGM) <i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	A+(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
Philadelphia wtr & swr (BAM) <i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	A+(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
Philadelphia wtr & swr (BAM) (SECMKT) <i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	A+(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
Philadelphia wtr (BAM) (SECMKT) <i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	A+(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed

Many issues are enhanced by bond insurance.

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2021 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.