PHILADELPHIA WATER DEPARTMENT PA INTERROGATORY SET #I

RESPONSE TO

PUBLIC ADVOCATE'S INTERROGATORIES

QUESTIONS 1 - 35

Dated: February 2020

1	PA-I-1.	REFERENCE STATEMENT NO. 2, Q/A 37. PLEASE PROVIDE A COPY OF
2		THE ANALYSIS SUPPORTING THE ANNUAL DECLINE IN USAGE.
3		
4	RESPONS	Е:
5	The	decline in usage per account from 2015 to 2019 for 5/8" General Service Customers is
6	appr	roximately 2.4% annually based on the data from PWD Exhibit-6: Black & Veatch
7	Man	nagement Consulting, LLC, Calculations Supporting Schedules BV-1, BV-2, BV-3,
8	and	BV-5, page 116:
9		
10	Wat	er - Billed Volume Per Account for General Service (Including Senior Citizens)
11		FY 2019 = 6.64 Mcf / Account
12		FY 2015 = 7.32 Mcf / Account
13	Ave	rage Annual Decline = $\{(6.64/7.32)^{1/(2019-2015)} - 1\} \times 100\% = 2.4\%$
14		
15	The	above figures should be referenced with the respect to the annual decline in usage.
16		
17	RESPONS	E PROVIDED BY: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PA-I-2.	REFERENCE STATEMENT NO. 2, Q/A 39. PLEASE PROVIDE A COPY OF
2		THE ANALYSIS SUPPORTING THE CHANGE IN THE STORMWATER
3		MANAGEMENT FEE IN LIEU OF CHARGES.
4		
5	RESPONSE	Ε:
6	Pleas	se see response attachment PA-I-2. Please note the proposed rate of \$25.00 reflects the
7	calcu	plated rate rounded to the nearest five dollars (see PWD Statement 7A, page 42, lines
8	10 to	13).
9		
10		
11		
12		
13	RESPONSE	E PROVIDED BY: Melissa LaBuda, Philadelphia Water Department
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

REFERENCE STATEMENT NO. 7A, PAGE 32, LINES 18-25. PLEASE

PROVIDE THE ANALYSIS/DOCUMENTATION SUPPORTING THE CLAIM

THAT TOTAL IA INCREASED BY 86 MILLION SQ. FT. AND RESIDENTIAL

IA INCREASED BY 72 MILLION SQ. FT.

RESPONSE:

PA-I-3.

The total increase in impervious area is due to the updated stormwater billing data, which is detailed in Schedule BV-6: WP-2 – Stormwater Units of Service.

As discussed on page 2 of the aforementioned white paper: "The Water Department recently obtained updated Stormwater Billing Data based upon 2015 aerial and infrared imagery. The updated data set provides new impervious area and gross area data for billing purposes for properties City-wide. The City-wide total impervious area is 1,298 million square feet (sf) and the total gross area is 2,444 million sf. Table 1 below presents the impervious area under the prior dataset and the updated data set."

 $^{^1}$ Prior dataset is data from the Stormwater Database as of June 30, 2018 $PA\ Interrogatory\ Set\ \#I-Page\ \textbf{4}\ of\ \textbf{45}$

Table 1	Impervious Area Change by Customer Clas	S

			Updated	
Line		Prior Dataset	Dataset	Variance
No.	Description	(Square Feet)	(Square Feet)	(Square Feet)
	Initial Impervious Area-			
1	Residential	482,687,000	554,856,000	72,169,000
	Initial Impervious Area-Non-	•	•	•
2	Residential	706,470,000	717,806,000	11,336,000
	Initial Impervious Area-	-		
3	Condominium	22,198,000	24,903,000	2,705,000
4	Initial Impervious Area-Total	1,211,355,000	1,297,565,000	86,210,000

RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.

PA-I-4. REFERENCE STATEMENT NO. 7A, PAGE 33, LINE 25 TO PAGE 34, LINE 2.

PLEASE IDENTIFY THE IMPACT OF STORMWATER CREDITS ON TOTAL

RESIDENTIAL, AND NON-RESIDENTIAL GA AND IA FROM THOSE USED

TO SET RATES IN THE TWO PRIOR CASES.

RESPONSE:

The table below provides the impact of stormwater credits on total residential, non-residential and condominium GA and IA. The amount of credit reflects the reduction in the billable units of service used to set rates.

		FY 2016 RATE PROCEEDING		FY 2018 RATE PROCEEDING	
Line No.	Description	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
1	IMPERVIOUS AREA (SF)	98,006,660	107,239,980	108,341,119	115,721,711
2	GROSS AREA (SF)	274,831,520	291,187,590	352,820,378	372,241,706

The credits set forth in the above table are associated with non-residential and condominium customers. Residential customers are not eligible for stormwater credits.

RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.

1	PA-I-5.	REFERENCE STATEMENT NO. 7A, PAGE 37, ONE 21 THROUGH PAGE 39
2		LINE 7. PLEASE EXPLAIN WHETHER AS A RESULT OF ELIMINATING
3		THE DESCRIBED ADJUSTMENT, IS IT CORRECT THAT NO
4		DISTRIBUTION O&M EXPENSES ARE ASSIGNED TO HYDRANTS
5		(DIRECT FIRE)?
6		
7	RESPONSI	Ε:
8	The	premise of the above data request that no distribution O&M expenses are assigned to
9	hydr	ants (Direct Fire) is incorrect. As presented on page 807 of PWD Exhibit-6: Black &
10	Veat	ch Management Consulting, LLC, Calculations Supporting Schedules BV-1, BV-2,
11	BV-3	3, and BV-5, \$392,618 of distribution O&M expense is assigned to Hydrants (Direct
12	Fire)	ı.
13		
14	RESPONSI	E PROVIDED BY: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PA-I-6.	PLEASE IDENTIFY EACH STORMWATER CREDIT GA AND IA	
2		ADJUSTMENT AUTHORIZED FOR THE LAST THREE YEARS. IDENTIFY	
3		FOR EACH ADJUSTMENT, THE CUSTOMER'S GA AND IA BEFORE THE	
4		ADJUSTMENT AND AFTER THE ADJUSTMENT.	
5			
6	RESPONS	SE:	
7	Plea	ase see response attachment PA-I-6.	
8			
9	The	e workbook includes a summary of all of the credit applications, based upon PWD	
10	reco	ords, that are applicable for Fiscal Year 2016 through Fiscal Year 2019 and the associated	
11	area	a and credit information by parcel. Many parcels have multiple credit applications during	
12	the above period primarily due to renewals, so such parcels have multiple entries in the		
13	woı	rkbook.	
14			
15	RESPONS	SE PROVIDED BY: Philadelphia Water Department	
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	PA-I-7.	REFERENCE TABLE W-1A. PLEASE PROVIDE A BREAKDOWN OF
2		PENALTY REVENUE BY CUSTOMER CLASS.
3		
4	RESPONS	Е:
5	Pena	alty revenues are reported for the System (not broken down by customer types). The
6	Dep	artment does not have customer classes. The General Bond Ordinance defines "System"
7	as th	ne entire combined water system and wastewater system of the City (see PWD Statement
8	2, Se	chedule ML-3: Bond Counsel Memorandum). Penalty revenues totaled \$10,337,746 in
9	Fisc	al Year 2019. Note that total penalty revenues are net from system operating expenses.
10	See,	PWD Statement 7A, Schedule BV-1, Tables W-10 and WW-10 (Line 10).
11		
12	RESPONS	E PROVIDED BY: Melissa LaBuda, Philadelphia Water Department and Black &
13	Veatch Mar	nagement Consulting, LLC
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

PA-I-8. REFERENCE TABLE W-10:

2

3

AND 11; AND

4

FOR PURCHASED GAS EXPENSES.

5

6

7

RESPONSE:

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

A. A detailed breakdown of line item 3, Raw Water Power & Pumping – Other operation

A. PLEASE PROVIDE A DETAILED BREAKDOWN OF LINE ITEMS 3, 7,

B. PLEASE PROVIDE A REPRESENTATION EXAMPLE OF THE INVOICES

and maintenance expenses, is presented on PWD Exhibit-6: Black & Veatch

Management Consulting, LLC, Calculations Supporting Schedules BV-1, BV-2, BV-

3, and BV-5, Womallo-14, Line 4 (Raw Water Power & Pumping - Baxter Treatment

Plant - All Other Costs) and Line 8 (Raw Water Power & Pumping – All Other

Treatment Plants - All Other Costs). The O&M expenses allocated to the Raw Water

Power & Pumping – Other function include a proportionate share of the Operations

Division load control, machine shop and materials management units, and a

proportionate share of Water Fund administrative and general costs.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A detailed breakdown for line item 7, Purification and Treatment – Power and

Pumping – Other expenses, is presented on PWD Exhibit-6: Black & Veatch

Management Consulting, LLC, Calculations Supporting Schedules BV-1, BV-2, BV-

3, and BV-5, Womallo-14, Line 26 (Treatment – Treated Water Power & Pumping -

Baxter Treatment Plant - L.S. - All Other Costs) and Line 30 (Treatment - Treated

Water Power & Pumping - All Other Pumping - All Other Costs). The O&M expenses

allocated to the Treatment - Treated Water Power & Pumping - All Other Pumping -

All Other Costs function a proportionate share of the Operations Division load control,

machine shop and materials management units, and a proportionate share of Water Fund administrative and general costs.

A detailed breakdown for line item 11, Purification and Treatment - Treatment - Other expenses, is presented on PWD Exhibit-6: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC, Calculations Supporting Schedules BV-1, BV-2, BV-3, and BV-5, Womallo-14, Line 15 (Treatment - Purification - Baxter Treatment Plant - All Other Costs) and Line 21 (Treatment - Purification - All Other Treatment Plants - All Other Costs). The O&M expenses allocated to the Purification and Treatment - Treatment - Other function include the Operation Division treatment plant and treatment headquarters costs, proportionate share of the Operations Division machine shop and materials management unit, and a proportionate share of Water Fund administrative and general costs.

15

16

17

Note - the same allocation process for the above referenced costs was utilized in prior proceedings. Details of this response regarding items 3 and 11 were provided in response to PA-II-27 in the prior proceeding.

18

19

20

21

22

B. Gas expenses are allocated based on a summary of FY 2018 and FY 2019 annual gas costs as provided by the Department (PWD Exhibit-6: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC, Calculations Supporting Schedules BV-1, BV-2, BV-3, and BV-5, WCOS19, WOMALLO-6, page 805).

23

24

25

RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.

1	PA-I-9.	REFERENCE TABLE WW-1C. PLEASE PROVIDE A BREAKDOWN OF
2		PENALTY REVENUE BY CUSTOMER CLASS.
3		
4	RESPONSE	:
5	Please	e see response to PA-I-7.
6		
7	RESPONSE	PROVIDED BY: Melissa LaBuda, Philadelphia Water Department
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PA-I-10. REFERENCE TABLE WW-2. PLEASE PROVIDE A DETAILED
2	BREAKDOWN OF LINE ITEMS 7 AND 10.
3	
4	RESPONSE:
5	Please refer to the attached table: Response PA-I-10.xlxs
6	
7	RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	PA-I-11.	REFERENCE TAI	BLE WW-14. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE
2		PERCENTAGES I	N FOOTNOTE (A) WERE DETERMINED. PROVIDE
3		SUPPORTING WO	ORKPAPERS AND CALCULATIONS.
4			
5	RESPONSI	Ε:	
6	The	percentages in Footno	te (A) of Table WW-14 are based on the ratio of average dry
7	weat	her flow to average w	et weather flow, and are consistent with analogous percentages
8	used	in prior rate proceedi	ngs (PWD Statement 7A, Schedule BV-5, page 145).
9			
10	RESPONSI	E PROVIDED BY:	Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

PA-I-12. REFERENCE TABLE SW-4. PLEASE EXPLAIN LINE ITEM 6.

7
/
_

RESPONSE:

Line No. 6 of Table SW-4: Determination of Billable Impervious Area (sf), captures reductions in billable IA related to customer appeals. As described in Schedule BV-6: WP-2 – Stormwater Units of Service:

"PWD's appeal program which enables customers to seek adjustments for billing inaccuracies including inaccurate parcel classification, incorrect parcel identification, residential sideyard, or for errors in the calculation of a parcel's gross and/or impervious area." (See page Schedule BV-6: WP-2 page 2).

Stormwater adjustment appeals, which customers can seek for inaccurate property classification, and GA and IA data exceptions, have the potential to cause a reduction in the billable GA and IA units of service; these adjustments primarily occur for the Non-residential and customer class. (See page Schedule BV-6: WP-2 page 10).

As a result of the appeals program, some Non-Residential Customers (including condominium properties) who have sought an appeal of their stormwater billing data have been granted a reduction in their billable GA and IA. Historically, this has resulted in a downward adjustment of overall billable GA and IA.

The projection of losses in billable GA and IA is further described in the aforementioned white paper. The appeals process is detailed in the Water Department's "Stormwater Management Service Charge Credits and Appeals Manual."

1	
1	

RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.

1	PA-I-13. REFERENCE TABLES SW-3 SW-4, AND SW-5. PLEASE PR	OVIDE ACTUAL
2	DATA FOR THE LAST FIVE YEARS FOR EACH TABLE.	
3		
4	RESPONSE:	
5	In reference to table SW-3 (Determination of Billable Gross Area), see t	able PA I-13
6	TABLE 1 for last five years of historical billable Gross Area.	
7		
8	In reference to table SW-4 (Determination of Billable Impervious Area)	, see table PA I-13
9	TABLE 2 for last five years of historical billable Impervious Area.	
10		
11	In reference to table SW-5 (Credit projections), see table PA I-13 TABL	LE 3 for last five
12	years of historical number of parcels receiving credits and impervious and	nd gross area
13	credits.	
14		
15		
16	RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LI	LC.
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PA-I-14. REFERENCE TABLE SW-5. PLEASE PROVIDE A DETAILED
2	EXPLANATION OF LINE ITEMS 1-3 AND 5-7.
3	
4	RESPONSE:
5	Line No. 1-3 and 5-7 of Table SW-5: Credit Projections are described in Schedule BV-6
6	WP-2 – Stormwater Units of Service.
7	
8	Line No. 1 represents the total number of parcels projected to receive Impervious Area
9	Reduction (IAR) Credits.
10	Line No. 2 represents the total number of parcels projected to receive GA/IA Managemen
11	Practice Credits including Non-surface and Surface Discharge credits.
12	Line No. 3 represents the total number of parcels projected to receive stormwater credits a
13	a results of the Stormwater Management Incentive Program (SMIP) and the Greened Acre
14	Retrofit Programs (GARP).
15	
16	Line No. 5 represents the reduction in billable impervious area resulting from Imperviou
17	Area Reduction (IAR) Credits.
18	Line No. 2 represents the reduction in billable impervious area resulting from GA/IA
19	Management Practice Credits including Non-surface and Surface Discharge credits.
20	Line No. 3 represents the reduction in billable impervious area from stormwater credit
21	resulting from the Stormwater Management Incentive Program (SMIP) and the Greened
22	Acre Retrofit Programs (GARP).
23	
24	For all of the line items noted above, the figures reflected in the table include both existing
25	credits, as of the end of FY 2019, and projected credits thereafter.

1	The Factors used to project GA/IA Management Credit for Non-Surface and Surface
2	Discharge Credits are presented in Table SW-11 and further discussed in the
3	aforementioned white paper.
4	
5	Projection of GA/IA Management Practice Credits as well as SMIP/GARP Credits are
6	described in the aforementioned white paper.
7	
8	RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	PA-1-15.	REFERENCE TABLE SW-12. PLEASE PROVIDE ACTUAL DATA FOR THI
2		LAST FIVE YEARS.
3		
4	RESPONSI	Ξ :
5	In re	ference to table SW-12 (Projected number of Billable Accounts), see table PA I-15
6	TAB	LE 1 for last five years of historical billable accounts.
7		
8	RESPONSI	E PROVIDED BY: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PA-I-16.	PROVIDE COPIES OF FILES: FINPLAN19.XLS, WCOS19_21.XLS, AND
2		SCOS19_21XLS IN MICROSOFT EXCEL FORMAT WITH ALL FORMULAS
3		INTACT. ALSO INCLUDE ALL SUPPORTING SCHEDULES AND
4		WORKPAPERS IN EXCEL FORMAT WITH ALL FORMULAS INTACT.
5		
6	RESPONSI	Ε:
7	The 1	Microsoft Excel-based Computer Models included in PWD's application will be
8	made	e available to Participants that execute a confidentially agreement. The Excel-based
9	mode	els, which include the following Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC
10	(Blac	ck & Veatch) Cost of Service Work papers (PWD Exhibit-6) are as follows:
11		1. Financial Plan (Finplan19.xlsm)
12		2. Wastewater Cost of Service (SCOS19_21.xlsm)
13		3. Water Cost of Service (WCOS19_21.xlsm)
14		
15	RESPONSI	E PROVIDED BY: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PA-I-17.	PLEASE EXPLAIN IN DETAIL ANY CHANGES IN THE COST
2		ALLOCATION AND RATE DESIGN METHODOLOGIES SINCE THE LAST
3		PROCEEDING.
4		
5	RESPONSI	E:
6	Ther	e are no significant changes in the cost allocation and rate design methodologies since
7	the la	ast proceeding. Note that the response to Question 37 in PWD Statement 7A, Direct
8	Testi	imony of Black & Veatch, identifies a revision to the allocation of water distribution-
9	relate	ed operating and maintenance expenses.
10		
11	RESPONSI	E PROVIDED BY: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PA-I-18.	PLEASE EXPLAIN IN DETAIL THE BASIS FOR ANY CHANGES IN THE
2		WATER CUSTOMER CLASS DEMAND FACTORS COMPARED TO THE
3		LAST PROCEEDING.
4		
5	RESPONSE	:
6	There	are no changes in the water customer class demand factors compared to the prior
7	proce	eding.
8		
9		
10	RESPONSE	PROVIDED BY: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PA-I-19.	FOR EACH OF T	HE PAST THREE YEA	RS, PLEASE PROVIDE FOR	R THE
2		WATER SYSTEM	1:		
3		A. SYSTEM A	AVERAGE DAY PROD	OUCTION;	
4		B. SYSTEM N	MAXIMUM DAY PRO	DUCTION; AND	
5		C. SYSTEM N	MAXIMUM HOUR PR	ODUCTION.	
6					
7	RESPONSE:	}			
8	A. SYSTEM	AVERAGE DAY P	RODUCTION;		
9		FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
10		222.2 MGD	222.6 MGD	221.8 MGD	
11					
12	B. SYSTEM	MAXIMUM DAY I	PRODUCTION; AND		
13		FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
14		263.8 MGD	301.0 MGD	258.1 MGD	
15					
16	C. SYSTEM	MAXIMUM HOUR	PRODUCTION		
17		FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
18		402.5 MGD	346.0 MGD	330.9 MGD	
19					
20	MGD = Mi	illions of gallons per	r day		
21					
22					
23	RESPONSE	PROVIDED BY:	Black & Veatch Manag	gement Consulting, LLC.	
24					
25					

1	PA-I-20.	PLEASE PROVIDE A COPY OF PWD'S MOST RECENT LONG-TERM
2		WATER SUPPLY PLAN.
3		
4	RESPONSE	:
5	The I	Department's full document is not publicly available due to security concerns. For an
6	overv	view of plan, please see response attachment PA ADV 4 Drinking Water Master Plan.
7		
8	The o	overview is also available via the following link:
9	https	://www.phila.gov/water/wu/Documents/PWD_DrinkingWaterMasterPlan.pdf
10		
11		
12	RESPONSE	PROVIDED BY: Thomas Spokas and Stephen J. Furtek, Philadelphia Water
13	Department	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PA-I-21.	PLEASE PROVIDE AN ESTIMATE OF THE QUANTITY OF WATER USED
2		FOR PUBLIC FIREFIGHTING FOR EACH OF THE LAST THREE YEARS.
3		
4	RESPONSE	Ε:
5	The 1	Department does not have an estimate on the quantity of water used for firefighting
6	purpo	oses for each of the last 3 years. However, for purposes of water accountability, an
7	estim	nate of 55MG/year is currently being used.
8		
9	RESPONSE	E PROVIDED BY: Donna Schwartz, Philadelphia Water Department
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PA-I-22.	FOR EACH CUSTOMER CLASS REFLECT IN THE WATER CLASS COST
2		OF SERVICE STUDY, PLEASE PROVIDE MONTHLY SALES FOR THE
3		MOST RECENT 36-MONTH AVAILABLE IN EXCEL FORMAT.
4		
5	RESPONSE) :
6	Ple	ease refer to the attached table: Response PA-I-22.xlxs
7		
8	RESPONSE	PROVIDED BY: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

PLEASE EXPLAIN IN DETAIL HOW THE MAXIMUM DAY AND
MAXIMUM HOUR CAPACITY FACTOR FOR EACH CLASS IN THE
WATER CLASS COST OF SERVICE STUDY WAS DETERMINED
(INCLUDING PUBLIC AND PRIVATE FIRE). INCLUDE SUPPORTING
CALCULATIONS AND DOCUMENTATION.

RESPONSE:

PA-I-23.

The customer type extra capacity factors were determined based on previous cost of service studies and rate proceedings. To review and verify the reasonableness of the capacity factors, Black & Veatch performed a capacity factor analysis according to the methodology outlined in Appendix A of AWWA Manual M-1: Principles of Water Rates, Fees, and Charges. Accordingly, Black & Veatch used the monthly customer billing data, and system historical peak demands, and weekly and hourly usage adjustments to derive an estimate of capacity factors for each customer type.

RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.

1	PA-I-24.	PLEASE IDENTIFY THE ANNUAL QUANTITIES OF NON-REVENUE
2		WATER BY TYPE FOR THE MOST RECENT FIVE-YEAR PERIOD
3		AVAILABLE. ALSO IDENTIFY ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION FOR THE
4		SAME FIVE ANNUAL PERIODS.
5		
6	RESPONSE	: :
7	Respo	onse attachment response PA-I-24 is the standard International Water Association /
8	AWV	VA water balance for the Department for the last five years with key definitions. A
9	detail	ed description of the water balance can be found in the AWWA M36 Manual.
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15	RESPONSE	PROVIDED BY: Philadelphia Water Department
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

SINCE ITS LAST CASE, HAS THE PWD CONDUCTED A
COMPREHENSIVE HOLISTIC REVIEW OF ITS RATE STRUCTURE FOR
WATER SERVICE UNDER WHICH SEPARATE RATE SCHEDULES WERE
CONSIDERED FOR CERTAIN CUSTOMER CLASSES? IF NO, WHY NOT?
IF YES, PLEASE DESCRIBE THIS REVIEW IN DETAIL AND PRESENT ALI
RESULTS AND FINDINGS OF THE REVIEW.

RESPONSE:

PA-I-25.

Since the last rate proceeding, PWD conducted an Alternative Rate Structure Analysis, a facilitated process to consider changes to its rate structure in three areas: water quantity charges, stormwater credits and incentives, and recovery of pension-related expenses. The Department recognizes that a comprehensive review of the current rate structure and analysis of alternative ratemaking methodologies is a lengthy and ongoing process, and the information presented in the Alternative Rate Structure Analysis Report is the first step.

The Alternative Rate Structure Analysis Report is available on the Water, Sewer and Storm Water Rate Board's website:

https://www.phila.gov/media/20191122181318/ARSG-Summary-Report-Final-2019.11.05.pdf

21

22

23

As noted on page 8 of the Alternative Rate Structure Analysis Report: "the City's existing billing system has many limitations and in particular, concerning customer types. Prior to implementing any rates by customer type, the Department would need to address these limitations and verify all customer types."

PHILADELPHIA WATER DEPARTMENT Response to PA Interrogatory

1	RESPONSE PROVIDED BY:	Philadelphia Water Department, Black & Veatch Management
2	Consulting, LLC	
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PA-I-27.	PLEASE PROVIDE A COPY OF ANY STUDIES PERFORMED BY THE
2		DEPARTMENT THAT EVALUATE THE EXTENT TO WHICH THE
3		STORMWATER CREDIT PROGRAM HAS REDUCED STORMWATER
4		VOLUMES.
5		
6	RESPONSE	: :
7	There	e are no such studies available at this time.
8		
9	RESPONSE	PROVIDED BY: Philadelphia Water Department
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PA-I-28.	REFERENCE SCHEDULE BV-4. PLEASE PROVIDE THE WORKPAPERS
2		AND CALCULATIONS SUPPORTING EACH NEWLY CALCULATED
3		CHARGE.
4		
5	RESPONSE	Σ:
6	There	e are only two new miscellaneous fees proposed which are for TAP customers -
7	Shute	off and Restoration of Water Service. These fees are based on the minimum bill for
8	TAP	customers and not based on any cost calculation for miscellaneous fees.
9		
10		
11	RESPONSE	E PROVIDED BY: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PA-I-29.	WHAT IS THE POPULATION OF PWD'S WATER SERVICE TERRITORY?
2		
3	RESPONSE	E:
4	The '	Water System's service area includes the City and one wholesale customer outside of
5	the C	City. According to the 2018 U.S. Census Bureau estimate, the City's population is
6	1,584	4,138.
7		
8	RESPONSE	E PROVIDED BY: Melissa LaBuda, Philadelphia Water Department
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

PA-I-30. REFERENCE BV-1, TABLE W-10. PLEASE IDENTIFY BY LINE ITEM, THE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH WATER QUALITY TESTING REFLECTED IN TEST YEAR O&M EXPENSE.

RESPONSE:

The estimated FY 2019 costs of the Bureau of Laboratory Services (BLS) are included in the following line items in Table W-10.

9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |

Line				
No.	Description		FY 2021 Costs	
3	Raw Water Pumping – Other	\$	158,321	
7	Purification & Treatment – Power & Pumping – Other	\$	576,460	
11	Purification & Treatment – Other – Other	\$	2,189,319	
15	Mains	\$	3,053,082	
16	Meters	\$	83,743	
17	Fire Hydrants	\$	32,036	
18	Filtered Water Storage	\$	60,512	
19	High Pressure Fire System	\$	0	
21	Customer Accounting & Collection	\$	505,262	
24	Administrative & General	\$	987,513	
	Total	\$	7,646,248	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

Note: The Allocation of the FY 2021 BLS costs is presented on PWD Exhibit-6: Black &
Veatch Management Consulting, LLC, Calculations Supporting Schedules BV-1, BV-2,
BV-3, and BV-5, WCOS17_19, Womallo-14, Column 7 (page 817).

There are additional water quality testing costs included in Line 8 (Purification & Treatment – Other) of Table W-10 which are associated with the labs located at each of the water treatment plants. The budgeted costs for each water treatment plant include the lab costs at each plant. At this time the costs for these labs are not readily identifiable as there is not a specific cost center or unit within the treatment plant budgets to isolate these lab costs.

RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

PLEASE IDENTIFY THE FREQUENCY WITH WHICH PWD PERFORMS
EACH WATER QUANTITY TEST AND THE CRITERIA WHICH
DETERMINES HOW FREQUENTLY EACH WATER QUALITY TEST
SHOULD BE PERFORMED (I.E., DAILY WEEKLY PRODUCTION
OUANTITY, ETC.).

RESPONSE:

PA-I-31.

The Department performs quantity and quality tests on many aspects of the water and wastewater treatment processes, which include raw water, water in various stages of treatment, potable water, untreated wastewater, wastewater in various stages of treatment and treated wastewater. The frequency of tests is determined by the Safe Drinking Water Act, Clean Water Act, state permits, such as the NPDES permits, state regulations and responsible treatment practices.

RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Donna Schwartz, Philadelphia Water Department

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

PA-I-32.	REFERENCE SECTION S.S.1 OF THE WATER WASTEWATER COST OF
	SERVICE REPORT. PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY THE MAXIMUM DAY IS 1.4
	IN THE MAXIMUM DAY CALCULATION BUT 1.3 IN THE MAXIMUM
	HOUR CALCULATION

RESPONSE:

Different Base/Maximum Day Extra Capacity/Maximum Hour Extra allocation percentages were used to allocate the power costs associated with the raw water pumping and treatment and pumping functional cost centers as a reflection of the operating characteristics of the facilities associated with each functional cost center. Raw water pumping facilities are designed and operate to meet the maximum day demands and as such do not include an allocation of power costs to the maximum hour extra capacity component.

Note that the Base/Maximum Day Extra Capacity/Maximum Hour Extra allocation percentages used to allocate the power costs associated with the raw water pumping and treatment and pumping functional cost centers are consistent with prior cost of service studies.

RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.

1	PA-I-33.	IN THE WASTEWATER COST OF SERVICE STUDY, PLEASE EXPLAIN		
2		HOW THE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH I/I ARE ALLOCATED TO EACH		
3		CUSTOMER TYPE.		
4				
5	RESPONSE	:		
6	In accordance with the prior rate proceeding decisions, the cost of service and rate design			
7	for the current study reflects a 30 percent recovery of pumping and treatment related I/I			
8	costs through the service charge and 70 percent through the volume charge (PWD Statement			
9	7A, S	chedule BV-5, page 140 and 145).		
10				
11	RESPONSE	PROVIDED BY: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.		
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

PA-I-34. REFERENCE SCHEDULE BV-6 WP-1, PAGE 13:

- A. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A NON-SURFACE DISCHARGE CREDIT AND A SURFACE DISCHARGE CREDIT; AND
- B. PLEASE PROVIDE TOTAL IA AND GA FOR EACH FISCAL YEAR.

RESPONSE:

A. With respect to the Water Department's stormwater credit program, the primary difference between non-surface and surface discharge credit holders is the maximum credit allowed.

As defined in Section 4.5 (c)(1)(ii) of the Water Department's Rates and Charges, in order to receive Impervious Area Credit for a non-surface discharge, "the customer must demonstrate management of the first inch of stormwater run-off in one of the three following ways: (A) infiltration, (B) detention and slow release, and/or (C) routing through an approved volume-reducing SMP."

As defined in Section 4.5 (c)(1)(iii) of the Water Department's Rates and Charges, in order to receive Impervious Area Credit for a surface discharge, "the Customer must demonstrate that a portion or all of the impervious area discharges directly to a surface water body."

As defined in Section 4.5 (c)(2)(i) of the Water Department's Rates and Charges, with respect to Gross Area Credit, customers receiving IA credit receive "GA credit based on the criteria defined in Section 4.5(c)(1)(ii) and (iii) herein."

Customers may also be eligible for GA Credit for "Open Space area only" as defined in Section 4.5 (c)(2)(ii) of the Water Department's Rates and Charges as well as "National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Credit" as defined in Section 4.5(c)(3) of the Water Department's Rates and Charges.

The credit maximums by credit type as defined in Section 4.5 (d) of the Water Department's Rates and Charges are summarized in the following table:

	Non-Surface	<u>Surface</u>
Impervious Area Credit		
IAR	100%	100%
IA Managed	80%	90%
Gross Area Credit		
IA Managed	80%	90%
Open Space Area	80%	90%
NPDES Credit		
IA Managed	7%	7%
Open Space Area	7%	7%

The credit program is further detailed in in the Water Department's "Stormwater Management Service Charge Credits and Appeals Manual"

B. The total GA credit and total IA credit for Non-Surface Discharge and Surface Discharge Credit for holders for FY 2013 to FY 2019 is provided on Page 13 of Schedule BV-6: WP-1.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	

Projections of future Non-Surface and Surface Discharge credits are discussed in Schedule BV-6: WP-2 – Stormwater Units of Service (see Page 7). The resulting projections of overall stormwater credits due to GA/IA management practices as summarized in Tables SW-5 includes the impact of both Non-Surface and Surface Discharge credits. The projection factors used are presented in Table SW-11.

RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.

PA-I-35. REFERENCE BV-1, TABLE W-10:

PLEASE IDENTIFY LABOR EXPENSE BY LINE ITEM; AND A.

B. PLEASE IDENTIFY THE EXTENT TO WHICH TREATMENT PLANT

LABOR EXPENSE WOULD INCREASE ON A MAXIMUM DAY TO AN

AMOUNT HIGHER THAN THAT EXPERIENCED ON AN AVERAGE DAY.

RESPONSE:

A. Estimated FY 2019 direct labor expenses are included in the following line items in Table W-10:

Line No.	Description	FY 2021 Costs	
3	Raw Water Pumping – Other	\$	1,569,407
7	Purification & Treatment – Power & Pumping – Other	\$	5,714,350
11	Purification & Treatment – Other – Other	\$	21,666,993
15	Mains	\$	37,106,938
16	Meters	\$	1,030,080
17	Fire Hydrants	\$	389,358
18	Filtered Water Storage	\$	735,454
19	High Pressure Fire System	\$	0
21	Customer Accounting & Collection	\$	1,636,683
24	Administrative & General	\$	0
	Total	\$	68,849,263

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	
2	2
	3

Note: The Allocation of the FY 2021 direct personnel costs is presented on PWD Exhibit-6: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC, Calculations Supporting Schedules BV-1, BV-2, BV-3, and BV-5, WCOS17_19, Womallo-12, Column 9 (pages 814 and 815).

B. Black & Veatch is not aware of any available staffing or labor analysis which would identify the extent to which treatment plant labor expense would increase on a maximum day to an amount higher than that experienced on an average day.

It should be noted that the allocation basis for water treatment labor expense reflected in the current cost of service study is consistent with the AWWA's "Principles of Water Rates, Fees, and Charges" Manual of Water Supply Practices M1. As indicated on pages 66-67 of the manual: "Expenses other than power, chemical, and customer-related costs can be allocated to cost components on the basis of operating considerations or the design capacity requirements of each facility." The allocation basis for the water treatment labor expense in the current cost of service study reflects the maximum day demand, which is consistent with the design capacity requirement and operating basis of PWD's water treatment facilities.

RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.

24