WATER, SEWER AND STORM WATER RATE BOARD TECHNICAL HEARING

* * *

Technical Hearing, taken at 1515 Arch

Street, Finance and Contracts Division, 17th Floor,

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 19102, beginning at

10:02 a.m., on Friday, May 11, 2018, before Karen A.

Stevens, Court Reporter and Notary Public, there

being present:

* * *

PRECISION REPORTING, INC.

230 South Broad Street - 3rd Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19102
(215) 731-9847
1-800-528-3060
1134 Parliament Way
West Deptford, NJ 08086
(856) 848-4978

1 * *

2 PROCEEDINGS

3 * * *

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4 MS. BROCKWAY: Good morning. My name is 5 Nancy Brockway. I'm the Hearing Officer in this case. With me is the Chair of the 6 7 Philadelphia Water, Sewer, Waste Water Rate Board, Sonny Popowsky. This morning we are 8 9 going to start with a public hearing component. We have with us the Commissioner of the fire 10 department, and the water department asked that 11 12 he be allowed to make a statement on the 13 record. We are going to take that as a public 14 input hearing. Mr. Dasent?

MR. DASENT: Your Honor, please. We have the written testimony of Commissioner Adam K. Thiel of the Philadelphia Fire Department.

We'd like to mark it as an exhibit and move its admission into the record. Commissioner Thiel is here and may have some brief remarks to make, but he appreciates your accommodation in getting him on the record early in the day so he can attend to a lot of other business. I will hand up the testimony of Fire Commissioner

- 1 Thiel for the court reporter.
- MS. BROCKWAY: As you're coming up, these
- 3 are going to be public input hearing exhibits,
- 4 so they don't need to be marked as part of the
- 5 technical transcript. Everybody in agreement
- 6 about that?
- 7 ALL: Yes.
- 8 MR. DASENT: And if they're attached to
- 9 the transcript they will be scanned and
- available to the public as well, as opposed to
- 11 Cody posting them separately.
- MS. BROCKWAY: Yes.
- 13 MR. DASENT: Thank you. With that, will
- 14 Fire Commissioner Thiel come up?
- 15 MS. BROCKWAY: In these hearings we don't
- 16 swear people in. We are somewhat informal, but
- we take everybody's testimony very seriously.
- 18 MR. THIEL: Good morning. On behalf of
- the men and women of the Philadelphia Fire
- Department, we appreciate your accommodation of
- 21 my schedule and also your consideration of the
- 22 written testimony submitted this morning.
- Thank you.
- 24 MR. DASENT: Thank you, Your Honor. And

consistent with our agreement the Fire 1 2 Commissioner is testifying in the capacity as a 3 fire commissioner as opposed to a rate expert. MS. BROCKWAY: Yes. So you did not want 4 5 to have a verbal statement, and that the written statement is going to be.... 6 7 MR. DASENT: Yes. We stand on the written statement indicating the importance of the 8 9 matter, and that's why the Fire Commissioner is 10 in attendance as well. MS. BROCKWAY: We appreciate your 11 12 attendance. We'll get to record requests when 13 we open the regular hearing. 14 Do you have any questions? 15 MR. POPOWSKY: Thank you for coming. 16 MS. BROCKWAY: Thanks for taking your 17 time. 18 That concludes the public input portion of today's hearing. We'll now turn to the second 19 20 day of the technical hearings in the 2019-21 21 Philadelphia Water Department rate case. Before we get back to other witnesses, we had a 22 23 discussion about some technical matters that

the fire department could help us with.

1 And, Rob, did you have some record 2 requests on that?

MR. BALLENGER: Yes. Thank you, MadamHearing Officer. This is Robert Ballenger for

i mearing officer. This is nober barrenger for

6 questions which really could be written as one,

the Public Advocate. And I just had two

7 but let's make it one transcript request in two

8 parts. The first part is, what types of

9 services does the fire department provide that

are funded through user-based charges. The

11 second portion of that transcript request would

12 be, please explain how any existing fire

department user-based charges are recovered.

MS. BROCKWAY: Can you do both of those

more slowly?

5

MR. BALLENGER: Yes. I'm sorry, I might

not get it exactly the same this time around.

18 The first part of the request is what type of

19 service does the fire department provide that

are funded through user-based charges. The

21 follow-up question is to please explain how any

fire department user -- pardon me, how existing

fire department user-based charges are

24 recovered.

MS. BROCKWAY: Just so I understand what 1 2 you're asking, and this is an example and no relation to reality, but for example, if every 3 time the fire department came to your home and 4 5 prevented it from burning down you were charged a hundred dollars? 6 7 MR. BALLENGER: That would be one example. 8 Another might be emergency medical services 9 that the fire department provides. 10 MS. BROCKWAY: So is that clear what user-based fees are, Andre? 11 12 MR. DASENT: Yes. 13 MS. BROCKWAY: Charges. Do you have 14 those, Andre? 15 MR. DASENT: Yes. 16 MS. BROCKWAY: It's suggested to me that that's transcript request 4. 17 18 MR. BALLENGER: Yes, that's correct. 19 MR. DASENT: I think that's correct. 20 MS. BROCKWAY: Where are we this morning? 21 I've forgotten exactly how much we got through. 22 Are we completely finished with the panel on 23 the TAP rider? 24 MR. DASENT: Yes, we are finished with

- that particular panel. We are ready to present
- our second panel, Raftelis Financial
- 3 Consultants; Michelle Bethel, RaVonne Muhammad
- 4 and Joanne Dahme and Donna Schwartz from the
- 5 Water Department.
- 6 MR. BALLENGER: May I just ask, who from
- 7 the department would answer questions about the
- 8 fire protection services today? Because we
- 9 have fire protection on the ajenda as well.
- 10 MR. DASENT: As you recall, we removed the
- 11 fire protection rebuttal testimony that
- 12 Commissioner Thiel presented from the rebuttal
- 13 statement. As a consequence, we were not
- 14 prepared to -- he was to speak to that issue,
- and the other issues were briefing issues, as
- we discussed off the record.
- 17 MR. BALLENGER: I believe we agreed to
- 18 that with respect to the rebuttal statement,
- 19 but my understanding is that there was going to
- 20 be some cross of Roger on fire protection, and
- I wanted to cross the department on its
- 22 original position on fire protection, which is
- in its original statement in this case.
- MS. BROCKWAY: Off the record.

1 2 (Whereupon, a brief off-the-record discussion was held.) 3 4 5 MS. BROCKWAY: Mr. Ballenger, can you give us an idea what questions you do have? 6 7 MR. BALLENGER: I did have a question directed to the AWWA manual's treatment of fire 8 9 protection charges. MR. DASENT: Well, Black and Veatch is in 10 the room for that. 11 12 MR. BALLENGER: I have a couple questions 13 just about fire hydrants and the department's 14 role in maintaining the fire protection system. 15 MR. DASENT: Deputy McCarty is here for 16 that also -- Commissioner, sorry. 17 MS. BROCKWAY: I appreciate that. We'll 18 take a transcript request, but if Commissioner McCarty is willing to address that we can 19 20 address the question to her. 21 MR. BALLENGER: I don't have a lot. 22 think three or four questions total. 23 MS. BROCKWAY: Now we'll proceed with the 24 other panel with Raftelis and department

1 witnesses. 2 MR. DASENT: We have a brief housekeeping matter. There is an errata sheet we'd like to 3 4 exhibit. We'll hand up the errata sheet. 5 We'll mark that as Rebuttal 4. MS. BROCKWAY: Let's go off the record. 6 7 (Whereupon, a brief off-the-record 8 9 discussion was held.) 10 MR. DASENT: It relates to rebuttal 11 12 statement page 10, line 18 and 19. It's the 13 better part of one sentence. 14 MS. BROCKWAY: May I mark on this hard 15 copy, Andre? 16 MR. DASENT: Yes. Just so the record is 17 clear, the errata sheet related to rebuttal statement number 4 of the water department and 18 water revenue bureau and its consultants. And 19 20 it relates specifically to page 10, lines 18 to 21 19. It's one sentence, not even a full 22 sentence. 23 MS. BROCKWAY: I have in the errata

rebuttal statement 4 dash 9 as an attachment.

I don't have 10. 1 2 MR. DASENT: Let me show you mine. MS. BROCKWAY: We'll go off the record. 3 4 5 (Whereupon, a brief off-the-record discussion was held.) 6 7 8 MR. DASENT: With that, Your Honor, we 9 authenticated all the statements represented 10 this week, yesterday, by stipulation, if you recall. So without any further authentication 11 12 or by motion we would proffer these witnesses 13 for cross-examination. 14 MS. BROCKWAY: Thank you, Mr. Dasent. 15 Does the court reporter have the names of the witnesses? 16 17 MR. DASENT: Yes. 18 MS. BROCKWAY: Mr. Ballenger? 19 MR. BALLENGER: Thank you, Madam Hearing 20 Officer, and thank you to the witnesses for 21 being here today. As with yesterday, I think 22 most of you were here, maybe not all of you, 23 but I ask that one witness respond to the

question and that we not have a bunch of

- different witnesses jumping in, so I can
- 2 understand the position clearly from the
- 3 perspective of the witness who is sponsoring
- 4 the section of the testimony we are going to
- 5 talk about today. Is that okay?
- 6 MR. DASENT: Well, the lead on the panel
- 7 will respond, and that's Michelle Bethel, and
- 8 if it's necessary to hand off --
- 9 MR. BALLENGER: Then Miss Bethel will so
- 10 indicate. Thank you. We did that yesterday.
- * * *
- 12 CROSS
- 13 EXAMINATION
- 14 * * *
- 15 BY MR. BALLENGER:
- 16 Q I wanted to start by following up on one
- 17 thing from yesterday. I asked a question of the
- 18 earlier panel about how the department identified,
- 19 quote, the most vulnerable low income customers
- 20 during the launch of the TAP program, and
- 21 specifically I asked what criteria were used. Miss
- 22 Bethel, could you illuminate that discussion
- 23 somewhat and tell us what criteria were used to
- 24 determine the most vulnerable low income customers

- 1 for TAP outreach?
- 2 A So on the basic level, the most vulnerable
- 3 customers were determined by household size and
- 4 income, and arrearages were not factored into
- 5 determining vulnerability.
- 6 Q Okay. Did you take into account any known
- 7 information about the household, such as the age of
- 8 its members or any known illnesses or medical
- 9 conditions in the household?
- 10 A The age in reference to if they didn't
- 11 have income or not, that would be factored in,
- 12 because we wouldn't be looking for income for a
- 13 child. But we are using the household income and if
- 14 there is a special hardship that otherwise would --
- 15 they wouldn't have been income qualified for TAP,
- 16 yes, we would have taken that into consideration.
- 17 MS. BROCKWAY: Before we go further, it
- 18 would be helpful for the record to be very
- 19 clear about what stage of the actions of the
- department or the board we are talking about.
- 21 Are we talking about advertising and so forth?
- 22 Are we talking about once an application has
- been filed how do you weigh what's in and
- 24 what's out and so forth?

- 1 MR. BALLENGER: Thank you.
- 2 BY MR. BALLENGER:
- 3 Q In case the answer's any different, in the
- 4 first instance I was referring to the June 2017
- 5 outreach. Does that change any of the answers in
- 6 terms of how the department identified the most
- 7 vulnerable low income customers?
- 8 A Yes, that does change the answer.
- 9 Q Okay. Thank you.
- 10 A So the answer for who we did outreach to,
- 11 we did it to our existing WRAP customers and
- 12 previous WRAP customers that were in prior fiscals.
- 13 So people that may have paid in full that may not be
- 14 active WRAP customers, but we wanted to catch the
- 15 population that we knew had recently been.
- MS. BROCKWAY: WARP.
- 17 THE WITNESS: WRAP. Water Revenue
- 18 Assistance Program, that's what WRAP stands
- 19 for.
- 20 BY MR. BALLENGER:
- 21 Q Thank you. So did you take into account
- 22 or look at all at the customers who may have
- 23 submitted medical certificates to delay a shutoff in
- 24 determining who were the most vulnerable customers

- 1 for that outreach?
- 2 A No.
- 3 Q Aside from the two groups that you talked
- 4 about, the current WRAP and former WRAP, did you
- 5 look at customers based on where they might be in
- 6 the collection process?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q So, for example, if someone had a pending
- 9 sheriff's sale they would not have received an
- 10 outreach just because of that potential sheriff's
- 11 sale?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q Did you cross check at all with customers
- 14 who would have an existing owner-occupied payment
- 15 agreement for their back taxes for purposes of that
- 16 outreach?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q Okay. Did you prioritize customers whose
- 19 service had recently been terminated?
- 20 A No.
- 21 Q Okay. I just want to be clear. I know
- 22 what you said. I just want to make sure, because I
- 23 think there's other ways we can define the most
- 24 vulnerable, and I wanted to understand your

- 1 definition a little bit better. I'd like to turn to
- 2 the rebuttal statement. And generally I'm going to
- 3 go through this as best I can in order, and I'll
- 4 indicate where I am in the rebuttal when I ask the
- 5 question. To start, on Page 2 of your rebuttal
- 6 statement there is a Q and A, Question 4. And in
- 7 general, in this section of your rebuttal testimony
- 8 it appears to me that you are essentially construing
- 9 the law as it relates to what the Board's authority
- 10 is. And I just want to confirm that no one on the
- 11 panel is testifying as an expert on the law; is that
- 12 correct?
- 13 A That is correct.
- 14 Q And I suppose in preparing this section of
- 15 your testimony, did you utilize any advice from your
- 16 counsel?
- 17 A I did.
- 18 Q So should I essentially understand that
- 19 your answer to this first question, Question 4,
- 20 generally I should understand this answer was
- 21 provided on the advice of counsel; is that right?
- 22 A That is correct.
- 23 Q Can you please identify which counsel
- 24 assisted you with this portion of your testimony?

- 1 MS. BROCKWAY: I'm not sure that's
- 2 necessary. If it's on advice of counsel it
- 3 will receive the weight it deserves as a
- 4 witness testimony and the rest can be briefed
- 5 and I don't think it matters who the counsel
- 6 was.
- 7 BY MR. BALLENGER:
- 8 Q As to the specific statement that you make
- 9 in this answer, you say, "The rate board has only
- 10 the powers and authority granted in the Philadelphia
- 11 Code, Section 13 dash 101, Paragraph 3." Do you see
- 12 that statement on line 17 and 18 of Page 2?
- 13 A I do.
- 14 Q Presumably your statement is then based on
- 15 the words used in Section 13 dash 101, Paragraph 3
- of the Philadelphia Code; is that correct?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 Q Would you know whether any specific
- 19 language of the Philadelphia Code, Section 13 dash
- 20 101, Paragraph 3 authorizes the Board to hire a
- 21 consultant like Mr. Marcus?
- 22 A I don't know that.
- 23 Q Would you know whether any of the language
- 24 in the code authorizes the Board to hire a hearing

- 1 officer?
- 2 A I don't know that.
- 3 Q I'd like to ask you to think about the
- 4 Board's authority a little bit differently. I have
- 5 a hypothetical, so bear with me. Let's say for the
- 6 sake of argument that the Board approved a separate
- 7 distinct usage rate that applied only to residential
- 8 duplexes. If I was a customer and owner of a
- 9 residential duplex, would you agree that I would be
- 10 entitled to the rate that the Board approved?
- 11 A Could you say that hypothetical question
- 12 again?
- 13 Q Certainly. If the Board approved a
- 14 specific distinct usage rate for residential
- 15 duplexes, and I was an owner and customer of a
- 16 residential duplex, would I be entitled to that rate
- 17 that the Board approved?
- 18 MR. DASENT: If the question asks for a
- 19 legal conclusion it's objectionable and I note
- 20 that objection. If Miss Bethel can answer as a
- 21 layperson as someone who administers various
- 22 programs for WRB, in that context she should
- answer.
- MS. BROCKWAY: I wouldn't call her a

- layperson, but I would accept that she's not a
- 2 lawyer and is testifying as to her
- 3 understanding as an administrator of the
- 4 applications that she has.
- 5 MR. BALLENGER: I think I can lead up to
- 6 the question a little bit differently.
- 7 BY MR. BALLENGER:
- 8 Q Is the Water Revenue Bureau responsible
- 9 for billing customers at the approved rates set by
- 10 the rate board?
- 11 A Yes, we are.
- 12 Q If I was entitled to a distinct rate under
- 13 the rate board's approved rate schedule, would it be
- 14 correct that you would bill me at that rate?
- 15 A That is correct.
- 16 Q Okay. So again, if there were a specific
- 17 rate for residential duplexes you would agree with
- 18 me, would you not, that would be the rate you would
- 19 charge the customers at residential duplexes?
- 20 A If that is the established rate, the Water
- 21 Revenue Bureau will bill at the established rate.
- 22 Q Then let's say that a study concluded that
- 23 there were 10,000 residential duplexes and only a
- 24 handful of them were being billed at the Board's

- 1 approved residential duplex rate. Is it your
- 2 testimony that the Board would be powerless to act
- 3 upon that failure?
- 4 A I don't have an opinion on that particular
- 5 part of your hypothetical question.
- 6 Q Okay. But you do agree that those
- 7 residential duplexes would have been entitled to the
- 8 Board's approved rate?
- 9 A I agree if the rate is set at a specific
- 10 point, WRB will bill at the rate that is set.
- 11 Q If WRB does not bill at the rate that is
- 12 set, is it your testimony the Board has no authority
- 13 over that issue?
- 14 A I guess administratively I could appeal
- 15 that.
- 16 O For an individual customer?
- 17 A Right, the individual customer would be
- 18 able to appeal that.
- 19 Q But the Board that established those
- 20 rates, in your opinion, would not have any authority
- 21 on that matter?
- 22 A I don't know that I have an opinion on
- 23 that. I think I've gone a little too far in the
- 24 hypothetical.

- 1 Q That's the end of the hypothetical, Miss
- 2 Bethel. I have several more. No, I'm kidding.
- 3 Okay. Let's move on to Q and A 5. Look at Page 4.
- 4 And on lines 8 through 12 it appears to me that
- 5 you're referring to what's called a zero income
- 6 form. Are you familiar with that section of the
- 7 testimony?
- 8 A I am.
- 9 Q And just to check with you about this, are
- 10 you aware of ongoing discussions at the PUC
- 11 regarding modifications to the zero income form
- 12 and/or the abandonment of the use of the zero income
- 13 form?
- 14 A I am not.
- 15 Q So you wouldn't be aware that there was a
- 16 meeting on this in Harrisburg on Monday?
- 17 A Not at all.
- 18 Q As we go down Page 5, I'm turning the
- 19 page, sorry. Page 5, lines 4 through 8 you describe
- 20 the TAP review process as a two part process, which
- 21 you say acts as a form of quality control. Do you
- 22 see that?
- 23 A I do.
- Q On the preceding page, when we were

- 1 talking about the zero income form, my understanding
- 2 is that you were relying upon PUC-approved forms; is
- 3 that correct?
- 4 A Relying on?
- 5 Q Your testimony describes the use of
- 6 PUC-approved forms on Page 4, right?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q So regarding your statement on Page 5 and
- 9 the two part review process, are you aware of any
- 10 PUC regulated utility that employs a two part review
- 11 process for customer assistance?
- 12 A I am not.
- 13 Q Are you aware of any community-based
- 14 organizations that are contracted by utility
- 15 companies to administer their assistance programs,
- 16 are you aware of any of those organizations using a
- 17 two part process?
- 18 A I am not.
- 19 Q Does LIHEAP use a two part review process?
- 20 A I don't know.
- 21 Q Are you aware of any means tested low
- 22 income program that uses a two part review process
- 23 for all applicants?
- 24 A I am not.

- 1 Q Have you done or reviewed any kind of
- 2 analysis of the effectiveness of the two part review
- 3 process?
- 4 A I have not.
- 5 Q Have you done or reviewed any kind of
- 6 analysis of the rate at which customers receive
- 7 assistance they are not qualified for under a single
- 8 layer review process?
- 9 A I am not.
- 10 Q Are there any other rates that the
- 11 department provides for which a two part review
- 12 process is necessary to access the rate?
- 13 A Could you repeat the question?
- 14 Q Are there any other rates that require a
- 15 two part review process in order for the customer to
- 16 be approved?
- 17 MR. DASENT: That assumes that she knows
- 18 of every possible alternative. I'm not sure
- 19 the way you phrased it.
- 20 BY MR. BALLENGER:
- 21 Q I'm sorry. Are there any other PWD rates
- 22 that require a two part review process for approval?
- 23 A Not that I'm aware in reference to quality
- 24 control.

- 1 Q I'm not sure I understand the response.
- 2 Are you aware of any that require a two part review
- 3 process for some other purpose?
- 4 A No, I am not. I just was trying to
- 5 clarify the two-tiered response in reference to
- 6 establishing the TAP rate.
- 7 Q Okay. But just to be clear, there are no
- 8 other rates that the department provides that
- 9 require a two part review process?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q I'd like to turn the page. I spent so
- 12 much time on one page yesterday. On Page 6, lines
- 13 16 through 18 of your statement, it says here,
- 14 "Customers are never harmed by the timeframe for
- 15 review, because they are protected from enforcement
- 16 for the full period of time the application is under
- 17 review." Do you see that statement?
- 18 A I do.
- 19 Q This is referring to the timeframe for
- 20 review of a TAP application; is that correct?
- 21 A That is correct.
- 22 Q Okay. I'd just like to ask a couple
- 23 questions about the process a little bit and the
- 24 timeframe for review. When a customer is waiting

- 1 for their application to be reviewed, they continue
- 2 to receive full price bills, do they not?
- 3 A That is correct.
- 4 Q If those bills are unaffordable to the
- 5 customer, their nonpayment would create or add to
- 6 their water debt, would it not?
- 7 A That is correct.
- 8 Q Assuming a customer is after application
- 9 ultimately approved for TAP, would the debt they had
- 10 accrued during -- let me start over. Assuming the
- 11 customer enrolls in TAP, their debt would become
- 12 pre-TAP arrears, correct?
- 13 A Say that one more time.
- 14 Q Assuming a customer successfully enrolls
- 15 in TAP, the debt they owe at that time would be
- 16 pre-TAP arrears?
- 17 A That's correct.
- 18 Q And that would include any debt that
- 19 accumulated during the time period they were waiting
- 20 for approval; is that correct?
- 21 A That is correct.
- 22 O If that customer was unable to enroll in
- 23 TAP or was denied access to TAP, they would have to
- 24 pay that accumulated debt, would they not?

- 1 A That is correct.
- 2 Q The customer who leaves TAP, they would
- 3 also have to pay any accumulated debt, would they
- 4 not?
- 5 A That is correct.
- 6 Q While the customer is waiting for
- 7 enrollment, Water Revenue can lien the property for
- 8 the debt, can they not?
- 9 A We can, but we do not.
- 11 applicants for TAP but who have not enrolled in TAP;
- 12 is that correct?
- 13 A That is correct.
- 14 Q At what point in time did that become a
- 15 practice of Water Revenue?
- 16 A Since TAP's implementation the lien of TAP
- 17 customers has been our practice.
- 18 Q Okay. So --
- 19 A Not lien.
- 20 Q Thank you. So an applicant for TAP who is
- 21 not yet enrolled for TAP, is not a TAP customer, so
- 22 my understanding, please correct me if I'm wrong, is
- 23 that applicants for TAP were still subject to liens;
- 24 is that correct?

- 1 A Prior to submission or once they submit?
- Q At any time prior to enrollment in TAP,
- 3 isn't it true a customer can be liened for their
- 4 debt?
- 5 A No, that is not true. They could be, but
- 6 we are not liening, correct.
- 7 O So one second. There was another
- 8 statement in your testimony here. Okay. So if I
- 9 just look at Page 8, lines 9 through 10, it states,
- 10 "However, the WRB has adopted a standard operating
- 11 procedure to exempt TAP customers from having their
- 12 arrears subject to liens." Do you see that
- 13 statement?
- 14 A I do.
- 15 Q Should that statement be revised to say
- 16 that the standard operating procedure exempts TAP
- 17 applicants as well as customers from having their
- 18 arrears subject to liens?
- 19 A Say that again.
- 20 Q Should that statement be revised to say
- 21 that the procedure exempts TAP applicants as well as
- 22 customers from having their arrears subject to
- 23 liens?
- 24 A Subject to check, I think adding both of

- 1 those words would be a more inclusive response.
- 2 MR. BALLENGER: I'd like to ask a
- 3 transcript request that the department provide
- 4 a copy of its standard operating procedure
- 5 regarding the imposition of liens on TAP
- 6 applicants as well as TAP customers. I believe
- 7 that would be transcript request 5.
- 8 BY MR. BALLENGER:
- 9 Q Just to be extra clear, a customer who has
- 10 already been liened prior to applying for TAP would
- 11 not be protected from that lien; is that correct?
- 12 A Prior to application?
- 13 0 Yes.
- 14 A That is correct.
- 15 Q Okay. So that lien would remain on the
- 16 property?
- 17 A That is correct.
- 18 Q And then after enrolling in TAP -- oh,
- 19 sorry. I'm getting some help. During the period
- 20 between the submission of an application and
- 21 enrollment in TAP, is any change made to the lien
- 22 status of the customer's property?
- 23 A No.
- 24 Q And after approval for TAP and enrollment

- 1 in TAP is any change made to the lien status on the
- 2 customer's property?
- 3 A The existing liens?
- 4 O Yes.
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q Okay. Going back to the statement on Page
- 7 6 that we were discussing before at line 16 through
- 8 18, would you maintain that a customer has not been
- 9 harmed by the timeframe for review if the customer
- 10 pays their full-priced water bills while waiting for
- 11 enrollment in TAP and sacrifices on other
- 12 necessities, such as food and medical care?
- 13 MR. DASENT: Is that a hypothetical?
- MR. BALLENGER: I think yesterday we
- 15 talked a little bit about overall resources
- 16 within the household. So I'm just trying to
- 17 understand what exactly is meant by the
- 18 statement "customers are never harmed," because
- 19 I think that asks for a hypothetical.
- MS. BROCKWAY: Well, whether hypothetical
- or not, I'll allow the question.
- 22 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?
- 23 BY MR. BALLENGER:
- 24 Q Sure. Would you maintain that a customer

- 1 has not been harmed by the timeframe for review if
- 2 the customer pays the full-priced water bills during
- 3 that time period while they wait for TAP enrollment
- 4 and sacrifices on other necessities like food and
- 5 medical care?
- 6 A I can't speak for how the customer feels
- 7 about the sacrifice, but that isn't a harm caused by
- 8 WRB's billing.
- 9 Q Okay. We were talking just a minute ago
- 10 about the statement on Page 8, lines 9 through 10
- 11 about the standard operating procedure to exempt TAP
- 12 customers from having arrears subject to lien. In
- 13 the immediately preceding sentence your testimony
- 14 states, "The regulations do not restrict WRB from
- 15 placing liens on a TAP customer's arrears." What
- 16 regulations are you referring to in that statement?
- 17 A Subject to check. I don't know that off
- 18 the top of my head.
- 19 Q Okay. Was this also a section of your
- 20 testimony where you received advice from counsel in
- 21 the preparation of it?
- 22 A Yes, it is.
- 23 Q So when you refer to regulations, it's the
- 24 regulations as advised by your counsel?

- 1 A Correct.
- 2 MS. BROCKWAY: Just so I have it all in
- 3 the transcript at one place, do you have a set
- 4 of written regulations that you refer to in the
- 5 course of your administration?
- 6 THE WITNESS: Yes, I can put my hands on
- 7 the written regulations, but I don't have them.
- 8 It's not a part of all this paper today. I
- 9 apologize.
- 10 BY MR. BALLENGER:
- 11 Q No need. There is a lot of paper involved
- 12 in this case. So I'd like to turn to the discussion
- 13 of arrearage forgiveness. And on Page 9, lines 5
- 14 through 7 of your testimony you state that, "Under
- 15 the City charter responsibility for City debt more
- 16 than 90 days old is assigned to the law department.
- 17 The rate board does not have the authority or
- 18 jurisdiction to direct PWD or WRB to provide full
- 19 arrearage forgiveness." Do you see that statement?
- 20 A I do.
- 21 Q To be clear, the word "full" that you use
- 22 in that statement seems to be an important word.
- 23 Would you also say the rate board does not have the
- 24 authority or jurisdiction to provide partial

- 1 arrearage forgiveness?
- 2 A Could you repeat the question?
- 3 Q Sure. Your statement says that the rate
- 4 board does not have the authority or jurisdiction to
- 5 provide full arrearage forgiveness. Would you say
- 6 the rate board has the authority or jurisdiction to
- 7 provide partial arrearage forgiveness?
- 8 MR. DASENT: Sounds like a legal
- 9 conclusion again.
- 10 MS. BROCKWAY: I'll allow her to give her
- 11 understanding of it. If she's got legal
- material in her testimony, she's open to
- 13 questions about it. She can say, "I don't
- 14 know. The lawyers told me this, "but we'll
- allow her knowledge of the rules under which
- she's operating to be probed.
- 17 THE WITNESS: To answer your question,
- what I would normally do if you asked me that
- is say, "Let me talk to my attorney," so I
- 20 don't have an interpretation of the change that
- 21 you made, the difference between full or
- 22 partial.
- 23 BY MR. BALLENGER:
- 24 Q Okay. You cite here, too, the city

- 1 charter as the source of this legal conclusion in
- 2 your testimony. And is it safe to say that whatever
- 3 provisions of the city charter you are relying upon
- 4 have not been amended recently, to your knowledge?
- 5 A To my knowledge, no.
- 6 MS. BROCKWAY: Before you leave these
- 7 sentences, just so I can understand it, there
- 8 are two sentences here. The first one talks
- 9 about city debt more than 90 days old assigned
- 10 to the law department, and the second one says
- 11 the rate board does not have the authority or
- jurisdiction to direct PWB or WRB to provide
- 13 full arrearage forgiveness. Is there a link
- 14 between those two? In other words, would
- 15 "full" include debt more than 90 days old but
- this second statement doesn't necessarily
- 17 relate to anything other than debt more than 90
- 18 days old?
- it's two separate thoughts although they're in
- 21 the same paragraph. So they probably could
- have been written differently to break up the
- thoughts.
- MS. BROCKWAY: That helps. You're not

- 1 intending by the second sentence to define
- 2 "full" as being with or without?
- 3 THE WITNESS: Correct.
- 4 MR. BALLENGER: And I have a couple more
- 5 questions that might help with this discussion,
- 6 too.
- 7 BY MR. BALLENGER:
- 8 Q Just to follow up quickly on the hearing
- 9 officer's question and your statement that these are
- 10 two separate statements here, you would not read
- 11 this to include an implicit "therefore." So, for
- 12 example, you would not say that the first sentence
- 13 leads to the conclusion in the second sentence; is
- 14 that right?
- 15 A I would not.
- 16 O Okay. I want to talk a little bit, and it
- 17 probably feels like ancient history, but I'd like to
- 18 talk about the WRAP program and the WRBCC grant
- 19 programs and this statement on Page 9 that we were
- 20 just talking about, the legal authority to implement
- 21 arrearage forgiveness. My recollection after or
- 22 around 2012 the Water Revenue Bureau increased the
- 23 amount to the WRBCC grants from \$200 to \$500; is
- 24 that correct?

- 1 A That is correct.
- 2 Q I wouldn't hold you to the date but --
- 3 A Yes, subject to check.
- 4 Q Okay.
- 5 A There was an increase.
- 6 Q There was an increase. I think it was in
- 7 the context of the 2012 rate case in 2011 that we
- 8 talked about them. That's my recollection. You're
- 9 not bound by it, but I appreciate you refreshing us.
- 10 Of the \$500 in the increased WRBCC grants, isn't it
- 11 true that \$300 of that grant was applied to the
- 12 customer's arrears?
- 13 A That is correct.
- 14 Q How did that \$300 get applied to the
- 15 arrears? Was it applied to the oldest debt first?
- 16 A That is correct.
- 17 Q So it couldn't have been applied to the
- 18 debt that was just 90 days old or less, correct?
- 19 A If that was the oldest debt of a customer,
- 20 then it could have been on the debt that's 90 days
- 21 old.
- 22 Q Do many of your customers accrue \$300 in
- 23 debt in 90 days? Is that a common occurrence?
- 24 A I don't know that. Subject to check that.

- 1 I'm not sure.
- 2 Q But if a customer --
- 3 MS. BROCKWAY: I want to note that we have
- 4 been joined by board member Ewing.
- 5 * * *
- 6 (Whereupon, board member Ewing
- 7 entered the hearing room.)
- 8 * * *
- 9 BY MR. BALLENGER:
- 10 Q If a customer did have debt more than 90
- 11 days old or older, the \$300 grant would be applied
- 12 to the oldest debt first, correct?
- 13 A Correct.
- 14 Q And just to be clear about the source of
- 15 that grant, the \$500 WRBCC grant didn't come from an
- 16 external source of funding, did it, such as a
- 17 Federal grant or a class action settlement or
- 18 something like that, did it?
- 19 A No, it did not.
- 20 Q It came from department revenues, correct?
- 21 A That is correct. If I could just -- it's
- 22 an administrative adjustment, so it's not funds
- 23 from -- it's an administrative adjustment to the
- 24 account.

- 1 Q Understood. And it would adjust the
- 2 customer's account down by \$300?
- 3 A Of the oldest debt.
- 4 Q They would not have to pay that debt?
- 5 A That is correct.
- 6 Q And they could get that grant every year,
- 7 could they not?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 MS. BROCKWAY: After one year?
- MR. BALLENGER: Every year.
- 11 THE WITNESS: A fiscal.
- 12 BY MR. BALLENGER:
- 13 Q I'm going to go back on my word that we
- 14 are going to try to take this in order, because
- 15 unfortunately this part of my cross is not organized
- 16 that way. If we could turn back to Page 3, on lines
- 17 number 20 through 22, you said that, "Both
- 18 applications request the applicant optionally
- 19 provide Social Security Numbers for all household
- 20 members, and you're referring to PECO and PGW's
- 21 application; is that correct?
- 22 A I'm trying to find where you are.
- 23 Question 5?
- Q We can skip forward to lines 24 and 25.

- 1 The sentence that begins, "The department's request
- 2 for Social Security Numbers mirror the Department of
- 3 Revenue's real estate tax regulations." Do you see
- 4 that statement?
- 5 A I do.
- 6 Q At the same time, listing a Social
- 7 Security Number is not required for purposes of
- 8 enrolling in TAP; isn't that correct?
- 9 A Could you say that again?
- 10 Q Does a customer have to provide a Social
- 11 Security Number to enroll in TAP?
- 12 A It's optional.
- 13 Q I don't know if you have a copy of the TAP
- 14 application handy. It's actually attached to
- 15 Mr. Colton's testimony, if you have that.
- 16 A I have it.
- 17 Q This is Appendix B to Mr. Colton's direct
- 18 testimony. And in looking at this application and
- 19 the cover letter that accompanied it, I couldn't
- 20 find any indication that providing a Social Security
- 21 Number was optional in this document. Can you point
- 22 me to anywhere in the document that indicates that
- 23 providing a Social Security Number is optional?
- 24 A Can you say that one more time?

- 1 Q Can you point me to anywhere in the cover
- 2 letter or the application where a customer reviewing
- 3 this document is advised that a Social Security
- 4 Number is optional?
- 5 A I can't point to where it says the word
- 6 optional.
- 7 Q And again, in Mr. Colton's appendix B in
- 8 the TAP application, it starts with a cover letter
- 9 and then there is a page that says Customer
- 10 Assistance Application. And then there is another
- 11 page, that says Applicant And Household Information
- 12 at the top. Are you with me where I am?
- 13 A I'm with you.
- 14 Q At the bottom of that page there is a
- 15 notation and it's followed by a small letter i and a
- 16 circle, and it states, "Social Security Number is
- 17 only required for those household members between
- 18 the ages of 18 and 65." Do you see that statement?
- 19 A I do.
- 20 O Would the failure to include a Social
- 21 Security Number in an application result in that
- 22 application being denied or delayed in any way?
- 23 A It does not.
- Q Would it render the application

- 1 incomplete?
- 2 A It does not.
- 3 Q Can you point to anywhere in the
- 4 application that it states that a customer can
- 5 provide an ITIN in lieu of a Social Security Number?
- 6 A It is not on this version of the
- 7 application. However, we do plan on amending or
- 8 revising applications to include that to be in line
- 9 with the real estate application.
- 10 Q Okay. So there is a change --
- 11 A There is a change coming.
- 12 Q -- forthcoming?
- 13 A Yes.
- Q Would you agree that the way this is
- 15 written a customer receiving this application is
- 16 going to think that a Social Security Number is
- 17 required? Would you agree with that?
- 18 A I can't speak for the beliefs of a
- 19 customer receiving this application.
- 20 0 I understand. One second?
- MS. BROCKWAY: I have a question while we
- are waiting. It seems to be there must be
- 23 places on the application where it does matter
- to you a great deal whether the application

- 1 communicates to the applicant what it is you
- want them to tell you; is that correct? In
- other words, if you have written the
- 4 application in such a way you're getting
- 5 nonsense because they don't understand it, that
- 6 would not be an acceptable administration,
- 7 would it?
- 8 THE WITNESS: Correct. Our goal is not to
- 9 have questions on there that we are not going
- to do anything with.
- MS. BROCKWAY: Or that you get the wrong
- 12 answer.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Correct.
- 14 BY MR. BALLENGER:
- 15 Q Okay. Is there any other information in
- 16 the application here that is unnecessary, that you
- 17 ask for that's unnecessary, to process the
- 18 application?
- 19 MR. DASENT: Objection. That assumes that
- something is unnecessary, the way you phrased
- 21 it.
- 22 MS. BROCKWAY: I don't think so at all.
- It's a proper cross-examination question. If
- the answer is no, the answer is no.

- 1 THE WITNESS: Would you repeat it?
- 2 BY MS. BROCKWAY:
- 3 Q Sure. Is there any information that you
- 4 request in the application that's unnecessary to
- 5 process the application?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q So everything, every other place where you
- 8 ask for information must be complete in order for
- 9 the application to be processed, with the exception
- 10 of the Social Security Number field?
- 11 A I'm going to defer to Mrs. Muhammad, who
- is a subject matter expert on the needs of the
- 13 fields on the application.
- 14 Q Thank you.
- MS. MUHAMMAD: Could you repeat?
- 16 MR. BALLENGER: Sure. We were discussing
- 17 that a Social Security Number is not required
- 18 to process a TAP application. And my question
- 19 was whether there was any other information
- 20 requested in the application that was also
- 21 unnecessary for it to be approved and
- 22 processed.
- MS. MUHAMMAD: No, there is not.
- MR. BALLENGER: Thank you. I hope you

- 1 stick around if we have any more questions.
- 2 BY MR. BALLENGER:
- 3 Q On Page 4, I am slightly moving ahead
- 4 again, making progress. At the very bottom of Page
- 5 4, lines 22 through 24, you state, "The rate of
- 6 incomplete applications is similar to the rate
- 7 experienced with WRAP. As with WRAP, most
- 8 applications are incomplete due to missing residency
- 9 or income information." Do you see that statement?
- 10 A I do.
- 11 Q Did you log statistics about how many WRAP
- 12 applications were incomplete due to missing
- 13 residency or income information?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q Did you log statistics about the timeline
- in which WRAP applications were deemed incomplete or
- 17 approved or denied?
- 18 A Could you repeat the question?
- 19 Q I'll do one at a time. Did you log any
- 20 statistics about the timeframe from the date of
- 21 application through the date of an incomplete
- 22 determination on a WRAP application?
- 23 A No. We didn't have that tracking
- 24 mechanism in place with WRAP applications.

- 1 Q So did you log statistics on the timeframe
- 2 for WRAP applications between the date of
- 3 application and the date of approval of an
- 4 application?
- 5 A I will defer to the subject matter expert,
- 6 Mrs. Muhammad, on that, as she ran that program as
- 7 well.
- MS. MUHAMMAD: No, we do not.
- 9 MR. BALLENGER: And the final part of it,
- did you log statistics on the timeframe for
- 11 WRAP applications from the date of application
- through the date of denial of WRAP
- 13 applications?
- MS. MUHAMMAD: No.
- 15 BY MR. BALLENGER:
- 16 Q Okay. Let's turn to Page 6 of the
- 17 rebuttal statement, and let me ask a preliminary
- 18 question. Do you have a copy of Exhibit 3 from
- 19 yesterday?
- 20 A I don't.
- 21 MR. DASENT: I can find it.
- MR. BALLENGER: Would you mind?
- THE WITNESS: We have it.
- 24 MS. BROCKWAY: We are talking about Public

- 1 Advocate Hearing Exhibit 3.
- 2 MR. BALLENGER: Yes, which was introduced
- 3 on the record yesterday.
- 4 BY MR. BALLENGER:
- 5 Q So on Page 6 of your rebuttal statement,
- 6 lines 3 through 4, you state that, "A large volume
- 7 of applications came in during the first quarter of
- 8 the program." Do you see that statement?
- 9 A I do.
- 10 Q And the first quarter, correct me if I'm
- 11 wrong, the first quarter would be the period between
- 12 July and September of 2017; is that correct?
- 13 A That is correct.
- 14 O So I'd like to look at the Public Advocate
- 15 Hearing Exhibit 3. And the pages are numbered, so
- 16 I'd like to turn to Page 21 of 35. I'd just like to
- 17 look at a few of these numbers with you and make
- 18 sure I understand what this says. In this Exhibit
- 19 it appears to show that the department received
- 20 4,423 TAP applications in July; is that correct?
- 21 A I do see that.
- 22 Q Okay. Is that correct, to your knowledge
- 23 and information?
- 24 A Subject to check.

- 1 Q Okay. This was information provided by
- 2 the department in discovery. Do you have any reason
- 3 to believe that it's incorrect?
- 4 A No.
- 5 Q Just going down a row, it appears to show
- 6 that the total number of TAP applications submitted
- 7 in August was 2,629. Do you see that?
- 8 A I do.
- 9 Q Is that also correct, to the best of your
- 10 knowledge and belief?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q So that is the influx that you were
- 13 talking about, right? The July and August numbers
- 14 appear to show a large volume of TAP applications
- 15 submitted. Do you agree?
- 16 A Could you state the question again?
- 17 Sorry.
- 18 Q Your testimony talks about an influx of
- 19 applications, so I just want to make sure this
- 20 exhibit is documenting the influx that you referred
- 21 to. Would you agree with that?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Would you agree also that starting in
- 24 September of 2016 -- sorry, 2017, the total number

- 1 of applications received was 1,650?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And from that point forward would you also
- 4 agree that the number of applications received was
- 5 relatively constant each month? Emphasis on
- 6 relatively.
- 7 A Yes. Yes.
- 8 Q I'd like to turn to Page 23 of this same
- 9 exhibit. In the middle of the page is a table that
- 10 was provided in response to another discovery
- 11 request. It was Public Advocate Advanced Discovery
- 12 number 86. Yes, number 86, yes. This table in the
- 13 middle of Page 23, this shows the number of
- 14 enrollees and not the number of applicants; is that
- 15 correct?
- 16 A That's the title, yes.
- 17 Q So in August of 2017, if I'm reading this
- 18 table right, it documents that 1,182 customers were
- 19 enrolled in TAP in that month; is that correct?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And then in September -- sorry. Okay.
- 22 Backing up, in July it shows that three customers
- 23 were enrolled in TAP in that month; is that correct?
- 24 A Yes.

- 1 Q So in total there were mathematically
- 2 1,185 customers enrolled in the July and August
- 3 months; is that correct?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Then in September of 2017 the table shows
- 6 that 524 customers were enrolled in TAP. Is that
- 7 also correct?
- 8 A Yes, I see that.
- 9 Q Then again in October it shows 545
- 10 customers were enrolled in TAP. Is that also
- 11 correct?
- 12 A Yes, I see that.
- 13 Q Again, just for clarification, do you have
- 14 any reason to believe that the numbers in this
- 15 response to discovery are inaccurate in any way?
- 16 A No.
- 17 O So in combination the number of customers
- 18 approved for TAP in September and October would be
- 19 the sum of 524 plus 545, which I determined
- 20 mathematically to be 1,069 customers. Would you
- 21 agree to that subject to any mathematical
- 22 determination?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Thank you. Let's go back to your rebuttal

statement, and I'd like to look at Page 7 and --1 2 MS. BROCKWAY: I've got a question on 3 this, actually. I'm looking at that same page, 23, and I add up on the top chart August, 4 5 September, October, November as 3,954. let's take out the November. So 3,200. If you 6 7 go down to the November TAP bills issued it's 2,624. So can you explain how the -- there 8 9 must be some lag. Can you explain how that 10 operates? In other words, why would there not be over 3,000 bills in November if there were 11 12 3,000 enrollees up through October? 13 THE WITNESS: I'm going to defer to the 14 subject matter expert on this chart. 15 MS. FITTS: Good morning. I'm Jennifer Fitts with Raftelis Financial Consultants. 16 17 assisted in preparing this table. Every customer that is approved for TAP receives a 18 TAP bill the next time they're scheduled to be 19 20 billed. So for many customers that were 21 approved for TAP in November, they may have 22 already gotten a bill in November and their 23 next bill is in December. So I suppose lag is

an appropriate word, but it's just because of

24

- 1 the normal billing cycle.
- 2 MS. BROCKWAY: I didn't mean any
- 3 characterization. I just was just trying to
- 4 understand why the numbers didn't go in
- 5 lockstep.
- 6 MR. BALLENGER: Thank you for that
- 7 explanation as well.
- 8 BY MR. BALLENGER:
- 9 On Page 7 of your rebuttal statement, on
- 10 line 4, you state that, "The Philadelphia Code does
- 11 not require retroactive TAP bills." Do you see that
- 12 statement?
- 13 A I do.
- 14 Q Can I presume again that this was a
- 15 statement that your counsel assisted you in
- 16 preparing?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 O Would the same be true of the remainder of
- 19 that response, which refers to legislative basis for
- 20 retroactivity, requirements of an ordinance? Was
- 21 all of this prepared with the assistance of counsel?
- 22 A That is correct.
- 23 Q And just --
- MS. BROCKWAY: But to be clear, the

- 1 testimony to the extent of effort to adjust the
- 2 billing system, that remains your opinion as
- 3 the administrator?
- 4 THE WITNESS: That is correct.
- 5 BY MR. BALLENGER:
- 6 Q Okay. Is there some language in the
- 7 Philadelphia code, that you're aware of, that
- 8 prevents the Water Revenue Bureau of making
- 9 adjustments after a bill is issued?
- 10 A Could you repeat the question?
- 11 Q Is there any language in the Philadelphia
- 12 code that prohibits the Water Revenue Bureau from
- 13 making bill adjustments after the bill is issued?
- 14 A I don't know enough about the Philadelphia
- 15 code to give an answer on if it contains that or
- 16 not.
- 17 O But if a customer is billed at the
- 18 incorrect rate, the Water Revenue Bureau would make
- 19 an adjustment, would they not?
- 20 A Yes, that is correct.
- 21 Q And that adjustment would be retroactive,
- 22 would it not?
- 23 A If the bill in question happened --
- 24 obviously if it already happened, so, yes,

- 1 retroactive.
- 2 Q And you do make those kinds of adjustments
- 3 for customers, correct?
- 4 A Daily.
- 5 Q Thank you. Can I ask you to take a look
- 6 quickly at Page -- I just mean to say this will not
- 7 take long. Take a quick look at Page 12 of
- 8 Mr. Colton's testimony. I just want to direct your
- 9 attention to line 19, the statement starting on line
- 10 19. And the statement here reads, "Once a customer
- is approved for TAP PWD should make the following
- 12 retroactive adjustments." And Mr. Colton goes on to
- 13 explain what those adjustments are. I just want to
- 14 make sure that you understand that Mr. Colton's
- 15 proposal was to retroactively adjust previously
- 16 issued bills. Do you understand that based on this
- 17 statement?
- 18 A I do.
- 19 Q And to your knowledge, is there any
- 20 provision in the Philadelphia code that would
- 21 prohibit that type of adjustment that Mr. Colton has
- 22 proposed?
- 23 A I'm sorry, would you repeat that question?
- 24 Q Sure. To your knowledge, is there any

- 1 provision of the Philadelphia code that would
- 2 prohibit the type of adjustment Mr. Colton describes
- 3 in his testimony?
- 4 A Not that I'm aware of, as I don't know
- 5 everything that's within the Philadelphia code.
- 6 Q Okay. Let's turn a couple pages. Back to
- 7 your rebuttal, sorry. On Page 10 of your rebuttal,
- 8 starting at line 8 -- sorry, let me start at line 5.
- 9 Your statement is, "Through our follow up with these
- 10 partners the departments were told that the groups
- 11 had been assisting customers apply -- there seems to
- 12 be a word missing. It says "had been assisting
- 13 customers apply for TAP using the paper and online
- 14 application system." Do you see that?
- 15 A Yes, I do.
- 16 Q You go on to say that, "That contradicts
- 17 Mr. Colton's unsupported statement that the
- 18 departments are underutilizing community based
- 19 organizations and that none of the applications was
- 20 generated through a CBO." Do you see that
- 21 statement?
- 22 A I do.
- 23 Q So just so we are clear, does water
- 24 revenue allow community based organizations to

- 1 generate applications?
- \mathbf{A} We do.
- 3 Q So CBOs are free to create their own
- 4 application forms?
- 5 A Not application forms. I thought you
- 6 meant generating an application for a customer that
- 7 is in their office for assistance.
- 8 Q Okay. So when -- that's helpful. I think
- 9 we were using different language. Because what I
- 10 understood to be the case, and please correct me,
- 11 what I understood to be the case was that an
- 12 organization could only assist a customer with an
- 13 application that it received directly from the Water
- 14 Revenue Bureau; is that correct?
- 15 A That is not correct.
- 16 Q How would a customer receive -- or how
- 17 would the organization receive an application other
- 18 than through direct contact with the Water Revenue
- 19 Bureau?
- 20 A So the customer, their client, would come
- 21 in for assistance and if they had not already
- 22 received an application from us, having their water
- 23 bill that has the water access number, they would be
- 24 able to, as they're sitting with the community based

- 1 organization, they could go on line right there and
- 2 do the application. Or right there they could
- 3 request it to be sent to them or they could print it
- 4 out. So as long as the customer has their water
- 5 access number the community based organization would
- 6 be able to access a blank application.
- 7 Q I just want to be clear about how they
- 8 would access that blank application. They would
- 9 access it from the Water Revenue Bureau's website;
- 10 is that correct?
- 11 A That is correct.
- 12 Q So the community based organizations do
- 13 not have access to blank applications other than
- 14 through mechanisms made available by the Water
- 15 Revenue Bureau; is that correct?
- 16 A That is correct.
- 18 applications community based organizations have
- 19 helped customers submit through contact with the
- 20 Water Revenue Bureau?
- 21 A We would have no way of knowing where the
- 22 application came from.
- O Okay. I'd like to talk a little bit about
- 24 limited English proficient households.

- 1 MS. BROCKWAY: Mr. Ballenger, I hope you
- don't mind my interrupting. It's so helpful to
- 3 have all the material on one topic next to each
- 4 other. So if you'll permit me, does everybody
- 5 here know what a water access number is? I
- 6 don't.
- 7 MR. BALLENGER: I do but --
- 8 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. It's a unique
- 9 number that a customer receives in reference to
- 10 their account. That's how they would gain
- access to pulling up their blank assistance
- 12 application.
- 13 MS. BROCKWAY: It's what I would call a
- 14 customer number in another context? Same as a
- 15 customer number?
- 16 THE WITNESS: Similar, yes.
- 17 BY MR. BALLENGER:
- 18 Q To be extra, extra clear, there are
- 19 account numbers, correct --
- 20 A Correct.
- 21 Q -- that customers have, and there are
- 22 separate numbers that are water access codes,
- 23 correct?
- 24 A That is correct.

- 1 Q Okay.
- 2 A That's why I said similar. It's an
- 3 identifier, but it's not their account number.
- 4 Q And there could be multiple accounts
- 5 associated with an address.
- 6 A Correct. Each have their own water access
- 7 number.
- 8 Q So there is an account number that is
- 9 unique to the account that has also a water access
- 10 code that is unique to that account?
- 11 A That is correct. You got it.
- 12 Q Thank you. Madam hearing officer, it's
- 13 your perogative if you have any additional questions
- on this subject, but I'm happy to move on.
- MS. BROCKWAY: This may not be important,
- but where would I find my water access code?
- 17 THE WITNESS: The top right corner of your
- 18 bill. I believe it's in a gray box that says
- 19 Water Access Code on it.
- MS. BROCKWAY: Thank you.
- 21 BY MR. BALLENGER:
- 22 Q Okay. I wanted to talk a little bit about
- 23 Limited English Proficient Household, with the
- 24 acronym LEP, so we all know what we are talking

- 1 about. On Pages 10 to 11 of your rebuttal you state
- 2 that -- trying to find the exact statement. You
- 3 said Mr. Colton's assessment -- I'm on the bottom of
- 4 Page 10, line 17, "Mr. Colton's assessment that
- 5 there is a substantially disproportionate
- 6 under-enrollment of LEP households in TAP is
- 7 unsupported in the record." Do you see that?
- 8 A I do.
- 9 Q In making that statement do you mean to
- 10 say that LEP households are not under-enrolled in
- 11 TAP?
- 12 A I'm sorry, could you repeat the question?
- 13 Q Sure. In making that statement do you
- 14 mean to say that LEP households are not
- 15 under-enrolled in TAP?
- 16 A I know I should understand how you said
- 17 it, but it's not resonating with me, so could you --
- 18 Q Okay. The alternative -- I think there is
- 19 two ways we can read that sentence. Maybe I'll try
- 20 the other way and see if that makes sense to you.
- 21 Is the purpose of that statement to say simply that
- 22 Mr. Colton's conclusions do not find adequate
- 23 support in the record? Is that a proper statement?
- 24 A I probably should have said it just as you

- 1 did.
- Q Okay. Great. And so you're not making
- 3 any statement there about whether or not LEP
- 4 customers are under-enrolled --
- 5 A That is correct.
- 6 Q -- is that correct? So you don't have an
- 7 opinion about whether LEP customers are
- 8 under-enrolled in TAP?
- 9 A I do not have an opinion.
- 10 Q So on Page 11 --
- 11 MS. BROCKWAY: Let's be clear. This is
- 12 Page 11 of the originally filed rebuttal, and
- will keep referring to the originally filed
- 14 rebuttal for convenience on the record.
- 15 MR. BALLENGER: Thank you. Right.
- 16 Because there is a confusion about the page
- 17 numbers, due to the errata. I was in Page 10
- 18 of the original rebuttal statement when I was
- 19 referring to testimony before.
- 20 BY MR. BALLENGER:
- 21 Q I'm now on Page 11 of the originally filed
- 22 rebuttal statement. On lines 6 through 7 you state
- 23 that, "Mr. Colton assumes that those with LEP are
- 24 unable to apply using the English application." Do

- 1 you see where I'm looking in your statement?
- 2 A Can you --
- 3 Q Lines 6 to 7 on Page 11 of your rebuttal
- 4 statement says that, "Mr. Colton", and this is the
- 5 quote, "assumes that those with LEP are unable to
- 6 apply using the English application." Do you see
- 7 that?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Is it your position then that LEP
- 10 customers are perfectly free to apply for TAP using
- 11 an English application?
- 12 A Can you repeat the question?
- 13 Q Is it your statement that LEP customers
- 14 are free to apply for TAP using an English
- 15 application?
- 16 A My intent with that is because a person
- 17 has limited English, it does not mean that they
- 18 can't use the English. They may prefer to use an
- 19 English -- an application in a language that they're
- 20 more comfortable, but it doesn't preclude them from
- 21 using the English application. We wouldn't know
- 22 that.
- 23 Q But you would agree it would be important
- 24 for an LEP customer to understand what's being asked

- 1 of them in completing an application, would you not?
- 2 A Yes. That's why we provide the
- 3 application in eight different languages other than
- 4 English and Spanish.
- 5 MR. BALLENGER: I'm going to distribute
- 6 another exhibit.
- 7 MS. BROCKWAY: It seems to me that what
- 8 you're saying is Mr. Colton assumes that those
- 9 with LEP do not apply using the English
- 10 application. Would that be --
- 11 THE WITNESS: That's correct.
- 12 MR. BALLENGER: Okay. I forget where we
- are in the order. Are we at 5? So I'd like to
- mark this as hearing Exhibit 5 on behalf of the
- 15 Public Advocate. And although it's dated at
- 16 the bottom, it should be dated May 11, 2018.
- 17 MS. BROCKWAY: So marked.
- 18 MR. BALLENGER: Thank you. I would like
- 19 to ask that this exhibit, like all others, be
- included on the record at this hearing today.
- 21 BY MR. BALLENGER:
- 22 Q This exhibit includes responses to Public
- 23 Advocate discovery request Roman numeral 10.1
- 24 through Roman numeral 10.5. Can you page through

- 1 and verify for me that that is what you see in this
- 2 exhibit?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Okay. I want to turn to the page numbered
- 5 3 of 10, which is the response to Public Advocate
- 6 Roman numeral 10, Number 2. So set 10, Number 2.
- 7 In this response do I understand you correctly to be
- 8 saying that you cannot use the American Community
- 9 Survey to determine how many LEP customers may be
- 10 eligible for TAP? Is that correct?
- 11 A That is correct.
- MS. BROCKWAY: I think she says household,
- 13 not customers.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Yes, LEP household. Thank
- 15 you.
- 16 MR. BALLENGER: Correct. Thank you for
- 17 the clarification.
- 18 BY MR. BALLENGER:
- 19 Q I hope that's clear enough. Do you know
- 20 how many LEP households are in the Water
- 21 Department's service territory?
- 22 A I do not.
- 24 underrepresented in TAP?

- 1 A I don't have an opinion if they're
- 2 underrepresented or not.
- 3 Q I'd like to look at the next response,
- 4 which is the response to set 10, Number 3. Am I
- 5 correct that this response provides the number of
- 6 non-English applications that were approved for
- 7 enrollment in TAP between July 1st, 2017 and
- 8 March 28, 2018?
- 9 A That is correct.
- 10 Q And turning to Page 5 of 10 --
- 11 MS. BROCKWAY: This answer was given by
- 12 Raftelis. Should Raftelis answer your
- 13 questions about it?
- MR. BALLENGER: I am happy for Miss Bethel
- 15 to refer to the questions to the Raftelis rep
- if Raftelis would be a better witness to
- 17 respond. But if Miss Bethel is prepared to
- 18 respond she may do so. I will leave it up to
- 19 her on this issue.
- THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 21 BY MR. BALLENGER:
- 22 Q So I just want to look at Page 5 of 10.
- 23 And at the top of this page, under the heading
- 24 Language, I see that you've indicated two languages;

- 1 Spanish and Vietnamese; is that correct?
- 2 A That is correct.
- 3 Q And just to be clear, the Public Advocate
- 4 did not request that the response be just for
- 5 Spanish and Vietnamese. Is that also correct, that
- 6 the request was for the number of non-English
- 7 applications?
- 8 A If I'm reading the question right it says,
- 9 "Provide the number of LEP persons enrolled in TAP."
- 10 Is that the question?
- 11 Q The question is, it says -- sorry, you're
- 12 right. "Provide the number of LEP persons enrolled
- 13 in TAP. " And would you agree that question didn't
- 14 ask for Spanish and Vietnamese. It was open to all
- 15 LEP customers. Is that your understanding of the
- 16 question?
- 17 A It is.
- 19 because they're the only two languages that have
- 20 been used by LEP customers in their applications to
- 21 date?
- 22 A I will defer to Raftelis.
- 23 MS. FITTS: I'll reiterate the idea that
- 24 we don't know how proficient any particular

- customer is in English, but this is a
- 2 reflection of the non-English applications that
- were approved for TAP, and it was only those
- 4 two languages.
- 5 MR. BALLENGER: I want to make sure the
- 6 court reporter was able to hear that.
- 7 MS. BROCKWAY: Can you state your name
- 8 again?
- 9 MS. FITTS: Jennifer Fitts for Raftelis.
- 10 MR. BALLENGER: And this is perhaps also
- for you, Jennifer. But I just wanted to make
- sure that what you are talking about here is
- 13 limited to the number of non-English
- 14 applications. So you're not drawing any
- inference from this as to how many LEP
- 16 customers there are of the department?
- 17 MS. FITTS: Right, no inference.
- 18 MR. BALLENGER: Limited to English and
- 19 Vietnamese -- I'm sorry, Spanish and
- Vietnamese.
- 21 MS. FITTS: Sorry. Would you say that
- last part again?
- 23 MR. BALLENGER: You wouldn't draw an
- inference from this that the department's LEP

- customers consist solely of Spanish and 1 2 Vietnamese speaking? MS. FITTS: No, there is no inference. 3 MR. BALLENGER: In total do you agree that 4 5 this table which goes from Page five through Page eight of the Exhibit shows that a total of 6 7 55 Spanish application and one Vietnamese application were approved for enrollment in 8 9 TAP? 10 MR. DASENT: Subject to check? MS. FITTS: Yes, subject to check. 11
- MS. BROCKWAY: What's the period again?
- 13 MR. BALLENGER: I'll allow the witness to
- read in the response on Page 4 of 10.
- 15 MS. BROCKWAY: I got it. Thank you.
- 16 MR. BALLENGER: Okay. Just to tie up
- 17 loose ends, the answer that this is the number
- 18 of approvals of LEP applications between
- 19 July 1st, 2017 and March 28th, 2018.
- MS. FITTS: Yes, correct. Can I clarify,
- 21 again, non-English applications.
- MR. BALLENGER: Thank you.
- 23 BY MR. BALLENGER:
- 24 Q Back to the rebuttal statement, again, I

- 1 just want to read this statement, Miss Bethel, on
- 2 line 17 and 18 that we talked about before, I
- 3 believe your conclusion --
- 4 MS. BROCKWAY: Sorry, what page?
- 5 MR. BALLENGER: Sorry. Page 10, lines 17
- 6 and 18.
- 7 BY MR. BALLENGER:
- 8 Q The statement reads, "Mr. Colton's
- 9 assessment that there is a substantially
- 10 disproportionate under-enrollment of LEP households
- 11 in TAP is unsupported in the record." When we
- 12 talked about this before I believe your conclusion
- 13 was that the record lacked sufficient support for
- 14 Mr. Colton's conclusion. Do you believe that there
- 15 is some other data that would provide support for
- 16 Mr. Colton's conclusion other than the 56 approved
- 17 non-English applications?
- 18 A Could you repeat the question?
- 19 Q Sure. What other data would support
- 20 Mr. Colton's conclusions beyond the 56 approved
- 21 non-English applications?
- MS. BROCKWAY: You're saying if it
- 23 existed?
- MR. BALLENGER: If it existed.

- 1 MS. BROCKWAY: You're not asking her to
- 2 say, "this report says this" and "that report
- 3 says that." You're asking her are there such
- 4 reports or studies or analyses?
- 5 MR. BALLENGER: Correct.
- 6 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure if this part of
- 7 my response was lost in the way I stated it,
- 8 but my point was there is no way for us to know
- 9 when a person submits an English application if
- they were not proficient in English. It's just
- an assumption that if they are using an
- application other than English, that's their
- 13 preference. We can't assume that just because
- 14 a Spanish application was submitted that they
- 15 are not proficient in English. They could be
- bilingual, is my point. So just because I
- choose to ask for an application in Spanish
- does not mean I'm not proficient in English if
- 19 I were bilingual. I'm not, but if I were.
- 20 BY MR. BALLENGER:
- 21 Q Perhaps we can find further clarity in
- 22 what you mean by proficient. Because my
- 23 understanding of LEP is that by definition that is
- 24 customers who have limited English proficiency. So

- 1 if a customer is proficient in English they would of
- 2 necessity not need LEP. Would you agree with that?
- 3 A Before I answer, could you restate your
- 4 question?
- 5 O Sure. Wouldn't a customer who is limited
- 6 English proficient by necessity not be capable of
- 7 applying in English?
- 8 A Assuming that they are not bilingual and
- 9 they are not proficient in English, I agree with
- 10 that. But I guess it's still my opinion that we are
- 11 making an assumption that just because someone is
- 12 asking for an application in a preferred language is
- 13 then assuming that they are not proficient in
- 14 English. So I'm just trying to make that
- 15 clarification that everybody that's asking for that
- 16 application may not -- should not be necessarily
- 17 labeled as limited English proficiency. That's the
- 18 point I'm trying to make.
- 19 Q Okay.
- MS. BROCKWAY: We'll go off the record.
- 21 * * *
- 22 (Whereupon, a brief off-the-record
- discussion was held.)
- 24 * * *

- 1 BY MR. BALLENGER:
- 2 Q I just wanted to ask Miss Bethel, if
- 3 someone is bilingual and they ask for a TAP
- 4 application, would your assumption be that they
- 5 would ask in their non-English language?
- 6 A I wouldn't make that assumption, no.
- 7 Q When someone calls for a TAP application
- 8 and is asking in English are they -- do you ask
- 9 whether they would prefer the application in another
- 10 language?
- 11 A I do not, or our agents do not. The
- 12 practice is not to ask.
- 13 Q Okay. So let's go back to your rebuttal
- 14 statement. And on lines 4 and 5 when you talk about
- 15 your opinion that Mr. Colton's methodology is
- 16 flawed?
- 17 A I'm sorry, where?
- 18 Q Sorry, Page 11 of the rebuttal statement,
- 19 lines 4 and 5. On line 4 you begin, "Mr. Colton's
- 20 methodology is flawed in several respects." The
- 21 first respect that you identify there is you say,
- 22 quote, He calculates approvals rather than
- 23 application submissions. Do you see that statement?
- 24 A Yes. Yes.

Q Just subject to check, and I think I'm 1 going to reference one of the discovery responses 2 rather than another exhibit, because I don't think I 3 4 have an exhibit for this. But would you accept, subject to check, that according to the response to 5 6 Public Advocate set Roman Numeral 12, number 1, 7 between July 1st, 2017 and the end of March 2018 there were 31,089 TAP applications submitted? 8 9 Α Could you say that again, which PA? 10 0 Set Roman Numeral 12, number 1. 11 MR. DASENT: Who provided that response? 12 THE WITNESS: Who provided that response? 13 MR. BALLENGER: That's a good question. 14 The response was provided by Raftelis Financial 15 Consultants, Inc. THE WITNESS: I shall defer to Raftelis. 16 17 MR. BALLENGER: The reason I ask is it's 18 responsive to your rebuttal. So in that response we are referencing --19 20 MS. BROCKWAY: We'll go off the record. 21 22 (Whereupon, a brief off-the-record 23 discussion was held.) 24

MS. BROCKWAY: Go back on the record. 1 2 MR. BALLENGER: Thank you. Would you 3 accept subject to check that in the response to 4 Public Advocate Set 12, number 1 you reported 5 that there were 31,089 TAP applications submitted; is that correct? 6 7 MR. DASENT: During what period? That was going to be a 8 MR. BALLENGER: 9 second question. 10 MS. BROCKWAY: I think you have to say 11 what the period is. 12 MR. BALLENGER: During the period of time 13 July 1st, 2017 through March 2018 is it correct 14 that there were 31,089 TAP applications submitted? 15 16 MS. FITTS: Could you point me to where 17 you're looking in the response? I don't see that. 18 MR. BALLENGER: I think it's a 19 20 mathematical conclusion. I think if you --21 give me a second, please. Can we look at 22 Public Advocate set 10, number 5, this is in 23 hearing Exhibit 5. I just want to point out

and ask you, do you agree that as of

24

- 1 March 2018, 189 non-English applications had
- 2 been submitted?
- 3 MS. BROCKWAY: That's Page 10 of 10 of
- 4 that Exhibit?
- 5 MR. BALLENGER: Yes.
- 6 MS. FITTS: I do agree with that.
- 7 MR. BALLENGER: And then turning back
- 8 to -- my math was wrong. Turning back to
- 9 Public Advocate set 12, number 1, the Excel
- spreadsheet we were referring to, if I were to
- 11 look at line -- I'm doing this in real time.
- 12 Sorry. I'm going to refer to line 9.
- MS. FITTS: Okay.
- 14 MR. BALLENGER: If I were to total the
- 15 numbers provided on line 9 that are provided in
- 16 the three columns there for total applications
- 17 submitted between July 1st and September 30th,
- 18 that's column B, and the number of applications
- 19 October 1st and December 31st, column C, and
- 20 the number of applications submitted between
- January 1st and March 31st, column D, if I were
- 22 to total the three numbers provided there, do
- you agree that I would arrive at a total of
- 24 17,097 applications that had been submitted?

1 MS. FITTS: Yes. 2 MS. BROCKWAY: Say that number again, 3 please. 4 MR. BALLENGER: 17,097 is the number. 5 MS. BROCKWAY: Thank you. And this is between September 1st and the end of March. 6 7 MS. FITTS: July 1st and the end of March. 8 MR. BALLENGER: So that represents the 9 total number of the applications that were 10 submitted during that timeframe; is that 11 correct? 12 MS. FITTS: That's correct. 13 MR. BALLENGER: Would you also agree with 14 me that if we divided 189 LEP applications into 15 17,097 total applications we would conclude 16 that 1.1 percent of the applications submitted 17 were non-English applications? MS. FITTS: Could you state that question 18 19 one more time? 20 MR. BALLENGER: If we were to divide the 21 189 non-English applications into the total of 22 17,097 applications received, would we conclude 23 that approximately 1.1 percent of all

applications were submitted in a language other

1 than English.

2 MS. FITTS: Yes.

3 MR. BALLENGER: Thank you. It's my

4 pleasure to announce that that concludes my

5 cross-examination of this panel.

6 MS. BROCKWAY: Off the record.

7 * * *

8 (Whereupon, a brief off-the-record

9 discussion was held.)

10 * * *

11 MR. POPOWSKY: Thank you for your

12 diligence and patience this morning. Just a

couple follow-up questions more in the form of

14 transcript requests. Yesterday I asked about

the City policies that would prevent -- in the

16 prior testimony yesterday City policies that

17 would arguably prevent arrearage forgiveness,

and I just ask the same. I would ask you to

19 include in that response the -- there is a

20 reference in your testimony to the city charter

on Page 9, and that if you would include that

22 explanation as to why the city charter would

permit arrearage forgiveness for Philadelphia

24 Gas Works but not Philadelphia Water

Department. And then just one other --1 2 MS. CROSBY: Excuse me. That's in 3 addition to transcript request number 3. 4 MR. POPOWSKY: Yes, that's part of 5 transcript request number 3, if you could include that. And there is a reference to TAP 6 7 regulations in your testimony. Could you provide us with a copy of those? I don't think 8 we have those. 9 10 MS. CROSBY: Yes. That would be transcript request number 6. 11 12 MR. POPOWSKY: Thank you very much. 13 MS. BROCKWAY: I've got a couple of 14 questions. Do you have in front of you what 15 was marked yesterday as Public Advocate Hearing Exhibit 3? 16 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. 18 MS. BROCKWAY: I'm looking at Page 23, 19 which is part of the answer to PA Advanced Data 20 Request 86 shown on the previous page. But on 21 Page 23 of the exhibit, if I added on the top 22 chart -- there's two charts here. One of them

shows new enrollees. If I added all of those

numbers, I haven't done the full adding, so

23

- it's somewhere around 4,000. Could be
- different. Is that an apple of new enrollees
- 3 that I could divide by an apple of applications
- 4 of 17,097?
- 5 MS. FITTS: I would not recommend dividing
- those numbers, because they're at different
- 7 time steps. The 17,000 was through March and
- 8 this is through November, so that would....
- 9 MS. BROCKWAY: I'm glad I asked the
- 10 question. Do you happen to have the number of
- 11 new enrollees through March? Is it anywhere in
- 12 the written record?
- 13 MS. FITTS: I'm not sure if it's in the
- 14 record yet.
- 15 MS. BROCKWAY: It may not amount to
- 16 anything, but let's do a transcript request 7
- 17 to update the Answer PA advance set number 1 --
- advance set 1, number 86, which appears on Page
- 19 23 of Hearing Exhibit 3, the top chart of the
- 20 new TAP and enrollees through March of 2018.
- 21 The purpose would be to get an apple
- corresponding to the 17,097 so it would make
- 23 sense to divide.
- 24 Back along, Miss Bethel, I interrupted

before you got to answer a question and I think

we went off on another tangent, and so I don't

3 think the question was ever addressed.

4 Mr. Ballenger was going over the question of

5 how many LEP customers there are in the PWD

6 service area. And at first he was asking for

7 what other sources of information besides the

8 language of the application itself could be

9 used to make an estimate of how many customers

10 those were. And I don't think I let him get to

11 the point of getting an answer. Where would

12 you go to look if you thought that there might

13 be customers with LEP limitations who had not

14 made applications in other languages?

15 THE WITNESS: Where would I go to find

16 that information?

17 MS. BROCKWAY: Well, to make an estimate.

I understand that you have not polled all of

19 your customers and said, "Are you LEP or not",

20 but if you wanted to make an estimate of the

21 customers who were LEP, how would you go about

22 doing that?

23 THE WITNESS: I thought our response said

something about the census.

1 MS. BROCKWAY: You may have done that. It
2 didn't show up in the record this morning, so
3 I'm trying to tie it together.

THE WITNESS: So in the response to PA question -- or set 10, question 2, what we made reference to was according to the 2016 American Community Survey about 10.4 percent of all Philadelphia's population speaks English less than well. And for further information the study's available and then we gave a link.

MS. BROCKWAY: Yes, you did. Thank you.

Are you saying that less than well is not

necessarily equivalent to LEP?

THE WITNESS: Using the definition that's in that study, that's quoting what they're using for less than well as far as LEP.

MS. BROCKWAY: I assume it's all right with everybody if we go to that link and take down from it what they actually say. I assume that what you'd be saying is, correct me if I'm wrong, that that might be a good way of estimating a large pool or the total pool or potential LEP customers, but the actual number of LEP customers would be smaller than that

because they're asking different questions of 1 2 households. Not all households are customers. THE WITNESS: That's fair. And the rest 3 of my response to that is the City is unable to 4 5 apply the study results to determine how many LEP households in PWD service territory may be 6 7 eligible for TAP. MS. BROCKWAY: Is there a difference 8 between the number of households in the service 9 10 territory and the number of households queried by the survey you reference? 11 12 THE WITNESS: It could be. I'm not a 13 hundred percent sure what their territory 14 included. 15 MS. BROCKWAY: If it was City of 16 Philadelphia, same boundaries as your 17 department, then the question would be more are they over our undercounting people who fit the 18 19 LEP category as you understood it? 20 THE WITNESS: If it's an apple to apple 21 then I agree with what you're saying, yes. 22 MS. BROCKWAY: Let me just take a second. 23 Can you, going back to the discussion about 24 liens before TAP applications and liens between

1 TAP applications and TAP approvals, can you go 2 through that process and, in particular, who puts the lien on or takes the lien off? Do you 3 have to coordinate with someone else in the 4 5 Bureau? THE WITNESS: WRB, we supply the accounts 6 7 that are eligible for -- to be liened, and the actual liening process is conducted through our 8 9 law department. 10 MS. BROCKWAY: If I've got it right, you said once an application is approved there is 11 12 no difference on the lien with respect to 13 pre-application arrears? 14 THE WITNESS: That is correct. MS. BROCKWAY: Is there a difference with 15 16 respect to interim arrears between applying and 17 being approved or are those also subject to the existing lien? Are those considered part of 18 the existing lien? 19 20 THE WITNESS: If the arrearages were 21 liened prior to a customer making application,

then those liens have been filed.

place even once the person applies and is

approved or denied. The status of the lien

22

23

24

They stay in

- doesn't change once you -- once we filed it and because you've entered into a TAP rate.
- MS. BROCKWAY: But if you don't pay, or
 don't pay a hundred percent, between

 application and enrollment, presumably you'd
- 6 accrue further arrears?

application.

- 7 THE WITNESS: Correct.
- 8 MS. BROCKWAY: And would those be tacked 9 on -- in order to satisfy the lien would the 10 customer have to pay those off as well as what 11 had been accrued up till the point of
- 13 THE WITNESS: So during that period of
 14 time of application and approval we are not
 15 liening those. So they wouldn't be liened
 16 amounts.
- MS. BROCKWAY: So the other part of the

 Bureau does not lien except when you say this

 is eligible for lien?
- 20 THE WITNESS: That is correct.
- 21 MS. BROCKWAY: So you don't tell them that
- 22 part?

- THE WITNESS: Correct.
- MS. BROCKWAY: Thank you very much. Those

were the questions I had. I think we should 1 take a lunch break and come back at 1:00 and 2 continue with the redirect. Thank you. 3 4 5 (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) 6 7 MS. BROCKWAY: During the lunch break I talked to the Raftelis people and counsel for 8 9 the company and they're going to try to answer 10 my record request about submitted applications and approved in an apples to apples way. And 11 12 if that's not possible, based on the information we have, let me know. I think 13 14 we're ready now for redirect. 15 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. DASENT: And 16 I'll direct my questions to Deputy Commissioner 17 Bethel. 18 19 REDIRECT 20 EXAMINATION 21 22 BY MR. DASENT: 23 This morning, Miss Bethel, Mr. Ballenger 0 24 questioned you about whether we looked at customers

- 1 that were in the enforcement process or behind in
- 2 payments or who were recently terminated in doing
- 3 outreach for TAP. You indicated that outreach for
- 4 WRAP or prior WRAP participants was part of your
- 5 outreach. Is there any overlap between the
- 6 populations of prior WRAP participants and those who
- 7 might be recently terminated or behind in payments?
- 8 A Yes. There could be an overlap for
- 9 customers that were recently in WRAP that are in
- 10 payment agreement and shut off. So there could be
- 11 an overlap.
- 12 Q You were also questioned by Mr. Ballenger
- 13 concerning your rebuttal testimony, question 4. And
- 14 the second part of your statement, which is on Page
- 15 2 and 3 -- well, the first part he focused on was
- 16 the legal conclusion in the initial paragraph.
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q But later in that same response to
- 19 question 4, were there other statements made by you
- 20 concerning feedback from CBOs and fine-tuning the
- 21 application process?
- 22 A Yes. During the implementation and
- 23 getting the framework of the program we did work
- 24 with our partners; CLS, the NEC, UCEF, city council

- 1 feedback, and we listened to what their feedback was
- 2 in improving the application.
- 3 Q Mr. Ballenger also questioned you
- 4 concerning your question 5 on Page 3 of rebuttal
- 5 statement 4.
- 6 A Uh-huh.
- 7 Q You mentioned in that context a two part
- 8 process for the application review. My question to
- 9 you is, are there any other residential rates that
- 10 require an application involving a variety of
- 11 programs?
- 12 A No, there is not.
- 13 Q Why is a two part process put in place,
- 14 then?
- 15 A I will defer to Mrs. Muhammad.
- 16 MS. MUHAMMAD: The two tier process was
- implemented as part of the quality control and
- for the selection of the best program for the
- 19 applicant, as well as protecting rate payers to
- insure that the TAP rate is applied to those
- 21 customers that qualify for it. Additionally,
- 22 because the application is utilized to
- determine the best program, it's not simply
- 24 TAP. It could be senior citizen discount. So

- 1 I'm not aware whether there are other programs
- 2 that use one application or a variety of
- 3 selections. That's another reason why we use a
- 4 two-tier process.
- 5 MR. DASENT: Thank you.
- 6 BY MR. DASENT:
- 7 Q Miss Bethel, you were also questioned
- 8 concerning the applications by the -- TAP
- 9 applications by the hearing officer. Was the
- 10 application itself edited internally and with our
- 11 partners at DSF and UCEF? I think you mentioned
- 12 that in your rebuttal.
- 13 A Yes, it was.
- 14 Q Is WRB open to improving the form for
- 15 quality assurance or other improvement?
- 16 A Yes. We already have a list of some
- 17 application enhancements that we received back from
- 18 our partners.
- 19 Q Do you have any plans to implement any
- 20 changes?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q You were also questioned by Rob Ballenger
- 23 about providing blank applications. When did you do
- 24 that?

- 1 A The reason that we don't use blank
- 2 applications currently is because a lot of the
- 3 tracking mechanisms that are used to produce our
- 4 reports are based on the bar code information which
- 5 reflects the customer on record at the property.
- 6 Q Is that the access code we were talking
- 7 about?
- 8 A The access code is what is used to
- 9 generate the application, yes.
- 11 qualitatively that the CEOs or community based
- 12 organizations have assisted in the application
- 13 process?
- 14 A I will defer to Joanne Dahme.
- MR. DASENT: That is my only question for
- 16 you, Joanne.
- 17 MS. DAHME: Oh dear. Lot of pressure.
- 18 Yes, we do know that the CBOs, such as UCEF and
- 19 the energy centers, are all working on the
- application process with their customers.
- 21 Partly we know this because we have been
- working with these groups from the beginning.
- We put together a TAP advisory commission back
- in March of 2017. That is made up of the CBOs

And our

2 goal for that was to insure that we had a 3 continual feedback loop from the people who are working with these customers. That committee 4 5 has been very effective. CLS is one of the We find it extremely valuable and the 6 members. 7 committee has helped us from the beginning in looking at the application. As Michelle 8 9 mentioned, we worked with a group from the 10 University of Behavioral Health to help with how the application should look. We took the 11 12 application back to our partners. They gave us 13 a lot of good feedback. We reformatted the 14 application, so what it looks like today is a result from some of the feedback from these 15 16 partners. Not to say it's perfect. As Michelle mentioned, we are always 17 18 looking to improve that. We do know the 19 centers are assisting customers partly because, as Michelle mentioned, the water access code 20

that assist the low income customers.

1

21

22

23

24

customer, their client cannot access the

was an issue. So they are working with

bill. And when they sit down with this

customers that come in without their water

application because they don't have the access 1 2 They often come back in with the water 3 bill, but you hate to have a customer going through that two time process. One of the 4 5 reasons we are working with our CBOs or our partners is to provide them with a water access 6 7 code. We are hoping to do that in June, produce some additional training. We have 8 9 worked with these groups to do training with 10 their counselors in July and June of last year. We went to the NAC and ECA and sat down with 11 12 the staff, walked them through the application 13 process, continued to get really good feedback 14 about where some challenges are with some 15 customers and how to improve that. But we have 16 found this group has been extremely valuable and we have another meeting in June. I'm sure 17 18 we're going to be hashing out other issues, 19 including the things we were talking about 20 today. I know one of the suggestions has been 21 how we can better engage these CEOs. 22 We do fund UCEF, so UCEF has been a funded

entity for many years. One of the reasons is because they were developed to provide utility

23

- 1 assistance with PECO, PGW and the Water
- Department. ECN, when I spoke with them they
- 3 weren't sure how funding would be helpful, but
- 4 I think it's because we are only in the initial
- 5 phase. It's the first year, so they're sort of
- 6 learning the process also. So we are going to
- 7 continue those discussions.
- 8 BY MR. DASENT:
- 9 Q Miss Bethel, you were questioned about the
- 10 applications and the LEP population. Can you tell
- 11 us if there is a tag line on the application that
- 12 tells customers the availability of an application
- in other languages?
- 14 A Yes, there is a tag line on the
- 15 applications and there are also tag lines on our
- 16 letters, specifically also our water bills as well
- 17 have that same tag line.
- 18 Q The purpose of the tag line is to do what?
- 19 A The purpose of the tag line is for those
- 20 customers that want to utilize another language.
- 21 Q Miss Bethel, do you have any information
- 22 that would tell you if a CBO had assisted someone
- 23 with -- that was part of the LEP population so that
- 24 they could submit an application in English? Do you

- 1 know that?
- 2 A No, I do not know that.
- 3 MR. DASENT: Thank you. That's all I
- 4 have.
- 5 MS. BROCKWAY: Recross based on redirect?
- 6 MR. BALLENGER: Madam Hearing Officer, no,
- 7 we have no recross based on the redirect. And
- 8 again we want to thank the panel for all of
- 9 their responses.
- 10 MS. BROCKWAY: Couple of things. I may
- 11 not have been paying close enough attention.
- 12 What is an RCU?
- 13 MS. DAHME: Oh, RCAS. That is one of our
- 14 stakeholder groups, Residential Customer
- 15 Assistance and Services. We meet quarterly,
- 16 and this is a larger group. It includes
- 17 landlords, it includes PHA and some other
- 18 government entities, but also our partners who
- 19 work with low income clients. We meet with
- 20 that group. And the reason RCAS was put
- 21 together was to advise, make recommendations on
- a variety of customer-focused policies. So
- 23 that has been very valuable. The TAP advisory
- 24 committee is a subgroup of that, which is

- 1 really a group of CBOs who do work with low
- 2 income customers and are strictly focused on
- 3 the TAP program.
- 4 MS. BROCKWAY: If I understand this, when
- 5 you're talking about working to provide access
- to water access codes through the RCAS, that
- 7 would be for the members who do take in
- 8 applications?
- 9 MS. DAHME: That's correct. So the groups
- we are working with on access to the water
- 11 access code is the TAP advisory committee. So
- those include all those organizations like CLC,
- 13 UCEF, BenePhilly, who do work directly with
- 14 clients to provide them with access to the
- 15 application.
- 16 BY MS. BROCKWAY:
- 17 MS. BROCKWAY: So the idea would be if
- 18 somebody walks into their service centers and
- 19 wants to apply but does not have a bill --
- MS. DAHME: Right.
- 21 MS. BROCKWAY: -- there would be some way
- for that service center to contact the water
- 23 department and find out the access code?
- 24 MS. DAHME: That's correct. They are

provided with limited access to the billing 1 2 system so they can look up that account for

that access code. 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. BROCKWAY: That's what happens now if somebody walks in the revenue board, right, the revenue board has access to that. So they don't send somebody home to get their water bill, right?

MS. DAHME: That's correct.

I'm a little confused about MS. BROCKWAY: the meaning of blank application. What I would have thought of a blank application is an application which is the same in every respect, it just hasn't been filled in. But I got the impression you were thinking about something different.

THE WITNESS: Just to clarify, when a person utilizes their water access code, it then pulls up the customer of record for that property. So we pre-populate portions of the application, the name, the address, so they don't have to do it themselves, versus having a WRAP application, in comparison, was all blank. It was just fields that -- you could copy that

application at your copier. That's one of the bigger differences between our original program to our unified application that we are using for TAP.

MS. BROCKWAY: Let's say you receive by mail or you dropped off an application, which was filled out by either the customer or somebody else on their behalf, that has all the information on the application but not the access code. Do you have a way of looking up what the access code would be for such a customer?

THE WITNESS: Yes, we do. I just want to clarify, the major piece that I omitted from the pre-populated is it generates a bar code for tracking purposes. So in addition to the pre-populated fields of your name and address, there is also a bar code we are using on that application for tracking purposes. So that's a difference between a regular blank application that, similar to how the WRAP applications were that did not have a bar code. They were just blank pieces of paper. The difference is there was no bar code on that.

MS. BROCKWAY: Would it be possible to say 1 2 get one of these local agencies and give them a hundred blank applications that all have a 3 4 different bar code on them, so that when it 5 came in to you it was already bar coded? The bar code coincides with THE WITNESS: 6 7 the water access. So we wouldn't be able to generate a blank application, because it 8 9 doesn't tie into an applicant. 10 There is no way to add it MS. BROCKWAY: to a blank? 11 12 THE WITNESS: I'll defer part of my 13 response to RFC. 14 MR. DAVIS: This is Jon Davis with RFC. 15 just wanted to add that part of the ordinance 16 that brought the TAP program into being 17 requires the tracking of applications. And that's the reason why we have the bar coded 18 19 applications that tie back to the water access 20 code. 21 MS. BROCKWAY: It would probably take some 22 doing, meaning somebody would have to do 23 something, but it would seem to me that it's 24 possible to have a database which corresponds

the WAC to a bar code and keep track of the information in that way. I'm a little perplexed about why it's not possible to take in an application from the outside without

those numbers on it.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

There are instances where a MS. MUHAMMAD: customer may submit information not aligned with an application and when it comes into our processing software called Camp that we use to process our applications it comes in as what's called an orphan. It's called an orphan because there is no identifier for the account or no bar code. So then we have to manually determine whose information was submitted. So the other purpose of the bar code is to also put a state of enforcement if the customer at that time is in a possible shut-off status. as soon as the application's generated there is a 14 day stay and that is also aligned with the bar code.

So it's not possible to just have applications with bar codes, because if a customer has a scheduled shutoff we don't know that until we receive that application or that

application is generated with that bar code.

MR. DASENT: Madam Hearing Officer, we

could also offer you further city code

references as to why the bar code was utilized.

But Miss Muhammad gave you the factual

underpinning of it to insure the integrity of

the program.

MS. BROCKWAY: I'm familiar with the requirement to track. I was just trying to understand why there is what appears to be a barrier, perhaps not a huge one, I don't know, for somebody to pick up an application outside, have some help getting the water access number and submit it. And what I'm getting is that that's not possible.

MR. DASENT: Miss Muhammad?

MS. MUHAMMAD: I'll give you an example.

If a customer has a shutoff for Monday and they go into a CBO today and receive an application that has a bar code on it, on Monday they would still be shut off. But if they go into a CBO or they call water revenue or go into an office and that application is generated for them, or even if they go online and generate it

themselves, once that bar code appears their

account would have a stay of enforcement for 14

days. That also helps to insure if a customer

5 get shut off.

MS. BROCKWAY: But if the customer has the access code, can't the system generate the appropriate bar code to go with it once the thing is filed with you?

who may be in the process of applying does not

MS. MUHAMMAD: Yes, it can. But the example I was giving is if the CBO had a stack of applications with bar codes, so if a customer doesn't come in they would still be shut off. We need to know that application has been generated and given to them.

MS. CROSBY: Maybe just a clarification.

We are talking about bar codes. There is a regular scanned bar code and then there is a bar code that is uniquely coded. There may be some differences of what you're speaking about bar codes, because the bar codes we speak of are uniquely identified as to just like a bar code you could scan and then associate later.

The bar codes with the TAP program are -- that

bar code is unique to that customer. So having 1 2 blank applications with bar codes already on them wouldn't have -- having "a" bar code isn't 3 the same as having "the" bar code (indicating). 4 5 MS. BROCKWAY: Right. Those were my questions. Any other from the bench? 6 7 MR. POPOWSKY: No. MR. BALLENGER: Can I just ask a question 8 9 based on one of the questions you asked the hearing officer? 10 MS. BROCKWAY: 11 12 MR. BALLENGER: I think one of the 13 scenarios, and maybe I misheard, and if I did I 14 apologize, but I think one of the scenarios the 15 hearing officer described was a circumstance

scenarios, and maybe I misheard, and if I did I apologize, but I think one of the scenarios the hearing officer described was a circumstance where an application was submitted without the access code on it. And my understanding is perhaps that's the example you were speaking of, Ms. Muhammad, as an orphan account. But in general you can't get an application without the water access code; is that correct?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. MUHAMMAD: Yes, that's correct. Once the application is generated it has an access code.

1 MR. BALLENGER: So you wouldn't see that 2 circumstance where an application would come in and then you would add the code to it? 3 MS. MUHAMMAD: Correct. 4 5 I just wanted to be clear MR. BALLENGER: about that. Perhaps that helped. 6 7 MS. BROCKWAY: Seems like there are a lot 8 of moving parts. I can never remember my 9 customer number come hell or high water, so I 10 was thinking of my own difficulty in doing But is there any redirect based on the 11 12 questions from the bench and the clarifications? 13 14 MR. DASENT: Just one. I don't know who 15 on the panel can enlighten me about it, but why 16 is a bar code on the application in the first 17 place? Give us more information and 18 background. Why is it on there, quality 19 control, to make sure enforcement is in place, 20 an assortment of things? 21 THE WITNESS: An assortment of things. 22 It's a unique identifier that's created for 23 every citizen that has an account and, as Ms. 24 Muhammad was saying, it also helps to insure

that a stay of enforcement that is associated 1 2 with that account takes place. 3 MR. DASENT: Any reporting requirements? 4 THE WITNESS: And as we mentioned 5 previously, the reporting requirements that are outlined in the ordinance require certain 6 7 tracking. And the way that we get to those tracking metrics are through using the bar 8 code. 9 10 MR. DASENT: Thank you. MS. BROCKWAY: Anything else? I want to 11 12 thank the panel very much for enlightening us and we'll take a break. 13 14 15 (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) 16 17 MS. BROCKWAY: We are on the record. 18 Mr. Ballenger? 19 MR. BALLENGER: Yes, thank you, Madam 20 Hearing Officer. I believe everyone is aware 21 from our discussion yesterday, Mr. Colton, 22 Roger D. Colton, is a witness on behalf of the 23 Public Advocate. He submitted Public Advocate 24 Statement Number 3, which we moved onto the

record yesterday. And I believe there was a 1 2 stipulation as to its authenticity. I wanted to just ask Mr. Colton whether he became aware 3 4 of any errors in his testimony which he would 5 like to correct on the record here today. MR. COLTON: There is one error. Perhaps 6 7 it's self-evident, but I would like to correct it. On Page 30, line 6 there is a reference, 8 9 PWD reports from July 2017 through 10 February 2019, and that date, 2019, should be 11 2018. 12 MS. BROCKWAY: Is the February correct? 13 MR. COLTON: The February is correct. 14 Clearly we don't have data through 15 February 2019. MR. BALLENGER: So with the correction of 16 17 that typo, I think we are ready to proceed and 18 would present Mr. Colton for cross-examination at this time. 19 20 21 CROSS 22 EXAMINATION 23 24 BY MR. DASENT:

- 1 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Colton.
- 2 A Good afternoon.
- 3 Q It's always good to see you. I read your
- 4 resume with interest and I'm reminded that you
- 5 worked for the National Consumer Law Center in the
- 6 past in Boston; is that correct?
- 7 A I did.
- 8 Q And I don't know when that was, if it was
- 9 in the '90s or '80s.
- 10 A I started in -- it was long enough ago
- 11 that these would be estimates, but I started in 1986
- 12 and worked for NCLC for eight or ten years, perhaps.
- 13 Q I also noted from your resume you usually
- 14 testify on behalf of consumers or consumer agencies.
- 15 Is that a fair assumption?
- 16 A Usually, but not always, yes. That's not
- 17 an assumption, that's a fact.
- 18 Q Over the past four years, since 2014,
- 19 again with reference to your resume, do you know how
- 20 many times you've given expert testimony?
- 21 A I don't.
- 22 Q I've estimated, actually I counted, 34
- 23 times roughly since 2014. That's on Pages 20 to 22
- 24 of your resume, subject to check.

- 1 A One can count it up from my resume. I
- 2 have not done that.
- 3 Q Of those 34 times listed on your resume,
- 4 how many times have you testified for a consumer
- 5 like Keener or SBG Management or Agustin? Three
- 6 times, subject to check?
- 7 A Again, one could count it up from my
- 8 resume, but I haven't done that. If you have I
- 9 would accept, subject to check, what your count is.
- 10 Q And the other times you testified during
- 11 this period since 2014, you testified for nonprofit
- 12 entities or consumer advocate groups, and that
- 13 dominates your participation as an expert witness?
- 14 A Nonprofit entities or consumer groups. I
- 15 would say what dominates my testimony is that I tend
- 16 to testify on behalf of what are called NASAGA
- 17 offices, which tend to be state attorney general
- 18 offices. So if you count the state attorney
- 19 generals as being a consumer advocacy group, I would
- 20 agree with you. But the Office Of People's Counsel
- 21 in Maryland, the Office of Consumer Advocate in
- 22 Pennsylvania, the Office Of Attorney General in
- 23 Illinois are state agencies, not consumer advocacy
- 24 organizations.

- 1 Q Okay. Office of consumer advocates would
- 2 be more the correct statement. I was trying to
- 3 capture your many appearances for the Office Of
- 4 Consumer Advocate here in Pennsylvania.
- 5 A The Office Of Consumer Advocate in
- 6 Pennsylvania is a regular client of mine, yes.
- 7 Q Are you giving any legal analysis in this
- 8 case?
- 9 A I think my testimony is always informed by
- 10 the fact that I'm an attorney, but there are issues
- 11 that would be briefed that I wouldn't be testifying
- 12 as to the ultimate conclusion, that the legal
- 13 analysis and legal conclusions are more
- 14 appropriately left for briefs.
- 15 Q Are you a member of the Iowa Bar? I just
- 16 inferred that from your resume.
- 17 A I am.
- 18 Q Are you admitted in Pennsylvania?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q Let me focus your attention on the TAP
- 21 rider. Am I correct that most of your criticisms of
- 22 the department's proposed TAP rider are directed at
- 23 the original version of the rider in a cost recovery
- 24 mechanism?

- 1 A As opposed to being directed toward the
- 2 revised rider that was filed after I filed my direct
- 3 testimony?
- 4 Q Yes, exactly. Wasn't a criticism.
- 5 A Okay. Yes, my criticisms were directed
- 6 toward the TAP rider as it existed as of the time I
- 7 filed my testimony.
- 8 Q And it significantly changed since then.
- 9 That was my point.
- 10 A I would agree that --
- 11 MR. BALLENGER: I would just object. I'm
- 12 not sure Mr. Colton should be testifying about
- 13 Mr. Dasent's witness' changes to their
- 14 proposal.
- MS. BROCKWAY: I think you're losing an
- opportunity to have Mr. Colton address exactly
- those changes unless you don't want him to.
- 18 MR. DASENT: That's where I was going.
- 19 MR. BALLENGER: Okay. Thank you.
- 20 BY MR. DASENT:
- Q Go ahead.
- 22 A Clearly the TAP rider that is on the table
- 23 from the Water Department today is different from
- 24 the one that was on the table at the time that I

- 1 filed my direct testimony. Whether those are
- 2 significant changes or not, I don't think that my
- 3 characterization of them is significant or
- 4 insignificant. I don't think there is much to that,
- 5 but clearly there have been changes.
- 6 Q Based on the alternative proposal that's
- 7 now on the table proposed by the Philadelphia Water
- 8 Department, which areas of concern to you are now
- 9 addressed?
- 10 A It just so happens I have a list.
- 11 Q Okay.
- 12 A I raised eight issues with respect to the
- 13 original TAP rider. The first issue involved
- 14 whether there should be an embedded lost revenue
- 15 adjustment, and there has been a change in the newly
- 16 filed rider to agree that there should be some
- 17 embedded lost revenue adjustment. The disagreement
- 18 now is what the level of that adjustment should be.
- 19 There was originally disagreement as to whether
- 20 LICAP, which is the Low Income Conservation
- 21 Assistance Program, costs should be recovered
- 22 through the rider. And that issue has been
- 23 resolved. The new rider does not propose to recover
- 24 LICAP costs through a reconcilable rider.

- 1 The third issue is whether the rider
- 2 should be adjusted on an annual basis to take into
- 3 account not only changes in the expenses of TAP, but
- 4 changes in the revenue recovery for TAP. And the
- 5 new rider -- and that issue has been resolved. The
- 6 new rider accepts the fact there needs to be
- 7 adjustments of both revenues and expenses. The
- 8 fourth issue -- is this what you asked for?
- 9 Q Yes, it is, exactly.
- 10 A The fourth issue is whether the allocation
- 11 of TAP credits, TAP costs to be included through the
- 12 rider between water service and sewer service should
- 13 be done based on revenue requirements as proposed by
- 14 the Water Department or should be done based on
- 15 revenues. And that issue is outstanding. There is
- 16 still a disagreement there.
- 17 The fifth issue is whether the rider
- 18 should be applied as a percentage of the bill or
- 19 whether it should be applied based on units of
- 20 consumption. And the new rider accepts the Public
- 21 Advocate's proposal that the rider should be done in
- 22 terms of units of consumption rather than in the
- 23 percentage of the bill. The sixth issue has to do
- 24 with the Public Advocate's -- with my testimony,

- 1 which is that the rider as proposed by the Water
- 2 Department was unduly complex. And the rider that's
- 3 included in my testimony is simpler and I would set
- 4 that aside as being conclusory in nature.
- 5 I think there is a difference of
- 6 opinion as to, for example, whether allocating
- 7 between water and sewer based on revenue requirement
- 8 or based on revenues is more or less complex than
- 9 allocating between water and waste water based on
- 10 revenues. I'll set that aside.
- 11 The seventh issue was that the Public
- 12 Advocate, the rider attached to my testimony
- 13 suggests or recommends that the rider be not
- 14 associated with fiscal years, but be done on a
- 15 12-month basis. That would allow for 12 months of
- 16 complete real data. And the Water Department
- 17 recommends that the rider -- the reconciliation be
- 18 calculated on 10 months of real data and 2 months of
- 19 what they call and what you call annualized data.
- 20 And that issue is still outstanding.
- 21 And the eighth issue is that the
- 22 water department propose to allow an adjustment for
- 23 what the Water Department referred to as emergencies
- 24 and the Public Advocate, through the rider attached

- 1 to my testimony, would exclude that type of
- 2 emergency adjustment. So that issue has not been
- 3 resolved. And then of course this has rider
- 4 implications, but the Public Advocate has proposed
- 5 an arrearage forgiveness program. And whether
- 6 that's -- the Water Department allows for the
- 7 recovery of arrearage forgiveness costs through the
- 8 rider and we agree with that. The difference is
- 9 what arrearage forgiveness should be collected
- 10 through the rider.
- 11 Q We started miles apart. It seems like we
- 12 are moving closer together. I think that general
- 13 observation is true. I'm assuming also that you're
- 14 open to continuing discussions to see if we can
- 15 narrow our differences and make those differences
- 16 small enough so that when we present the final
- 17 record to the Board, that they will have fewer
- 18 issues and controversy. It seems like we already
- 19 narrowed that range.
- 20 A I would agree that the issues have been
- 21 narrowed. I would simply note in response to your
- 22 question, I think there was a question in there,
- 23 that I would note that the differences are not
- 24 between the Water Department and me. The

- 1 differences are between the Water Department and the
- 2 Public Advocate.
- 3 0 I understand that.
- 4 A So it's not narrowing the differences
- 5 between me and the Water Department. I'm not a
- 6 party to this proceeding.
- 7 Q I understand that.
- 8 A Is that a lawyerly response?
- 9 O Yes. Let's focus on the low income
- 10 collection adjustment. I'm trying to see if that's
- 11 on the list that you've given me.
- 12 A It is, the embedded lost revenue.
- 13 Q Got it. Now, you propose to offset the
- 14 TAP revenue losses by 13.1 percent in your
- 15 testimony. That's Page 62 and 63. That percentage
- 16 adjustment, however, is not based upon actual PWD
- 17 data. We talked about that a little before. Is
- 18 that a correct assumption? You're using data from
- 19 another utility company?
- 20 MS. BROCKWAY: Can you keep your voice up
- 21 to the end of the sentence?
- MR. DASENT: I'll do my best.
- THE WITNESS: I agree the 13.1 is -- well,
- I agree and disagree with what you said. 13.1

- 1 percent was determined based on the
- 2 methodologies that I described in my direct
- 3 testimony. The basis for using that 13.1
- 4 percent, however, is based squarely on PWD
- 5 testimony. We know that the 97.3 percent is
- for total customer classes, for all customer
- 7 classes and not simply the residential class.
- 8 We know that the residential customer class has
- 9 a collectibility lower than the collectibility
- 10 for all customer classes. So right there we
- 11 know that 97.3 overstates. Even if we were
- just to say let's use the residential class, we
- 13 know that there are differences between
- 14 subclasses based on the collections information
- 15 that we looked at yesterday.
- 16 So we know that the 97.1(sic) as a whole
- does not reflect the collectibility of
- subgroups within the 97.1. That's all PWD
- 19 specific data. And the use of the 13.1 percent
- is based on that PWD specific data.
- 21 BY MR. DASENT:
- 22 Q It's my understanding, just from reading
- 23 your testimony, that you indicated that your
- 24 calculation was based upon the gross writeoffs of

- 1 PECO and PGW.
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And you averaged the two of those?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q So in averaging low income collectible
- 6 rates for PECO and PGW you arrive at the specific
- 7 calculation 13.1?
- 8 A No. What I'm saying is the 13.1 percent
- 9 came through that methodology. The basis for the
- 10 13.1 percent is the recognition that when you look
- 11 at 2.7 -- or the opposite, when you look at 97.3,
- 12 that number is wrong, because we know that it
- 13 overstates the residential collectibility. And
- 14 within the residential collectibility we know that
- 15 even if we were to use residential collectibility
- 16 that would overstate the low income collectibility.
- 17 So the basis for the need to have a number bigger
- 18 than 2.7 is based squarely on PWD data.
- 19 Q What was the whole basis of using gross
- 20 writeoffs of PGW and PECO, as you indicated you did?
- 21 A There are two things, both of which were
- 22 explained in my direct testimony. The first
- 23 question is, if we looked at the collectibility of
- 24 residential customers whether there would be a

- 1 difference between the collectibility for
- 2 residential customers and the collectibility for low
- 3 income customers more specifically. So between
- 4 those two subclasses, just like there were
- 5 differences between other subclasses that had been
- 6 talked about in this hearing, if you look at my
- 7 testimony, or when you look at my testimony, the
- 8 data for -- and it doesn't matter what type of
- 9 utility service, whether it's electric service or
- 10 natural gas service or PECO that provides both, the
- 11 collectibility of low income customers is lower than
- 12 the collectibility of residential customers.
- 13 So I start out there, but I think
- 14 that the use of a statewide average would draw
- 15 objections from PWD. So in order to make the number
- 16 as reflective of Philadelphia as possible I use the
- 17 two utilities that serve the City of Philadelphia.
- 18 And PECO Electric serves the city, unlike PECO Gas,
- 19 PECO Electric serves the City of Philadelphia. PGW
- 20 not only serves the City of Philadelphia, but it's a
- 21 municipal facility serving the City of Philadelphia.
- 22 So I used those two.
- 23 Q Have you used this sort of adjustment
- 24 before for other service territories where you're

- 1 indicating basically there is a delta between
- 2 collections for low income residential versus the
- 3 rest of the service territory?
- 4 A I do.
- 5 Q Have you had any success with that, for
- 6 example with Philadelphia Gas Works, in the recent
- 7 past?
- 8 A Philadelphia Gas Works, in its most recent
- 9 case Philadelphia Gas Works stipulated to an offset
- 10 and it was -- I generally propose an offset based on
- 11 bad debt and working capital, and the offset didn't
- 12 distinguish between whether it was a bad debt or
- 13 working capital offset or a combination of the two.
- 14 Philadelphia Gas Works, even two rate cases ago,
- 15 again stipulated to a bad debt offset, yes. So in
- 16 two cases PGW has entered into settlements.
- 17 O So settlements with the basis of that
- 18 particular adjustment as opposed to it being
- 19 litigated to conclusion? It's a settlement?
- 20 A Yeah. Philadelphia Gas Works agreed to
- 21 include an offset.
- 22 Q Let's talk about arrearage forgiveness.
- 23 With respect to arrearage forgiveness, do you agree
- 24 that PWD is not proposing to include a reconcilable

- 1 arrearage forgiveness in the determination of TAP or
- 2 cost recovery?
- 3 A I agree.
- 4 Q Can you define what arrearage forgiveness
- 5 means in the context of your proposal, what costs
- 6 are included?
- 7 A Arrearage forgiveness costs would be the
- 8 costs that would be associated with bill credits
- 9 directed toward arrears appearing on the bill at the
- 10 time of a CAP application for those people who were
- 11 approved and enrolled in the CAP program. Amortized
- 12 over a 24-month period, with each bill credit being
- 13 dependent on the participant making a complete bill
- 14 payment.
- 15 Q What type of arrears are we talking about?
- 16 A I'm not sure what you mean by that.
- 18 are we talking about the something in the immediate?
- 19 A It's whatever bills -- strike that.
- 20 Whatever arrearages appear on the bill as of the
- 21 date of the TAP application.
- 22 Q Are you aware that the City's policy is to
- 23 write off arrears after 15 years?
- 24 A I have heard that. I will accept that as

- 1 being -- yes, I will accept that as being an
- 2 accurate representation.
- 3 Q For PGW are you aware that arrearage
- 4 forgiveness is tied to customers paying their TAP
- 5 bill?
- 6 A Yes. PGW doesn't say that. PUC says
- 7 that. So PGW --
- 8 Q I'm just using it as a point of reference.
- 9 A PGW accepts -- well, I don't know if they
- 10 accept it or not, but PGW does what the PUC tells
- 11 them to do.
- 12 Q Are you aware that TAP regulations only
- 13 allow penalties to be forgiven after 24 TAP
- 14 payments?
- 15 A I've been told that, yes.
- 16 Q More general questions, would you agree
- 17 that PGW and PECO universal services programs and
- 18 their associated rate riders have evolved over time,
- 19 their older programs?
- 20 A Have the rate riders evolved over time?
- 21 Q Have cost recovery mechanisms for PECO and
- 22 PGW changed over a period of time since their
- 23 inception?
- 24 A PECO's certainly has. I'm not sure --

- 1 unless you have a specific example, I'm not sure I
- 2 would say that about PGW's.
- 3 Q It seems for all PUC regulated facilities
- 4 from time to time there are changes based upon
- 5 annual or biannual reviews of the program. And I've
- 6 seen a number of PUC determinations that indicate
- 7 for PECO and other utilities that over time, and
- 8 this goes back to the '80s, have changed because
- 9 they customized the various programs to their
- 10 service territory.
- 11 A Those two questions ask different things.
- 12 If the question is has the PGW CRP program, Customer
- 13 Responsibility Program, evolved over time, clearly
- 14 the answer is yes. PUC requires a tri-annual filing
- 15 called the USECP, Universal Service And Energy
- 16 Conservation Program, and the program has evolved
- 17 over time. If the question is whether the cost
- 18 recovery has evolved over time, with PECO I would
- 19 agree with that. But with PGW, the PUC, I don't
- 20 know how many years ago, 12, 15, 20 years ago, had a
- 21 proceeding on CAP cost recovery, CAP being the
- 22 Customer Assistance Program. CAP is to the investor
- 23 utilities as TAP is to PWD. The PUC had a
- 24 proceeding on CAP cost recovery and I don't think

- 1 that CAP cost recovery for PGW has substantively
- 2 changed since the PUC laid out its CAP cost recovery
- 3 order.
- 4 O You would recall that in 2000 PGW went
- 5 under PUC jurisdiction and at that point their CRP
- 6 program already existed. So there was a change at
- 7 that particular moment and at no other. Would you
- 8 agree with that?
- 9 A Sure.
- 10 Q Then subsequent to that, the Universal
- 11 Services reviews tri-annually, I forget the
- 12 frequency, where they improve or build upon their
- 13 experience with interaction of the PUC?
- 14 A For the design of the program, yes.
- 15 Q Would you agree that both PECO and PGW
- 16 have more information available to them with regard
- 17 to customers enrolled in their programs having gone
- 18 quite a number of years with their -- since the
- 19 initiation of the programs?
- 20 A Have more information than PWD?
- 21 Q Yes.
- 22 A I don't know that for a fact, but it would
- 23 not surprise me at all. It would be reasonable to
- 24 assume that PGW and PECO both know more about their

- 1 low income populations than PWD knows about its low
- 2 income populations.
- 3 Q The data that PECO and PGW report to the
- 4 PUC and the data used in calculating their riders
- 5 would inform them more about their low income
- 6 populations that are vulnerable to these programs?
- 7 A Yes, sure. The answer is yes.
- 8 O We didn't talk much about interest rates
- 9 that were an issue before. But I have a feeling
- 10 that's an issue that's minor in the scope of things
- 11 with respect to the rider?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q In other words, it's sort of resolvable.
- 14 Yours is based upon the statutory interest rate of
- 15 Pennsylvania, 6 percent, but --
- 16 A And yours is based on short-term interest.
- 17 Q Right.
- 18 A That's an issue that -- if that's the only
- 19 issue where we differ, then we've got a problem.
- 20 Q No, we don't have a problem.
- 21 A Or, yeah, if that issue is the sole issue
- 22 that prevents an agreement on a TAP rider, that's
- 23 what I meant. That that issue is not one of the
- 24 bigger issues when it comes to the TAP rider, in my

- 1 opinion. My opinion may differ from the Public
- 2 Advocate's.
- 3 Q I understand your distinction, but I do
- 4 also see the open issues that you've listed. I'll
- 5 use those to focus on where we could possibly reach
- 6 agreement and where at the end of the day the range
- 7 of stipulations may end.
- 8 MR. DASENT: If I might have a break, your
- 9 Honor?
- MS. BROCKWAY: We'll take five minutes.
- * * *
- (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
- * * *
- 14 MS. BROCKWAY: Back on the record.
- 15 BY MR. DASENT:
- 16 Q Mr. Colton, just a few more questions.
- 17 A I've heard that before.
- 18 Q I literally do. Do you know if PECO or
- 19 PGW utilized estimated data in their reconciliation
- 20 filings for their respective universal service
- 21 riders?
- 22 A I don't know that. Whether there is any
- 23 component in those riders that uses estimated data?
- 24 O Yes.

- 1 A I can't -- I don't know that. I would
- 2 have to have the riders in front of me. I'd have to
- 3 have the riders in front of me.
- 4 Q Are you aware that on the TAP bill there
- 5 is no 124th credit that appears as you seem to be
- 6 assuming? As we envision the TAP bill, we don't see
- 7 that credit.
- 8 A I agree with that. The Public Advocate
- 9 has proposed an arrearage forgiveness, as I
- 10 explained, and the department thus far has resisted
- 11 that proposal.
- 12 Q So it's an aspirational proposal,
- 13 basically, the arrearage forgiveness?
- 14 A I don't know what you mean by
- 15 aspirational.
- 16 0 For the future.
- 17 A It is a proposal that the Public Advocate
- 18 believes is mandated in order to comply with the
- 19 statute -- or not the statute, with the ordinance,
- 20 and has been advanced in this proceeding, yes.
- 21 Q In your testimony, Mr. Colton, you talk
- 22 about the application process and how it operates
- 23 administratively in its costs. Did you give any
- 24 consideration -- when you made your outsourcing

- 1 recommendation did you consider the city procurement
- 2 log procurement rules when you decided to make your
- 3 recommendation for outsourcing?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q In considering those procurement rules, do
- 6 you recognize that there is competitive bidding and
- 7 the bid would have to be -- would be participated in
- 8 by more than the target groups that you were asking
- 9 to receive this funding?
- 10 A I could understand that, yes.
- 11 Q Did you give any consideration to the
- 12 collective bargaining agreement governing the
- 13 services to be outsourced?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Are you aware whether outsourcing certain
- 16 functions would violate those collective bargaining
- 17 agreements?
- 18 A I think that's a legal issue to be argued
- 19 by the attorneys. But the question is -- on the one
- 20 hand the argument is that the intake process and
- 21 outreach process is an existing process that could
- 22 not be outsourced. On the other hand is the
- 23 recognition that the TAP program is a brand new
- 24 program that even according to PWD's witnesses have

- 1 been developed and created and implemented anew.
- 2 And the question is, is this brand new program with
- 3 all of the processes that have been created unique
- 4 to this program an existing process or a new
- 5 process. And that's a legal issue for the lawyers
- 6 to argue.
- 7 Q And which collective bargaining agreement
- 8 did you look at in reaching this conclusion?
- 9 A I didn't look at specific agreements. I
- 10 looked at PWD's testimony.
- 11 Q So you did not investigate it in looking
- 12 at agreements related to District Counsel 47 or 33
- 13 specifically?
- 14 A Yes, I did not. That's right.
- 15 MS. BROCKWAY: Excuse me. Go off the
- 16 record.
- 17 * * *
- 18 (Whereupon, a brief off-the-record
- 19 discussion was held.)
- 20 * * *
- 21 BY MR. DASENT:
- 22 Q Mr. Colton, let me direct your attention
- 23 to your comments and your testimony about multiple
- 24 layers of review with respect to TAP applications.

- 1 You, in fact, indicated that the two tier process --
- 2 you seemed to indicate that the two tier process is
- 3 responsible for the low number of -- strike that.
- 4 Are you aware, Mr. Colton, of the decisions that
- 5 have been appealed from the TAP application process,
- 6 the number of appeals?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q If I were to tell you that 31 TRB
- 9 petitions have been filed out of the thousands of
- 10 applications processed, would you accept that
- 11 subject to check?
- 12 A No. I wouldn't have any basis to check
- 13 that. I've never seen that number. I don't know
- 14 the source of that number. I wouldn't know where or
- 15 how to check that. So I couldn't accept it subject
- 16 to check that. It's an impossible task for me to
- 17 do.
- 18 Q So you're not aware of the number of
- 19 appeals. And even if I told you you could go to the
- 20 TRB website in Philadelphia and confirm one way or
- 21 another the number of appeals, you're saying you
- 22 will not check that?
- 23 A I'm not aware of the number of appeals and
- 24 I do not know a mechanism through which I could

- 1 reliably check that number of appeals.
- 2 MR. DASENT: Thank you, Mr. Colton.
- 3 That's it.
- 4 MS. BROCKWAY: Anybody else have cross?
- 5 Okay. We'll take questions from the bench.
- 6 MR. POPOWSKY: Thank you. I just had a
- 7 couple questions. Good afternoon, Mr. Colton.
- 8 Just a follow-up. You said that the PWD
- 9 residential collection rate is less than 97.3,
- 10 which is the overall collection rate; is that
- 11 correct?
- 12 THE WITNESS: I did.
- 13 MR. POPOWSKY: Now, this is probably in
- the record, and I apologize, but do you know
- 15 what the residential collection rate is?
- 16 THE WITNESS: Well, I was basing those
- 17 conclusions on one of the exhibits that was
- 18 introduced yesterday, which I don't remember
- 19 which one. Do you want me to look it up?
- MR. BALLENGER: It's in Exhibit 3.
- 21 THE WITNESS: There are a couple of things
- that go into that. It is Hearing Exhibit 3 of
- 23 the Public Advocate. And if you look at the
- 24 payment pattern report.

1 MS. BROCKWAY: Page?

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE WITNESS: Starting at Page 5 of 35.

If you look at Water Charges, look on the

4 left-hand column, Water Charges, and then go

5 clear to the right where you see a column,

6 Total Paid Percent. And you see in the first

7 line, Residential. The total payment is 83.12.

8 And then if you go down four lines you see

Commercial, and you follow that over to the

10 right to see the Total Payment Percentage,

11 89.81 percent. If you go down to Sewer

12 Charges, you'll see the Residential Total

13 Payment Percentage is 82.75 compared to the

commercial 84.24. If you go down to Storm

15 Water Charges Residential, the total payment

percent is 81.43, the commercial is 84.28.

For each service in this particular exhibit the residential total payment percentage is less than the commercial payment percentage. The 97.3 doesn't disaggregate by customer classes. It melds all of this together into a single number. But my testimony is that one thing that we know and

one thing that you can't tell from this exhibit

1 is through discovery we got these payment 2 pattern reports for I think 24 months. So I haven't looked at simply this report, but I've 3 looked at this report for two years, and the 4 5 pattern repeats -- consistently repeats itself, where the residential payments are lower than 6 7 the commercial payments. And I look at the residential and commercial simply -- if you 8 9 look at the numbers of customers, those are the 10 two biggest numbers. MR. POPOWSKY: All those numbers are below 11 12 97.3. So it's hard to see how we get a 97.3 13 average. 14 THE WITNESS: Yes. That's a question to 15 be directed toward the Water Department. MR. DASENT: We can provide that answer, 16 17 but I hope the Board will see this particular 18 report, and I can get Mr. Colton to tell me this, for 11-1-2016 to 10-31-2017 it is one 19 20 year's worth of data. 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, that's absolutely 22 Let me say that as the witness. is one year of data through the collectibility 23

studies would instead of having zeros in the

24

- one to two and -- two to three and the
- three-plus years, would have additional
- 3 payments.
- 4 MR. DASENT: It's a cumulative average.
- 5 MR. POPOWSKY: I would perhaps ask for the
- 6 department as a data request, transcript
- 7 request 8, to tell us what the comparable
- 8 residential collectibility rate is.
- 9 MR. DASENT: It won't be broken down by
- 10 residential. It's a cumulative rate
- 11 systemwide. That's how we give the data. But
- it would be best if you let the department of
- 13 Black and Veatch answer that.
- MR. POPOWSKY: Maybe we can address that
- 15 Monday or Tuesday --
- 16 MR. DASENT: They're ready to go.
- 17 MR. POPOWSKY: But in any case, all I'm
- 18 trying to do is to get to Mr. Colton's point,
- 19 which is his opinion, I think, that the
- 20 residential collection rate is lower than the
- 21 average. And I'm trying to see if there is any
- data on an apples to apples basis that would
- let us know what the residential collection
- 24 rate is over time.

1 MR. DASENT: And the department can answer 2 that question and it's a cumulative rate and not broken down by customers. 3 THE WITNESS: We have asked for that data, 4 5 we being the Public Advocate, have asked for that and have been told that that does not 6 7 exist. MS. BROCKWAY: I think I'm going to keep 8 9 T.R. 8 on the record, but it might be answered 10 next week by witnesses in real time. MR. POPOWSKY: Just a couple other. You 11 12 mentioned that PGW offset. Do you know what 13 that offset was for the percentage 14 uncollectible or writeoff rate that was used 15 for the PGW CRP program? MR. DASENT: Just for clarification, that 16 17 was a settlement number. MR. POPOWSKY: I understand it was a 18 settlement. It's not determinative. 19 20 THE WITNESS: I don't know right off the 21 top of my head. I can find it and present it, 22 but I don't know it off the top of my head. 23 MR. POPOWSKY: I would ask that you 24 provide us that number. I think you said there

- were two cases in which an offset was used.
- 2 THE WITNESS: The last two based rate
- 3 cases for PGW?
- 4 MR. POPOWSKY: Yes.
- 5 THE WITNESS: My understanding is to
- 6 provide the offset for PGW included in the last
- 7 two based rate cases.
- 8 MR. POPOWSKY: Yes.
- 9 THE WITNESS: I can do that.
- 10 MS. BROCKWAY: That's TR-9.
- 11 MR. POPOWSKY: One other question. You
- recommend a 24-month arrearage forgiveness
- 13 program for Philadelphia Water Department. Am
- I correct that the arrearage forgiveness for
- 15 PGW is 36 months?
- 16 THE WITNESS: I think that's true, yes.
- 17 In fact, it's more than "I think". The answer
- is yes.
- MR. POPOWSKY: That's all I have. Thank
- 20 you.
- MS. BROCKWAY: I have a few questions.
- Let me start with just some to make sure I
- 23 understand some of what's just been asked. If
- you have a 24-month arrearage forgiveness as

opposed to a 36-month, the basic difference is

2 that in the 24-month you take the total

3 arrearage and divide it by 24, and that's what

4 gets written off every month. And in the 36

5 you take the total arrearage and divide it by

6 36, and each month of faithful payment 1-36th

7 is written off.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Yes, almost. The difference THE WITNESS: is between dividing by 24 and dividing by 36, Then the writeoff occurs -- what I proposed for PWD is the same as what the PUC uses for the investor on utilities, which is that a writeoff occurs for every complete Which means -- which is a little payment. different from what you said, because it's not automatic on a monthly basis and it allows -but it doesn't require a timely payment. So if somebody misses a September payment, but then makes up that September payment in October, as soon as the complete September payment is made then that increment of arrearage forgiveness is earned.

MS. BROCKWAY: I want to go back to

Hearing Exhibit 3, Public Advocate Hearing

1 Exhibit 3. We may have to wait on a bunch of 2 this until Monday, but on the left-hand column 3 where it says Water Charges, I see Residential, Residential Vacant and Senior Citizens, Public 4 Housing Authority and Other Discounts. First 5 of all, would Residential, Residential Vacant 6 7 and Senior Citizens all be a form of residential customers? 8 9 THE WITNESS: In my mind they would be, Residential Vacant I may exclude, but 10 certainly Residential and Senior Citizens. 11 12 If you look over at that on MS. BROCKWAY: 13 the right-hand column just for water, 14 Residential Vacant has a minus 12.55 15 collectibility rate for that period. And if we 16 understand the math here, can you say whether or not that would -- would you want to do a 17 18 weighted average, let me put it that way, of the charges that you determined were 19 residential in order to get a total 20 21 residential, including any other charges that could otherwise be called residential? 22 23 THE WITNESS: I think one could do that, 24 but that's trying to reach a more precise

1 conclusion than I was -- than the question that 2 I was inquiring into. The question that I 3 posed to myself was, does the 97.3 total number appropriately reflect all customer classes. 4 5 And the question that then derives out of that is, does the residential class -- is just a yes 6 7 or no -- does the residential customer class pay less than the overall. So it didn't make 8 9 any difference to me how much -- I didn't try to determine how much less. The question was 10 simply is the 97.3 overstating the 11 12 collectibility if one were to look at the 13 residential customer class. And given that the 14 water department has said they don't prepare 15 collectibility studies on a class by class 16 basis, I had to look for other information from which to draw my conclusions. Did that answer 17 18 your question? 19 MS. BROCKWAY: Yes, it did. It may not 20 matter, so I ask these questions respecting 21 that you might persuade me that there is no 22 point in them. What's the exhibit with the

Is that PA 1 or 2? Yes, PA 1,

These writeoffs, again we

23

24

writeoff data?

Hearing Exhibit 1.

1 are limiting these reports to the PUC, are 2 limited to residential customers and subsets of 3 residential customers. But putting that aside, my question is let's take Page 3 of 10. 4 5 note that the figures used in the tables below do not include CAP credits or arrearage 6 7 forgiveness. If you were to define a mathematical formula to show that, what would 8 9 it look like? I'm trying to see how this 10 corresponds or doesn't corresponds to what Ms. Kumar was saying yesterday about taking total 11 12 billings for a period and total receipts for a 13 period. 14 THE WITNESS: And that's how these numbers are derived. If the exhibit would have 15 16 included the entire BCS report, the BCS report has total dollars of writeoff and total dollars 17 18 of billed revenue. And you can actually use the underlying data to calculate these 19 20 percentages if one is inclined to do that. 21 there again --22 MS. BROCKWAY: Please don't go any further

before I understand what you just said. You're

going to have to say a little bit more about

23

24

the -- first all of, when they say gross 1 2 writeoffs here do you understand that to be identical to the difference between billed 3 revenues and receipts as Ms. Kumar was talking 4 5 about it? THE WITNESS: It is -- not necessarily. 6 It is the dollars that have been written off as 7 uncollectible by each utility. 8 9 MS. BROCKWAY: Oh, writeoffs, I'm sorry. It says, "The figures used in the tables below 10 do not include CAP credits or arrearage 11 12 forgiveness. Does that mean those are 13 additional amounts of money that were not 14 received over they were billed? 15 THE WITNESS: CAP credits and arrearage 16 forgiveness credits aren't absolute losses to the investor in utilities, because they are 17 collected through reconcilable rate riders and 18 so they flow through as billed revenues. 19 20 MS. BROCKWAY: And received revenues? 21 THE WITNESS: Well, they are received to the extent that the receipts would be reduced 22 by the residential gross writeoff. 23

MS. BROCKWAY:

Say that again another way.

24

THE WITNESS: So if Dukane -- let me not use Dukane. If PGW includes \$100 in its rate rider for its universal service programs, that \$100 gets billed to residential customers as a whole. Oh, actually, PGW bills it to all customers.

MS. BROCKWAY: All firm customers, we are saying up here.

9

10

11

12

13

23

24

THE WITNESS: And of the dollars that are billed to the residential customers, they can expect to collect 85 percent of those. Because 15 percent of those billed revenues are going to be written off as uncollectible.

MS. BROCKWAY: So in other words, if you 14 15 included CAP credits for arrearage 16 forgiveness -- I'm not understanding how it 17 doesn't include those if what you're saying is the 15 percent from PGW on the second chart 18 reflects the fact that all firm customers, 19 20 including residential, are billed for a share 21 of what otherwise would have been received from 22 CAP customers.

THE WITNESS: Right. So PGW's CAP program is called CRP. So PGW provides \$100 in CRP

- 1 credits. Those are uncollectible, because
- 2 those are moved into a reconcilable surcharge,
- 3 which is then billed to all firm customers. So
- 4 just because PGW provided \$100 in CRP credits
- 5 doesn't mean that that \$100 becomes
- 6 uncollectible.
- 7 MS. BROCKWAY: But I would have thought
- 8 that if you add \$100 to all the firm customers
- 9 of PGW, allocating it across, if you had enough
- 10 \$100 then that would have an impact on the
- 11 gross writeoffs ratio for all residential.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. That would appear in
- the gross writeoff ratio, but at that point
- it's not a CRP credit, it's a surcharge. It's
- a bill that has been billed through the
- 16 universal service charge.
- 17 MS. BROCKWAY: So I don't actually agree
- 18 that figures do not include CAP credits. Maybe
- 19 they don't specifically. But what you're
- 20 saying is that the all residential gross
- 21 writeoff ratio would have been different if
- they hadn't had to pay any of these CAP
- arrearages.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Presumably. And if I

understand what you're saying, I agree with
you. Some portion of this 15 percent is an
uncollectible stream of revenue based on the
universal service surcharge.

MS. BROCKWAY: So what do they mean here where it says the confirmed low income gross writeoff ratio is where the CAP credits or arrearage forgiveness don't show up?

THE WITNESS: No. I think what BCS is simply saying is that if PGW provides \$100 in credits through its CRP program, that that \$100 of credits isn't a writeoff and isn't included as a writeoff. It only becomes a writeoff to the extent that it's later billed to other customers in the form of a surcharge. But at that point it's viewed as the writeoff of the surcharge and not the writeoff of the CRP credits.

MS. BROCKWAY: So then if I understand it, all the figures in the right-hand column for both industries would be higher if you did include a responsibility by low income customers to pay what is now credited through CAP or arrearage forgiveness, because

presumably they didn't pay that. So if you 1 2 assumed they were obligated to pay that, and 3 they didn't pay because of the program, then the writeoff would in fact be higher? 4 5 THE WITNESS: This can get --MS. BROCKWAY: I think that is a yes or 6 7 no. Unfortunately, it's not a 8 THE WITNESS: 9 yes or no, because that assumes that the bills 10 for confirmed low income customers would 11 increase, and to the extent you have a 12 percentage of income payment, bills to those 13 customers would not increase, so... 14 MS. BROCKWAY: Well, I was assuming that the P.I.P. would be violated to the extent to 15 16 try to figure out -- these billings are the 17 billings after credits and arrearage 18 forgiveness. 19 THE WITNESS: Yes. 20 MS. BROCKWAY: And I'm suggesting if they 21 didn't have the credits or arrearage 22 forgiveness, they would have higher bills. 23 THE WITNESS: And, therefore, higher --24 well, whether the percentages would be higher

we wouldn't know, but the dollars would be

2 higher.

MS. BROCKWAY: Why wouldn't we know the percentages? Not the exact number, but why wouldn't we know the direction?

THE WITNESS: Because if you included additional dollars you wouldn't know if all of those dollars would be uncollectible or if the increase in dollars would be uncollectible at the same rate as the previous dollars were uncollectible. So you could move from \$100 in bills to \$120 in bills, but the percentage that is written off as uncollectible could stay at 15 percent or 12 percent or whatever the percentage is.

MS. BROCKWAY: That to me challenges the entire underpinning of paid percentage of income payment theory. So I'm not following. In theory, if you're asked to pay more than a percentage of your income you have increasing hardship in doing so and increasing likelihood of noting being able to pay it.

THE WITNESS: There are a couple of responses. One is that that assumes that

- everybody that's in this column is in CAP,
- which would not be the case.
- 3 MS. BROCKWAY: The low income column?
- 4 THE WITNESS: In the low income column.
- 5 Secondly is while I agree with you in theory,
- 6 there are innumerable ways in which the
- 7 strict -- if I'm going off track here let me
- 8 know -- that strict percentage of income varies
- 9 from strict percentage of income. So utilities
- 10 universally, for example, have minimum
- 11 payments. So it doesn't make any difference
- what your income is. There is a mandatory
- minimum payment.
- 14 MS. BROCKWAY: So what I'm getting is you
- 15 would agree on the direction but not that there
- is any dollar for dollar or other -- no --
- 17 THE WITNESS: No measurable change?
- 18 MS. BROCKWAY: Thank you. No quantifiable
- 19 link at this point. Just one more --
- 20 THE WITNESS: I agree with -- to the
- 21 extent that was a question, yes, I agree with
- that.
- MS. BROCKWAY: Just to make sure nobody
- thinks that I've forgotten that if I put it in

the low income area I have to take it off the
bills paid by the all residential. Presumably
if all residential billings were a little bit
lower, because they didn't have to pay cap or
arrearage forgiveness, their writeoff ratio
would be a little bit less, again, by an amount

we have no idea?

THE WITNESS: Although I agree it would go the other way with low income households, I'm not sure that would be appropriate for non-low income households. Simply because my wife and I might pay a \$60 a month electric bill to Belmont Light Company doesn't mean that we are at the maximum of our affordability. If we received a \$90 bill we would complain about it, but we'd pay it. So with the non-low income customers I don't think you could ipso facto say that if the bills would go down the uncollectibles would go down as well.

MS. BROCKWAY: Give me a second, please.

I'm trying to find where I had in the margin,

"Ask Colton." Ms. Kumar yesterday in response

to I think my questions explained why in her

opinion you couldn't compare or use the

writeoff experience for electric or gas

2 utilities to that of the Water Department.

3 Were you here for that?

4 THE WITNESS: I was.

5 MS. BROCKWAY: Do you agree with her?

6 THE WITNESS: No.

7 MS. BROCKWAY: Why not?

8 THE WITNESS: For a couple of reasons.

9 One is a dollar bill is a dollar bill and it is

10 universally -- strike that. Generally held

11 that when bills exclude a percentage of income,

that those bills become more problematic. For

13 example, and the reason that I don't agree with

the comment that water bills may be different,

15 I was chair of the board of directors of a

16 nonprofit housing development for 10 years and

17 we know, or we knew, that if total shelter

18 costs exceeded 30 percent of income, that the

19 odds of there being payment difficulties would

increase. We know for gas and electric service

21 that when bills exceed an affordable percentage

of income they become more problematic. And

23 the fact that there is one service that's

24 electricity and another service that is natural

gas and another service which is the provision

of shelter or provision of housing, the

3 principle stays the same; that low income

4 households that have bills as a percentage of

5 income that exceed a certain affordable level

6 become less payable.

And her assertion that for some reason the water industry differs from every other industry is just something that I don't think stands the test of further inquiry.

MS. BROCKWAY: What I took her to be saying is that for most people who would qualify as low income in this program, their income is fairly stable from month to month, but that for those with electric space heat or air conditioning and those with gas heat, there are seasonal differences that you don't see in water bills. And the seasonal differences make it difficult -- the high costs a month for that service don't translate into higher income for that month.

THE WITNESS: I think that there is a generalization in there that doesn't understand low income customers. To give you an example,

1 I spent a good part of my career recommending 2 that low income households use budget billing 3 for the very reason that she was mentioning. I worked on a program in Georgia for the Georgia 4 5 State Department of Human Services where we promoted budget billing, and there was a group 6 7 of clients that we worked with and one of the 8 clients said, "Roger, you're pushing me toward 9 budget billing, because you're saying let's 10 move part of the high cost gas bill into the low cost summer months. But during the summer 11 12 months I've got kids at home that when they're 13 in school they participate in the free school 14 lunch or breakfast program. And when they're 15 home for the summer I have to buy food for them 16 and so the summer months are actually more 17 problematic to me than the high cost of winter months." So to generalize, based on the 18 19 comments that were made is simply, I don't want 20 to say a myth, but it's an overgeneralization. 21 And I don't think you can -- well, I'm not 22 going to impugn her knowledge or lack of 23 knowledge, but I don't think it fully 24 understands the dynamics of a low income

1 household.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 MS. BROCKWAY: I think it was yesterday 3 also that I -- please give me a second. Oh, I suggested to that panel maybe it was this 4 5 morning that you chose the 13 percent because it was greater than 2.7 percent and that you 6 7 knew it had to be greater, and this was the closest number that was based on something 8 9 applicable that you could identify. Was that 10 accurate?

We know from in THE WITNESS: Yes. Hearing Exhibit 1 that low income uncollectibility is higher than residential low income uncollectibility as a whole. I haven't ever worked with anybody who would ever dispute So what Exhibit 1 tells us, aside from the numbers, is simply that if you look at the low income uncollectibility it's going to be higher than residential uncollectibility as a whole. So 2.7 percent is too low. 2.7 then also is a melding of all customer classes without taking out the residential customer class. And if one accepts the notion as I do, that the residential class would be somewhat

lower than 97.03, that drives the 2.7 percent even higher.

And instead of picking a number out of thin air, I said, "Okay, let's look at the utilities that serve the same basic population, the City of Philadelphia. And instead of using one or the other I took the midpoint between those two. So there is an empirical basis, so I'm not just picking a number out of thin air.

MS. BROCKWAY: This is a slightly different topic. I'm trying to figure out the extent to which the theory that making bills affordable reduces the credit collection costs of the supplier is included in what you're suggesting here. The thought came to me in your testimony on Page 60. And here starting at the top saying, "Participation by low income customers in TAP does not create new costs. It simply moves the unpaid bills of the group of customers known as residential customers into the group of customers known as TAP participants." And I made a note to myself, is this dollar for dollar?

Yes. And it doesn't include

THE WITNESS:

any offsets for changes or improvements in

credit and collection costs or working capital

or anything. It's simply the embedded lost

revenue.

MS. BROCKWAY: So would it be accurate to say that you think there should be some offsets because of bills being paid on a better payment pattern but you're not proposing to include any such consideration in the numbers in this case?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MS. BROCKWAY: I think that there is likely to be some -- and I hope there is going to be some briefing of the question of the authority of the Board with respect to a cap on administrative costs. You've been asked by Mr. Dasent and there's been some discussion of the authority of the Board to outsource. But you are saying in your testimony, as I understand it, that even if these activities were not outsourced they ought to be conducted at a lower cost. So putting aside -- I think we have to put aside almost completely the question whether or not it's within the Board's authority to say anything about that, but let's

assume for the purposes of discussion that it

would be. How would the Board go about -
well, as a policy matter, not just a legal

matter, how should the Board handle, if it

concludes that the department and the Bureau

are spending a whole lot more than they need to

spend in order to run this program?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE WITNESS: What would the enforcement mechanism be?

That's one way of putting MS. BROCKWAY: A number of questions have come up about the extent of which the Board can say about the behavior of the department and the Bureau, including choices of administrative process. So if the Board were to say, "We have nothing to say about it, " that would be one thing. the Board, even if it agreed with the legal proposition that there is nothing it can do, in effect going to the other extreme, there is nothing it can do to direct either agency to change their behavior, the Board has in the past appended to its 2016 decision a long list of the types of consumer problems that were reported to the Board. So there is an ability

1 to report issues and ask for reports back.

THE WITNESS: But I think with

administrative costs it would simply be that

the Board would say, as a ratemaking decision,

that you would look at the total amount of

expected TAP credits, multiply that times .10

and say any administrative costs in excess of

that could not be included in base rates.

MS. BROCKWAY: Then if the department says, okay, and if it doesn't include them in base rates now, but it reconciles them out of the residual -- the RSF at the end of the year, at the end of these years, would that not defeat the purpose of telling them that they couldn't include it in rates?

THE WITNESS: I don't know the answer. I would need to pose that to the Public Advocate's revenue requirements witness.

MS. BROCKWAY: Fair enough.

MR. DASENT: The Hearing Officer should be informed that we'll brief this issue in terms of the parameters of authority consistent with the code and the charter about what revenue requirements must be.

MS. BROCKWAY: I have no doubt. 1 2 said, it may be that the Board will, even if it finds something, it might not do anything, for 3 4 just those reasons. But be prepared. I can't 5 find where I said, "Ask Mr. Colton." But since I can't find it, it must not have been that 6 7 important. Thank you. My questions are done. Are there any more from the Board, any 8 9 redirect -- recross, excuse me? 10 MR. DASENT: Yes. MR. BALLENGER: We would love to do 11 12 redirect. I don't mind if Andre would like to 13 recross first. 14 MS. BROCKWAY: Let's do redirect and then we'll have recross. 15 16 17 REDRIRECT 18 EXAMINATION 19 20 BY MR. BALLENGER: 21 Q Thank you, Mr. Colton. I just have a 22 couple of questions. And I wanted to start again 23 with Hearing Exhibit 1 on behalf of the Public

Advocate, and just ask you if you wouldn't mind just

- 1 taking a look at Page 3. And if you haven't
- 2 already, just briefly explain what the numbers on
- 3 this page are intended to show. I think you may
- 4 have covered that, but....
- 5 A The numbers on this page and on the
- 6 corresponding pages are simply to show that when one
- 7 subdivides the residential customer class into a low
- 8 income subgroup and a non-low income subgroup, the
- 9 difference between billed revenues and collected
- 10 revenues for low income customers is even higher
- 11 than the difference between billed revenues and
- 12 collected customers for non-low income customers.
- 13 MS. BROCKWAY: Actually, it reminds me of
- a question I wanted to ask you about the
- 15 definition of low income. When you're using
- 16 the term low income, are you thinking of a
- 17 specific algorithm for determining whether
- someone's low income or a specific percent of
- 19 the Federal poverty level or something else?
- 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. The term confirmed low
- income used by the PUC and low income used by
- 22 PWD happen to be the same percentage, which is
- 23 150 percent of the Federal poverty level.
- 24 MS. BROCKWAY: Can you tell us what the

1 Federal poverty level -- how that was arose at,

2 in terms of what does that percentage mean with

3 respect to a person's disposable income, their

4 buying power, their ability to meet their daily

5 needs, so forth?

20

21

22

A person with annual income 6 THE WITNESS: 7 at or below 150 percent of the poverty level is far below that dollar amount of annual income 8 9 which allows them to make expenditures on 10 essential household services without difficulty and without external assistance. The poverty 11 12 level was determined maybe in the '60s, where 13 it was said at three times the expected food 14 expenditure and has been updated annually since 15 by the Federal government based on the consumer 16 price index. Which is one reason why most 17 public assistance programs no longer use the 18 poverty level as their demarcation of what is 19 They use an increment of the Federal

MS. BROCKWAY: For example, LIHEAP uses
150 percent or something higher?

poverty level.

23 THE WITNESS: It's no greater than -- the 24 maximum can be no greater than 60 percent of median income and no less than 110 percent of the Federal poverty level. And different states use different percentages.

MS. BROCKWAY: Is part of that because the Federal poverty level does not adjust for differences in underlying costs of living?

THE WITNESS: Part of it is that. And part of it is how a state chooses to allocate its LIHEAP grant. The states get a certain amount of money. When they run out of money they stop serving people.

MS. BROCKWAY: Would you agree that the higher the median income in an area, the more likely that general costs of living are higher for that area, because people would have to, on average, have more money than they would in an area which has a low cost of living?

THE WITNESS: I studied that issue and I believe what you just said is accurate. What you just suggested is consistent with the conclusions I've reached when I've looked at the issue.

MS. BROCKWAY: Thank you for letting me butt in.

- 1 MR. BALLENGER: It was helpful to get that
- 2 information from Mr. Colton.
- 3 BY MR. BALLENGER:
- 4 Q Mr. Colton, turning your attention back to
- 5 Page 3, Exhibit 1, in your direct testimony you only
- 6 used a couple of these numbers to derive the low
- 7 income collectibility rate; isn't that correct?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And if you used just PGW what would be the
- 10 effect on the collectibility rate?
- 11 A If I had confined my number to the other
- 12 municipal utilities serving the City of Philadelphia
- 13 the uncollectibility rate would have gone
- 14 significantly down.
- 15 Q Sort of a piggyback on Hearing Officer
- 16 Brockway's point, by including PECO, which has some
- 17 suburban customers, you're effectively -- well,
- 18 what's the impact upon your adjustment?
- 19 A I set it at the midpoint, because PECO
- 20 would have drawn it to the opposite extreme. So I
- 21 set it at the midpoint, but including PECO would
- 22 have brought the number down.
- 23 Q Is that in your view a conservative
- 24 estimate?

- 1 A Yes, for the reasons I explain in my
- 2 direct testimony. PWD is -- strike that. PWD's TAP
- 3 population have a greater incident of arrears and
- 4 have a greater depth of arrears, so more low income
- 5 --
- 6 MS. BROCKWAY: Than?
- 7 THE WITNESS: Than PGW and the utilities
- 8 here. So a greater number of low income -- of
- 9 TAP participants are in arrears, and those that
- are in arrears are further in arrears than the
- 11 corresponding numbers for PGW and PECO. So
- when I used the midpoint between PGW and PECO,
- that set aside the fact that PWD's CAP
- 14 customers, I don't want to say we don't care
- about the low income base as a whole, but we
- 16 are looking at the TAP participant population.
- And the use of the midpoint between PGW and
- 18 PECO to reflect the TAP participant population
- is a very conservative number.
- 20 MS. BROCKWAY: I just want to say one more
- 21 thing. I wasn't making a point. I was asking
- 22 questions.
- MR. BALLENGER: I apologize. I didn't
- 24 mean to suggest that you were. I simply

- 1 thought it was an interesting point that arose
- as a result of your question, Madam Hearing
- 3 Officer.
- 4 BY MR. BALLENGER:
- 5 Q Mr. Colton, at one point during his
- 6 cross-examination Mr. Dasent asked you about the
- 7 department's writeoff practice, where it writes off
- 8 arrears that are more than 15 years old. Do you
- 9 remember that discussion?
- 10 A I do remember that.
- 11 Q And have you ever looked at any other
- 12 information about WRB writeoffs?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 O I'd like to introduce another exhibit, if
- 15 I may. I'd like to mark for the record as Hearing
- 16 Exhibit 6 on behalf of the Public Advocate.
- 17 MR. DASENT: Please note our objection,
- 18 Your Honor. We are getting into rebuttal or
- 19 some other series of the case that we thought
- we were not going to explore.
- 21 MS. BROCKWAY: Let me see the exhibit and
- then we'll make a decision. My question is,
- 23 Mr. Ballenger, can you specifically relate the
- information that is contained in proposed

Hearing Exhibit 6 to questions on redirect that 1 2 was posed by Mr. Dasent? 3 MR. BALLENGER: Yes, Madam Hearing Officer. My only question really would be to 4 5 Mr. Colton is whether WRB's -- whether a document such as this supports that a 15-year 6 7 age balanced writeoff is the only writeoff that WRB takes into consideration. 8 9 MR. DASENT: That's not the question that was presented, Your Honor. I think this is 10 objectionable. It seems like we waited until 11 12 the last day for testimony of Mr. Colton, and 13 we are getting into a whole new area of 14 redirect. It's as if we are putting in a 15 surrebuttal, and note my objection. 16 17 (Whereupon, a brief off-the-record discussion was held.) 18 19 20 MR. DASENT: When our CFO is on the stand 21 next week that would be a more appropriate time 22 to raise this issue as opposed to now, sort of 23 the 11th hour. I don't think he needs this at

this point and we would object at this late

- 1 stage of Mr. Colton's testimony.
- 2 MR. BALLENGER: I was taking notes during
- 3 Mr. Dasent's cross-examination, and he did
- 4 refer to the writeoff practices after 15 years
- 5 in his cross-examination. I believe the
- 6 transcript would support me on that.
- 7 MS. BROCKWAY: So my recollection is that
- 8 the reference was writeoffs at least at 15
- 9 years, not writeoffs only at 15 years. That's
- 10 my recollection of the Q and A. The question
- 11 was something like, I think, "Don't you realize
- that at 15 years anything after that is written
- 13 off?
- MS. CROSBY: That's correct, Madam Hearing
- 15 Officer. The questions were in regards to the
- 16 department's 15-year writeoff policy that is
- 17 part of the Philadelphia Code and the face of
- 18 this exhibit does not address that. It
- 19 addresses other types of writeoffs, which were
- 20 not the point of the discussions earlier in the
- 21 proceedings.
- 22 MS. BROCKWAY: I think that's accurate,
- that the way that the question was framed the
- 24 point was, doesn't Mr. Colton know that we

don't have to think about things after 15 1 2 years, because they don't count, because they're written off. And this is something 3 4 different. And I would say let's not put this 5 to Mr. Colton, but ask witnesses next week. Okay. Thank you. We'll 6 MR. BALLENGER: 7 take those back and we'll unnumber this hearing exhibit formerly known as 6. 8 9 MS. CROSBY: Actually, I think we should 10 keep it as part of the record as one that was proposed but the Hearing Officer ruled was not 11 12 appropriate at this time. 13 MS. BROCKWAY: That's fine by me, because 14 you're going to propose it next week. MR. BALLENGER: Okay. We'll revisit it 15 16 and it will remain as Hearing Exhibit 6, dated 17 May 11th. MS. BROCKWAY: No. We'll date it May 13th 18 or 14th. 19 20 MR. BALLENGER: Understood. Thank you. 21 only have a couple of additional questions for

Mr. Colton and Andre can have some time with

22

23

24

him again.

db8dd07b-06ba-4839-8e8f-daa53f76d0d5

- 1 BY MR. BALLENGER:
- Q Mr. Colton, you were asked a little bit by
- 3 Mr. Dasent about the evolution of -- not evolution
- 4 -- strike that -- about how PGW and PECO CAP
- 5 programs have changed over the years. Do you recall
- 6 that discussion?
- 7 A I do.
- 8 Q And in your opinion should the Water
- 9 Department start where PGW started or should it
- 10 learn from PGW's experience?
- 11 A I think the development of a TAP program
- 12 for the Water Department should take into account
- 13 the lessons that have been learned to date through
- 14 other experiences with other universal service
- 15 programs.
- 16 Q Have you worked on developing low income
- 17 programs for other municipal water utilities?
- 18 A I have.
- 19 Q In doing so have you used the lessons
- 20 learned from your experience in Pennsylvania?
- 21 A I do. I have.
- 22 MR. BALLENGER: I have no further redirect
- for Mr. Colton.
- MS. BROCKWAY: Recross based on redirect?

1 MR. DASENT: Yes. 2 3 RECROSS 4 EXAMINATION 5 6 BY MR. DASENT: 7 Just picking up where you left off a minute ago, Mr. Colton, the questions concerning 8 PECO and PGW had to do with a rider. We did 9 10 transgress into the discussion about the programs as well, but the rider has changed over the years, at 11 12 least in PECO's case, if I remember your testimony? I believe that's the case. 13 I believe 14 that's what I said. Let me refer you to hearing Exhibit 1, 15 0 Public Advocate Hearing Exhibit 1, page 3 of 10. 16 talked about that a bit. It refers to gross 17 writeoffs and the ratios for electric customers and 18 natural gas customers. Do you know for this table 19 20 for what period each utility writes off in the tables that are shown on that particular page? 21 22 It is included as an appendix to each BCS 23 report, but I couldn't tell you off the top of my

24

head.

- 1 Q But the writeoffs and the timing for those
- 2 writeoffs would affect the data?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 MS. BROCKWAY: I think what we ought to
- 5 do, and thank you for reminding me, is to take
- 6 notice of the entirety of the reports that are
- 7 excerpted here. So these are the reports from
- 8 2013 to 2016, and we don't need to put more
- 9 paper into the record, but I will take notice
- of the entirety of the report.
- MR. DASENT: Thank you.
- 12 BY MR. DASENT:
- 13 Q Mr. Colton, you also mentioned in your
- 14 testimony and today various citations and/or
- 15 authority or data that support your various
- 16 positions. So in your testimony you indicate using
- 17 the average of which writeoffs are of PWG and PECO.
- 18 For example, Hearing Exhibit 1 you referred to also,
- 19 as well as Hearing Exhibit 3. Is that the scope of
- 20 the support for your proposal in this case
- 21 concerning the 13.1 percent adjustment?
- 22 A Well, no. The scope of the support for my
- 23 13.1 percent is the 25 or 30 years of experience I
- 24 have in developing programs such as this. And the

- 1 issue is do low income customers have a lower
- 2 collectibility rate than residential customers as a
- 3 whole. So that's the first question, a yes, no
- 4 question. And then if you answer that yes, and I've
- 5 explained to you why I not only believe that would
- 6 be true, but why I believe that would be true for
- 7 PWD, then the question is, given that, what number
- 8 would you use. And then for the second question I
- 9 looked at the two other utilities serving the City
- 10 of Philadelphia.
- 11 Q And your references then to Public
- 12 Advocate Hearing Exhibit 1 and Public Advocate
- 13 Hearing Exhibit 3, do those factor into the analysis
- 14 or is that part of your interpretation and
- 15 corroboration of what you said?
- 16 A It would be part of the basis for
- 17 concluding that it would be inappropriate to use a
- 18 single melded number of 97.3, that a low income
- 19 specific number would be higher. So it would be
- 20 part of that conclusion.
- MS. BROCKWAY: Would be lower.
- 22 THE WITNESS: The uncollectibles would be
- 23 higher. The collectibles would be lower, yes.

- 1 BY MR. DASENT:
- 2 Q During your tenure as Belmont Housing
- 3 Director you mention that you gained information or
- 4 knowledge concerning affordability in housing in the
- 5 30 percent threshold related to that. Do you have
- 6 any data or reports, something that supports that or
- 7 documents what you said today?
- 8 A There would be so many documents we would
- 9 probably fill this room.
- 10 Q Can you give me a handful of them, maybe
- 11 electronically?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 MR. DASENT: If we could make that a data
- 14 request, or a transcript request.
- 15 MS. BROCKWAY: I believe we are up to 10.
- 16 THE WITNESS: So a handful of documents
- 17 indicating that --
- 18 BY MR. DASENT:
- 19 Q I wanted to find something that indicates
- 20 support for your contentions.
- 21 A That 30 percent of income is considered to
- 22 be a measure of affordability in the housing
- 23 industry.
- Q And I'm assuming that also relates to your

- 1 experience as a housing director, which is what you
- 2 cited.
- 3 A Well, I'll provide you with data. I'm not
- 4 sure the distinction you're drawing there.
- 5 Q I just assumed you're talking about your
- 6 personal experience you would have pertinent for
- 7 that relating to Belmont, Massachusetts, that's all.
- 8 A I'm not sure there are written documents
- 9 that would indicate my experience as the chair of
- 10 the Belmont Housing Trust. But if it's documents
- 11 supporting what I refer to as the general
- 12 proposition that 30 percent of income is the
- demarcation of affordability in the housing
- 14 industry, yes, I can do that.
- MR. DASENT: Thank you, Mr. Colton.
- 16 That's all I have.
- 17 MS. BROCKWAY: Okay. Sounds like you
- 18 pulled it off. It's now 3:27. We'll go off
- the record and discuss scheduling.
- 20 * * *
- 21 (Whereupon, a brief off-the-record
- discussion was held.)
- 23 * * *
- MS. BROCKWAY: The parties have agreed

that the schedule would permit time for 1 2 Mr. Skiendzielewski to conduct his business before the Board at that time. We haven't 3 decided -- he wants to make a presentation. 4 5 Probably that will only be allowed as a matter of public input, similarly with what we did 6 7 with the Commissioner of the fire department. And with respect to cross, he probably wants to 8 9 cross Commissioner McCarty, and she indicated 10 she will be here. How much time he gets I will decide later. Go off the record. 11 12 13 (Whereupon, a brief off-the-record 14 discussion was held.) 15 16 MS. BROCKWAY: Back on the record. have gone over the schedule for Monday and 17 18 Tuesday, and it appears to be exactly as stated in the draft schedule that Mr. Dasent 19 20 circulated, plus finding a little bit of time 21 to address some fire protection related dollars and cents issues. 22

Is there anything else? If there is nothing else, I want to thank you all for

23

```
Page 168
          charging through these last two days. We have
 1
          got a lot done and we'll see you all Monday
 2
 3
          morning at 10:00.
 4
 5
                   (Whereupon, the hearing concluded
             at 3:35 p.m.)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

	act 19:2	administrator 18:3	162:8	76:15 142:6 150:5
<u>A</u>	action 35:17	50:3	agree 17:9 18:17	153:8 154:10
a.m 1:7	actions 12:19	admission 2:19	19:6,9 39:14,17	amounts 81:16
abandonment	active 13:14	admission 2.19 admitted 104:18	45:15,21,23 46:4	135:13
20:12	activities 148:19		47:21 59:23 63:13	analyses 67:4
ability 149:24	acts 20:21	adopted 26:10		•
153:4	actual 78:23 80:8	advance 76:17,18	65:4 68:2,9 71:24	analysis 22:2,6 104:7,13 164:13
able 19:18 53:24		advanced 46:11	72:6,23 73:13	/
54:6 64:6 94:7	110:16	75:19 121:20	79:21 103:20	ancient 33:17
140:22	Adam 2:16	advertising 12:21	105:10 106:16	and/or 20:12
absolute 135:16	add 24:5 48:4	advice 15:15,21	109:8,20 110:23	163:14 169:15
absolutely 127:21	94:10,15 99:3	16:2 29:20	110:24 114:23	Andre 6:11,14 9:15
accept 18:1 70:4	137:8	advise 90:21	115:3 116:16	151:12 160:22
71:3 103:9 115:24	added 75:21,23	advised 29:24 38:3	117:19 118:8,15	anecdotally 86:10
116:1,10 124:10	adding 26:24 75:24	advisory 86:23	121:8 137:17	anew 123:1
124:15	addition 75:3 93:16	90:23 91:11	138:1 141:5,15,20	announce 74:4
acceptable 40:6	additional 56:13	advocacy 103:19	141:21 142:8	annual 107:2 117:5
accepts 107:6,20	88:8 128:2 135:13	103:23	143:5,13 154:12	153:6,8
116:9 146:23	140:7 160:21	advocate 5:5 44:1	agreed 7:17 114:20	annualized 108:19
access 22:12 24:23	Additionally 84:21	44:14 46:11 60:15	149:17 166:24	annually 153:14
53:23 54:5,6,8,9	address 8:19,20	60:23 61:5 63:3	agreement 3:5 4:1	answer 7:7 13:8,10
54:13 55:5,11,22	56:5 92:21 93:17	70:6 71:4,22 72:9	14:15 83:10	15:19,20 16:9
56:6,9,16,19 86:6	105:16 128:14	75:15 100:23,23	119:22 120:6	17:20,23 31:17
86:8 87:20,24	159:18 167:21	103:12,21 104:4,5	122:12 123:7	40:12,24,24 50:15
88:1,6 91:5,6,10	addressed 77:3	108:12,24 109:4	agreements 122:17	62:11,12 65:17
91:11,14,23 92:1	106:9	110:2 121:8,17	123:9,12	68:3 75:19 76:17
92:3,6,18 93:10	addresses 159:19	125:23 129:5	Agustin 103:5	77:1,11 82:9
93:11 94:7,19	adequate 57:22	131:24 151:24	ahead 42:3 105:21	117:14 119:7
96:13 97:7 98:17	adjust 36:1 50:1	157:16 162:16	air 144:16 147:4,9	127:16 128:13
98:21,23	51:15 154:5	164:12,12	ajenda 7:9	129:1 130:17
accommodation	adjusted 107:2	Advocate's 107:21	algorithm 152:17	133:17 150:16
2:21 3:20	adjustment 35:22	107:24 120:2	aligned 95:7,19	164:4
accompanied 37:19	35:23 50:19,21	150:18	allocate 154:8	answer's 13:3
account 12:6 13:21	51:21 52:2 106:15	advocates 104:1	allocating 108:6,9	answered 129:9
35:24 36:2 55:10	106:17,18 108:22	affect 163:2	137:9	answers 13:5
55:19 56:3,8,9,10	109:2 110:10,16	affordability	allocation 107:10	anybody 125:4
92:2 95:12 97:2	113:23 114:18	142:14 165:4,22	allow 28:21 31:10	146:15
98:19 99:23 100:2	155:18 163:21	166:13	31:15 52:24 65:13	apart 109:11
107:3 161:12	adjustments 50:9	affordable 143:21	108:15,22 116:13	apologize 30:9
accounts 56:4 80:6	50:13 51:2,12,13	144:5 147:13	allowed 2:12 167:5	98:14 125:14
accrue 34:22 81:6	107:7	afternoon 102:1,2	allows 109:6 131:16	156:23
accrued 24:10	administer 21:15	125:7	153:9	appeal 19:14,18
81:11	administers 17:21	age 12:7,10 158:7	alternative 22:18	appealed 124:5
accumulated 24:19	administration	agencies 94:2	57:18 106:6	appeals 124:6,19
24:24 25:3	30:5 40:6	102:14 103:23	amended 32:4	124:21,23 125:1
accurate 116:2	administrative	agency 149:20	amending 39:7	appear 45:14
146:10 148:5	35:22,23 148:15	agents 69:11	American 61:8	115:20 137:12
154:19 159:22	149:14 150:3,7	ages 38:18	78:6	appearances 104:3
169:4	administratively	ago 29:9 102:10	Amortized 115:11	appearing 115:9
acronym 56:24	19:14 121:23	114:14 117:20,20	amount 33:23	appears 15:8 20:4
acionym 50.24				
	1	I	I	I

44.10 45.5 76.19	annlingtions 10.4	66.16.20.90.11.17	60.12.15.60.0	a44an4'an 51.0
44:19 45:5 76:18	applications 18:4	66:16,20 80:11,17	68:12,15 69:8	attention 51:9
96:10 97:1 121:5	36:18 39:8 42:6,8	80:24 82:11	77:6 79:1 122:8	90:11 104:20
167:18	42:12,16,24 43:2	115:11	156:21	123:22 155:4
appended 149:22	43:11,13 44:7,20	approximately	asks 17:18 28:19	attorney 31:19
appendix 37:17	45:6,14,19 46:1,4	73:23	aspirational 121:12	103:17,18,22
38:7 162:22	52:19 53:1 54:13	Arch 1:4	121:15	104:10
apple 76:2,3,21	54:18 62:6 63:7	area 77:6 142:1	assertion 144:7	attorneys 122:19
79:20,20	63:20 64:2,14	154:13,15,17	assessment 57:3,4	August 45:7,13
apples 82:11,11	65:18,21 66:17,21	158:13	66:9	46:17 47:2 48:4
128:22,22	70:8 71:5,14 72:1	areas 106:8	assigned 30:16 32:9	authenticated 10:9
applicable 146:9	72:16,18,20,24	arguably 74:17	assist 53:12 87:1	authentication
applicant 25:20	73:9,14,15,16,17	argue 123:6	assistance 13:18	10:11
36:18 38:11 40:1	73:21,22,24 76:3	argued 122:18	21:11,15 22:7	authenticity 101:2
84:19 94:9	77:14 79:24 80:1	argument 17:6	38:10 49:21 53:7	authority 15:9
applicants 21:23	82:10 85:8,9,23	122:20	53:21 55:11 89:1	16:10 17:4 19:12
25:11,23 26:17,21	86:2 89:10,15	arose 153:1 157:1	90:15 106:21	19:20 30:17,24
27:6 46:14	91:8 93:21 94:3	arrearage 30:13,19	117:22 153:11,17	31:4,6 32:11
application 12:22	94:17,19 95:10,22	31:1,5,7 32:13	assisted 15:24	33:20 132:5
23:16,20 24:1,8	97:12 98:2 123:24	33:21 74:17,23	48:17 49:15 86:12	148:14,17,24
27:12,20 36:21	124:10	109:5,7,9 114:22	89:22	150:22 163:15
37:14,18 38:2,8	applied 17:7 34:11	114:23 115:1,4,7	assisting 52:11,12	authorizes 16:20,24
38:10,21,22,24	34:14,15,17 35:11	116:3 121:9,13	87:19	automatic 131:16
39:4,7,9,15,19,23	84:20 107:18,19	130:12,14,24	associate 97:23	availability 89:12
39:24 40:4,16,18	applies 80:23	131:3,5,21 134:6	associated 56:5	available 3:10
41:4,5,9,13,18,20	apply 52:11,13	135:11,15 136:15	100:1 108:14	54:14 78:10
42:21,22 43:3,4	58:24 59:6,10,14	138:8,24 139:17	115:8 116:18	118:16
43:11 52:14 53:4	60:9 79:5 91:19	139:21 142:5	assortment 99:20	average 113:14
53:5,6,13,17,22	169:14	arrearages 12:4	99:21	127:13 128:4,21
54:2,6,8,22 55:12	applying 27:10	80:20 115:20	assume 67:13 78:17	132:18 154:16
58:24 59:6,11,15	68:7 80:16 97:4	137:23	78:19 118:24	163:17
59:19,21 60:1,3	appreciate 3:20	arrears 24:12,16	149:1	averaged 112:3
60:10 65:7,8 67:9	4:11 8:17 34:9	26:12,18,22 29:12	assumed 139:2	averaging 112:5
67:12,14,17 68:12	appreciates 2:21	29:15 34:12,15	166:5	aware 20:10,15
68:16 69:4,7,9,23	appropriate 48:24	80:13,16 81:6	assumes 22:17	21:9,13,16,21
77:8 80:11,21	97:8 142:10	115:9,15,23 156:3	40:19 58:23 59:5	22:23 23:2 50:7
81:5,12,14 83:21	158:21 160:12	156:4,9,10,10	60:8 139:9 140:24	52:4 85:1 100:20
84:2,8,10,22 85:2	appropriately	157:8	assuming 24:8,10	101:3 115:22
85:10,17 86:9,12	104:14 133:4	arrive 72:23 112:6	24:14 68:8,13	116:3,12 121:4
86:20 87:8,11,12	approval 22:22	aside 14:3 108:4,10	109:13 121:6	122:15 124:4,18
87:14 88:1,12	24:20 27:24 43:3	134:3 146:16	139:14 165:24	124:23
89:11,12,24 91:15	81:14	148:21,22 156:13	assumption 67:11	AWWA 8:8
92:11,12,13,21,23	approvals 65:18	asked 2:11 11:17	68:11 69:4,6	110000
93:1,3,6,9,19,20	69:22 80:1	11:21 31:18 59:24	102:15,17 110:18	В
94:8 95:4,8,24	approved 17:6,10	74:14 76:9 98:9	assurance 85:15	B 37:17 38:7 72:18
96:1,12,19,23	17:13,17 18:9,13	107:8 129:4,5	attached 3:8 37:14	back 4:22 14:15
97:14 98:16,20,23	19:1,8 22:16 24:9	130:23 140:19	108:12,24	28:6 36:13,16
99:2,16 115:10,21	41:21 42:17 47:18	148:15 157:6	attachment 9:24	47:24 52:6 65:24
121:22 124:5	48:18,21 51:11	161:2	attend 2:23	69:13 71:1 72:7,8
application's 95:18	62:6 64:3 65:8	asking 6:2 67:1,3	attendance 4:10,12	76:24 79:23 82:2
application \$ 93.18	04.0 04.3 03.0	asking 0.2 07.1,3	attenuance 4.10,12	, 5.2 . , , .25 62.2
		l	l	I

	l	l	l. 	l
85:17 86:23 87:12	97:17,18,19,21,21	believe 7:17 27:6	billing 18:9 29:8	break 32:22 82:2,7
88:2 94:19 115:17	97:22,24 98:1,2,3	45:3 47:14 56:18	49:1 50:2 92:1	100:13 120:8
117:8 120:14	98:4 99:16 100:8	61:23 66:3,12,14	145:2,6,9	breakfast 145:14
131:23 150:1	104:15	100:20 101:1	billings 134:12	brief 2:20 8:2 9:2,8
155:4 160:7	bargaining 122:12	154:19 159:5	139:16,17 142:3	10:5 68:22 70:22
167:16	122:16 123:7	162:13,13 164:5,6	bills 24:2,4 28:10	74:8 100:15
background 99:18	barrier 96:11	165:15	29:2 48:7,11	120:12 123:18
Backing 46:22	base 150:8,11	believes 121:18	49:11 51:16 89:16	150:21 158:17
bad 114:11,12,15	156:15	Belmont 142:13	115:19 136:5	166:21 167:13
balanced 158:7	based 14:5 16:14	165:2 166:7,10	139:9,12,22	briefed 16:4 104:11
Ballenger 5:3,4,16	51:16 52:18,24	bench 98:6 99:12	140:12,12 142:2	briefing 7:15
6:7,18 7:6,17 8:5	53:24 54:5,12,18	125:5	142:18 143:11,12	148:13
8:7,12,21 10:18	82:12 86:4,11	BenePhilly 91:13	143:14,21 144:4	briefly 152:2
10:19 11:9,15	90:5,7 98:9 99:11	best 15:3 45:9	144:18 147:12,19	briefs 104:14
13:1,2,20 16:7	106:6 107:13,14	84:18,23 110:22	148:7	Broad 1:18
18:5,7 22:20 27:2	107:19 108:7,8,9	128:12	bit 15:1 17:4 18:6	Brockway 2:4,5 3:2
27:8 28:14,23	110:16 111:1,4,14	Bethel 7:3 11:7,9	23:23 28:15 33:16	3:12,15 4:4,11,16
30:10 31:23 33:4	111:20,24 112:18	11:22 17:20 20:2	54:23 56:22	5:14 6:1,10,13,16
33:7 35:9 36:10	114:10 117:4	62:14,17 66:1	134:24 142:3,6	6:20 7:24 8:5,17
36:12 40:14 41:16	119:14,16 130:2,7	69:2 76:24 82:17	161:2 162:17	8:23 9:6,14,23
41:24 42:2 43:9	138:3 145:18	82:23 85:7 89:9	167:20	10:3,14,18 12:17
43:15,22 44:2,4	146:8 153:15	89:21	Black 8:10 128:13	13:16 16:1 17:24
49:6,8 50:5 55:1,7	161:24	better 9:13 15:1	blank 54:6,8,13	28:20 30:2 31:10
55:17 56:21 58:15	basic 12:2 131:1	62:16 88:21 148:7	55:11 85:23 86:1	32:6,24 35:3 36:9
58:20 60:5,12,18	147:5	beyond 66:20	92:11,12,23 93:20	39:21 40:11,22
60:21 61:16,18	basically 114:1	biannual 117:5	93:23 94:3,8,11	41:2 43:24 48:2
62:14,21 64:5,10	121:13	bid 122:7	98:2	49:2,24 55:1,13
64:18,23 65:4,13	basing 125:16	bidding 122:6	board 1:1 2:8 12:20	56:15,20 58:11
65:16,22,23 66:5	basis 49:19 107:2	bigger 93:2 112:17	16:9,20,24 17:6	60:7,17 61:12
66:7,24 67:5,20	108:15 111:3	119:24	17:10,13,17 18:10	62:11 64:7 65:12
69:1 70:13,17	112:9,17,19	biggest 127:10	19:2,12,19 30:17	65:15 66:4,22
71:2,8,12,19 72:5	114:17 124:12	bilingual 67:16,19	30:23 31:4,6	67:1 68:20 70:20
72:7,14 73:4,8,13	128:22 131:16	68:8 69:3	32:11 35:4,6 92:5	71:1,10 72:3 73:2
73:20 74:3 77:4	133:16 147:8	bill 18:14,21 19:10	92:6 109:17	73:5 74:6 75:13
82:23 83:12 84:3	164:16	19:11 48:19,22,23	127:17 143:15	75:18 76:9,15
85:22 90:6 98:8	BCS 134:16,16	50:9,13,13,23	148:14,17 149:2,4	77:17 78:1,11,17
98:12 99:1,5	138:9 162:22	53:23 56:18 87:23	149:12,15,17,21	79:8,15,22 80:10
100:18,19 101:16	bear 17:5	88:3 91:19 92:8	149:24 150:4	80:15 81:3,8,17
105:11,19 125:20	beginning 1:6	107:18,23 115:8,9	151:2,8 167:3	81:21,24 82:7
151:11,20 155:1,3		115:12,13,20	board's 15:9 17:4	90:5,10 91:4,16
156:23 157:4,23	begins 37:1	116:5 121:4,6	18:13,24 19:8	91:17,21 92:4,10
158:3 159:2 160:6	behalf 3:18 60:14	137:15 142:12,15	148:23	93:5 94:1,10,21
160:15,20 161:1	93:8 100:22	143:9,9 145:10	Boston 102:6	96:8 97:6 98:5,11
161:22	102:14 103:16	billed 18:24 48:20	bottom 38:14 42:4	99:7 100:11,17
bar 86:4 93:15,18	151:23 157:16	50:17 134:18	57:3 60:16	101:12 105:15
93:22,24 94:4,5,6	behavior 149:13,21	135:3,14,19 136:4	bound 34:9	110:20 120:10,14
94:18 95:1,13,15	Behavioral 87:10	136:10,12,20	boundaries 79:16	123:15 125:4
95:20,22 96:1,4	belief 45:10	137:3,15 138:14	box 56:18	125.13 125.4
96:20 97:1,8,12	beliefs 39:18	157.3,13 136.14	brand 122:23 123:2	130:10,21 131:23
70.20 77.1,0,12	Delicis 37.10	134.7,11	Dianu 122.23 123.2	150.10,21 151.25
1	l	I	l	l

		<u> </u>	•	
132:12 133:19	call 17:24 55:13	122:15 144:5	child 12:13	clients 90:19 91:14
134:22 135:9,20	96:22 108:19,19	154:9	choices 149:14	145:7,8
135:24 136:7,14	called 20:5 95:9,11	certainly 17:13	choose 67:17	close 90:11
137:7,17 138:5,19	95:11 103:16	116:24 132:11	chooses 154:8	closer 109:12
139:6,14,20 140:3	117:15 132:22	certificates 13:23	chose 146:5	closest 146:8
140:16 141:3,14	136:24	certification 169:14	chosen 63:18	CLS 83:24 87:5
141:18,23 142:20	calls 69:7	certify 169:4	circle 38:16	code 16:11,16,19
143:5,7 144:11	Camp 95:9	certifying 169:16	circulated 167:20	16:24 49:10 50:7
146:2 147:10	camp 53.5 cap 115:10,11	CFO 158:20	circumstance 98:15	50:12,15 51:20
148:5,11 149:10	117:21,21,22,24	chair 2:6 143:15	99:2	52:1,5 56:10,16
150:9,19 151:1,14		166:9	citations 163:14	56:19 86:4,6,8
150:3,17 131:1,14	135:11,15 136:15	challenges 88:14	cite 31:24	87:20 88:2,7
152:13,24 133:21 154:4,12,23 156:6	136:22,23 137:18	140:16	cited 166:2	91:11,23 92:3,18
154.4,12,23 130.0	137:22 138:7,24	change 13:5,8	citizen 84:24 99:23	93:10,11,15,18,22
150:20 157:21 159:7,22 160:13	141:1 142:4	27:21 28:1 31:20	Citizens 132:4,7,11	93:10,11,13,18,22
160:18 161:24	148:14 156:13	39:10,11 81:1	city 30:15,15 31:24	95:1,13,15,20
163:4 164:21	161:4 capable 68:6	106:15 118:6 141:17 149:21	32:3,9 74:15,16	96:1,3,4,20 97:1,7
165:15 166:17,24	_		74:20,22 79:4,15	97:8,18,19,23
167:16	capacity 4:2	changed 105:8	83:24 96:3 113:17	98:1,3,4,17,21,24
Brockway's 155:16	capital 114:11,13	116:22 117:8	113:18,19,20,21	99:3,16 100:9
broken 128:9 129:3	148:2	118:2 161:5	122:1 147:6	150:23 159:17
brought 94:16	capture 104:3	162:11	155:12 164:9	coded 94:5,18
155:22	care 28:12 29:5	changes 85:20	City's 115:22	97:19
budget 145:2,6,9	156:14	105:13,17 106:2,5	clarification 47:13	codes 55:22 91:6
build 118:12	career 145:1	107:3,4 117:4	61:17 68:15 97:16	95:22 97:12,17,21
bunch 10:24 132:1	case 2:6 4:21 7:23	148:1	129:16	97:21,24 98:2
bureau 9:19 18:8	13:3 30:12 34:7	characterization	clarifications 99:13	Cody 3:11
18:21 33:22 50:8	53:10,11 104:8	49:3 106:3	clarify 23:5 65:20	coincides 94:6
50:12,18 53:14,19	114:9 128:17	charge 18:19	92:17 93:14	collect 136:11
54:15,20 80:5	141:2 148:9	137:16	clarity 67:21	collected 109:9
81:18 149:5,13	157:19 162:12,13	charged 6:5	class 35:17 111:7,8	135:18 152:9,12
Bureau's 54:9	163:20	charges 5:10,13,20		collectibility 111:9
burning 6:5	cases 114:14,16	5:23 6:13 8:9	133:15,15 146:23	111:9,17 112:13
business 2:23 167:2	130:1,3,7	126:3,4,12,15	146:24 152:7	112:14,15,16,23
butt 154:24	catch 13:14	132:3,19,21	classes 111:6,7,10	113:1,2,11,12
buy 145:15	category 79:19	charging 168:1	126:21 133:4	127:23 128:8
buying 153:4	caused 29:7	chart 48:4,14 75:22	146:21	132:15 133:12,15
$\overline{\mathbf{C}}$	CBO 52:20 89:22	76:19 136:18	CLC 91:12	155:7,10 164:2
C 2:2 11:12 72:19	96:19,21 97:11	charter 30:15 32:1	clear 6:10 9:17	collectible 112:5
82:19 101:21	CBOs 53:3 83:20	32:3 74:20,22	12:19 14:21 23:7	collectibles 164:23
151:17 162:3	86:18,24 88:5	150:23	27:9 30:21 35:14	collection 14:6
169:1,1	91:1	charts 75:22	49:24 52:23 54:7	110:10 125:9,10
calculate 134:19	census 77:24	check 14:13 20:9	55:18 58:11 61:19	125:15 128:20,23
calculated 108:18	center 91:22 102:5	26:24 29:17 34:3	63:3 99:5 126:5	147:13 148:2
	centers 86:19 87:19	34:24 44:24 65:10	clearly 11:2 101:14	collections 111:14
calculates 69:22	91:18	65:11 70:1,5 71:3	105:22 106:5	114:2
calculating 119:4 calculation 111:24	cents 167:22	102:24 103:6,9	117:13	collective 122:12,16
	CEOs 86:11 88:21	124:11,12,15,16	client 53:20 87:24	123:7
112:7	certain 100:6	124:22 125:1	104:6	Colton 51:12,21

50.0 50.02 50.4	aa		aantinus 1 97.2	104-2 21 110-19
52:2 58:23 59:4	communicates 40:1 community 52:18	conditioning 144:16	continual 87:3 continue 24:1 82:3	104:2,21 110:18 125:11 127:22
60:8 100:21,22	•	· -	89:7	
101:3,6,13,18 102:1 105:12,16	52:24 53:24 54:5	conditions 12:9	continued 88:13	130:14 155:7 159:14
′	54:12,18 61:8	conduct 167:2		
120:16 121:21	78:7 86:11	conducted 80:8	continuing 109:14	correction 101:16
123:22 124:4	community-based	148:20	contracted 21:14	correctly 61:7
125:2,7 127:18	21:13	confined 155:11	Contracts 1:5	corresponding
142:22 151:5,21	companies 21:15	confirm 15:10	contradicts 52:16	76:22 152:6
155:2,4 157:5	company 82:9	124:20	control 20:21 22:24	156:11
158:5,12 159:24	110:19 142:13	confirmed 138:6	84:17 99:19	corresponds 94:24
160:5,22 161:2,23	comparable 128:7	139:10 152:20	169:15	134:10,10
162:8 163:13	compare 142:24	confused 92:10	controversy 109:18	corroboration
166:15	compared 126:13	confusion 58:16	convenience 58:14	164:15
Colton's 37:15,17	comparison 92:23	consequence 7:13	coordinate 80:4	cost 104:23 115:2
38:7 51:8,14	competitive 122:6	Conservation	copier 93:1	116:21 117:17,21
52:17 57:3,4,22	complain 142:15	106:20 117:16	copy 9:15 27:4	117:24 118:1,2
66:8,14,16,20	complete 41:8	conservative	37:13 43:18 75:8	145:10,11,17
69:15,19 128:18	108:16 115:13	155:23 156:19	92:24	148:21 154:17
159:1	131:13,20	consider 122:1	core 153:19	costs 106:21,24
column 72:18,19,21	completely 6:22	consideration 3:21	corner 56:17	107:11 109:7
126:4,5 132:2,13	148:22	12:16 121:24	correct 6:18,19	115:5,7,8 121:23
138:20 141:1,3,4	completing 60:1	122:11 148:9	14:12 15:12,13,22	143:18 144:19
columns 72:16	complex 108:2,8	158:8	16:16,17 18:14,15	147:13,18 148:2
combination 47:17	comply 121:18	considered 80:18	21:3 23:20,21	148:15 150:3,7
114:13	component 2:9	165:21	24:3,7,12,17,20	154:6,14
come 3:14 35:15	120:23	considering 122:5	24:21 25:1,5,12	council 83:24
53:20 82:2 87:22	concern 106:8	consist 65:1	25:13,22,24 26:6	counsel 15:16,21,23
88:2 97:13 99:2,9	concerning 83:13	consistent 4:1	27:11,14,17 30:1	16:2,5 29:20,24
149:11	83:20 84:4 85:8	150:22 154:20	33:3,24 34:1,13	49:15,21 82:8
comes 95:8,10	162:8 163:21	consistently 127:5	34:16,18 35:12,13	103:20 123:12
119:24	165:4	constant 46:5	35:20,21 36:5,21	counselors 88:10
comfortable 59:20	conclude 73:15,22	construing 15:8	37:8 40:2,8,13	count 103:1,7,9,18
coming 3:2 4:15	concluded 18:22	consultant 16:21	44:10,12,13,20,22	160:2
39:11	168:5	consultants 7:3	45:9 46:15,19,23	counted 102:22
comment 143:14	concludes 4:18	9:19 48:16 70:15	47:3,7,11 49:22	couple 8:12 23:22
comments 123:23	74:4 149:5	consumer 102:5,14	50:4,20 51:3	33:4 52:6 74:13
145:19	concluding 164:17	103:4,12,14,19,21	53:10,14,15 54:10	75:13 90:10 125:7
commercial 126:9	conclusion 17:19	103:23 104:1,4,5	54:11,15,16 55:19	125:21 129:11
126:14,16,19	31:9 32:1 33:13	149:23 153:15	55:20,23,24 56:6	140:23 143:8
127:7,8	66:3,12,14,16	consumers 102:14	56:11 58:5,6	151:22 155:6
commission 86:23	71:20 83:16	consumption	60:11 61:10,11,16	160:21
commissioner 2:10	104:12 114:19	107:20,22	62:5,9 63:1,2,5	course 30:5 109:3
2:16,19,24 3:14	123:8 133:1	contact 53:18 54:19	65:20 67:5 71:6	court 1:8 3:1 10:15
4:2,3,9 7:12 8:16	164:20	91:22	71:13 73:11,12	64:6 169:3,8
8:18 82:16 167:7	conclusions 57:22	contained 157:24	78:20 80:14 81:7	cover 37:19 38:1,8
167:9	66:20 104:13	contains 50:15	81:20,23 91:9,24	covered 152:4
committee 87:4,7	125:17 133:17	contentions 165:20	92:9 98:21,22	create 24:5 53:3
90:24 91:11	154:21	context 17:22 34:7	99:4 101:5,7,12	147:18
common 34:23	conclusory 108:4	55:14 84:7 115:5	101:13 102:6	created 99:22
	Ţ			
	•	•	•	•

102.1.2	05.7.16.22.06.19	Dohano 7.4 96.14	Joseph CO. 15 16	22.11.22.9.27.2
123:1,3 credit 115:12 121:5	95:7,16,23 96:18	Dahme 7:4 86:14 86:17 90:13 91:9	dated 60:15,16 160:16	22:11 23:8 27:3 30:16 32:10 35:20
	97:3,6,13 98:1			
121:7 137:14	99:9 111:6,6,8,10	91:20,24 92:9	Davis 94:14,14	37:2 44:19 45:2
147:13 148:2	117:12,22 126:21	daily 51:4 153:4	day 2:22 4:20 95:19 120:6 158:12	64:16 75:1 79:17
credited 138:23	133:4,7,13 146:21	Dasent 2:14,15 3:8		80:9 89:2 91:23
credits 107:11	146:22 152:7	3:13,24 4:7 6:12	days 30:16 32:9,15	105:23 106:8
115:8 134:6	customer's 27:22	6:15,19,24 7:10	32:18 34:18,20,23	107:14 108:2,16
135:11,15,16	28:2 29:15 34:12	8:10,15 9:2,11,16	35:11 97:3 168:1	108:22,23 109:6
136:15 137:1,4,18	36:2	10:2,8,14,17 11:6	deal 39:24	109:24 110:1,5
138:7,11,12,18	customer-focused	17:18 22:17 28:13	dear 86:17	121:10 127:15
139:17,21 150:6	90:22	31:8 40:19 43:21	debt 24:6,9,11,15	128:6,12 129:1
criteria 11:21,23	customers 11:19,24	65:10 70:11 71:7	24:18,24 25:3,8	130:13 133:14
criticism 105:4	12:3 13:7,11,12	82:15,22 85:5,6	26:4 30:15 32:9	143:2 145:5 149:5
criticisms 104:21	13:14,22,24 14:5	86:15 89:8 90:3	32:15,17 34:15,18	149:13 150:9
105:5	14:13,18 18:9,19	96:2,16 99:14	34:19,20,23 35:10	161:9,12 167:7
CROSBY 75:2,10	22:6 23:14 25:10	100:3,10 101:24	35:12 36:3,4	department's 8:13
97:16 159:14	25:17 26:11,17,22	105:18,20 110:22	114:11,12,15	37:1 61:21 64:24
160:9	27:6 28:18 29:12	111:21 120:8,15	December 48:23	104:22 157:7
cross 7:20,21 14:13	34:22 46:18,22	123:21 125:2	72:19	159:16
36:15 125:4 167:8	47:2,6,10,17,20	127:16 128:4,9,16	decide 167:11	departments 52:10
167:9	48:20 51:3 52:11	129:1,16 148:16	decided 122:2	52:18
cross-examination	52:13 54:19 55:21	150:20 151:10	167:4	dependent 115:13
10:13 40:23 74:5	58:4,7 59:10,13	157:6,17 158:2,9	decision 149:22	Deptford 1:21
101:18 157:6	61:9,13 63:15,20	158:20 161:3	150:4 157:22	depth 156:4
159:3,5	64:16 65:1 67:24	162:1,6 163:11,12	decisions 124:4	Deputy 8:15 82:16
CRP 117:12 118:5	77:5,9,13,19,21	165:1,13,18	deemed 42:16	derive 155:6
129:15 136:24,24	78:23,24 79:2	166:15 167:19	defeat 150:14	derived 134:15
137:4,14 138:11	82:24 83:9 84:21	Dasent's 105:13	defer 41:11 43:5	derives 133:5
138:17	86:20 87:1,4,19	159:3	48:13 63:22 70:16 84:15 86:14 94:12	describe 20:19
cumulative 128:4 128:10 129:2	87:22 88:15 89:12	dash 9:24 16:11,15		described 98:15
	89:20 91:2 112:24	16:19	define 14:23 33:1	111:2
current 14:4	113:2,3,11,12 116:4 118:17	data 54:17 66:15 66:19 75:19	115:4 134:7 definition 15:1	describes 21:5 52:2 deserves 16:3
currently 86:2 customer 17:8,15		101:14 108:16,18		design 118:14
	127:9 129:3 132:8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	67:23 78:14	design 118:14 determination
19:16,17 21:11 22:15 23:24 24:5	134:2,3 136:4,6,7 136:10,19,22	108:19 110:17,18 111:19,20 112:18	152:15	42:22 47:22 115:1
	130.10,19,22	113:8 119:3,4	delay 13:23 delayed 38:22	determinations
24:8,11,14,22			delta 114:1	
25:2,6,21 26:3 27:9 28:8,9,24	139:10,13 142:17 144:24 147:18,20	120:19,23 127:20 127:23 128:6,11	denta 114:1	117:6 determinative
29:2,6 34:19 35:2	144:24 147:18,20	127:23 128:0,11	153:18 166:13	129:19
35:10 37:10 38:2	152:12,12 155:17	133:23 134:19	denial 43:12	determine 11:24
38:9 39:4,15,19	156:14 162:18,19	163:2,15 165:6,13	denied 24:23 38:22	61:9 79:5 84:23
48:18 50:17 51:10	164:1,2	166:3	42:17 80:24	95:14 133:10
53:6,12,16,20	customized 117:9	database 94:24	department 2:11	determined 12:3
54:4 55:9,14,15	cycle 49:1	date 34:2 42:20,21	2:11,17 3:20 4:21	47:19 111:1
59:24 64:1 68:1,5	Cycle 49.1	43:2,3,11,12	4:24 5:9,13,19,22	132:19 153:12
80:21 81:10 86:5		63:21 101:10	5:23 6:4,9 7:5,7	determining 12:5
87:24 88:3 90:14	D 2:2 72:21 82:19	115:21 160:18	7:21 8:24 9:18	13:24 152:17
92:19 93:7,12	100:22 151:17	161:13	11:18 12:20 13:6	developed 88:24
74.17 75.1,14		101.13	11.10 12.20 13.0	ucvelupeu 00.24
	l	l	1	I

	1	1	l	1
123:1	disaggregate	doing 72:11 77:22	102:12 106:12	76:11,20
developing 161:16	126:20	83:2 94:22 99:10	eighth 108:21	enrolling 27:18
163:24	disagree 110:24	140:21 161:19	either 86:10 93:7	37:8
development	disagreement	dollar 141:16,16	149:20	enrollment 25:7
143:16 161:11	106:17,19 107:16	143:9,9 147:23,23	electric 113:9,18,19	26:2 27:21,24
differ 119:19 120:1	discount 84:24	153:8	142:12 143:1,20	28:11 29:3 62:7
difference 31:21	Discounts 132:5	dollars 6:6 134:17	144:15 162:18	65:8 81:5
79:8 80:12,15	discovery 45:2	134:17 135:7	electricity 143:24	enrolls 24:11,14
93:20,23 108:5	46:10,11 47:15	136:9 140:1,7,8,9	electronically	entered 35:7 81:2
109:8 113:1 131:1	60:23 70:2 127:1	140:10 167:21	165:11	114:16
131:8 133:9 135:3	discuss 166:19	dominates 103:13	eligible 61:10 79:7	entire 134:16
141:11 152:9,11	discussed 7:16	103:15	80:7 81:19	140:17
differences 93:2	discussing 28:7	Donna 7:4	embedded 106:14	entirety 163:6,10
97:20 109:15,15	41:16	doubt 151:1	106:17 110:12	entities 90:18
109:23 110:1,4	discussion 4:23 8:3	draft 167:19	148:3	103:12,14
111:13 113:5	9:9 10:6 11:22	draw 64:23 113:14	emergencies 108:23	entitled 17:10,16
144:17,18 154:6	30:12 33:5 68:23	133:17	emergency 6:8	18:12 19:7
different 11:1 13:3	70:23 74:9 79:23	drawing 64:14	109:2	entity 88:23
53:9 60:3 76:2,6	100:21 123:19	166:4	Emphasis 46:5	envision 121:6
79:1 92:16 94:4	148:16 149:1	drawn 155:20	empirical 147:8	equivalent 78:13
105:23 117:11	157:9 158:18	drives 147:1	employs 21:10	errata 9:3,4,17,23
131:15 137:21	161:6 162:10	dropped 93:6	ends 65:17	58:17
143:14 147:11	166:22 167:14	DSF 85:11	energy 86:19	error 101:6
154:2,3 160:4	discussions 20:10	due 42:8,12 58:17	117:15	errors 101:4
differently 17:4	89:7 109:14	Dukane 136:1,2	enforcement 23:15	essential 153:10
18:6 32:22	159:20	duplex 17:9,16 19:1	83:1 95:16 97:2	essentially 15:8,18
differs 144:8	disposable 153:3	duplexes 17:8,15	99:19 100:1 149:8	established 18:20
difficult 144:19	disproportionate	18:17,19,23 19:7	engage 88:21	18:21 19:19
difficulties 143:19	57:5 66:10	dynamics 145:24	English 54:24	establishing 23:6
difficulty 99:10	dispute 146:15		56:23 58:24 59:6	estate 37:3 39:9
153:10	distinct 17:7,14	<u>E</u>	59:11,14,17,18,19	estimate 77:9,17,20
diligence 74:12	18:12	E 2:2,2 11:13 82:19	59:21 60:4,9 64:1	155:24
direct 30:18 32:12	distinction 120:3	82:19,20 101:22	64:18 67:9,10,12	estimated 102:22
37:17 51:8 53:18	166:4	151:17,17,18	67:15,18,24 68:1	120:19,23
82:16 105:2 106:1	distinguish 114:12	162:3,4 169:1	68:6,7,9,14,17	estimates 102:11
111:2 112:22	distribute 60:5	earlier 11:18	69:8 74:1 78:8	estimating 78:22
123:22 149:20	District 123:12	159:20	89:24	everybody 3:5 55:4
155:5 156:2	divide 73:20 76:3	early 2:22	enhancements	68:15 78:18 141:1
169:15	76:23 131:3,5	earned 131:22	85:17	everybody's 3:17
directed 8:8 104:22	divided 73:14	ECA 88:11	enlighten 99:15	evolution 161:3,3
105:1,5 115:9	dividing 76:5 131:9	ECN 89:2	enlightening	evolved 116:18,20
127:15	131:9	edited 85:10	100:12	117:13,16,18
direction 140:5	Division 1:5	effect 149:19	enroll 24:22 37:11	Ewing 35:4,6
141:15	document 37:21,22	155:10	enrolled 25:11,21	exact 57:2 140:4
directly 53:13	38:3 158:6	effective 87:5	46:19,23 47:2,6	exactly 5:17 6:21
91:13	documenting 45:20	effectively 155:17	47:10 63:9,12	28:17 105:4,16
director 165:3	documents 46:18	effectiveness 22:2	115:11 118:17	107:9 167:18
166:1	165:7,8,16 166:8	effort 50:1	enrollees 46:14	example 6:2,3,7
directors 143:15	166:10	eight 60:3 65:6	48:12 75:23 76:2	14:8 33:12 96:17

0.7 11 00 10 100 1	1	1		
97:11 98:18 108:6	143:1 161:10,20	121:10 153:8	7:22 8:8,13,14	134:7 135:12,16
114:6 117:1	163:23 166:1,6,9	February 101:10	167:7,21	136:16 138:8,24
141:10 143:13	experienced 42:7	101:12,13,15	firm 136:7,19 137:3	139:18,22 142:5
144:24 153:21	experiences 161:14	Federal 35:17	137:8	forgotten 6:21
163:18	expert 4:3 15:11	152:19,23 153:1	first 5:8,18 13:4	141:24
exceed 143:21	41:12 43:5 48:14	153:15,19 154:2,5	15:19 32:8 33:12	form 20:6,11,13,21
144:5	102:20 103:13	feedback 83:20	34:15 35:12 44:7	21:1 74:13 85:14
exceeded 143:18	explain 5:12,21	84:1,1 87:3,13,15	44:10,11 69:21	132:7 138:15
Excel 72:9	48:8,9 51:13	88:13	77:6 83:15 89:5	former 14:4
exception 41:9	152:2 156:1	feeling 119:9	99:16 106:13	formerly 160:8
excerpted 163:7	explained 112:22	feels 29:6 33:17	112:22 126:6	forms 21:2,6 53:4,5
excess 150:7	121:10 142:23	fees 6:11	132:5 135:1	formula 134:8
exclude 109:1	164:5	fewer 109:17	151:13 164:3	forth 12:21,24
132:10 143:11	explanation 49:7	field 41:10	fiscal 36:11 108:14	153:5
excuse 75:2 123:15	74:22	fields 41:13 92:24	fiscals 13:12	forthcoming 39:12
151:9	explore 157:20	93:17	fit 79:18	forward 36:24 46:3
exempt 26:11 29:11	extent 50:1 135:22	fifth 107:17	Fitts 48:15,16	found 88:16
exempts 26:16,21	138:14 139:11,15	figure 139:16	63:23 64:9,9,17	four 8:22 102:18
exhibit 2:18 9:4	141:21 147:12	147:11	64:21 65:3,11,20	126:8
43:18 44:1,15,18	149:12	figures 134:5	71:16 72:6,13	fourth 107:8,10
45:20 46:9 60:6	external 35:16	135:10 137:18	73:1,7,12,18 74:2	framed 159:23
60:14,19,22 61:2	153:11	138:20	76:5,13	framework 83:23
65:6 70:3,4 71:23	extra 27:9 55:18,18	filed 12:23 58:12,13	five 65:5 120:10	free 53:3 59:10,14
72:4 75:16,21	extreme 149:19	58:21 80:22 81:1	flawed 69:16,20	145:13
76:19 125:20,22	155:20	97:9 105:2,2,7	Floor 1:5,18	frequency 118:12
126:18,24 131:24	extremely 87:6	106:1,16 124:9	flow 135:19	Friday 1:7
132:1 133:22,24	88:16	filing 117:14	focus 104:20 110:9	front 75:14 121:2,3
134:15 146:12,16		filings 120:20	120:5	full 9:21 13:13
151:23 155:5	-	fill 165:9	focused 83:15 91:2	23:16 24:2 30:18
157:14,16,21	F 169:1	filled 92:14 93:7	follow 33:8 52:9	30:21 31:5,21
158:1 159:18	face 159:17	final 43:9 109:16	126:9	32:13,15 33:2
160:8,16 162:15	facilities 117:3	Finance 1:5	follow-up 5:21	75:24
162:16 163:18,19	facility 113:21	Financial 7:2 48:16	74:13 125:8	full-priced 28:10
164:12,13	fact 102:17 104:10	70:14	followed 38:15	29:2
exhibits 3:3 125:17	107:6 118:22	find 36:22 37:20	following 11:16	fully 145:23
exist 129:7	124:1 130:17	43:21 56:16 57:2	51:11 140:18	functions 122:16
existed 66:23,24	136:19 139:4	57:22 67:21 77:15	food 28:12 29:4	fund 88:22
105:6 118:6	143:23 156:13	87:6 91:23 129:21	145:15 153:13	funded 5:10,20
existing 5:12,22	facto 142:17	142:21 151:5,6	foregoing 169:4,14	88:22
13:11 14:14 28:3	factor 164:13	165:19	forget 60:12 118:11	funding 35:16 89:3
80:18,19 122:21	factored 12:4,11	finding 167:20	forgiven 116:13	122:9
123:4	factual 96:5	finds 151:3	forgiveness 30:13	funds 35:22
expect 136:11	failure 19:3 38:20	fine 160:13	30:19 31:1,5,7	further 10:11 12:17
expected 150:6	fair 79:3 102:15	fine-tuning 83:20	32:13 33:21 74:17	67:21 78:9 81:6
153:13	150:19	finished 6:22,24	74:23 109:5,7,9	96:3 134:22
expenditure 153:14	fairly 144:14	fire 2:10,17,24 3:14	114:22,23 115:1,4	144:10 156:10
expenditures 153:9	faithful 131:6	3:19 4:1,3,9,24	115:7 116:4 121:9	161:22
expenses 107:3,7	familiar 20:6 96:8	5:9,12,19,22,23	121:13 130:12,14	future 121:16
experience 118:13	far 19:23 78:16	6:4,9 7:8,9,11,20	130:24 131:21	

	00 1 04 10 21 22	100 10 115 6	151 00 155 15	l. , ,, ,, ,,
G	80:1 96:19,21,22	103:19 145:6	151:23 155:15	households 54:24
G 2:2	96:24 97:8 105:21	147:19,21	157:2,15 158:1,3	57:6,10,14 61:20
gain 55:10	123:15 124:19	groups 14:3 52:10	159:14 160:7,11	61:23 66:10 79:2
gained 165:3	125:22 126:4,8,11	86:22 88:9 90:14	160:16 162:15,16	79:2,6,9,10 142:9
gas 74:24 113:10	126:14 128:16	91:9 103:12,14	163:18,19 164:12	142:11 144:4
113:18 114:6,8,9	131:23 134:22	122:8	164:13 168:5	145:2
114:14,20 143:1	142:8,18,19 149:2	guess 19:14 68:10	hearings 3:15 4:20	housekeeping 9:2
143:20 144:1,16	166:18 167:11	H	heat 144:15,16	housing 132:5
145:10 162:19	goal 40:8 87:2	hand 2:24 9:4 11:8	held 8:3 9:9 10:6	143:16 144:2
general 15:7 98:20	goes 51:12 65:5		68:23 70:23 74:9	165:2,4,22 166:1
103:17,22 109:12	117:8	122:20,22 handful 18:24	123:19 143:10	166:10,13
116:16 154:14	going 2:9,13 3:3		158:18 166:22	huge 96:11
166:11	4:6 7:19 11:4	165:10,16	167:14	Human 145:5
generalization	15:2 28:6 36:13	handle 149:4	hell 99:9	hundred 6:6 79:13
144:23	36:14 39:16 40:9	hands 30:6	help 4:24 27:19	81:4 94:3
generalize 145:18	41:11 45:5 48:13	handy 37:14	33:5 87:10 96:13	hydrants 8:13
generally 15:2,20	60:5 70:2 71:8	happen 76:10 152:22	helped 54:19 87:7	hypothetical 17:5
114:10 143:10	72:12 77:4 79:23	10-1	99:6	17:11 19:5,24
generals 103:19	82:9 88:3,18 89:6	happened 50:23,24	helpful 12:18 53:8	20:1 28:13,19,20
generate 53:1 86:9	105:18 115:17	happens 92:4	55:2 89:3 155:1	
94:8 96:24 97:7	129:8 134:24	106:10	helps 32:24 97:3	
generated 52:20	136:12 141:7	happy 56:14 62:14	99:24	idea 8:6 63:23
95:18 96:1,23	145:22 146:18	hard 9:14 127:12	high 99:9 144:19	91:17 142:7
97:15 98:23	148:12 149:19	hardship 12:14	145:10,17	identical 135:3
generates 93:15	157:20 160:14	140:21	higher 138:21	identified 11:18
generating 53:6	good 2:4 3:18 48:15	harm 29:7	139:4,22,23,24	13:6 97:22
Georgia 145:4,4	70:13 78:21 87:13	harmed 23:14 28:9 28:18 29:1	140:2 144:20	identifier 56:3 95:12 99:22
getting 2:22 27:19	88:13 102:1,2,3		146:13,19 147:2	identify 15:23
40:4 77:11 83:23	125:7 145:1	Harrisburg 20:16	152:10 153:22	69:21 146:9
96:13,14 141:14	gotten 48:22	hashing 88:18 hate 88:3	154:13,14 164:19	1111nois 103:23
157:18 158:13	governing 122:12		164:23	
give 8:5 31:10	government 90:18	head 29:18 129:21	hire 16:20,24	illnesses 12:8
50:15 71:21 94:2	153:15	129:22 162:24	history 33:17	illuminate 11:22
96:17 99:17	grant 33:18 34:11	heading 62:23 Health 87:10	hold 34:2	immediate 115:18 immediately 29:13
121:23 122:11	35:11,15,15,17	hear 64:6	home 6:4 92:7	•
128:11 142:20	36:6 154:9	heard 115:24	145:12,15	impact 137:10 155:18
144:24 146:3	granted 16:10	120:17	Honor 2:15 3:24	implement 33:20
165:10	grants 33:23 34:10		10:8 82:15 120:9	85:19
given 62:11 97:15	gray 56:18	hearing 1:1,4 2:5,9	157:18 158:10	
102:20 110:11	great 39:24 58:2	2:14 3:3 4:13,19	hope 41:24 55:1	implementation 25:16 83:22
133:13 164:7	greater 146:6,7	5:4 10:19 16:24 33:8 35:7 44:1,15	61:19 127:17	implemented 84:17
giving 97:11 104:7	153:23,24 156:3,4		148:12	123:1
glad 76:9	156:8	56:12 60:14,20 71:23 75:15 76:19	hoping 88:7	implications 109:4
go 9:6 10:3 12:17	gross 111:24	85:9 90:6 96:2	hour 158:23	_
15:3 20:18 36:13	112:19 135:1,23	98:10,15 100:20	household 12:3,7,9	implicit 33:11 importance 4:8
47:24 48:7 49:4	137:11,13,20	113:6 125:22	12:13 28:16 36:19	important 30:22
52:16 54:1 68:20	138:6 162:17	131:24,24 133:24	38:11,17 56:23	56:15 59:23 151:7
69:13 70:20 71:1	group 87:9 88:16	146:12 150:20	61:12,14 146:1	imposition 27:5
77:12,15,21 78:18	90:16,20 91:1	140.14 130.40	153:10	mposition 47.5

impossible 124:16 142:1,9,11,16 143:11,18,22 41:8,19,42:9,13 issue 7:14 19:13 knew 13:15 143:17 144:24 145:2,24 77:16 78:9 82:13 106:13,22 107:1,5 146:7 17:78; 80:15 147:17 152:8,8,10 95:2,7,14 99:17 109:2 19:9,10,18 162:23 17:2 19:9,12,12,123 153:8 154:1,13 152:21,21 153:3.6 133:16 155:2 19:9,22,12.3 153:8 154:1,13 152:21,21 153:3.6 133:16 155:2 19:9,22,12.3 109:2 19:9,10,18 16:22 16:16 164:1,18 165:21 166:12 inception 116:23 inception 116:23 inception 116:23 inception 116:23 include 24:18 32:15 33:11 38:20 39:3 143:20 133:16 136:15 133:11 141:18 138:22 147:24 136:17 137:18 138:22 147:24 136:17 137:18 138:22 147:24 136:17 137:18 138:22 147:24 136:18 165:2 133:11 140:9 induced 60:20 179:14 107:11 108:3 115:6 130:6 147:4 150:8 138:11 123 117:6 124:2 include 60:20 179:14 107:11 108:3 115:6 130:6 147:4 150:8 138:12 140:6 147:4 150:8 147:4 150:8 147:2 121:14 123:1 117:6 124:2 include 60:22 includines 37:2	ı			I	
improvement 144:35,13,14,20 44:23 451.77.7 77:16 78:9 82:13 107:8,10,15,17,23 146:22,23 17:2 177:16 78:9 82:13 107:8,10,15,17,23 108:11,20,21 108:11,20,21 108:11,20,21 108:11,20,21 108:11,20,21 108:11,20,21 108:11,20,21 108:21,20,21 108:21,20,21 108:21,20,21 109:22 11:90 29:12 21:20 22:12 20 22:12 20 21:20 22:12 153:3,6 133:16 155:2 133:	impossible 124:16	142:1,9,11,16	38:11 40:15 41:3		
88:15 118:12	impression 92:15	143:11,18,22	41:8,19 42:9,13	issue 7:14 19:13	knew 13:15 143:17
146:12.14.18 147:17 152:8.81.0 95:27,14 99:17 108:11.20.21 19:22 21:20 29:17 108:11.20.21 19:22 21:20 29:17 108:11.20.21 19:22 21:20 29:17 108:11.20.21 19:22 21:20 29:17 108:11.20.21 19:22 21:20 29:17 108:11.20.21 19:22 21:20 29:17 108:11.20.21 19:22 21:20 29:17 108:11.20.21 19:22 21:20 29:17 108:11.20.21 109:21 19:9.10.18 13:24 37:13 13:20 39:8 15:21 16:16 164:1,18 150:21 16:16 164:1,18 150:21 16:17 16:22 16:19 61:24 16:19 16:22 16:19 61:24 16:19 16:22 16:19 61:24 16:19 16:22 16:19 61:24 16:19 16:22 16:29	improve 87:18	144:3,5,13,14,20	44:23 45:1 77:7	62:19 87:21	146:7
85:15 147:17 152:8.8,10 152:12,15.16,18 152:12,12,12,12 153:16,192,11,12 164:17 164:17 164:17 164:17 173:18 164:17 173:18 138:20 138:12 140:20 138:11,13 140:20 138:11,13 140:21 138:11,13 140:21 138:11,13 140:21 138:11,13 140:21 138:11,13 140:11 108:3 115:6 130:6 133:16 152:1 162:12 163:16 166:9 166:12 133:11 160:12 138:12 140:6 147:14 150:8 138:12 140:6 147:14 150:8 122:20 149:14 155:16,21 165:19 166:12 166:19 166:12 162:20 149:14 155:16,21 162:20 149:14 155:16,21 166:20 141:14 149:18 152:21 162:20 149:14 155:16,21 166:22 167:9 166:12 166:23 166:14 166:15 173:19 166:12 166:12 166:20 141:14 149:18 150:10 159:24 166:20 166:19 166:13 139:12 140:18 165:19 166:13 139:12 140:18 165:19 166:13 139:12 140:18 165:19 166:13 166:19 166:13 166:19 166:13 166:10 139:12 140:18 165:19 166:12 160:10 139:12 140:18 165:19 166:13 166:19 166:13 166:10 139:12 140:18 165:19 166:12 160:10 139:12 140:18 165:19 166:12 160:10 166:13 166:12 160:10 160:10 139:12 140:18 160:12 160:10	88:15 118:12	144:24 145:2,24	77:16 78:9 82:13	106:13,22 107:1,5	know 14:21 16:18
85:15 147:17 152:8.8,10 152:12,15,16,18 152:12,16,18 165:21,16 164:14 164:15,17 164:14 164:14 164:15,17 164:14	improvement	146:12,14,18	86:4 89:21 93:9	107:8,10,15,17,23	16:22,23 17:2
132:12 153:3,6 133:16 155:2 159:19,21,21,23 56:14 52:4 55:5 150:21 154:18,22 150:21 150	_	' '	95:2,7,14 99:17		
132:12 153:3,6 133:16 155:2 159:19,21,21,23 56:14 52:4 55:5 150:21 154:18,22 150:21 150	improvements	152:12,15,16,18	111:14 118:16,20	109:2 119:9,10,18	31:14 34:24 37:13
\$85:14 impugn 145:22 161:16 164:1,18 165:21 166:12 impurporiate 164:17 42:68,12,16,21 incerption 116:23 incident 156:3 incident 156:3 incident 156:3 incident 156:3 incident 139:11,13 140:9 33:11 38:20 39:8 74:19,21 75:6 91:12 114:21,24 134:61 135:11 136:17 137:18 138:22 147:24 148:8 150:10,15 included 60:20 79:14 107:11 108:11 153:19 117:61 124:2 147:14 150:8 163:16 166:22 90:16,17 136:20 132:21 136:20 132:21 136:20 132:21 136:20 132:21 136:20 132:21 136:20 132:21 136:20 132:21 136:20 132:21 136:20 132:21 136:20 132:21 136:20 132:21 136:20 132:21 136:20 132:21 136:20 132:21 136:20 132:21 136:20 132:21 136:20 132:21 136:20 132:21 136:20 133:12 137: 20:5,11,12 21:1,22 42:9,13 138:6,22 139:10 139:12 40:18,29 106:20 10:9 112:25,16 113:3,11 133:16 138:6,22 139:10 139:12 140:18,29 139:12 140:18,29 139:12 140:18,29 139:12 140:18,29 140:18,	148:1	152:21,21 153:3,6	133:16 155:2	119:19,21,21,23	50:14 52:4 55:5
impugn 145:22 inaccurate 47:15 165:21 166:12 initial 83:16 89:4	improving 84:2	153:8 154:1,13	157:12,24 165:3	122:18 123:5	56:24 57:16 59:21
inaccurate 47:15 incomplete 39:1 incomplet	85:14	155:7 156:4,8,15	informed 104:9	150:21 154:18,22	61:19 63:24 67:8
incomportate 164:17	impugn 145:22	161:16 164:1,18	150:21	158:22 164:1	82:13 86:10,18,21
164:17	inaccurate 47:15	165:21 166:12	initial 83:16 89:4	issued 48:7 50:9,13	87:18 88:20 90:1
inception 116:23 incident 156:3 finclined 136:20 increase 34:5,6 inguiring 133:2 inquiring 133:2 instance 134:4 instance 33:2 instance 133:4 instance 33:2 instance 133:4 instance 39:6 inquiring 133:2 instance 133:4 instance 39:6 inquiring 133:2 instance 133:4 instance 39:6 inquiring 133:2 instance 133:4 instance 39:6 instance 133:4 instance 39:6 inquiring 133:2 instance 133:4 instance 39:6 instance 39:6 instance 33:2 instance 133:4 intent 49:10 inquiring 133:2 instance 133:4 instance 39:6 instance 33:2 instance 133:4 intent 49:2 intent 49:10 indicate 13:10 intent 49:2 interpretation interpretat	inappropriate	incomplete 39:1	initiation 118:19	51:16	90:2 95:23 96:11
Incident 156:3 Include 24:18 32:15 Include 24:18:20 39:8 Increased 33:22 Increased 33:22 Insignificant 106:4 Instances 95:6 Instance 95:6 Instance 95:6 Instance 95:6 Instance 95:6 Include 60:20 Include 60:22 Include 86:22 Including 88:19 Include 60:22 Including 88:19 Include 60:22 Including 88:19 Include 60:20 Include 86:22 Including 88:19 Include 86:21 Include 86:22 Including 88:19 Include 86:21 Include 86:22 Include 86:21 Include 86:22 Include 86:22 Include 86:22 Include 86:22 Include 86:22 Include 86:22	164:17	42:6,8,12,16,21	innumerable 141:6	issues 7:15,15	97:14 99:14 102:8
16:12 109:18,20 111:13,16 112:12 112:12 113:20 139:11,13 140:9 143:20 139:11,13 140:9 143:20 139:11,13 140:9 143:20 143:20 143:20 143:20 143:20 143:20 143:20 143:20 143:20 143:20 143:20 143:20 143:20 143:20 134:10	inception 116:23	incorrect 45:3	input 2:14 3:3 4:18	88:18 104:10	102:19 111:5,8,11
include 24:18 32:15 33:11,13 140:9 143:20 insignificant 106:4 instance 13:4 17:19,21 75:6 increased 33:22 34:10 instance 95:6 instance 13:4 134:6 135:11 134:6 135:11 135:19 included 60:20 79:14 107:11 108:3 115:6 130:6 138:12 140:6 134:16 136:15 138:12 140:6 147:14 150:8 83:3 111:23 162:22 162:22 162:20 124:1 interest 102:4 17:20 124:1 interest 102:4 163:15 including 88:19 132:21 136:20 indicated 37:22 including 88:19 132:21 136:20 indicated 48:8 98:4 124:11,12,13,15 inclusive 27:1 income 11:19,24 125:13 interest 102:1 interpretation 11:19,24 125:16 113:3,11 interest 10:19 137: 20:5,11,12 21:1,22 42:9,13 87:1 90:19 91:2 125:16 113:3,11 interest 10:19 139:12 140:18,20 informal 3:16 informal 3:16 inforwation 12:7 informal 3:16 informal 3:16 inforwation 12:7 informal in 12:7 informal informal in 12:7 informal in 12:7 informal inf	incident 156:3	50:18		106:12 109:18,20	111:13,16 112:12
33:11 38:20 39:8 74:19:21 75:6 91:12 114:21,24 134:6 135:11 136:17 137:18 140:21 148:8 150:10,15 153:19 168:15 138:12 140:61 138:16 136:15 138:12 140:6 138:12 140:6 138:12 140:6 147:14 150:8 83:3 111:23 160:22 90:16,17 136:22 160:18 29:14 155:16,17 136:20 165:19 132:21 136:20 165:19 132:21 136:20 165:19 133:22 13:23 136:20 11:10 15:24 12:11 12:14 160:18 137:20 5:51,112 137:20 5:51,112 137:20 5:51,112 137:20 5:51,112 137:20 5:51,112 137:20 5:51,112 137:20 5:51,112 138:6,22 139:10 139:12 140:18,20 139:12 140:18,20 139:12 140:18,20 139:12 140:18,20 139:12 140:18,20 139:12 140:18,20 139:12 140:18,20 139:12 140:18,20 139:12 140:18,20 139:12 140:18,20 139:12 140:18,20 139:12 140:18,20 141:3 4 8 9 12 160:40 100:9 139:12 140:18,20 166:29 166:19	inclined 134:20	increase 34:5,6		119:24 120:4	112:14 116:9
T4:19,21 75:6 91:12 114:21,24 34:10 increased 33:22 34:10 increasing 140:20 136:17 137:18 140:21 included 60:20 153:19 indicate 11:10 15:4 included 60:20 179:14 107:11 108:3 115:6 130:6 134:16 136:15 138:12 140:6 147:14 150:8 83:3 111:23 116:22 includes 60:22 90:16,17 136:2 includes 60:22 90:16,17 136:2 including 88:19 132:21 136:20 149:14 155:16,21 inclusive 27:1 inclusiv	include 24:18 32:15	139:11,13 140:9	inquiry 144:10	150:1 167:22	117:20 118:22,24
91:12 114:21,24 134:10 increasing 140:20 134:6 135:11 140:21 increment 131:21 136:17 137:18 140:21 included 60:20 index 153:19 indicate 11:10 15:4 138:15:6 130:6 136:16 136:15 138:12 140:6 indicated 60:24 includes 60:22 147:14 150:8 138:12 140:6 indicated 60:24 includes 60:22 includes 60:22 including 88:19 132:21 136:20 149:14 155:16,21 including 88:19 132:21 136:20 149:14 155:16,21 including 88:19 132:21 136:20 149:14 155:16,21 including 11:10 15:4 including 88:19 132:21 136:20 149:14 155:16,21 including 11:10 15:4 including 11:10 15:10 including 48:9 8:4 124:14 155:16,21 including 11:12 in	33:11 38:20 39:8	143:20	insignificant 106:4	ITIN 39:5	*
134:6 135:11	74:19,21 75:6	increased 33:22	instance 13:4		121:14 124:13,14
136:17 137:18 140:21 96:6 97:3 99:24 intake 122:20 140:1,3,5,7 141:8 140:11 153:19 integrity 96:6 intended 152:3 intending 33:1 intending 33:1 intending 33:1 intending 33:1 intending 33:1 interest 102:4 July 44:12,20 45:13 interest 102:4 46:22 47:2 62:7 65:19 70:7 71:13 46:12 24 42:2 interest 102:4 101:9 interest 10	91:12 114:21,24	34:10	instances 95:6		124:24 125:14
138:22 147:24 increment 131:21 intake 122:20 64:11 140:1,3,5,7 141:8 148:8 150:10,15 included 60:20 index 153:16 integrity 96:6 joined 35:4 150:16 159:24 79:14 107:11 108:3 115:6 130:6 117:6 124:2 intending 33:1 joined 35:4 162:19 134:16 136:15 136:16 166:9 interest 102:4 July 44:12,20 45:13 knowing 54:21 147:14 150:8 83:3 111:23 119:8,14,16 65:19 70:7 71:13 46:22 47:2 62:7 140:14 150:8 83:3 111:23 119:8,14,16 101:9 46:22 47:2 62:7 162:22 112:20 124:1 interest 102:4 72:17 73:7 88:10 101:9 145:10 51:19,24 167:9 intermally 85:10 jumping 11:1 June 13:4 88:7,10 88:17 147:20,21 160:8 132:21 136:20 indicating 4:8 98:4 31:20 32:19 30:24 31:4,6 30:24 31:4,6 135:4 142:22 12:4,11,12,13,15 industry 144:8,9 165:23 166:14 interrupted 76:24 interrupted 76:24 K K2:16 Karen 1:7 169:3,8 Keener 103:5 106:20 110:9 12:5,16 113:3,11 interred 104:16 investor 117:22 106:13 10	134:6 135:11	increasing 140:20	insure 84:20 87:2		126:23 128:23
148:8 150:10,15 153:19 included 60:20 79:14 107:11 108:3 115:6 130:6 117:6 124:2 intent 59:16 interaction 118:13 138:12 140:6 indicated 62:24 interest 102:4 147:14 150:8 83:3 111:23 119:8,14,16 interesting 157:1 includes 60:22 90:16,17 136:2 including 88:19 132:21 136:20 indication 37:20 inclusive 27:1 inclusive 27:1 income 11:19,24 12:4,11,12,13,15 indication 37:20 indication 37:20 inclusive 27:1 income 11:19,24 12:4,11,12,13,15 13:7 20:5,11,12 21:1,22 42:9,13 87:1 90:19 91:2 106:20 110:9 12:5,16 113:3,11 114:2 119:1,2,5 138:6,22 139:10 139:12 140:18,20 inform 119:5 inform 13:16 inform 119:5 information 12:7 Information 13:7 Information 12:7 Information 12:	136:17 137:18	140:21	96:6 97:3 99:24		129:12,20,22
included 60:20 79:14 107:11 108:3 115:6 130:6 134:16 136:15 138:12 140:6 147:14 150:8 162:22 147:14 155:16,21 including 88:19 132:21 136:20 149:14 155:16,21 inclusive 27:1	138:22 147:24	increment 131:21	intake 122:20		140:1,3,5,7 141:8
The composition of the composi	148:8 150:10,15	153:19	integrity 96:6		143:17,20 146:11
108:3 115:6 130:6	included 60:20	index 153:16	intended 152:3		150:16 159:24
134:16 136:15	79:14 107:11	indicate 11:10 15:4	intending 33:1	•	162:19
138:12 140:6 indicated 62:24 119:8,14,16 119:8,14,16 12:20 124:1 119:8,14,16 101:9 12:17 73:7 88:10 101:9 140:18,20 141:13 4 8 9 12 140:18,20 141:3 4 8 9 12 140:18,20 140:18,20 141:3 4 8 9 12 140:18,20 141:3 4 8 9 12 140:18,20 141:3 4 8 9 12 140:18,20 141:3 4 8 9 12 140:18,20 140:18,20 141:3 4 8 9 12 140:18,20	108:3 115:6 130:6	117:6 124:2	intent 59:16		knowing 54:21
147:14 150:8 162:22 167:9 112:20 124:1 167:9 112:20 124:1 167:9 112:20 124:1 165:19 12:4;1 155:16,21 132:21 136:20 149:14 155:16,21 114:1 165:17 164:14 13:7 20:5,11,12 21:1,22 42:9,13 87:1 90:19 91:2 112:5,16 113:3,11 114:2 119:1,2,5 133:6,22 139:10 139:12 140:18,20 141:3 4 8 9 12 141:3 4 8 8 17 145:22,33 165:4 145:22 140:18	134:16 136:15	163:16 166:9	interaction 118:13	,	knowledge 31:15
112:20 124:1 112:20 124:1 112:20 124:1 167:9 101:9	138:12 140:6	indicated 62:24	interest 102:4		32:4,5 44:22
includes 60:22 167:9 interim 80:16 101:9 known 12:6,8 knows 22:17 119:1 90:16,17 136:2 165:19 internally 85:10 jumping 11:1 147:20,21 160:8 132:21 136:20 149:14 155:16,21 114:1 165:17 164:14 jumping 11:1 knows 22:17 119:1 1 14:1 165:17 164:14 jurisdiction 30:18 135:4 142:22 1 12:4,11,12,13,15 13:7 20:5,11,12 industries 138:21 interrupting 55:2 introduced 44:2 21:1,22 42:9,13 165:23 166:14 inference 64:15,17 64:24 65:3 investigate 123:11 karen 1:7 169:3,8 keener 103:5 keener 103:5 keep 58:13 95:1 lag 48:9,23 laid 118:2 landlords 90:17 landlords 90:17 landlords 90:17 landlords 90:17 landlords 90:17 landlords 90:17 landlords 90:2 59:19 62:24 68:12 59:19 62:24 68:12 69:5,10 73:24	147:14 150:8	83:3 111:23	119:8,14,16		45:10 51:19,24
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	162:22	112:20 124:1	interesting 157:1		145:22,23 165:4
including 88:19 165:19 interpretation 31:20 32:19 Main and the second se	includes 60:22	167:9			,
132:21 136:20	90:16,17 136:2	indicates 37:22			147:20,21 160:8
149:14 155:16,21 114:1 165:17 164:14 165:17 164:14 164:14 165:17 164:14 164	including 88:19		_	,	knows 22:17 119:1
inclusive 27:1 income 11:19,24 12:4,11,12,13,15 13:7 20:5,11,12 21:1,22 42:9,13 87:1 90:19 91:2 106:20 110:9 112:5,16 113:3,11 114:2 119:1,2,5 138:6,22 139:10 139:12 140:18,20 141:3 4 8 9 12 inclusive 27:1 indication 37:20 interrupted 76:24 interrupting 55:2 introduce 157:14 interrupting 55:2 introduce 157:14 interrupting 55:2 introduce 44:2 125:18 introduced 44:2 125:18 investigate 123:11 investor 117:22 131:12 135:17 influx 45:12,18,20 informal 3:16 informal 3:16 information 12:7 Interrupted 76:24 interrupting 55:2 introduce 157:14 interrupting 55:2 introduce 157:14 interrupting 55:2 introduce 157:14 interrupting 55:2 introduce 157:14 interrupting 55:2 introduce 14:2 K 2:16 Karen 1:7 169:3,8 Keener 103:5 keep 58:13 95:1 110:20 129:8 160:10 kidding 20:2 kids 145:12 Figure 4 68:17 lack 145:22 lacked 66:13 lag 48:9,23 laid 118:2 landlords 90:17 language 16:19,23 50:6,11 53:9 59:19 62:24 68:12 69:5,10 73:24		O			
income 11:19,24 12:4,11,12,13,15 13:7 20:5,11,12 21:1,22 42:9,13 87:1 90:19 91:2 106:20 110:9 112:5,16 113:3,11 114:2 119:1,2,5 138:6,22 139:10 139:12 140:18,20 141:3 4 8 9 12 individual 19:16,17 industries 138:21 introduced 44:2 125:18 introduced 34:2 125:18 introduced 44:2 Iabeled 68:17 lack 145:22 lacked 66:13 lag 48:9,23 laid 118:2 landlords 90:17 language 16:19,23 160:10 informal 3:16 informal 3:16 information 12:7 lowa 104:15 Introduce 157:14 introduced 34:2 Iabeled 68:17 lack 145:22 lacked 66:13 lag 48:9,23 laid 118:2 landlords 90:17 language 16:19,23 50:6,11 53:9 59:19 62:24 68:12 labeled 68:17 lack 145:22 lacked 66:13 lag 48:9,23 laid 118:2 landlords 90:17 language 16:19,23 50:6,11 53:9 59:19 62:24 68:12 labeled 68:17 lack 145:22 lacked 66:13 lag 48:9,23 laid 118:2 landlords 90:17 language 16:19,23 50:6,11 53:9 59:19 62:24 68:12 labeled 68:17 lack 145:22 lacked 66:13 lag 48:9,23 laid 118:2 landlords 90:17 language 16:19,23 50:6,11 53:9 59:19 62:24 68:12 labeled 68:17 lack 145:22 lacked 66:13 lag 48:9,23 laid 118:2 landlords 90:17 language 16:19,23 50:6,11 53:9 59:19 62:24 68:12				•	135:4 142:22
12:4,11,12,13,15			_		т
13:7 20:5,11,12	•	,	1 0	32:12 118:5	
13:7 20:5,11,12				K	
105:23 100:14 125:18 160:23 100:14 170:23 100:15 170					
Note of the first of the firs					
106:20 110:9 112:5,16 113:3,11 114:2 119:1,2,5 138:6,22 139:10 139:12 140:18,20 141:3 4 8 9 12 104:24 63:3 inferred 104:16 inform 119:5 informal 3:16 information 12:7 Investor 17:22 131:12 135:17 involved 30:11 106:13 involving 84:10 Involving 84:10 Involving 84:10 Involving 84:10 Investor 17:22 Iskeep 58:13 95:1 110:20 129:8 160:10 Involving 84:10 Involving 84:10 Involving 84:10 Investor 17:22 Investor 1			\mathbf{c}		,
112.5,16 113.5,11					
138:6,22 139:10 139:12 140:18,20 141:3 4 8 9 12 1100:10 1100:1				_	
138:6,22 139:10 Inform 119:3 106:13					0 0
141:3 4 8 9 12 information 12:7 lowa 104:15 kids 145:12 69:5,10 73:24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				,
1 141:5.4 6.9 1/2 HHOFHIALION 1/2/ HOWA 104:15 1	139:12 140:18,20		involving 84:10	_	
Kina 22:1,5	141:3,4,8,9,12	information 12:7	Iowa 104:15		69:5,10 73:24
				Kina 22:1,5	

languages 60:3 58:11 69:13 76:16 42:5 44:6 58:22 looks 87:14	50:13 57:9,13 58:2 68:11 80:21
	58.2.68.11.80.21
62:24 63:19 64:4 93:5 110:9 111:12 59:3 66:5 69:14 loop 87:3	
1	115:13 147:12
	156:21
	Management 103:5
	nandated 121:18
	nandatory 141:12
	nanual's 8:8
	nanually 95:13
	March 62:8 65:19
	70:7 71:13 72:1
	72:21 73:6,7 76:7
	76:11,20 86:24
	Marcus 16:21
	nargin 142:21
	nark 2:18 9:5,14
	60:14 157:15
	narked 3:4 60:17
18:1 29:12 80:3,3,12 142:3,6 161:2 124:3 138:6,22	75:15
lead 11:6 18:5 80:18,19,24 81:9 167:20 139:10 141:3,4 M	Maryland 103:21
leads 33:13 81:18,19 living 154:6,14,17 142:1,9 144:3,13 M	Aassachusetts
learn 161:10 liened 26:3 27:10 local 94:2 144:24 145:2,11	166:7
learned 161:13,20 80:7,21 81:15 lockstep 49:5 145:24 146:12,13 m	naterial 31:12 55:3
learning 89:6 liening 26:6 80:8 log 42:11,15,19 146:18,20 147:17 m	nath 72:8 132:16
leave 32:6 62:18 81:15 43:1,10 122:2 152:7,10,15,16,18 m	nathematical
leaves 25:2 liens 25:23 26:12 long 51:7 54:4 152:20,21 154:17	47:21 71:20 134:8
left 104:14 162:7 26:18,23 27:5 102:10 149:22 155:6 156:4,8,15 m	nathematically
left-hand 126:4 28:3 29:15 79:24 longer 153:17 161:16 164:1,18	47:1,20
132:2 79:24 80:22 look 13:22 14:5 lower 111:9 113:11 m	natter 4:9 9:3
legal 17:19 31:8,11 lieu 39:5 20:3 26:9 44:14 127:6 128:20	19:21 39:23 41:12
32:1 33:20 83:16 Light 142:13 44:17 48:1 51:5,7 142:4 147:1	43:5 48:14 113:8
104:7,12,13 LIHEAP 21:19 62:3,22 71:21 148:21 164:1,21	133:20 149:3,4
122:18 123:5	167:5 169:5
149:3,17 likelihood 140:21 92:2 112:10,11 lunch 82:2,7 m	natters 4:23 16:5
legislative 49:19 limitations 77:13 113:6,7 123:8,9 145:14 m	naximum 142:14
LEP 56:24 57:6,10 limited 54:24 56:23 125:19,23 126:3,3 —	153:24
57:14 58:3,7,23	AcCarty 8:15,19
	167:9
	nean 49:2 51:6
	57:9,14 59:17
	67:18,22 115:16
	121:14 135:12
	137:5 138:5
	142:13 153:2
	156:24
89:10,23 89:17,18,19 101:8 looking 12:12 maintain 28:8,24 m	neaning 92:11
0).10,25	0
lessons 161:13,19 126:7 37:18 48:3 59:1 maintaining 8:14	94:22
lessons 161:13,19 126:7 37:18 48:3 59:1 maintaining 8:14 let's 5:7 9:6 17:5 lines 9:20 20:4,19 71:17 75:18 87:8 major 93:14 m	94:22 neans 21:21 115:5
lessons 161:13,19 126:7 37:18 48:3 59:1 maintaining 8:14 major 93:14 major 9	

meant 28:17 53:6 minus 132:14 myth 145:20 73:21 **numbered** 44:15 119:23 minute 29:9 162:8 non-low 142:10.16 61:4 measurable 141:17 minutes 120:10 152:8,12 **numbers** 36:19 **N** 2:2 11:13,13 **mirror** 37:2 nonpayment 24:5 **measure** 165:22 37:2 44:17 45:13 82:20,20 101:22 mechanism 42:24 misheard 98:13 **nonprofit** 103:11 47:14 49:4 55:19 101:22 151:18,18 104:24 124:24 103:14 143:16 misses 131:18 55:22 58:17 72:15 162:4.4 169:1 149:9 missing 42:8,12 nonsense 40:5 72:22 75:24 76:6 NAC 88:11 mechanisms 54:14 52:12 **normal** 49:1 95:5 127:9,10,11 name 2:4 64:7 modifications 86:3 116:21 normally 31:18 134:14 146:17 92:21 93:17 Notary 1:8 169:4,9 148:9 152:2,5 median 154:1,13 20:11 names 10:15 medical 6:8 12:8 notation 38:15 155:6 156:11 **moment** 118:7 Nancy 2:5 13:23 28:12 29:5 **Monday** 20:16 note 17:19 35:3 numeral 60:23,24 narrow 109:15 meet 90:15,19 96:18,20 128:15 109:21.23 134:5 61:6 70:6,10 **narrowed** 109:19 153:4 132:2 167:17 147:22 157:17 $\mathbf{0}$ 109:21 **meeting** 20:16 168:2 158:15 O 2:2 11:12,13 narrowing 110:4 88:17 money 135:13 **noted** 102:13 **NASAGA** 103:16 82:20 101:21,22 **melded** 164:18 154:10,10,16 notes 159:2 169:5 National 102:5 151:18 162:3,4 **melding** 146:21 month 46:5,19,23 notice 163:6,9 **natural** 113:10 169:1 **noting** 140:22 melds 126:21 131:4.6 142:12 143:24 162:19 **object** 105:11 **notion** 146:23 member 35:4,6 144:14,14,19,21 **nature** 108:4 158:24 monthly 131:16 **November** 48:5,6,7 104:15 **NCLC** 102:12 objection 17:20 members 12:8 **months** 47:3 48:11,21,22 76:8 **NEC** 83:24 40:19 157:17 number 9:18 36:17 36:20 38:17 87:6 108:15,18,18 necessarily 32:16 158:15 91:7 127:2 130:15 37:7,11,21,23 68:16 78:13 135:6 objectionable men 3:19 145:11,12,16,18 38:4,16,21 39:5 17:19 158:11 necessary 11:8 16:2 **mention** 165:3 morning 2:4,8 3:18 39:16 41:10,17 22:12 objections 113:15 mentioned 84:7 3:22 6:20 48:15 45:6,24 46:4,12 necessities 28:12 obligated 139:2 85:11 87:9,17,20 74:12 78:2 82:23 46:12,13,14 47:17 29:4 **observation** 109:13 100:4 129:12 146:5 168:3 53:23 54:5 55:5,9 necessity 68:2,6 obviously 50:24 **motion** 10:12 163:13 55:14,15 56:3,7,8 need 3:4 30:11 68:2 occurrence 34:23 mentioning 145:3 move 2:18 20:3 61:6,6 62:4,5 63:6 97:14 112:17 occurs 131:10.13 methodologies 56:14 140:11 63:9,12 64:13 149:6 150:17 **October** 47:9,18 111:2 145:10 65:17 70:6,10 48:5,12 72:19 163:8 methodology 69:15 moved 100:24 71:4,22 72:9,18 needs 41:12 107:6 131:19 69:20 112:9 72:20 73:2.4.9 137:2 153:5 158:23 odds 143:19 **metrics** 100:8 75:3,5,11 76:10 moves 147:19 never 23:14 28:18 off-the-record 8:2 **Michelle** 7:3 11:7 moving 42:3 99:8 76:17,18 78:23 99:8 124:13 9:8 10:5 68:22 87:8,17,20 109:12 79:9,10 96:13 new 75:23 76:2,11 70:22 74:8 123:18 Muhammad 7:3 99:9 100:24 middle 46:9.13 76:20 106:23 158:17 166:21 midpoint 147:7 41:11,15,23 43:6 112:12,17 113:15 107:5,6,20 122:23 167:13 155:19,21 156:12 43:8,14 84:15,16 117:6 118:18 123:2.4 147:18 offer 96:3 156:17 95:6 96:5,16,17 124:3,6,13,14,18 158:13 office 53:7 96:22 miles 109:11 97:10 98:19.22 124:21.23 125:1 newly 106:15 103:20,21,22 mind 43:22 55:2 99:4,24 126:22 129:17,24 **NJ** 1:21 104:1,3,5 132:9 151:12,24 multiple 56:4 133:3 140:4 146:8 non-English 62:6 officer 2:5 5:4 mine 10:2 104:6 147:3,9 149:11 123:23 63:6 64:2,13 10:20 17:1 56:12 **multiply** 150:6 minimum 141:10 155:11.22 156:8 65:21 66:17,21 85:9 90:6 96:2 141:13 municipal 113:21 156:19 164:7,18 69:5 72:1 73:17 98:10,15 100:20 minor 119:10 155:12 161:17 164:19

	•	•		
150:20 155:15	27:4 29:11 31:16	108:20	panel 6:22 7:1,2	patience 74:12
157:3 158:4	opinion 19:4,20,22	overall 28:15	8:24 11:6,18	pattern 125:24
159:15 160:11	50:2 58:7,9 62:1	125:10 133:8	15:11 74:5 90:8	127:2,5 148:8
officer's 33:9	68:10 69:15 108:6	overgeneralization	99:15 100:12	pay 24:24 25:3 36:4
offices 103:17,18	120:1,1 128:19	145:20	146:4	81:3,4,10 133:8
offset 110:13 114:9	142:24 161:8	overlap 83:5,8,11	paper 30:8,11	137:22 138:23
114:10,11,13,15	opportunity 105:16	overstate 112:16	52:13 93:23 163:9	139:1,2,3 140:19
114:21 129:12,13	opposed 3:10 4:3	overstates 111:11	paragraph 16:11	140:22 142:4,12
130:1,6	105:1 114:18	112:13	16:15,20 32:21	140:22 142:4,12
offsets 148:1,6	131:1 158:22	overstating 133:11	83:16	payable 144:6
oh 27:18 86:17	opposite 112:11	owe 24:15	parameters 150:22	payers 84:19
90:13 135:9 136:5	155:20	owner 17:8,15	pardon 5:22	paying 90:11 116:4
146:3	optional 37:12,21	owner-occupied	Parliament 1:20	payment 14:14
okay 11:5 12:6 13:9	37:23 38:4,6	14:14	part 3:4 5:8,18 9:13	83:10 115:14
14:18,21 18:16	optionally 36:18	14.14	19:5 20:20 21:9	125:24 126:7,10
19:6 20:3 23:7,22	order 15:3 22:15	P	21:10,17,19,22	126:13,15,18,19
25:18 26:8 27:15	36:14 41:8 60:13	P 2:2	22:2,11,15,22	120.13,13,18,19
28:6 29:9,19	81:9 113:15 118:3	P.I.P 139:15	23:2,9 30:8 36:15	131:18,19,20
31:24 33:16 34:4	121:18 132:20	p.m 168:6	43:9 64:22 67:6	131:18,19,20
39:10 40:15 43:16	149:7	PA 1:19 70:9 75:19	75:4,19 80:18	141:13 143:19
44:22 45:1 46:21	ordinance 49:20	76:17 78:4 133:23	81:17,22 83:4,14	148:7
50:6 52:6 53:8	94:15 100:6	133:23	83:15 84:7,13,17	payments 83:2,7
54:23 56:1,22	121:19	page 9:12,20 15:5	89:23 94:12,15	116:14 127:6,7
57:18 58:2 60:12	organization 53:12	16:12 20:3,18,19	145:1,10 154:4,7	128:3 141:11
61:4 65:16 68:19	53:17 54:1,5	20:19,24 21:6,8	154:8 159:17	pays 28:10 29:2
69:13 72:13 104:1	organizations	23:11,12,12 26:9	160:10 164:14,16	PECO 36:20 89:1
105:5,19 106:11	21:14,16 52:19,24	28:6 29:10 30:13	164:20	112:1,6,20 113:10
125:5 147:4	54:12,18 86:12	33:19 36:16 38:9	partial 30:24 31:7	113:18,18,19
150:10 160:6,15	91:12 103:24	38:11,14 42:3,4	31:22	116:17,21 117:7
166:17	organized 36:15	43:16 44:5,16	participant 115:13	117:18 118:15,24
old 30:16 32:9,15	original 7:22,23	46:8,9,13 48:1,3	156:16,18	119:3 120:18
32:18 34:18,21	58:18 93:2 104:23	49:9 51:6,7 52:7	participants 83:4,6	155:16,19,21
35:11 157:8	106:13	57:4 58:10,12,16	147:22 156:9	156:11,12,18
older 35:11 116:19	originally 58:12,13	58:17,21 59:3	participate 145:13	161:4 162:9
oldest 34:15,19	58:21 106:19	60:24 61:4 62:10	participated 122:7	163:17
35:12 36:3	orphan 95:11,11	62:22,23 65:5,6	participation	PECO's 116:24
omitted 93:14	98:19	65:14 66:4,5	103:13 147:17	162:12
once 12:22 26:1	ought 148:20 163:4	69:18 72:3 74:21	particular 7:1 19:4	penalties 116:13
51:10 80:11,23	outlined 100:6	75:18,20,21 76:18	63:24 80:2 114:18	pending 14:8
81:1,1 97:1,8	outreach 12:1 13:5	83:14 84:4 101:8	118:7 126:17	Pennsylvania 1:6
98:22	13:10 14:1,10,16	110:15 126:1,2	127:17 162:21	103:22 104:4,6,18
ongoing 20:10	83:3,3,5 122:21	134:4 147:16	parties 166:24	119:15 161:20
online 52:13 96:24	outside 95:4 96:12	152:1,3,5 155:5	partly 86:21 87:19	people 3:16 13:13
open 4:13 31:12	outsource 148:17	162:16,21	partners 52:10	79:18 82:8 87:3
63:14 85:14	outsourced 122:13	pages 44:15 52:6	83:24 85:11,18	115:10 144:12
109:14 120:4	122:22 148:20	57:1 102:23 152:6	87:12,16 88:6	154:11,15
operates 48:10	outsourcing 121:24	paid 13:13 126:6	90:18	People's 103:20
121:22	122:3,15	140:17 142:2	parts 5:8 99:8	percent 73:16,23
operating 26:10,16	outstanding 107:15	148:7	party 110:6	78:7 79:13 81:4
			_ `	
1		•	•	•

	I			
110:14 111:1,4,5	118:15,24 119:3	46:3 67:8,16	practice 25:15,17	prioritize 14:18
111:19 112:8,10	120:19 129:12,15	68:18 71:16,23	69:12 157:7	probably 32:21
119:15 126:6,11	130:3,6,15 136:2	77:11 81:11 105:9	practices 159:4	33:17 57:24 94:21
126:16 136:11,12	136:5,18,24 137:4	116:8 118:5	pre-application	125:13 165:9
136:18 138:2	137:9 138:10	128:18 133:22	80:13	167:5,8
140:14,14 143:18	155:9 156:7,11,12	137:13 138:16	pre-populate 92:20	probed 31:16
146:5,6,20 147:1	156:17 161:4,9	141:19 155:16	pre-populated	problem 119:19,20
152:18,23 153:7	162:9	156:21 157:1,5	93:15,17	problematic 143:12
153:22,24 154:1	PGW's 36:20 117:2	158:24 159:20,24	pre-TAP 24:12,16	143:22 145:17
163:21,23 165:5	136:23 161:10	policies 74:15,16	preceding 20:24	problems 149:23
165:21 166:12	PHA 90:17	90:22	29:13	procedure 26:11,16
percentage 107:18	phase 89:5	policy 115:22 149:3	precise 132:24	26:21 27:4 29:11
107:23 110:15	Philadelphia 1:6,19	159:16	PRECISION 1:18	proceed 8:23
126:10,13,19,20	2:7,17 3:19 4:21	polled 77:18	preclude 59:20	101:17
129:13 139:12	16:10,16,19 49:10	pool 78:22,22	prefer 59:18 69:9	proceeding 110:6
140:12,15,17,20	50:7,11,14 51:20	Popowsky 2:8 4:15	preference 67:13	117:21,24 121:20
141:8,9 143:11,21	52:1,5 74:23,24	74:11 75:4,12	preferred 68:12	proceedings 159:21
144:4 152:22	79:16 106:7	98:7 125:6,13	preliminary 43:17	169:5
153:2	113:16,17,19,20	127:11 128:5,14	preparation 29:21	process 14:6 20:20
percentages 134:20	113:21 114:6,8,9	128:17 129:11,18	prepare 133:14	20:20 21:9,11,17
139:24 140:4	114:14,20 124:20	129:23 130:4,8,11	prepared 7:14	21:19,22 22:3,8
154:3	130:13 147:6	130:19	49:21 62:17 151:4	22:12,15,22 23:3
perfect 87:16	155:12 159:17	population 13:15	preparing 15:14	23:9,23 40:17
perfectly 59:10	164:10	78:8 89:10,23	48:17 49:16	41:5,18 80:2,8
period 23:16 24:19	Philadelphia's 78:8	147:5 156:3,16,18	present 1:9 7:1	83:1,21 84:8,13
27:19 29:3 44:11	phrased 22:19	populations 83:6	101:18 109:16	84:16 85:4 86:13
65:12 71:7,11,12	40:20	119:1,2,6	129:21	86:20 88:4,13
81:13 103:11	pick 96:12	portion 4:18 5:11	presentation 167:4	89:6 95:10 97:4
115:12 116:22	picking 147:3,9	15:24 138:2	presented 7:12	121:22 122:20,21
132:15 134:12,13	162:7	portions 92:20	158:10	122:21 123:4,5
162:20	piece 93:14	pose 150:17	pressure 86:17	124:1,2,5 149:14
permit 55:4 74:23	pieces 93:23	posed 133:3 158:2	presumably 16:14	processed 41:9,22
167:1	piggyback 155:15	position 7:22 11:2	81:5 137:24 139:1	124:10
perogative 56:13	place 30:3 41:7	59:9	142:2	processes 123:3
perplexed 95:3	42:24 80:23 84:13	positions 163:16	presume 49:14	processing 95:9
person 59:16 67:9	99:17,19 100:2	possible 22:18	prevent 74:15,17	procurement 122:1
80:23 92:18 153:6	places 39:23	82:12 94:1,24	prevented 6:5	122:2,5
person's 153:3	placing 29:15	95:3,17,21 96:15	prevents 50:8	produce 86:3 88:8
personal 166:6	plan 39:7	113:16	119:22	proffer 10:12
persons 63:9,12	plans 85:19	possibly 120:5	previous 13:12	proficiency 67:24
perspective 11:3	please 2:15 5:12,21	posting 3:11	75:20 140:10	68:17
persuade 133:21	15:23 25:22 53:10	potential 14:10	previously 51:15	proficient 54:24
pertinent 166:6	71:21 73:3 134:22	78:23	100:5	56:23 63:24 67:10
petitions 124:9	142:20 146:3	poverty 152:19,23	price 24:2 153:16	67:15,18,22 68:1
PGW 89:1 112:1,6	157:17	153:1,7,11,18,20	principle 144:3	68:6,9,13
112:20 113:19	pleasure 74:4	154:2,5	print 54:3	program 11:20
114:16 116:3,6,7	plus 47:19 167:20	power 153:4	prior 13:12 26:1,2	13:18 21:22 33:18
116:9,10,17,22	point 19:10 25:14	powerless 19:2	27:10,12 74:16	43:6 44:8 83:23
117:12,19 118:1,4	37:21 38:1,5 39:3	powers 16:10	80:21 83:4,6	84:18,23 91:3
	<u> </u>			

				,
93:2 94:16 96:7	7:20,22 8:9,14	purpose 23:3 57:21	33:9 36:23 39:21	151:17,17,17
97:24 106:21	167:21	76:21 89:18,19	40:23 41:18 42:18	162:3,3 169:1
109:5 115:11	provide 5:9,19 27:3	95:15 150:14	43:18 45:16 48:2	Raftelis 7:2 8:24
117:5,12,13,16,16	30:18,24 31:5,7	purposes 14:15	50:10,23 51:23	48:16 62:12,12,15
117:22 118:6,14	32:12 36:19 37:10	37:7 93:16,19	57:12 59:12 63:8	62:16 63:22 64:9
122:23,24 123:2,4	39:5 60:2 63:9,12	149:1	63:10,11,13,16	70:14,16 82:8
129:15 130:13	66:15 75:8 88:6	pushing 145:8	66:18 68:4 70:13	raise 158:22
136:23 138:11	88:24 91:5,14	put 30:6 84:13	71:9 73:18 76:10	raised 106:12
139:3 144:13	127:16 129:24	86:23 90:20 95:16	77:1,3,4 78:5,5	ran 43:6
145:4,14 149:7	130:6 166:3	132:18 141:24	79:17 83:13,19	range 109:19 120:6
161:11	provided 15:21	148:22 160:4	84:4,8 86:15 98:8	rate 1:1 2:7 4:3,21
programs 17:22	45:1 46:10 70:11	163:8	109:22,22 112:23	16:9 17:7,10,14
21:15 33:19 84:11	70:12,14 72:15,15	puts 80:3	117:12,17 122:19	17:16 18:10,12,13
85:1 116:17,19	72:22 92:1 137:4	putting 134:3	123:2 127:14	18:13,14,17,18,20
117:9 118:17,19	provides 6:9 22:11	148:21 149:10	129:2 130:11	18:21 19:1,8,9,10
119:6 136:3	23:8 62:5 113:10	158:14	133:1,2,5,10,18	19:11 22:6,12
153:17 161:5,15	136:24 138:10	PWB 32:12	134:4 141:21	23:6 30:17,23
161:17 162:10	providing 37:20,23	PWD 22:21 30:18	148:13,23 152:14	31:3,6 32:11 34:7
163:24	85:23	51:11 77:5 79:6	157:2,22 158:4,9	42:5,6 50:18 81:2
progress 42:4	provision 51:20	101:9 110:16	159:10,23 164:3,4	84:19,20 114:14
prohibit 51:21 52:2	52:1 144:1,2	111:4,18,20	164:7,8	116:18,20 119:14
prohibits 50:12	provisions 32:3	112:18 113:15	questioned 82:24	125:9,10,15 128:8
promoted 145:6	public 1:8 2:9,13	114:24 117:23	83:12 84:3 85:7	128:10,20,24
proper 40:23 57:23	3:3,10 4:18 5:5	118:20 119:1	85:22 89:9	129:2,14 130:2,7
property 25:7	43:24 44:14 46:11	125:8 131:11	questions 4:14 5:6	132:15 135:18
27:16,22 28:2	60:15,22 61:5	152:22 156:2	7:7 8:6,12,22	136:2 140:10
86:5 92:20	63:3 70:6 71:4,22	164:7	23:23 31:13 33:5	155:7,10,13 164:2
proposal 51:15	72:9 75:15 100:23	PWD's 122:24	40:9 42:1 56:13	ratemaking 150:4
105:14 106:6	100:23 107:20,24	123:10 156:2,13	62:13,15 74:13	rates 18:9 19:20
107:21 115:5	108:11,24 109:4	PWG 163:17	75:14 79:1 82:1	22:10,14,21 23:8
121:11,12,17	110:2 120:1 121:8		82:16 98:6,9	84:9 112:6 119:8
163:20	121:17 125:23	Q	99:12 116:16	150:8,11,15
propose 106:23	129:5 131:24	qualified 12:15	117:11 120:16	ratio 137:11,13,21
108:22 110:13	132:4 150:17	22:7	125:5,7 130:21	138:7 142:5
114:10 160:14	151:23 153:17	qualify 84:21	133:20 142:23	ratios 162:18
proposed 51:22	157:16 162:16	144:13	149:11 151:7,22	RaVonne 7:3
104:22 106:7	164:11,12 167:6	qualitatively 86:11	156:22 158:1	RCAS 90:13,20
107:13 108:1	169:4,9	quality 20:21 22:23	159:15 160:21	91:6
109:4 121:9	PUC 20:10 21:10	84:17 85:15 99:18	162:8	RCU 90:12
131:11 157:24	116:6,10 117:3,6	quantifiable 141:18	quick 51:7	reach 120:5 132:24
160:11	117:14,19,23	quarter 44:7,10,11	quickly 33:8 51:6	reached 154:21
proposing 114:24	118:2,5,13 119:4	quarterly 90:15	quite 118:18	reaching 123:8
148:8	131:11 134:1	queried 79:10	quote 11:19 59:5	read 33:10 57:19
proposition 149:18	152:21	question 5:21 8:7	69:22	65:14 66:1 102:3
166:12	PUC-approved	8:20 10:24 11:17	quoting 78:15	reading 46:17 63:8
protected 23:15	21:2,6	15:5,6,19,19		111:22
27:11	pulled 166:18	17:11,18 18:6	R	reads 51:10 66:8
protecting 84:19	pulling 55:11	19:5 22:13 28:21	R 2:2 11:12 82:19	ready 7:1 82:14
protection 7:8,9,11	pulls 92:19	28:22 31:2,17	82:19 101:21	101:17 128:16
Ī				

	<u> </u>	1		
real 37:3 39:9	recommendation	22:23 23:5 55:9	remember 99:8	required 37:7
72:11 108:16,18	122:1,3	70:2 74:20 75:6	125:18 157:9,10	38:17 39:17 41:17
129:10	recommendations	78:6 79:11 101:8	162:12	requirement 96:9
reality 6:3	90:21	102:19 116:8	reminded 102:4	108:7
realize 159:11	recommending	159:8	reminding 163:5	requirements 49:20
really 5:6 88:13	145:1	references 96:4	reminds 152:13	100:3,5 107:13
91:1 158:4	recommends	164:11	removed 7:10	150:18,24
reason 45:2 47:14	108:13,17	referencing 70:19	render 38:24	requires 94:17
70:17 85:3 86:1	reconcilable 106:24	referred 45:20	rep 62:15	117:14
90:20 94:18	114:24 135:18	108:23 163:18	repeat 22:13 28:22	residency 42:8,13
143:13 144:7	137:2	referring 13:4 20:5	31:2 41:1,15	residential 17:7,9
145:3 153:16	reconciles 150:11	23:19 29:16 36:20	42:18 50:10 51:23	17:14,16 18:17,19
reasonable 118:23	reconciliation	58:13,19 72:10	57:12 59:12 66:18	18:23 19:1,7 84:9
reasons 88:5,23	108:17 120:19	refers 49:19 162:17	repeats 127:5,5	90:14 111:7,8,12
143:8 151:4 156:1	record 2:13,19,22	reflect 111:17	report 67:2,2 119:3	112:13,14,15,24
rebuttal 7:11,12,18	4:12 5:1 7:16,24	133:4 156:18	125:24 127:3,4,18	113:2,12 114:2
9:5,11,17,24 15:2	9:6,16 10:3 12:18	reflection 64:2	134:16,16 150:1	125:9,15 126:7,12
15:4,5,7 43:17	44:3 57:7,23	reflective 113:16	162:23 163:10	126:15,18 127:6,8
44:5 47:24 49:9	58:14 60:20 66:11	reflects 86:5 136:19	reported 71:4	128:8,10,20,23
52:7,7 57:1 58:12	66:13 68:20 70:20	reformatted 87:13	149:24	132:3,4,6,6,8,10
58:14,18,22 59:3	71:1 74:6 76:12	refreshing 34:9	reporter 1:8 3:1	132:11,14,20,21
65:24 69:13,18	76:14 78:2 82:10	regard 118:16	10:15 64:6 169:3	132:22 133:6,7,13
70:18 83:13 84:4	86:5 92:19 100:17	regarding 20:11	169:8,16	134:2,3 135:23
85:12 157:18	101:1,5 109:17	21:8 27:5	reporting 1:18	136:4,10,20
recall 7:10 10:11	120:14 123:16	regards 159:15	100:3,5	137:11,20 142:2,3
118:4 161:5	125:14 129:9	regular 4:13 93:20	reports 67:4 86:4	146:13,19,22,24
receipts 134:12	157:15 160:10	97:18 104:6	101:9 127:2 134:1	147:20 152:7
135:4,22	163:9 166:19	regulated 21:10	150:1 163:6,7	164:2
receive 16:3 22:6	167:11,16	117:3	165:6	residual 150:12
24:2 53:16,17	recover 106:23	regulations 29:14	representation	resisted 121:10
93:5 95:24 96:19	recovered 5:13,24	29:16,23,24 30:4	116:2	resolvable 119:13
122:9	106:21	30:7 37:3 75:7	represented 10:9	resolved 106:23
received 14:9 29:20	recovery 104:23	116:12	represents 73:8	107:5 109:3
44:19 46:1,4	107:4 109:7 115:2	reiterate 63:23	reproduction	resonating 57:17
53:13,22 73:22	116:21 117:18,21	relate 32:17 157:23	169:14	resources 28:15
85:17 135:14,20	117:24 118:1,2	related 9:17 123:12	request 5:7,11,18	respect 7:18 69:21
135:21 136:21	recross 90:5,7	165:5 167:21	6:17 8:18 27:3,7	80:12,16 92:13
142:15	151:9,13,15	relates 9:11,20 15:9	36:18 37:1 41:4	106:12 114:23
receives 48:18 55:9	161:24	165:24	46:11 54:3 60:23	119:11 123:24
receiving 39:15,19	redirect 82:3,14	relating 166:7	63:4,6 75:3,5,11	148:14 153:3
recess 82:5 100:15	90:5,7 99:11	relation 6:3	75:20 76:16 82:10	167:8
120:12	151:9,12,14 158:1	relatively 46:5,6	128:6,7 165:14,14	respecting 133:20
recognition 112:10	158:14 161:22,24	reliably 125:1	requested 41:20	respective 120:20
122:23	reduced 135:22	relying 21:2,4 32:3	requests 4:12 5:2	respects 69:20
recognize 122:6	reduces 147:13	remain 27:15	74:14	respond 10:23 11:7
recollection 33:21	refer 29:23 30:4	160:16	require 22:14,22	62:17,18
34:8 159:7,10	62:15 72:12 159:4	remainder 49:18	23:2,9 49:11	response 23:1,5
recommend 76:5	162:15 166:11	remains 50:2	84:10 100:6	27:1 46:10 47:15
130:12	reference 12:10	remarks 2:20	131:17	49:19 61:5,7 62:3

62:4,5 63:4 65:14 84:8 123:24 rules 31:15 122:2,5 142:20 146:3 serves 113:18,19,20 67:7 70:5.11.12 reviewed 22:1.5 run 149:7 154:10 164:8 **service** 5:19 14:19 Secondly 141:5 70:14,19 71:3,17 61:21 77:6 79:6,9 S 74:19 77:23 78:4 reviewing 38:2 section 11:4 15:7 91:18,22 107:12 S 2:2 11:12,12 79:4 83:18 94:13 reviews 117:5 15:14 16:11,15,19 107:12 113:9,9,10 101:21,21 162:3,3 109:21 110:8 20:6 29:19 118:11 113:24 114:3 sacrifice 29:7 142:22 revised 26:15,20 **Security** 36:19 37:2 117:10,15 120:20 sacrifices 28:11 105:2 37:7,11,20,23 126:17 136:3 responses 60:22 29:4 70:2 90:9 140:24 revising 39:8 38:3.16.21 39:5 137:16 138:4 **safe** 32:2 responsibility **revisit** 160:15 39:16 41:10,17 143:20,23,24 sake 17:6 30:15 117:13 **RFC** 94:13,14 see 16:11 20:22 144:1,20 161:14 sale 14:9,11 138:22 rider 6:23 104:21 23:17 26:12 30:19 **services** 5:9 6:8 7:8 sat 88:11 responsible 18:8 104:22,23 105:2,6 37:3 38:18 42:9 90:15 116:17 satisfy 81:9 124:3 105:22 106:13,16 44:8.21 45:7 47:8 118:11 122:13 saying 60:8 61:8 responsive 70:18 106:22,23,24 47:12 49:11 52:14 145:5 153:10 66:22 78:12,20 rest 16:4 79:3 114:3 107:1,5,6,12,17 52:20 57:7,20 **serving** 113:21 79:21 99:24 112:8 restate 68:3 107:20,21 108:1,2 59:1,6 61:1 62:24 154:11 155:12 124:21 134:11 108:12,13,17,24 69:23 71:17 99:1 164:9 restrict 29:14 136:8,17 137:20 102:3 109:14 result 38:21 87:15 109:3,8,10 119:11 set 18:9 19:9,10,12 138:1.10 144:12 157:2 119:22,24 136:3 110:10 120:4 30:3 61:6 62:4 145:9 147:17 162:9,11 results 79:5 121:6 126:5,6,8 70:6,10 71:4,22 148:18 resume 102:4,13,19 riders 116:18,20 126:10,12 127:12 72:9 76:17,18 says 23:13 31:3 102:24 103:1,3,8 119:4 120:21,23 127:17 128:21 78:5 108:3,10 32:10 38:5,9,11 104:16 121:2,3 135:18 132:3 134:9 155:19,21 156:13 44:18 52:12 56:18 144:17 157:21 settlement 35:17 retroactive 49:11 **right** 15:21 19:17 59:4 61:12 63:8 21:6 33:14 45:13 114:19 129:17,19 50:21 51:1,12 168:2 63:11 67:2,3 retroactively 51:15 46:18 54:1,2 seen 117:6 124:13 settlements 114:16 116:6 132:3 retroactivity 49:20 56:17 58:15 63:8 selection 84:18 114:17 135:10 138:6 revenue 9:19 13:17 63:12 64:17 78:17 selections 85:3 seventh 108:11 150:10 18:8.21 25:7.15 80:10 91:20 92:5 self-evident 101:7 sewer 1:1 2:7 **SBG** 103:5 33:22 50:8.12.18 92:8 98:5 111:10 send 92:7 107:12 108:7 scan 97:23 52:24 53:14,18 119:17 123:14 senior 84:24 132:4 126:11 scanned 3:9 97:18 54:9,15,20 92:5,6 126:5,10 129:20 **share** 136:20 132:7,11 scenarios 98:13,14 96:22 106:14,17 136:23 sense 57:20 76:23 **sheet** 9:3,4,17 schedule 3:21 **right-hand** 132:13 107:4.13 108:7 **sent** 54:3 **shelter** 143:17 18:13 167:1.17.19 110:12,14 134:18 138:20 sentence 9:13,21,22 144:2 scheduled 48:19 138:3 148:4 **Rob** 5:1 85:22 29:13 33:1,12,13 sheriff's 14:9,10 95:23 150:18,23 Robert 5:4 37:1 57:19 110:21 **short-term** 119:16 scheduling 166:19 Roger 7:20 100:22 Revenue's 37:3 sentences 32:7.8 **show** 10:2 44:19 school 145:13,13 revenues 35:20 145:8 **separate** 17:6 32:20 45:5,14 78:2 Schwartz 7:4 107:7,15 108:8,10 **role** 8:14 33:10 55:22 134:8 138:8 152:3 **scope** 119:10 separately 3:11 135:4,19,20 Roman 60:23,24 152:6 163:19,22 September 44:12 136:12 152:9.10 61:6 70:6.10 shown 75:20 seasonal 144:17,18 152:11 room 8:11 35:7 45:24 46:21 47:5 162:21 second 4:19 5:11 review 20:20 21:9 165:9 47:18 48:5 72:17 shows 46:13,22 7:2 26:7 32:10.16 roughly 102:23 21:10,19,22 22:2 73:6 131:18,19,20 47:5,9 65:6 75:23 33:1,13 39:20 22:8.11.15.22 row 45:5 **series** 157:19 **shut** 83:10 96:21 71:9,21 79:22 23:2,9,15,17,20 **RSF** 150:12 seriously 3:17 97:5.14 83:14 136:18 23:24 28:9 29:1 ruled 160:11 serve 113:17 147:5 **shut-off** 95:17

	Ì	Ì	l	I
shutoff 13:23 95:23	57:12 63:11 64:19	start 2:9 11:16 15:5	stays 144:3	82:10 95:14 98:16
96:18	64:21 66:4,5	24:10 52:8 113:13	stenographic 169:5	100:23
significant 106:2,3	69:17,18 72:12	130:22 151:22	steps 76:7	subsequent 118:10
significantly 105:8	135:9	161:9	Stevens 1:8 169:3,8	subsets 134:2
155:14	sort 89:5 113:23	started 102:10,11	stick 42:1	substantially 57:5
similar 42:6 55:16	119:13 155:15	109:11 161:9	stipulated 114:9,15	66:9
56:2 93:21	158:22	starting 45:23 51:9	stipulation 10:10	substantively 118:1
similarly 167:6	Sounds 31:8 166:17	52:8 126:2 147:16	101:2	suburban 155:17
simpler 108:3	source 32:1 35:14	starts 38:8	stipulations 120:7	success 114:5
simply 57:21 84:23	35:16 124:14	state 30:14 42:5	stop 154:11	successfully 24:14
109:21 111:7	sources 77:7	44:6 45:16 49:10	Storm 1:1 126:14	sufficient 66:13
127:3,8 133:11	South 1:18	57:1 58:22 64:7	stream 138:3	suggest 156:24
138:10 142:11	space 144:15	73:18 95:16	Street 1:5,18	suggested 6:16
145:19 146:17	Spanish 60:4 63:1	103:17,18,23	strict 141:7,8,9	146:4 154:20
147:19 148:3	63:5,14,18 64:19	145:5 154:8	strictly 91:2	suggesting 139:20
150:3 152:6	65:1,7 67:14,17	stated 67:7 167:18	strike 115:19 124:3	147:15
156:24	speak 7:14 29:6	statement 2:12 4:5	143:10 156:2	suggestions 88:20
single 22:7 126:22	39:18 97:21	4:6,8 7:13,18,23	161:4	suggests 108:13
164:18	speaking 65:2	9:12,18,24 15:2,6	studied 154:18	sum 47:19
sit 87:23	97:20 98:18	16:8,12,14 21:8	studies 67:4 127:24	summer 145:11,11
sitting 53:24	speaks 78:8	23:13,17 26:8,13	133:15	145:15,16
sixth 107:23	special 12:14	26:15,20 28:6,18	study 18:22 78:15	supervision 169:15
size 12:3	specific 16:8,18	29:10,16 30:19,22	79:5	supplier 147:14
Skiendzielewski	17:14 18:16 19:9	31:3 32:16 33:9	study's 78:10	supply 80:6
167:2	111:19,20 112:6	33:19 37:4 38:18	subclasses 111:14	support 57:23
skip 36:24	117:1 123:9	42:9 43:17 44:5,8	113:4,5	66:13,15,19 159:6
slightly 42:3 147:10	152:17,18 164:19	48:1 49:9,12,15	subdivides 152:7	163:15,20,22
slowly 5:15	specifically 9:20	51:9,10,17 52:9	subgroup 90:24	165:20
small 38:15 109:16	11:21 89:16 113:3	52:17,21 57:2,9	152:8,8	supporting 166:11
smaller 78:24	123:13 137:19	57:13,21,23 58:3	subgroups 111:18	supports 158:6
Social 36:19 37:2,6	157:23	58:18,22 59:1,4	subject 25:23 26:12	165:6
37:10,20,23 38:3	spend 149:7	59:13 65:24 66:1	26:18,22,24 29:12	suppose 15:14
38:16,20 39:5,16	spending 149:6	66:8 69:14,18,23	29:17 34:3,24	48:23
41:10,17	spent 23:11 145:1	83:14 84:5 100:24	41:12 43:5 44:24	surcharge 137:2,14
software 95:9	spoke 89:2	104:2	47:21 48:14 56:14	138:4,15,17
sole 119:21	sponsoring 11:3	statements 10:9	65:10,11 70:1,5	sure 14:22 16:1
solely 65:1	spreadsheet 72:10	33:10 83:19	71:3 80:17 102:24	22:18 23:1 28:24
somebody 91:18	squarely 111:4	states 26:9 29:14	103:6,9 124:11,15	31:3 35:1 41:3,16
92:5,7 93:8 94:22	112:18	38:16 39:4 154:3	submission 26:1	44:18 45:19 51:14
96:12 131:18	stable 144:14	154:9	27:20	51:24 57:13 64:5
someone's 152:18	stack 97:11	statewide 113:14	submissions 69:23	64:12 66:19 67:6
somewhat 3:16	staff 88:12	statistics 42:11,15	submit 26:1 54:19	68:5 76:13 79:13
11:23 146:24	stage 12:19 159:1	42:20 43:1,10	89:24 95:7 96:14	88:17 89:3 99:19
Sonny 2:8	stakeholder 90:14	status 27:22 28:1	submits 67:9	105:12 115:16
soon 95:18 131:20	stand 4:7 158:20	80:24 95:17	submitted 3:22	116:24 117:1
sorry 5:16 8:16	standard 26:10,16	statute 121:19,19	13:23 45:6,15	118:9 119:7
20:19 22:21 27:19	27:4 29:11	statutory 119:14	67:14 70:8 71:6	130:22 141:23
45:17,24 46:21	stands 13:18	stay 80:22 95:19	71:15 72:2,17,20	142:10 166:4,8
51:23 52:7,8 55:8	144:10	97:2 100:1 140:13	72:24 73:10,16,24	surprise 118:23
			, ,	
ì			•	•

		1	ı	1
surrebuttal 158:15	64:12 86:6 88:19	tell 11:23 40:2	159:1 162:12	129:8,24 130:16
survey 61:9 78:7	91:5 97:17 115:15	81:21 89:10,22	163:14,16	130:17 132:23
79:11	115:17,18 135:4	124:8 126:24	thank 3:13,23,24	138:9 139:6
swear 3:16	166:5	127:18 128:7	4:15 5:3 10:14,19	142:17,23 144:9
system 8:14 50:2	talks 32:8 45:18	152:24 162:23	10:20 11:10 13:1	144:22 145:21,23
52:14 92:2 97:7	tangent 77:2	telling 150:14	13:9,21 25:20	146:2 148:6,11,21
systemwide 128:11	TAP 6:23 11:20	tells 89:12 116:10	41:14,24 47:24	150:2 152:3
	12:1,15 20:20	146:16	49:6 51:5 56:12	158:10,23 159:11
T	23:6,20 24:9,11	ten 102:12	56:20 58:15 60:18	159:22 160:1,9
T 11:13 82:19,20	24:15,23,23 25:2	tend 103:15,17	61:14,16 62:20	161:11 163:4
101:22 151:17,18	25:11,11,16,20,21	tenure 165:2	65:15,22 71:2	thinking 92:15
162:4 169:1,1	25:21,23 26:2,11	term 152:16,20	73:5 74:3,11	99:10 152:16
T.R 129:9	26:16,21 27:5,6	terminated 14:19	75:12 78:11 81:24	thinks 141:24
table 46:9,12,18	27:10,18,21,24	83:2,7	82:3,15 85:5 90:3	third 107:1
47:5 48:17 65:5	28:1,11 29:3,11	terms 13:6 107:22	90:8 100:10,12,19	thought 53:5 77:12
105:22,24 106:7	29:15 37:8,11,13	150:21 153:2	105:19 125:2,6	77:23 92:12 137:7
162:19	38:8 41:18 44:20	territories 113:24	130:19 141:18	147:15 157:1,19
tables 134:5 135:10	45:6,14 46:19,23	territory 61:21	151:7,21 154:23	thoughts 32:20,23
162:21	47:6,10,18 48:7	79:6,10,13 114:3	160:6,20 163:5,11	thousands 124:9
tacked 81:8	48:18,19,21 49:11	117:10	166:15 167:24	three 8:22 46:22
tag 89:11,14,15,17	51:11 52:13 57:6	test 144:10	Thanks 4:16	72:16,22 103:5
89:18,19	57:11,15 58:8	tested 21:21	theory 140:18,19	128:1 153:13
take 2:13 3:17 8:18	59:10,14 61:10,24	testified 103:4,10	141:5 147:12	three-plus 128:2
12:6 13:21 36:14	62:7 63:9,13 64:3	103:11	Thiel 2:17,19 3:1	threshold 165:5
48:6 51:5,7,7	65:9 66:11 69:3,7	testify 102:14	3:14,18 7:12	tie 65:16 78:3 94:9
78:18 79:22 82:2	70:8 71:5,14 75:6	103:16	thin 147:4,9	94:19
91:7 94:21 95:3	76:20 79:7,24	testifying 4:2 15:11	thing 11:17 97:9	tied 116:4
100:13 107:2	80:1,1 81:2 83:3	18:2 104:11	126:23,24 149:16	tier 84:16 124:1,2
120:10 125:5	84:20,24 85:8	105:12	156:21	till 81:11
131:2,5 134:4	86:23 90:23 91:3	testimony 2:16,24	things 88:19 90:10	time 4:17 5:17 6:4
142:1 160:7	91:11 93:4 94:16	3:17,22 7:11 11:4	99:20,21 112:21	23:12,16 24:13,15
161:12 163:5,9	97:24 104:20,22	15:7,15,24 16:4	117:11 119:10	24:19 25:14 26:2
taken 1:4 12:16	105:6,22 106:13	19:2,12 20:7 21:5	125:21 160:1	29:3 37:6,24
82:5 100:15	107:3,4,11,11	26:8 29:13,20	think 6:19 8:22	42:19 48:19 71:12
120:12 169:5	110:14 115:1,21	30:14 31:12 32:2	10:21 14:23 16:5	72:11 73:19 76:7
takes 80:3 100:2	116:4,12,13	37:15,18 45:18	17:3 18:5 19:23	81:14 88:4 95:17
158:8	117:23 119:22,24	50:1 51:8 52:3	26:24 28:14,19	101:19 105:6,24
talk 11:5 31:19	121:4,6 122:23	58:19 74:16,20	34:6 39:16 40:22	115:10 116:18,20
33:16,18 54:23	123:24 124:5	75:7 83:13 101:4	53:8 57:18 61:12	116:22 117:4,4,7
56:22 69:14	147:18,21 150:6	102:20 103:15	70:1,3 71:10,19	117:13,17,18
114:22 119:8	156:2,9,16,18	104:9 105:3,7	71:20 75:8 77:1,3	128:24 129:10
121:21	161:11	106:1 107:24	77:10 82:1,13	158:21 160:12,22
talked 14:3 28:15	TAP's 25:16	108:3,12 109:1	85:11 89:4 98:12	167:1,3,10,20
34:8 66:2,12 82:8	target 122:8	110:15 111:3,5,23	98:14 101:17	timeframe 23:14,19
110:17 113:6	task 124:16	112:22 113:7,7	104:9 105:15	23:24 28:9 29:1
162:17	tax 37:3	121:21 123:10,23	106:2,4 108:5	42:20 43:1,10
talking 12:20,21,22	taxes 14:15	126:23 147:16	109:12,22 113:13	73:10
21:1 29:9 33:20	technical 1:1,4 3:5	148:18 155:5	117:24 122:18	timeline 42:15
43:24 45:13 56:24	4:20,23	156:2 158:12	127:2 128:19	timely 131:17

102.20.22	T	0.5.10.00.22.22	l	N 102 12 15
times 102:20,23	tri-annually 118:11	86:18 88:22,22	understands	usually 102:13,16
103:3,4,6,10	true 26:3,5 34:11	91:13	145:24	utilities 113:17
150:6 153:13	49:18 109:13	Uh-huh 84:6	understood 36:1	117:7,23 131:12
timing 163:1	130:16 164:6,6	ultimate 104:12	53:10,11 79:19	135:17 141:9
title 46:16	169:4	ultimately 24:9	160:20	143:2 147:5
today 7:8 10:21	Trust 166:10	unable 24:22 58:24	underutilizing	155:12 156:7
11:5 30:8 60:20	try 36:14 57:19	59:5 79:4	52:18	161:17 164:9
87:14 88:20 96:19	82:9 133:9 139:16	unaffordable 24:4	unduly 108:2	utility 21:10,14
101:5 105:23	trying 23:4 28:16	uncollectibility	unfortunately	88:24 110:19
163:14 165:7	36:22 49:3 57:2	146:13,14,18,19	36:15 139:8	113:9 135:8
today's 4:19	68:14,18 78:3	155:13	unified 93:3	162:20
told 31:14 52:10	96:9 104:2 110:10	uncollectible	unique 55:8 56:9	utilize 15:15 89:20
116:15 124:19	128:18,21 132:24	129:14 135:8	56:10 98:1 99:22	utilized 84:22 96:4
129:6	134:9 142:21	136:13 137:1,6	123:3	120:19
top 29:18 38:12	147:11	138:3 140:8,9,11	uniquely 97:19,22	utilizes 92:18
48:4 56:17 62:23	Tuesday 128:15	140:13	units 107:19,22	
75:21 76:19	167:18	uncollectibles	universal 116:17	
129:21,22 147:17	turn 4:19 15:1	142:19 164:22	117:15 118:10	Vacant 132:4,6,10 132:14
162:23	23:11 30:12 36:16	under-enrolled	120:20 136:3	valuable 87:6 88:16
topic 55:3 147:11	43:16 44:16 46:8	57:10,15 58:4,8	137:16 138:4	90:23
total 8:22 45:6,24	52:6 61:4	under-enrollment	161:14	
47:1 65:4,6 72:14	turning 20:18	57:6 66:10	universally 141:10	varies 141:8
72:16,22,23 73:9	62:10 72:7,8	undercounting	143:10	variety 84:10 85:2 90:22
73:15,21 78:22	155:4	79:18	University 87:10	various 17:21
111:6 126:6,7,10	two 5:5,7 14:3	underlying 134:19	unnecessary 40:16	
126:12,15,18	20:20 21:9,10,17	154:6	40:17,20 41:4,21	117:9 163:14,15 Veatch 8:10 128:13
131:2,5 132:20	21:19,22 22:2,11	underpinning 96:6	unnumber 160:7	verbal 4:5
133:3 134:11,12	22:15,22 23:2,9	140:17	unpaid 147:19	verbal 4:3 verify 61:1
134:17,17 143:17	32:8,14,20 33:10	underrepresented	unsupported 52:17	version 39:6 104:23
150:5	57:19 62:24 63:19	61:24 62:2	57:7 66:11	versus 92:22 114:2
TR-9 130:10	64:4 75:22 84:7	understand 6:1	update 76:17	Vietnamese 63:1,5
track 95:1 96:9	84:13,16 88:4	11:2 14:24 15:18	updated 153:14	63:14,18 64:19,20
141:7	112:3,21 113:4,17	15:20 23:1 28:17	usage 17:7,14	65:2,7
tracking 42:23 86:3	113:22 114:13,14	32:7 39:20 40:5	use 20:12 21:5,19	view 155:23
93:16,19 94:17	114:16 117:11	44:18 49:4 51:14	30:21 59:18,18	viewed 138:16
100:7,8	124:1,2 127:4,10	51:16 57:16 59:24	61:8 85:2,3 86:1	violate 122:16
training 88:8,9	128:1,1 130:1,2,7	61:7 77:18 91:4	95:9 111:12,19	violated 139:15
transcribed 169:5	147:8 164:9 168:1	96:10 110:3,7	112:15 113:14,16	voice 110:20
transcript 3:5,9 5:7	two-tier 85:4	120:3 122:10	120:5 134:18	volume 44:6 45:14
5:11 6:17 8:18	two-tiered 23:5	129:18 130:23	136:2 142:24	vulnerability 12:5
27:3,7 30:3 74:14	type 5:18 51:21	132:16 134:23	145:2 153:17,19	vulnerable 11:19
75:3,5,11 76:16	52:2 109:1 113:8	135:2 138:1,19	154:3 156:17	11:24 12:2 13:7
128:6 159:6	115:15	144:23 148:19	164:8,17	13:24 14:24 119:6
165:14 169:4,14	types 5:8 149:23	understanding 7:19 18:3 21:1	USECP 117:15	
transgress 162:10 translate 144:20	159:19		user 5:22	W
	typo 101:17	25:22 31:11 63:15	user-based 5:10,13	WAC 95:1
TRB 124:8,20 treatment 8:8	U	67:23 98:17 111:22 130:5	5:20,23 6:11 uses 21:22 120:23	wait 29:3 132:1
	UCEF 83:24 85:11			waited 158:11
tri-annual 117:14	03.2103.11	136:16	131:12 153:21	waiting 23:24
	l	l	l	I

24.40.27.4.20.40	1,4,0,10,151,0,12	T 2 12 20 00 6	15040045040	1.01
24:19 25:6 28:10	144:8,18 161:8,12	79:3,12,20 80:6	17:24 20:15 34:2	162:4
39:22	161:17	80:14,20 81:7,13	59:21 64:23 68:5	<u> </u>
walked 88:12	way 1:20 22:19	81:20,23 92:17	69:6 81:15 94:7	
walks 91:18 92:5	36:16 38:22 39:14	93:13 94:6,12	98:3 99:1 104:11	yeah 114:20 119:21 year 36:6,9,10
want 4:4 14:21,22	40:4,20 47:15	99:21 100:4,22	124:12,14 140:1,3	88:10 89:5 127:23
15:10 33:16 35:3	54:21 57:20 67:7	103:13 110:23	140:5,7 151:24	150:12
40:2 45:19 51:8	67:8 78:21 82:11	125:12,16,21	WRAP 13:11,12,14	year's 127:20
51:13 54:7 61:4	91:21 93:10 94:10	126:2 127:14,21	13:17,18 14:4,4	1 *
62:22 64:5 66:1	95:2 100:7 124:20	127:22 129:4,20	33:18 42:7,7,11	years 88:23 102:12
71:23 89:20 90:8	132:18 135:24	130:2,5,9,16	42:16,22,24 43:2	102:18 108:14
93:13 100:11	142:9 149:10	131:8 132:9,23	43:11,12 83:4,4,6	115:17,23 117:20
105:17 125:19	159:23	134:14 135:6,15	83:9 92:23 93:21	117:20 118:18
131:23 132:17	ways 14:23 57:19	135:21 136:1,9,23	WRB 17:22 19:10	127:4 128:2
145:19 156:14,20	141:6	137:12,24 138:9	19:11 26:10 29:14	143:16 150:13
167:24	we'll 4:12,19 8:17	139:5,8,19,23	30:18 32:12 80:6	157:8 159:4,9,9
wanted 7:21 11:16	8:23 9:4,5 10:3	140:6,23 141:4,17	85:14 157:12	159:12 160:2
13:14 14:24 56:22	31:14 68:20 70:20	141:20 142:8	158:8	161:5 162:11
64:11 69:2 77:20	100:13 120:10	143:4,6,8 144:22	WRB's 29:8 158:5	163:23
94:15 99:5 101:2	125:5 150:21	146:11 147:24	WRBCC 33:18,23	yesterday 10:10,21
151:22 152:14	151:15 157:22	148:10 149:8	34:10 35:15	11:10,17 23:12 28:14 43:19 44:3
165:19	160:6,7,15,18	150:2,16,18	write 115:23	
wants 91:19 167:4	166:18 168:2	152:20 153:6,23	writeoff 129:14	74:14,16 75:15
167:8	we're 82:14 88:18	154:7,18 156:7	131:10,13 133:23	100:21 101:1
WARP 13:16	we've 119:19	164:22 165:16	134:17 135:23	111:15 125:18
wasn't 105:4	website 54:9	witness' 105:13	137:13,21 138:7	134:11 142:22
156:21	124:20	witnesses 4:22 9:1	138:12,13,13,16	146:2
waste 2:7 108:9	week 10:10 129:10	10:12,16,20 11:1	138:17 139:4	$\overline{\mathbf{Z}}$
water 1:1,1 2:7,7	158:21 160:5,14	122:24 129:10	142:5 143:1 157:7	zero 20:5,11,12
2:11 4:21 7:5	weigh 12:23	160:5	158:7,7 159:4,16	21:1
9:18,19 13:17	weight 16:3	women 3:19	writeoffs 111:24	zeros 127:24
18:8,20 24:6 25:7	weighted 132:18	word 30:21,22	112:20 133:24	
25:15 28:10 29:2	went 77:2 88:11	36:13 38:5 48:24	135:2,9 137:11	0
33:22 50:8,12,18	118:4	52:12	157:12 159:8,9,19	08086 1:21
52:23 53:13,18,22	weren't 89:3	words 16:15 27:1	162:18 163:1,2,17	
53:23 54:4,9,14	West 1:21	32:14 40:3 48:10	writes 157:7 162:20	1
54:20 55:5,22	wife 142:11	119:13 136:14	written 2:16 3:22	1 70:6,10 71:4 72:9
56:6,9,16,19	willing 8:19	work 83:23 90:19	4:6,7 5:6 30:4,7	76:17,18 133:23
61:20 74:24 87:20	winter 145:17	91:1,13	32:22 39:15 40:3	133:23,24 146:12
87:22 88:2,6 89:1	witness 10:23 11:3	worked 87:9 88:9	76:12 131:4,7	146:16 151:23
89:16 91:6,10,22	13:17 16:4 28:22	102:5,12 145:4,7	135:7 136:13	155:5 162:15,16
92:7,18 94:7,19	30:6 31:17 32:19	146:15 161:16	140:13 159:12	163:18 164:12
96:13,22 98:21	33:3 36:11 40:8	working 86:19,22	160:3 166:8	1-36th 131:6
99:9 105:23 106:7	40:13 41:1 43:23	87:4,21 88:5 91:5	wrong 25:22 40:11	1-800-528-3060
107:12,14 108:1,7	48:13 50:4 55:8	91:10 114:11,13	44:11 72:8 78:21	1:20
108:9,9,16,22,23	55:16 56:17 60:11	148:2	112:12	1,069 47:20
109:6,24 110:1,5	61:14 62:16,20	Works 74:24 114:6	X	1,182 46:18
126:3,4,15 127:15	65:13 67:6 70:12	114:8,9,14,20	X 11:13 82:20	1,185 47:2
130:13 132:3,13	70:16 75:17 77:15	worth 127:20	101:22 151:18	1,650 46:1
133:14 143:2,14	77:23 78:4,14	wouldn't 12:12,15	101.22 131.10	1.1 73:16,23
	<u>l</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

1:00 82:2	15-year 158:6	2019 101:10,10,15	4 6:17 9:5,18,24	84.24 126:14
10 9:12,20 10:1	159:16	2019-21 4:20	15:6,19 20:3,19	84.28 126:16
26:9 29:10 52:7	150 152:23 153:7	21 44:16	21:6 42:3,5 44:6	848-4978 1:21
57:1,4 58:17 61:5	153:22	215 1:19	49:10 65:14 69:14	85 136:11
61:6,6 62:4,10,22	1515 1:4	22 36:17 42:5	69:19,19 83:13,19	856 1:21
65:14 66:5 71:22	16 23:13 28:7	102:23	84:5	86 46:12,12 75:20
72:3,3 78:5	17 16:12 57:4 66:2	23 46:8,13 48:4	4,000 76:1	76:18
108:18 134:4	66:5	75:18,21 76:19	4,423 44:20	89.81 126:11
143:16 150:6	17,000 76:7	230 1:18	47 123:12	
162:16 165:15	17,097 72:24 73:4	24 36:24 42:5		9
10-31-2017 127:19	73:15,22 76:4,22	116:13 127:2	5	9 9:24 26:9 29:10
10,000 18:23	17th 1:5	131:3,9	5 20:3,18,19 21:8	30:13 33:19 72:12
10.1 60:23	18 9:12,20 16:12	24-month 115:12	27:7 30:13 36:23	72:15 74:21
10.4 78:7	23:13 28:8 38:18	130:12,24 131:2	52:8 60:13,14	90 30:16 32:9,15,17
10.5 60:24	66:2,6	25 36:24 163:23	62:10,22 69:14,19	34:18,20,23 35:10
10:00 168:3	189 72:1 73:14,21	28 62:8	71:22,23 84:4	142:15
10:02 1:7	19 9:12,21 51:9,10	28th 65:19	126:2	90s 102:9
100 136:2,4,24	19102 1:6,19		500 33:23 34:10	97.03 147:1
137:4,5,8,10	1986 102:11	3	35:15	97.1 111:18
138:10,11 140:11	1st 62:7 65:19 70:7	3 16:11,15,20 36:16		97.1 (sic) 111:16
101 16:11,15,20	71:13 72:17,19,21	43:18 44:1,6,15	545 47:9,19	97.3 111:5,11
11 1:7 57:1 58:10	73:6,7	61:5 62:4 75:3,5	55 65:7	112:11 125:9
58:12,21 59:3		75:16 76:19 83:15	56 66:16,20	126:20 127:12,12
60:16 69:18	2	84:4 100:24		133:3,11 164:18
11-1-2016 127:19	2 15:5 16:12 61:6,6	125:20,22 131:24	6	
110 154:1	78:5 83:15 108:18	132:1 134:4 152:1	6 23:12 28:7 43:16	
1134 1:20	133:23	155:5 162:16	44:5 58:22 59:3	
11th 158:23 160:17	2,624 48:8	163:19 164:13	75:11 101:8	
12 20:4 51:7 70:6	2,629 45:7	3,000 48:11,12	119:15 157:16	
70:10 71:4 72:9	2.7 112:11,18 146:6	3,200 48:6	158:1 160:8,16	
108:15 117:20	146:20,20 147:1	3,954 48:5	60 142:12 147:16	
140:14	20 36:17 102:23	3:27 166:18	153:24	
12-month 108:15	117:20	3:35 168:6	60s 153:12	
12.55 132:14	200 33:23	30 101:8 143:18	62 110:15	
120 140:12	2000 118:4	163:23 165:5,21	63 110:15	
124th 121:5	2011 34:7	166:12	65 38:18	
13 16:11,15,19	2012 33:22 34:7	300 34:11,14,22	7	
146:5	2013 163:8	35:11 36:2	7 30:14 48:1 49:9	
13.1 110:14,23,24	2014 102:18,23	30th 72:17	58:22 59:3 76:16	
111:3,19 112:7,8	103:11	31 124:8	731-9847 1:19	
112:10 163:21,23	2016 45:24 78:6	31,089 70:8 71:5,14	731-7047 1.17	
13th 160:18	149:22 163:8	31st 72:19,21	8	
14 95:19 97:2	2017 13:4 44:12	33 123:12	8 20:4,19 26:9	
14th 160:19	45:24 46:17 47:5	34 102:22 103:3	29:10 52:8 128:7	
15 115:17,23	62:7 65:19 70:7	35 44:16 126:2	129:9	
117:20 136:12,18	71:13 86:24 101:9	36 130:15 131:4,6,9	80s 102:9 117:8	
138:2 140:14	2018 1:7 60:16 62:8	36-month 131:1	81.43 126:16	
157:8 159:4,8,9	65:19 70:7 71:13	3rd 1:18	82.75 126:13	
159:12 160:1	72:1 76:20 101:11	4	83.12 126:7	