PHILADELPHIA WATER DEPARTMENT REBUTTAL STATEMENT NO. 4

BEFORE THE PHILADELPHIA WATER, SEWER AND STORM WATER RATE BOARD

Rebuttal Testimony

of

Raftelis Financial Consultants, Inc.,

Michelle L. Bethel and RaVonne A. Muhammad, Water Revenue Bureau,

Joanne Dahme and Donna Schwartz, Philadelphia Water Department, and

Adam K. Thiel, Philadelphia Fire Department

on behalf of

The Philadelphia Water Department

Topics Addressed:

TAP Structure and Operations

TAP Administrative Costs

Public Fire Protection

Shutoff Notices

Dated: May 4, 2018

1	REBU	UTTAL TESTIMONY OF RAFTELIS FINANCIAL CONSULTANTS, INC.,				
2	MICHELLE L. BETHEL AND RAVONNE A. MUHAMMAD, WATER					
3	REVENUE BUREAU, AND JOANNE DAHME AND DONNA SCHWARTZ,					
4		PHILADLEPHIA WATER DEPARTMENT				
5						
6	I.	INTRODUCTION				
7	Q1.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAMES AND POSITIONS FOR THE RECORD.				
8	A1.	Our names are Jon Davis, Henrietta Locklear, and Jennifer Fitts of Raftelis				
9		Financial Consultants, Inc. (RFC), Michelle L. Bethel and RaVonne A.				
10		Muhammad, City of Philadelphia Department of Revenue - Water Revenue				
11		Bureau (WRB), Donna Schwartz and Joanne Dahme, Philadelphia Water				
12		Department (PWD), and Adam K. Thiel, Philadelphia Fire Department. On				
13		behalf of the City of Philadelphia Water Department (Water Department), we				
14		proffer our collective rebuttal to Mr. Roger Colton's testimony.				
15						
16	Q2.	HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED TESTIMONY IN THIS				
17		PROCEEDING?				
18	A2.	Ms. Schwartz provided testimony in PWD Statement No. 4. Ms. Dahme				
19		provided testimony in PWD Statement No. 5. Ms. Bethel and Ms. Muhammad				
20		provided testimony in PWD Statement No. 7. RFC provided testimony in PWD				
21		Statement No. 8.				
22						
23		Mr. Thiel is providing rebuttal testimony with regard to public fire protection				
24		and his resume is attached as Schedule R4-1.				
25						
		PWD Rebuttal Statement No. 4 - 1				

1	Q3.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
2	A3.	In this rebuttal, we provide our response to some of the concerns and criticisms
3		that Mr. Colton has expressed in his direct testimony on behalf of the Public
4		Advocate. We specifically address the following areas of Mr. Colton's
5		testimony:
6		• Part 1 Structure and Operation of TAP
7		• Part 2 TAP Cost Recovery (with regard to TAP Administrative Costs)
8		• Part 3 Public Fire Protection
9		• Part 4 Barring Unfair and Deceptive Shutoff Notices
10		
11	II.	TAP STRUCTURE & OPERATIONS
12	Q4.	DO YOU AGREE WITH MR. COLTON'S ASSERTION THAT TAP
13		IMPLEMENTATION METHODS ARE SUBJECT TO RESOLUTION BY
14		THE BOARD DURING THIS PROCEEDING?
15	A4.	No. The Water, Sewer and Stormwater Rate Board ("Rate Board") is a local
16		agency authorized to approve rates and charges for the Philadelphia Water
17		Department ("PWD"). The Rate Board has only the powers and authority
18		granted in the Philadelphia Code, Section 13-101(3). The Rate Board is
19		authorized to approve, modify or reject proposed rates and charges. As indicated
20		by the City Solicitor's opinion, dated June 6, 2016, the Rate Board lacks the
21		authority to direct how PWD and Water Revenue Bureau ("WRB") (collectively
22		referred to as "the Departments") provide their services. In view of the above,
23		Mr. Colton's requests that the Rate Board exceed its authority by directing the
24		Departments regarding TAP implementation methods, administration and access
25		should be rejected.

Throughout the development of TAP, the Departments sought input and feedback from several stakeholders. The regulations that form the framework of the program were provided to constituent groups through its RCAS Committee and to Community Legal Services (acting outside of their role as Public Advocate) for comment and feedback. The regulations were also vetted through public hearings and written comment with CLS and City Council. When developing customer facing materials such as the application and letters, the Departments sought input and feedback from CLS, UESF, and the NECs, among others. While the Board lacks the authority to direct how the Departments implement TAP, the Departments have and will continue to seek outside assistance in further development of the program.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 Q5. DO YOU AGREE WITH MR. COLTON'S POSITION THAT THE 15 APPLICATION IS TOO COMPLEX?

16 A5. No. The Customer Assistance Application was one of the first documents developed in the creation of TAP. The Departments worked through several 17 18 iterations of applications and used PECO's CAP application and PGW's CRP 19 application as guides. Both utilities' applications contain acknowledgement 20 sections that have not been barriers to enrollment. Additionally, both 21 applications request the applicant optionally provide social security numbers for 22 all household members. The enabling ordinance, codified in the Philadelphia 23 Code Section 19-1605, required that proof of application criteria be consistent 24 with Section 19-1305. See the Philadelphia Code Section 19-1605(3)(i)(.1). The 25 Departments' request for social security numbers mirrors the Department of

Revenue's Real Estate Tax Regulations. Compare Departments Regulations Section 206.2(e)(2) with Department of Revenue Real Estate Tax Regulations Section 302(b)(4)(c)(iv)(II). Additionally, the Departments also accept ITIN numbers and plans to update the application to reflect that practice in the near future. Also, the PGW application requests information when there is outside financial support which is analogous to Attachment A of the Customer Assistance Application. I have attached copies of PECO's CAP application and PGW's CRP application to my testimony as Schedule R4-2. Further, the PUC has approved of the use of a "zero income form" by Duquesne Light as similar to the one used by the Department of Public Welfare. See PGW 2014-2016 USECP, Docket No. M-2013-2366301, Tentative Order at 16 (April 3, 2014). I have attached a copy of DPW's form to my testimony as Schedule R4-3. As I previously stated in my rebuttal testimony the Departments sought input and feedback from several stakeholders in the development of the program and that included the creation of the Customer Assistance Application. The Departments worked with our partners (CLS, the NECs, and UESF) as well as behavioral scientists in fine tuning the application.

I also disagree with Mr. Colton's unsupported assertion that the rate of incomplete applications is "evidence that the application may be unreasonably complex." See Public Advocate Statement 3: Colton Direct page 27, lines 8-9. The rate of incomplete applications is similar to the rate experienced with WRAP. As with WRAP, most applications are incomplete due to missing residency or income information.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1		Finally, I disagree with Mr. Colton's unsupported assertion that "the multiple
2		layers of review to which PWD subjects TAP applications is further evidence
3		that the TAP application is too complex." See Public Advocate Statement 3:
4		Colton Direct page 27, lines 9-11. The two-part review process is not required
5		due to the complexity of the application, it acts as a form of quality control to
6		ensure the applicant is placed in the most affordable program and that other rate
7		payers are not unduly burdened by customers receiving assistance they are not
8		qualified for.
9		
10		Please note that the response to Question 4 is incorporated in this response and
11		all responses below concerning TAP administrative changes recommended by
12		Mr. Colton.
13		
13 14	Q6.	DO YOU AGREE WITH MR. COLTON'S ASSESSMENT THAT TAP
	Q6.	DO YOU AGREE WITH MR. COLTON'S ASSESSMENT THAT TAP APPLICANTS ARE BEING HARMED BY UNREASONABLE DELAYS
14	Q6.	
14 15	Q6. A6.	APPLICANTS ARE BEING HARMED BY UNREASONABLE DELAYS
14 15 16		APPLICANTS ARE BEING HARMED BY UNREASONABLE DELAYS IN HAVING APPLICATIONS APPROVED?
14 15 16 17		APPLICANTS ARE BEING HARMED BY UNREASONABLE DELAYS IN HAVING APPLICATIONS APPROVED? No. The timeline for approving applications is not unreasonable, and TAP
14 15 16 17 18		APPLICANTS ARE BEING HARMED BY UNREASONABLE DELAYS IN HAVING APPLICATIONS APPROVED? No. The timeline for approving applications is not unreasonable, and TAP applicants are not being harmed. The ordinance establishing TAP required the
14 15 16 17 18 19		APPLICANTS ARE BEING HARMED BY UNREASONABLE DELAYS IN HAVING APPLICATIONS APPROVED? No. The timeline for approving applications is not unreasonable, and TAP applicants are not being harmed. The ordinance establishing TAP required the program to go into effect no later than 15 months of the Board's June 7, 2016
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 		APPLICANTS ARE BEING HARMED BY UNREASONABLE DELAYS IN HAVING APPLICATIONS APPROVED? No. The timeline for approving applications is not unreasonable, and TAP applicants are not being harmed. The ordinance establishing TAP required the program to go into effect no later than 15 months of the Board's June 7, 2016 rate report, i.e. by September 2017. The fifteen months allowed for program
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		APPLICANTS ARE BEING HARMED BY UNREASONABLE DELAYS IN HAVING APPLICATIONS APPROVED? No. The timeline for approving applications is not unreasonable, and TAP applicants are not being harmed. The ordinance establishing TAP required the program to go into effect no later than 15 months of the Board's June 7, 2016 rate report, i.e. by September 2017. The fifteen months allowed for program development and implementation was tight, given the immense technological
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 		APPLICANTS ARE BEING HARMED BY UNREASONABLE DELAYS IN HAVING APPLICATIONS APPROVED? No. The timeline for approving applications is not unreasonable, and TAP applicants are not being harmed. The ordinance establishing TAP required the program to go into effect no later than 15 months of the Board's June 7, 2016 rate report, i.e. by September 2017. The fifteen months allowed for program development and implementation was tight, given the immense technological effort of developing new software to manage application data and workflow and
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 		APPLICANTS ARE BEING HARMED BY UNREASONABLE DELAYS IN HAVING APPLICATIONS APPROVED? No. The timeline for approving applications is not unreasonable, and TAP applicants are not being harmed. The ordinance establishing TAP required the program to go into effect no later than 15 months of the Board's June 7, 2016 rate report, i.e. by September 2017. The fifteen months allowed for program development and implementation was tight, given the immense technological effort of developing new software to manage application data and workflow and making numerous changes to the existing billing system. The Departments made

paper applications beginning that same day. The first TAP approvals occurred during July, and the first TAP bills were issued in early August, well ahead of the required timeframe. Because of the early outreach, a large volume of applications came in during the first quarter of the program ("early influx period"), while remaining IT and customer communication requirements were being fulfilled. WRB representatives began by enrolling the most vulnerable customers in TAP, at first issuing TAP bills between \$12 and \$25. WRB addressed the large volume applications associated with the "early influx period" referred to above. It should be noted that WRB has been consistently working toward a steady state time period for processing applications throughout the early stages of operation described in this testimony. WRB reviews applications using a two-tier process to ensure accuracy of enrollment data and program selection, in turn making sure the customer does get the most affordable bill, and protecting other ratepayers. With TAP still in its infancy, and the timeline for approving applications is reasonable considering the implementation requirements and volume of applications. Customers are never harmed by the timeframe for review, because they are protected from enforcement for the full period of time the application is under review. At approval, all pre-TAP arrears, including those that accumulated while the application was under review, are set aside and continue to be protected from enforcement. Additionally, customers are notified of their application's status. Notifications are provided to customers in writing based on any application status change consistent with historical practices of PWD and WRB for all existing programs. Status is also available at any time upon request via a customer call.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q7. DO YOU AGREE THAT REGULATIONS REQUIRE THAT TAP BILLS 1 2 SHOULD BE RETROACTIVE TO THE TIME OF APPLICATION 3 SUBMISSION? 4 A7. No. The Philadelphia Code does not require retroactive TAP bills. More 5 specifically, Section 19-605 (3)(i) of the Code states that "a Customer shall be 6 enrolled in IWRAP upon approval of a completed application..." When 7 applicants have their completed application approved by WRB, they are enrolled in TAP and begin receiving a TAP bill immediately. In addition to the lack of 8 9 legislative basis for retroactivity, the level of effort to adjust the billing system 10 to recalculate and reissue bills would be immense, likely requiring program 11 stoppage while additional changes are made. Because the ordinance does not 12 require retroactive enrollment or billing, the calculation of how much a customer 13 would have been billed if the TAP rate were retroactive is not relevant. 14 15 **Q8**. DO YOU AGREE WITH MR. COLTON WHEN HE SAYS THAT A TAP APPLICANT SHOULD NOT BE SUBJECT TO "ENFORCEMENT 16 **ACTIONS AFTER SUBMISSION OF A TAP APPLICATION?** 17 18 A8. Yes. Pursuant to the Philadelphia Code Section 19-1605(3)(m), Water 19 Regulations Section 206.8 and standard operating procedures, upon the 20 submission of a TAP application and upon acceptance and enrollment in TAP, 21 an enforcement hold is placed on the account. When a customer requests an 22 application WRB places a 14 day stay of enforcement which includes shutoffs, 23 civil actions, and sheriff sales. However, if the application is completed online

the stay of enforcement is immediately put in place and remains until a final decision is made on the application by WRB. If the customer submits the

24

25

application within that 14 day stay of enforcement, the stay of enforcement is continued until WRB makes a final decision on the application. If the customer submits the application after the 14 day stay of enforcement, the stay is reinstated when WRB receives the application for processing and remains until a final decision is made on the application by the WRB.

While the customer is enrolled in TAP, the stay of enforcement remains in place. The regulations do not restrict WRB from placing liens on a TAP customer's arrears. However, the WRB has adopted a standard operating procedure to exempt TAP customers from having their arrears subject to liens.

12 Q9. DO YOU AGREE WITH MR. COLTON'S CONCLUSION REGARDING 13 THE CUSTOMER ASSISTANCE PROGRAM SELECTION 14 METHODOLOGY?

15 A9. No. For program comparison, a TAP bill is calculated as a percent of income 16 and compared against the other programs for which the customer is eligible. A TAP bill is a flat amount, which may or may not include a contribution toward 17 18 arrears, depending on the customer's current usage each month. Likely bills 19 under other programs are calculated including arrears, if applicable, and 20 compared against the TAP bill. The program with the lowest anticipated 21 monthly bill during the following 12-month period is selected for the customer. 22 The calculation of a TAP bill does not depend on the customer's arrears, but 23 whether or not a TAP bill is the most affordable option may depend on the 24 presence of an arrearage.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1	Q10.	DO YOU AGREE WITH MR. COLTON'S RECOMMENDATION THAT
2		THE RATE BOARD DIRECT PWD TO PROVIDE TAP PARTICIPANTS
3		WITH FULL ARREARAGE FORGIVENESS IN AN INCREMENTAL
4		FASHION OVER A TWO-YEAR PERIOD?
5	A10.	No. Under the City charter, responsibility for City debt more than 90 days old is
6		assigned to the Law Department. The Rate Board does not have the authority or
7		jurisdiction to direct PWD or WRB to provide full arrearage forgiveness.
8		
9	Q11.	DO YOU AGREE WITH MR. COLTON'S RECOMMENDATION THAT
10		THE DEPARTMENTS NEED TO IMPROVE ITS OUTREACH AND
11		INTAKE METHODS?
12	A11.	No. While the Departments are always looking for improvements and
13		efficiencies, we are proud of the robust outreach program and current intake
14		methods. Prior to the program launch and during the early months of TAP, the
15		program was advertised in several mediums over several weeks. See Responses
16		to PA-ADV-93, PA-III-4, PA-III-6, and PA-V-21. The outreach included print,
17		radio, and transit advertising, the use of social media, and in-person events.
18		
19		The Departments have undertaken several direct, targeted mailings to its
20		customers. These mailings have been sent to prior WRAP/WRBCC customers
21		and plans for future outreach include mailings to current customers who receive
22		the Senior Citizen Discount and customers with current agreements under the
23		Philadelphia Code Section 19-1305.
24		
25		
		PWD Rebuttal Statement No. 4 - 9

1		Additionally, the Departments formed partnerships with CLS, NECs, UESF, and
2		most recently PHA to assist in outreach efforts. The Departments also work with
3		CBOs, civic associations, City Council, and other neighborhood based
4		organizations on TAP outreach. Each of these groups was provided training on
5		TAP, the Customer Assistance Application process, and how to apply. Through
6		our follow up with these partners, the Departments were told that the groups
7		have been assisting customers apply for TAP using the paper and on-line
8		application. This contradicts Mr. Colton's unsupported statement that the
9		Departments are under-utilizing community organizations and that none of the
10		applications was generated through a CBO. See Public Advocate Statement 3:
11		Colton Direct page 47, lines 13-16.
12		
13	Q12.	DO YOU AGREE WITH MR. COLTON'S ASSESSMENT THAT THERE
13 14	Q12.	DO YOU AGREE WITH MR. COLTON'S ASSESSMENT THAT THEREISASUBSTANTIALLYDISPROPORTIONATEUNDER-
	Q12.	
14	Q12.	IS A SUBSTANTIALLY DISPROPORTIONATE UNDER-
14 15	Q12.	IS A SUBSTANTIALLY DISPROPORTIONATE UNDER- ENROLLMENT OF LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT (LEP)
14 15 16		IS A SUBSTANTIALLY DISPROPORTIONATE UNDER- ENROLLMENT OF LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT (LEP) HOUSEHOLDS? I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
14 15 16 17		ISASUBSTANTIALLYDISPROPORTIONATEUNDER-ENROLLMENTOFLIMITEDENGLISHPROFICIENT(LEP)HOUSEHOLDS?No. Mr. Colton's assessment that there is a substantially disproportionate under
14 15 16 17 18		ISASUBSTANTIALLYDISPROPORTIONATEUNDER-ENROLLMENTOFLIMITEDENGLISHPROFICIENT(LEP)HOUSEHOLDS?No. Mr. Colton's assessment that there is a substantially disproportionate underenrollmentofLEPhouseholds inTAP is unsupported in the record. The
14 15 16 17 18 19		IS A SUBSTANTIALLY DISPROPORTIONATE UNDER- ENROLLMENT OF LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT (LEP) HOUSEHOLDS?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20		IS A SUBSTANTIALLY DISPROPORTIONATE UNDER- ENROLLMENT OF LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT (LEP) HOUSEHOLDS?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		IS A SUBSTANTIALLY DISPROPORTIONATE UNDER- ENROLLMENT OF LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT (LEP) HOUSEHOLDS? No. Mr. Colton's assessment that there is a substantially disproportionate under enrollment of LEP households in TAP is unsupported in the record. The Departments have conducted outreach in Spanish and other top languages. The Customer Assistance Application is available in Arabic, Cambodian (Mon- Khmer), Chinese (simplified and traditional), Italian, Portuguese, Russian,
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 		ISASUBSTANTIALLYDISPROPORTIONATEUNDER-ENROLLMENTOFLIMITEDENGLISHPROFICIENT(LEP)HOUSEHOLDS?No. Mr. Colton's assessment that there is a substantially disproportionate underenrollmentofLEPhouseholds inTAP is unsupported in the record. TheDepartmentshave conducted outreach in Spanish and other top languages. TheCustomerAssistanceApplication is available in Arabic, Cambodian (Mon-Khmer),Chinese (simplified and traditional), Italian, Portuguese, Russian,Spanish, and Vietnamese, in addition to English. Mr. Colton is simply mistaken
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 		ISASUBSTANTIALLYDISPROPORTIONATEUNDER-ENROLLMENTOFLIMITEDENGLISHPROFICIENT(LEP)HOUSEHOLDS?No. Mr. Colton's assessment that there is a substantially disproportionate underenrollmentofLEPhouseholds inTAP is unsupported in the record. TheDepartments have conducted outreach in Spanish and other top languages. TheCustomerAssistanceApplication is available in Arabic, Cambodian (Mon-Khmer),Chinese (simplified and traditional), Italian, Portuguese, Russian,Spanish, and Vietnamese, in addition to English. Mr. Colton is simply mistakenin his tally of applications directed to LEP populations which are across the

languages other than Spanish. See Public Advocate Statement 3: Colton Direct page 56, lines 7-12 and response to PA-X-3. Mr. Colton then, using simplified math, concludes that only a fraction of one percent of TAP applicants are from LEP households. Mr. Colton's methodology is flawed in several respects: he calculates approvals rather than application submissions, he neglects to consider languages other than Spanish in his calculations, and he assumes that those with LEP are unable to apply using the English application.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

The Departments have been aggressive in developing a program that is accessible to all Philadelphians, including those in LEP households. At project launch the application was available in 10 languages, the online application was available in English and Spanish, several applicant materials were available in English and Spanish, and the correspondence had translation taglines. Our partnerships with CLS, the NECs, and UESF have allowed us to reach LEP households and we look forward to continuing those relationships to develop further outreach.

18 Q13. YOU AGREE WITH MR. COLTON'S RECOMMENDATION THAT 19 THE DEPARTMENTS SHOULD COMPLY WITH THE ENABLING 20 ORDINANCE IN REGARD TO CUSTOMERS WITH AGREEMENTS 21 UNDER THE PHILADELPHIA CODE SECTION 19-1305?

A13. Yes. The Departments do comply with all aspects of the enabling Ordinance,
including those dealing with customers with agreements under the Philadelphia
Code Section 19-1305. As previously stated in response to PA-V-68, the WRB
uses a manual process to check each Customer Assistance Program Application

1 against Revenue records to determine if the applicant has been approved and 2 placed in a low-income taxpayer installment payment agreement in the prior 3 twelve (12) months. If the applicant has, WRB checks available income information and/or documentation used in that application. If the current 4 5 Customer Assistance Program Application lacks sufficient information to 6 determine income eligibility, WRB will use the information and/or 7 documentation from the low-income taxpayer installment payment agreement 8 application. If the current Customer Assistance Program Application contains 9 sufficient and/or more recent information to determine residency and/or income-10 eligibility, WRB will use the Customer Assistance Program Application 11 information and forward the same to the Department of Revenue's Taxpayer 12 Services for use as a change of circumstances review in relation to the low-13 income taxpayer installment payment agreement. WRB has also already 14 implemented Mr. Colton's recommendation that those applications which had 15 previously been denied for missing income or residency documentation be 16 cross-checked with Revenue's information on low-income taxpayer installment 17 payment agreements. WRB and Revenue continue to work together to develop 18 IT solutions so that data can be shared more quickly, thus decreasing the time it 19 takes to review applications against Revenue data.

20

21

III. TAP ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS

Q14. MR. COLTON PROPOSES THAT TAP ADMINISTRATION COSTS BE
CAPPED AT 10% OF TOTAL BENEFITS DUE TO HIS BELIEF THAT
WRB'S TAP UNIT IS OVERSTAFFED AND SOME OR ALL ITS
FUNCTIONS SHOULD BE OUTSOURCED. DO YOU AGREE?

1	A14.	No. Mr. Colton incorrectly compares the administrative costs of TAP to those of
2		a mature assistance program like the one at PGW. It is entirely reasonable to
3		expect a higher level of administrative costs with the implementation of a new
4		program such as TAP. In less than 24 months, the City has designed, developed,
5		and implemented a new program, generated almost 36,000 applications, and
6		received almost 20,000 submissions for review. Mr. Colton further recommends
7		that the City should outsource administration of TAP to help control costs. His
8		recommendations fail to acknowledge that the City has, in fact, outsourced some
9		major new processes that began with implementation of TAP: 1) the printing
10		and mailing of Customer Assistance Program application; 2) the management of
11		a new online Customer Assistance Program application web portal; and 3) the
12		digitization of Customer Assistance Program applications. Additionally, review
13		and approval of applications is not a new process and, therefore must be kept in-
14		house as it is a violation of existing City unionized workforce agreements to
15		outsource work currently being done by City employees. Finally, it is vital that
16		WRB exercise appropriate oversight to preserve the program's integrity and
17		assure ratepayer interests are protected.
18		
19	IV.	SHUT-OFF NOTICES
20	Q15.	DO YOU AGREE WITH MR. COLTON'S BELIEF THAT THE
21		DEPARTMENTS' SHUT OFF NOTICES ARE UNFAIR AND
22		DECEPTIVE?
23	A15.	No. The Departments' shut off notices and practices are forthright, open, and in
24		compliance with PWD Regulations. See Water Regulations Sections 100.4-6.
25		The regulations direct the language and frequency of the shut off notices.
		PWD Rebuttal Statement No. 4 - 13

Recently the shut off notices were updated and now contain language encouraging customers to apply for TAP. The updated notices began being issued on March 1, 2018. A sample of the updated notice is attached here to as Schedule R4-4. In Mr. Colton's claim that, "a notice of disconnection [sic] for nonpayment should be issued when, but only when, PWD has made the decision to disconnect [sic] service in the absence of a customer paying [their] bill," it is clear that Mr. Colton does not understand the shut off procedures of the Departments. See Public Advocate Statement 3: Colton Direct page 103, lines 7-9. The pool of available shut off work orders received from WRB each day outnumber the amount of work orders PWD can complete in any given day. Each PWD Field Service Representative ("FSR") is assigned 30 shut off work orders to begin each day. The first shut off work order chosen is the shut off work order with the highest delinquent balance. The system then chooses the remaining 29 work orders by contiguity to the first work order. It is done this way to minimize the travel time between shut off work orders and to increase efficiency. PWD makes every attempt to restore the service to a property that was shut off the same day if a restore work order is created before 6:00 PM. If a restore work order is created after 6:00 PM it is scheduled for the first work order the following day. As restore work orders are received each day, it reduces the number of shut offs the FSR can complete. The performance standard for each FSR is 30 completed jobs each day. The 30 completed jobs are a combination of shut offs and restores. As the restore work orders increase each day the number of completed shuts offs decrease.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 **V.** FIRE PROTECTION

5

6

7

8

9

10

2 Q16. DO YOU AGREE WITH MR. COLTON'S DETERMINATION THAT 3 THE RECOVERY OF FIRE PROTECTION COSTS IS IN DIRECT 4 CONTRAVENTION OF THE PHILADELPHIA CODE?

A16. No. Mr. Colton's interpretation of the Philadelphia Code Section 13-101(4)(b) is incorrect. The subsection states that the "cost of supplying water to City facilities *and* fire systems" should be excluded from rates and charges. Mr. Colton fails to recognize that the subsection refers to City facilities and City fire systems, not public fire systems.

Q17. DO YOU AGREE WITH MR. COLTON'S INFERENCE THAT RECOVERY OF PUBLIC FIRE PROTECTION COSTS THROUGH WATER CHARGES IS NOT AN INDUSTRY ACCEPTED PRACTICE?

14 A17. No. Mr. Colton makes no declarative statement in his testimony, but by 15 highlighting the Departments' discovery responses, he insinuates that recovering 16 public fire protection costs through water charges is a novel approach. See 17 Public Advocate Statement 3: Colton Direct page 92, lines 18-20. However, the 18 American Water Works Association notes that the "contemporary approach 19 develops fire protection costs that can be passed on directly to customers..." See 20 Principles of Water Rates, Fees, and Charges Manual of Water Supply 21 Practices M1, American Water Works Association, 2017, page 158. In addition, 22 Mr. Colton does not appear to recognize the detailed discussion of this issue, 23 including examples of other utilities which recover public fire protection costs 24 from their customers through rates and charges as provided in PWD Statement 25 9A (Schedule BV-E5: WP-2).

1							
2	Q18.	DO YOU AGREE WITH MR. COLTON'S COST CAUSATION					
3		CONCERNS REGARDING THE RECOVERY OF PUBLIC FIRE					
4		PROTECTION COSTS THROUGH WATER CHARGES?					
5	A18.	No. Mr. Colton states that the "costs are simply not causally linked to the					
6		provision of water/sewer service to PWD's customers." See Public Advocate					
7		Statement 3: Colton Direct page 95, lines 3-5. However, the availability of					
8		public fire protection services benefits all rate payers. The American Water					
9		Works Association finds that "the standby capacity to provide the needed water					
10		[for fire protection] is clearly intended for the use of the utility's retail users."					
11		See Principles of Water Rates, Fees, and Charges Manual of Water Supply					
12		Practices M1, American Water Works Association, 2017, page 161.					
13							
14	Q19.	DOES THIS COMPLETE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY IN THIS					
15		MATTER?					
16	A19.	Yes, it does.					
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
		PWD Rebuttal Statement No. 4 - 16					



FIRE COMMISSIONER ADAM K. THIEL Philadelphia Fire Department

On May 16, 2016, Mayor James Kenney appointed Adam Thiel to serve as the 20th Fire Commissioner for the City of Philadelphia. Commissioner Thiel is responsible for leading the Philadelphia Fire Department's 2,800-plus uniformed and civilian members in every facet of protecting our Nation's 5th-largest city from fires and emergencies arising from all hazards.

In February 2014, he was appointed to serve as a Deputy Secretary of Public Safety and Homeland Security for the Commonwealth of Virginia. In that role, he helped provide strategic direction, policy advice, management oversight, and operational coordination for eleven agencies with an overall budget of more than \$3.1B and 30,000 employees. Deputy Secretary Thiel was directly responsible for the Departments of: Emergency Management, Fire Programs (including the State Fire Marshal's Office), Military Affairs (Virginia National Guard), and State Police, along with the state's Homeland Security and Resilience portfolio.

Adam has also served as the Governor's deputy Homeland Security Advisor (dHSA), deputy Chief Resilience Officer (dCRO), Statewide Interoperability Coordinator (SWIC), and FirstNet State Single Point of Contact (SPOC). He facilitated the *Secure Commonwealth Panel* and *Rail Safety/Security Task Force*, co-led the state's *National Disaster Resilience Competition* (NDRC) project team to a \$120.5M Housing and Urban Development (HUD) grant award, and collaborated with a myriad of agencies in managing all aspects of Virginia's homeland security enterprise.

He was the fire chief for the City of Alexandria, Virginia from 2007-2014 and chaired the *Northern Virginia Emergency Response System (NVERS) Steering Committee*. Commissioner Thiel's 26 years' experience spans five states (MD, NC, VA, AZ, and PA) as a chief fire officer, incident commander, company officer, fire and emergency services instructor, hazardous materials team leader, paramedic, technical rescuer, structural/wildland firefighter, swiftwater rescuer, and rescue SCUBA diver; he has directly participated in planning, response, and recovery efforts for multiple Presidentially-declared disasters and National Special Security Events (NSSEs), including the 9/11 tragedy, hurricanes, tropical storms, floods, an earthquake, major blizzards, international sporting competitions, and political events.

In addition to public service, Adam has co-founded two successful consulting practices advising clients on the merits of crisis management, leadership, training development, risk analysis, and business strategy. He is a widely published author, and internationally sought after speaker.

Commissioner Thiel completed doctoral coursework in Public Administration and Public Policy at Arizona State University (ABD) and George Mason University, respectively; earned his M.P.A. degree from George Mason University; received his B.S. in Fire Science from the University of Maryland University College; and earned his B.A. in History from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. Additionally, he has completed the *Virginia Executive Institute*, Harvard University's Kennedy School of Government *Program for Senior Executives in State and Local Government*, and the Naval Postgraduate School's Center for Homeland Defense and Security *Executive Leaders Program*.

Adam is a Certified Emergency Manager® (CEM), Fellow of the Institution of Fire Engineers (FIFireE), and holds several National Fire Protection Association (NFPA)-standard professional qualifications. He currently serves on multiple boards and committees, including: the NFPA Technical Committee on Emergency Service Organization Risk Management; Underwriters Laboratories (UL) Fire Council and Firefighter Safety Research Institute; George Washington University's Center for Cyber and Homeland Security Board; the American Red Cross Eastern Pennsylvania Region Board; and the Philadelphia Police Athletic League Board. He is a former chair of the National Fire Academy Board of Visitors and also served on the NFPA Fire Service Section Board. Adam is a 2017 Leadership Philadelphia Fellow and a 2018 USA Eisenhower Fellow.

Fire Commissioner Thiel is an Ironman® triathlon finisher, Crossfit® athlete, bicyclist (road and mountain), kayaker, martial arts practitioner, and aspiring gardener. Most importantly, he is the father of two energetic and accomplished teenagers.

Schedule R4-2

PGW Customer Responsibility Program (CRP) APPLICATION / RECERTIFICATION FORM

Name:	Account Number:	Please Check:
Address:	Zip: Phone: ()	New Application
Audie33.	Zip Thone. ()	Recertification

Household Information (Please list all children and adults living in your home, starting with yourself)							
Last Name	First Name	Relationship	Social Security #	Date of Birth	Under 18 Y/N	(FOR PGW USE ONLY) Average gross monthly inc.	
		Self					
(If you need to	Total Average Household						

IMPORTANT: Attach copies of current income documentation for all household members listed above (e.g., all pay stubs within last 30 days, social security letter). PGW will use this documentation to calculate each household member's average gross monthly income, using year-to-date earnings, if necessary. For adults over age 18 who do not have an income, use the lines below to explain their current situation (e.g., "applied for unemployment, but not eligible", "enrolled in high school / college"). Failure to provide this information when you apply may require follow up verification, which will delay processing.

Additional Financial Assistance (to be completed in its entirety and signed by the person providing assistance)

If someone not living in your home provides financial assistance to you or someone else in your home, they must complete the section below and sign where noted. PGW may require verification of the information stated in this section.

Name of person providing assistance:		Phone:	
Address:	City:	State:	Zip:
(Signature of person providing assistance)	_ I certify that I provide above and I understand that PGW can	_	ance to the CRP applicant listed this information upon request.

Other Assistance (Yes or No response is required)

Indicate if your household receives the following types of assistance listed below, and the amount received for each (please attach documentation, such as the DPW Letter of Eligibility or a COMPASS printout). PGW does NOT include the dollar amount of this assistance as income when determining eligibility for CRP. The purpose for obtaining this information is to reduce the need for follow-up when determining how your household meets basic living expenses.

Food Stamps	□No	□Yes	If yes, please list amount per month
Housing subsidy (e.g., PHA housing, voucher)	□No	□Yes	If yes, please list amount per month

Customer Responsibilities

- 1. I agree to pay PGW the monthly CRP amount, plus \$5 toward my pre-program arrears (if any), and other additional charges that apply.
- I understand that I will receive 1/36th forgiveness of pre-program arrears only in months that I pay my total amount due on time and in full. 2.
- I understand that if I miss one payment, I will be in default, collection activity will begin, and my service may be terminated. 3
- I agree to apply for LIHEAP and list PGW as the utility company to which I want payment sent. 4
- I agree to recertify each year by submitting a CRP application with updated household information and income documentation. 5
- I agree to report all changes in household size and income, even if the changes occur before my required annual recertification. 6
- 7. I agree to accept the free services of PGW's conservation programs, if offered to me.
- 8 I agree to make a significant effort to conserve energy.
- I hereby authorize PGW to verify information provided on this form through internal and third party sources, including City and State 9 records and to obtain additional information from any source for any review.
- 10. I agree that if I fail to meet any of the Customer Responsibilities above, PGW may remove me from the Customer Responsibility Program.

Important Notice PUC requirements limit enrollment in CRP to customers who meet the income eligibility. PGW uses internal and third party sources, as well as standard benefit determinations made by the Social Security Administration and the Department of Public Welfare, to routinely audit and assess information and documentation provided by customers who apply for CRP. If through investigation you are found to have provided false information, you will be removed from CRP and may be required to repay the discounts and forgiveness received during the period for which you were ineligible for the program. You may also be subject to criminal prosecution for fraud. By signing below, you acknowledge that you have provided complete and correct information, have read and understand this notice, and agree to the Customer Responsibilities above.

PGW Representative Customer Signature

PECO Universal Services - Customer Assistance Program (CAP) Application

INSTRUCTIONS: Please complete the application below. Attach proof of total gross income (before taxes) for each household member including yourself, and sign your name at the X.

PLEASE COMPLETE ALL INFORMATION IN ORDER FOR THIS APPLICATION TO BE PROCESSED. (Please Print Clearly)

1. Enter your account number, home phone number, name, address, and cell phone number

- 2. Enter the name of all members of your household including yourself
- 3. Attach proof of gross household income for all members in your household including yourself

There are four (4) ways to complete and submit your CAP application:

- 1. **Mail** the completed application along with the required proof of income to:
- PECO CAP, P.O. Box 570, Jackson, MI 49204-9806
- 2. Fax information to 1-866-362-8906 (Toll Free) (Note: you must include account number and name on every page)
- 3. On-line at PECO.com/help click "CAP" and then click "Apply"
- 4. E-mail PECOCAP@exeloncorp.com

You can receive CAP application updates via text message by checking the text message "check box" next to cell phone number below. Otherwise, you will be notified by mail.

ACCOUNT NUMBER	Home Phone	
NAME Last	First	Middle Initial
ADDRESS		Apt. Number
City	State	Zip Code
Cell Phone	Check here to receive a status updat	e via text message. Message & data rates may apply.

See back of this application for acceptable sources of income

List all the people who live with you, starting with yourself. Include all adults and children. Attach proof of all income for all household members including you. Attach additional sheet, if needed.

Name (Last, First, M.I.)	*Social Security # or ITIN #	Birth Date	Relationship	Source of Income See back for sources
			SELF	

My signature on this CAP application gives my permission to PECO or its authorized agent to: (a) check any information I give about where I live, my jobs, income, resources, and energy supplier for me or any member of my household; (b) find out about the costs of my shelter, and heating use; (c) complete any survey or reporting to a governmental agency that it may be requested to do by that agency; (d) obtain a consumer credit report on me or any member of my household and; (e) obtain a copy of the federal income tax return for me or any member of my household. I authorize the release of limited information to approved agencies which provide other energy/weatherization assistance for which I may be eligible. I certify that the information I gave is true, correct and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that if I give false information, I can be denied or removed from CAP and subject to repay any CAP benefits received to date. You must sign this application to receive the CAP fixed credit benefit.

DO NOT SEND BILL PAYMENT WITH THIS APPLICATION.



*Social Security number or ITIN is optional

Applicant's Signature

If you need help with your application, please call 1-800-774-7040

ZERO INCOME STATEMENT

This form must be completed and signed by the applicant whose household has little or no income.

Has your household received any income in the If yes, please tell us where it came from and how	
Please tell us how your household is meeting its Food:	s needs for the following items:
Shelter:	
Utility Service (electricity, heat, water, etc.): _	
Print Name	
Signature	Date



*** IMPORTANT NOTICE ***

• Your water service will be shut off on or after 06/11/2018.

- To avoid suspension of service, please make payment immediately. . If you have already made your payment, please disregard this notice.
- If your service is suspended, a Restoration Fee will be added to the balance due. .
- A Visitation Fee is added to the balance due if we show up to suspend service and payment is made . at the property.
- If you are a tenant who is not responsible for paying the water bill according to your rental agreement, . you may have additional rights to prevent your water from being shut off. Please call the Water Revenue Bureau at 215-686-6880.
- Suspension of water service may affect your fire suppression system if a single / combined domestic and fire service line serves the property.
- For your convenience, Customer Service is available Monday through Friday, 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM. . We can be reached at 215-686-6880.
- Struggling to pay your bill? You may qualify for our billing assistance program called TAP. . Call 215-685-6300 for an application or visit www.phila.gov/water-bill-help

City of Philadelphia Department of Revenue P.O. Box 41496 Philadelphia, PA 19101-1496 SHUT-OFF BILL WATER/SEWER



RETAIN THIS PORTION OF BILL IF YOUR

PAYMENT IS MAILED,

PRESENT BOTH

PORTIONS WHEN PAYING IN PERSON,

IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS CALL 215-686-6880 IMMEDIATELY,

YOUR WATER SERVICE IS SUBJECT TO SHUT-OFF FOR NON-PAYMENT. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION SEE REVERSE SIDE OF THIS BILL. F

PAYMENTS MUST BE RECEIVED BEFORE SHUT-OFF DATE IN PERSON OR BY MAIL AT MSB 1401 JFK BLVD, CONCOURSE LEVEL, PHILADELPHIA, PA 19102-1663. MAKE CHECKS PAYABLE: CITY OF PHILA.

PRINCIPAL	PENALTY	CYCLE	CODE SEE ORIG. BILL	AMOUNT BILLED
2.58 43.84		18-01 18-02		2.58 46.69
51.39 51.39		18-03 18-04		53.61 51.39

PAY AT ONCE \$154.27

SERVICE
41R

ACCOUNT NUMBER



BILL INCLUDES PAYMENTS RECEIVED ON OR BEFORE 04/24/18

83-T-1188 (REV 03/18) WRS000

OWNER'S NAME AND PROPERTY BILLED (IF OTHER THAN ABOVE)

DETACH HERE

			SHUT-OFF BILL WATER/SEWER			P	PAY AT ONCE \$154.27		
OWNER'S NA	ME AND PROPERTY BILLED (IF OTHER THAN ABOVI	E)		18-02 18-03 18-04	41R		43.84 51.39 51.39	2.85 2.22 0.00	46.69 53.61 51.39
				18-01			2.58	0.00	2.58
	0575960002013001								
	ACCOUNT NUMBER	DIST.	SEW%	CYCLE	SERVICE	CODE	PRINCIPAL	PENALTY	AMOUNT BILLED

333720000099905960002013001700000154270000001492057000010000000000

MAIL THIS PORTION OF BILL ONLY



IF NOT PAID. ADDITIONAL PENALTY WILL BE ADDED TO THE NEXT REGULAR BILL. DO NOT STAPLE, PIN, OR FOLD.

> City of Philadelphia Department of Revenue P.O. Box 41496 Phila., PA 19101-1496

WATER REVENUE BUREAU 1401 JFK Boulevard Philadelphia, PA 19102-1663

TO STOP THE WATER SHUT-OFF

You must do one of the following on or before the shut-off date:

IF YOU ARE A TENANT WHO IS NOT A CUSTOMER

You may apply at the WRB for continued service under USTRA (the Utility Service Tenants Rights Act, 68 P.S. §399.1 et seq.), if the Authorized User is an USTRA Tenant.

IF YOU ARE A CUSTOMER (OWNERS AND TENANTS)

- 1. Pay the amount shown on the front of this notice; or
- 2. Make a payment agreement; or
- 3. Pay all amounts past due on the most recent payment agreement; or
- Enroll in the Tiered Assistance Program (TAP) or other customer assistance program; or
- 5. Complete such other steps as may be required as specified in a Notice of Defect issued by the Water Department.

YOU HAVE A RIGHT TO A HEARING BEFORE YOUR WATER IS SHUT-OFF.

TO REQUEST A HEARING:

- You may request an Informal Hearing (IH) within ten (10) days if a dispute exists as to any matter described in Section 100.7(a) of the Water Department Regulations. You can request a IH form by calling one of our Call Centers at (215) 685-6300 or (215) 686-6880 or by visiting one of our Service Centers. Mailed requests for hearings are permitted, but must be received by the Water Revenue Bureau on or before this date.; or
- 2. You may submit a petition form to the Tax Review Board (TRB) within 60 days of the date of this notice. Forms can be requested from the TRB by calling (215) 686-5216 or downloaded at: http://www.phila.gov/trb/TRB_Petitions.html.

You will be notified by the Water Revenue Bureau or the TRB of the time and place of the hearing.

IF YOU REQUEST A HEARING, YOUR WATER SERVICE WILL BE CONTINUED UNTIL A FINAL DECISION HAS BEEN MADE.

CALL 215-686-6880 IF YOU ARE ILL OR OTHERWISE CANNOT GO TO THE WATER REVENUE BUREAU IN PERSON OR IF YOU NEED FURTHER INFORMATION OR EXPLANATION OF YOUR RIGHTS.

3118S (Rev. 02/18) WBSO01

EL NEGOCIADO DE ACUEDUCTO 1401 JFK Boulevard Philadelphia, PA 19102-1663

PARA DETENER LAS INTERRUPCIONES EN EL SUMINISTRO DE AGUA

Usted debe tomar una de las siguientes medidas en la fecha de interrupción del suministro de agua o con anterioridad a ella:

SI ES INQUILINO PERO NO ES CLIENTE

Debe solicitar la continuación del servicio en la Oficina de Recaudaciones por Servicios de Agua (Water Revenue Bureau, WRB) de conformidad con USTRA (Ley de Derechos de los Inquilinos sobre los Servicios Públicos, 68 P.S. §399.1 et seq.), si el Usuario Autorizado es un Inquilino según USTRA.

SI ES CLIENTE (PROPIETARIOS E INQUILINOS)

- 1. Pague el monto que se muestra en el anverso de este aviso; o
- 2. Haga un acuerdo de pago; o
- 3. Pague todos los montos adeudados en el acuerdo de pago más reciente; o
- 4. Inscríbase en el Tiered Assistance Program (Programa de Asistencia en
- Niveles, TAP) u otro programa de asistencia a clientes; o
- Complete los pasos que puedan ser obligatorios según se especifica en un Aviso de Defecto emitido por el Departamento de Agua (Water Dept).

USTED TIENE DERECHO A UNA AUDIENCIA ANTES DE LA INTERRUPCIÓN DEL SERVICIO DE AGUA.

PARA SOLICITAR UNA AUDIENCIA:

- Puede solicitar una Audiencia Informal (IH) en el plazo de diez (10) días si existe una disputa relacionada con cualquier asunto descrito en la Sección 100.7(a) de la Normativa del Departamento de Agua. Puede solicitar un formulario de IH llamando a uno de nuestros Centros de Atención Telefónica al (215) 685-6300 o al (215) 686-6880 o visitando uno de nuestros Centro de Servicios. Se permiten solicitudes para audiencias enviadas por correo, pero deben ser recibidas por la Oficina de Recaudaciones por Servicios de Agua en esta fecha o con posterioridad a ella; o
- 2. Puede presentar un formulario de petición ante la Junta de Revisión Fiscal (Tax Review Board, TRB) en el plazo de 60 días a partir de la fecha de este aviso. Los formularios se pueden solicitar en la TRB llamando al (215) 686-5216 o se los puede descargar en: http://www.phila.gov/trb/TRB Petitions.html

La Oficina de Recaudaciones por Servicios de Agua o la TRB le comunicarán la fecha y el lugar donde se llevará a cabo la audiencia.

SI SOLICITA UNA AUDIENCIA, SU SERVICIO DE AGUA CONTINUARÁ HASTA QUE SE HAYA TOMADO UNA DECISIÓN FINAL.

LLAME AL 215-686-6880 SI ESTÁ ENFERMO O POR ALGUNA OTRA RAZÓN NO PUEDE CONCURRIR A LA OFICINA DE RECAUDACIONES POR SERVICIOS DE AGUA EN PERSONA O SI NECESITA MÁS INFORMACIÓN O UNA EXPLICACIÓN DE SUS DERECHOS.



CITY OF PHILADELPHIA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE WATER REVENUE BUREAU