PA-V-5.	IN ASSESSING	COLLECTIONS FOR PWD.	PLEASE

A. DEFINE THE TERM "RECEIPTS"

B. SEPARATELY INDICATE HOW THE DISTINCTION BETWEEN
"BILLINGS" AND "RECEIPTS" IS USED IN CALCULATING RATE LEVELS.

RESPONSE:

- A. Receipts in the Cost of Service Study are defined as follows:
 - In the context of retail revenues, receipts refer to the cumulative anticipated actual revenues in each fiscal year, for the water, sanitary sewer, and stormwater services. The cumulative actual revenues of a given fiscal year are estimated as the sum of payments received in "Billing Year", "Billing Year Plus 1", and "Billing Year Plus 2 and Beyond." *Please also see the explanation provided in BV-E5, WP-1*.
 - In the context of wholesale revenues, receipts refer to 100% of billings estimated for each wholesale customer.
- B. For the purpose of this response, we assume "RATE LEVELS" referenced in the question above refers to the annual "level of revenue adjustments" projected in the FY 2019 through FY 2023 financial plan. "Billings" are first projected based on existing rate schedules and projected units of service, for each fiscal year. Appropriate collection factors are then applied to Billings to estimate the actual cumulative "Receipts" for the fiscal year. The annual "revenue adjustments" (RATE LEVELS) for each fiscal year are then calculated based on the estimated cumulative Receipts and the corresponding net revenue requirements of the fiscal year.

RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC

1	PA-V-7.	REFERENCE: BV-E5; WP-2. PROVIDE A COPY OF ALL
2		CORRESPONDENCE DATED JANUARY 1, 2016 OR LATER, INCLUDING
3		MEMOS, LETTERS, E-MAIL OR OTHER WRITTEN DOCUMENTS OF ANY
4		NATURE, TO ANY MEMBER OF THE PHILADELPHIA CITY COUNCIL
5		(INCLUDING HIS OR HER STAFF), THE MAYOR (AND/OR MAYOR'S
6		STAFF); OR ANY NON-PWD MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEE (INCLUDING ANY
7		CONSULTANT) REGARDING ANY PROPOSAL AND/OR DECISION TO
8		RECOVER THE COSTS OF PUBLIC FIRE PROTECTION THROUGH
9		WATER RATES AND CHARGES, WHICH CORRESPONDENCE WAS
10		FROM:
11		A. PWD
12		B. WRB
13		C. RAFTELLIS
14		D. BLACK AND VEATCH
15	RESPONSE:	
16	Please	see response to PA-V-6.
17		
18		
19	RESPONSE	PROVIDED BY: Philadelphia Water Department
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	PA-V-8.	REFERENCE: BV-E5; WP-2. PLEASE PROVIDE:
2		A. THE DATE ON WHICH THE CITY OF PHILADELPHIA ADOPTED A
3		NEW POLICY WHEREBY WATER USER RATES AND CHARGES WILL
4		DIRECTLY PAY FOR THE COST OF PUBLIC FIRE PROTECTION;
5		B. THE IDENTITY OF THE BODY ADOPTING THE NEW POLICY;
6		C. THE AGENDA FOR THE MEETING AT WHICH THE NEW POLICY WAS
7		ADOPTED;
8		D. THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING AT WHICH THE NEW POLICY WAS
9		ADOPTED;
10		E. ANY AND ALL STAFF PRESENTATIONS TO THE BODY AT OR FOR
11		THE MEETING AT WHICH THE NEW POLICY WAS ADOPTED.
12	RESPONSE	:
13	Please	e see response to PA-V-6.
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	RESPONSE	PROVIDED BY: Philadelphia Water Department
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	PA-V-16.	REFERENCE: SCHEDULE BV-E5; WP-6. THIS DOCUMENT STATES THAT
2		TAP COSTS ARE RECOVERED THROUGH "PROPORTIONATE
3		RECOVERY FROM ALL RETAIL SERVICE TYPES." PLEASE PROVIDE
4		FOR ALL RATE PERIODS SUCH PROPORTIONATE RECOVERY HAS
5		BEEN DEVELOPED FOR IN THIS PROCEEDING:
6		A. IDENTIFY EACH "RETAIL SERVICE TYPES" SUBJECT TO
7		PROPORTIONATE RECOVERY;
8		B. INDICATE THE PROPORTIONATE RECOVERY PERCENTAGE FOR
9		EACH SUCH RETAIL SERVICE TYPE;
10		C. INDICATE THE NUMBERS AND UNITS OF MEASURES USED IN EACH
11		NUMERATOR AND DENOMINATOR IN CALCULATING THAT
12		PROPORTIONATE RECOVERY PERCENTAGE;
13		D. IDENTIFY AND PROVIDE THE SOURCE DOCUMENT FOR THE
14		NUMBERS USED IN EACH NUMERATOR AND DENOMINATOR.
15	RESPONSE:	
16	Please	refer to the previously provided response to PA-RDC-4.
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	RESPONSE	PROVIDED BY: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC
26		
27		
28		

1	PA-V-21.	REFERENCE: MONTHLY MANAGERS REPORT, PAGE 14. PLEASE
2		IDENTIFY:
3		A. HOW MANY OF THE NINE EVENTS RELATED TO TAP;
4		B. HOW MANY OF THE 225 EVENT PARTICIPANTS WERE AT EVENTS
5		RELATED TO TAP;
6		C. HOW MANY OF THE 88 TWITTER POSTS RELATED TO TAP;
7		D. HOW MANY OF THE 37 FACEBOOK POSTS RELATED TO TAP.
8	RESPONSE	•
9		
10	The Ju	ne events did not cover TAP. All September events did cover TAP.
11	Tweets	s related to TAP
12	June: 4	
13	Septen	nber: 10
14	Facebo	ook Posts related to TAP
15	June: 0	
16	Septen	nber: 4
17	Tweets	
18	June	
19	https://	twitter.com/PhillyH2O/status/877188533194297345
20	https://	twitter.com/PhillyH2O/status/877185180418666496
21	https://	twitter.com/PhillyH2O/status/877178274136436737
22	https://	twitter.com/PhillyH2O/status/877176053873221634
23		
24	Septem	nber
25	https://	twitter.com/PhillyH2O/status/903580713232060418
26	https://	twitter.com/PhillyH2O/status/903667781719207936
27	https://	twitter.com/PhillyH2O/status/903966750726873088
28	https://	twitter.com/PhillyH2O/status/904045032008818688

1	https://twitter.com/PhillyH2O/status/904350799668682754
2	https://twitter.com/PhiladelphiaGov/status/907725627863822339
3	https://twitter.com/PhillyH2O/status/909852610823368704
4	https://twitter.com/PhiladelphiaGov/status/910235857524871169
5	https://twitter.com/PhillyH2O/status/898899873310932992
6	https://twitter.com/PhiladelphiaGov/status/913538876500738048
7	
8	
9	Facebook Posts
10	September:
11	https://www.facebook.com/PhillyH2O/posts/2115759005117024
12	https://www.facebook.com/PhillyH2O/posts/2114202271939364
13	https://www.facebook.com/PhillyH2O/posts/2113222465370678
14	https://www.facebook.com/PhillyH2O/posts/2112741988752059
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Joanne Dahme, Philadelphia Water Department
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

REFERENCE: PWD STATEMENT 7, PAGE 4: PLEASE PROVIDE BY
MONTH THE NUMBER OF TAP ENROLLEES WHO HAVE INCOME
GREATER THAN 150% OF FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL BUT HAVE
SPECIAL HARDSHIPS. SEPARATELY PROVIDE THE NUMBER OF EACH
SPECIAL HARDSHIP ENROLLEE BY THE TYPE OF SPECIAL HARDSHIP
FOUND.

RESPONSE:

PA-V-25.

This information is currently being compiled and is not available due to the shortened period allotted to compile discovery responses in this proceeding. The discovery response will be updated when the information is available.

RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Philadelphia Water Department

PA-V-36.

REFERENCE: PWD STATEMENT 7, PAGE 10: FOR EACH OF THE 22 STAFF POSITIONS DEVOTED TO TAP, PROVIDE:

A. THE DATE THE INDIVIDUAL FILLING THE POSITION WAS FIRST HIRED BY PWD OR WRB;

B. SEPARATELY PROVIDE FOR EACH OF THE 22 POSITIONS, IF THE PERSON FILLING THE POSITION WAS ALREADY AN EMPLOYEE OF PWD, WRB OR THE CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, THE DATE TRANSFERRED TO TAP.

C. FOR EACH OF THE 22 POSITIONS, IF THE PERSON FILLING THE POSITION WAS ALREADY AN EMPLOYEE OF PWD OR WRB, OR THE CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, IDENTIFY THE PRIOR POSITION HELD BY THE INDIVIDUAL IMMEDIATELY BEFORE BEING TRANSFERRED TO TAP.

RESPONSE:

- A. All 22 positions were filled by persons who were already an employee of WRB or the City of Philadelphia. In February 2017, 1 WRB employee transferred to TAP. In May 2017, 8 City of Philadelphia employees were transferred to TAP. In June 2017, 2 WRB employees transferred to TAP. In July 2017, 11 WRB employees were transferred to TAP.
- B. See above.
- C. Collections Representative Supervisor, Clerk Typists, Customer Collections Representatives Custodial Worker, Revenue Investigator, Clerk 3 and Service Representative

RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Michelle Bethel and RaVonne Muhammad, Water Revenue Bureau

REFERENCE: PWD STATEMENT 8, PAGES 14-15: PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW ONE GETS FROM 11,200 APPLICATIONS ON JANUARY 19, 2018 TO 11,200 PARTICIPANTS ON JUNE 30, 2018. PLEASE PROVIDE THE EXPECTED PARTICIPATION BY MONTH FOR JANUARY 2018 THROUGH JUNE 2018.

RESPONSE:

PA-V-46.

Refer to the response for PA-V-54 to see expected enrollment by month. Enrollment was projected based on limited, actual experience with the program (through October 2017) at the time of the analysis. There is no direct connection between the number of applications produced and the number of projected enrollees.

RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Raftelis Financial Consultants, Inc.

PA-V-56. REFERENCE: PWD STATEMENT 8, PAGE 17: FOR EACH YEAR FY2018
THROUGH FY2021, PLEASE INDICATE THE INCREASE IN TAP COSTS
THAT, STANDING ALONE, WITH ALL OTHER COSTS AND REVENUES
HELD EQUAL, WOULD RESULT IN A VIOLATION OF PWD BOND
INDENTURE COVERAGE.

RESPONSE:

Based upon the proposed financial plan including the revenue adjustments, the following table presents the increase in TAP revenue losses (in thousands of dollars) that would result in a violation of bond indenture coverage:

FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
\$36,477	\$16,039	\$20,916	\$20,950

The following table presents the increase in TAP revenue losses (in thousands of dollars) that would result in a violation of bond indenture coverage without the proposed rate increases:

FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
\$36,477	\$6,836	N/A	N/A

As shown above, without the proposed rate increases for FY 2019 to FY 2021, the increase in TAP Revenue losses resulting in a violation of the bond indenture are much different and in FY 2020 and FY 2021, all other items held equal, PWD would not meet coverage requirements.

Note - The proposed TAP Rate Rider policy includes an emergency adjustment that is intended as a safety measure in the event that future circumstances impact PWD's ability to meet the bond indenture and insurance covenant requirements. The policy is intended to provide protection to PWD and its customers by providing a mechanism to mitigate potential future impacts should they arise. While PWD does not anticipate these

1	circumstances to occur during the requested rate period, the TAP Rate Rider is intended as
2	a long-term mechanism and the proposed policies have been drafted as such.
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

REFERENCE: PWD STATEMENT 8, PAGE 17: FOR EACH YEAR FY2018
THROUGH FY2021, PLEASE INDICATE THE INCREASE IN TAP COSTS
THAT, STANDING ALONE, WITH ALL OTHER COSTS AND REVENUES
HELD EQUAL, WOULD RESULT IN A VIOLATION OF ANY POLICY OR
POLICIES REGARDING WITHDRAWALS FROM RESERVES. FOR EACH
SUCH POLICY VIOLATED, PROVIDE A COPY OF THE POLICY.

RESPONSE:

PA-V-57.

Based upon the proposed financial plan including the revenue adjustments, the following table presents the increase in TAP revenue losses (in thousands of dollars) that would result in a violation of insurance covenant requirements:

FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
\$90,284	\$64,513	\$62,253	\$72,485

Note – The above contemplated withdrawals would result in an RSF balance below the targeted \$150 million level and fall below \$100 million in all years, with the exception of FY 2019.

The following table presents the increase in TAP revenue losses (in thousands of dollars) that would result in a violation of insurance covenant requirements <u>without</u> the proposed rate increases:

FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
\$90,284	\$55,309	\$24,934	\$2,549

As shown above, without the proposed rate increases for FY 2019 to FY 2021, the increase in TAP Revenue losses resulting in a violation of insurance covenant requirements are much different.

Note - The proposed TAP Rate Rider policy includes an emergency adjustment that is intended as a safety measure in the event that future circumstances impact PWD's ability to meet the bond indenture and insurance covenant requirements. The policy is intended to provide protection to PWD and its customers by providing a mechanism to mitigate potential future impacts should they arise. While PWD does not anticipate these circumstances to occur during the requested rate period, the TAP Rate Rider is intended as a long-term mechanism and the proposed policies have been drafted as such.

RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC.

PA-V-61. REFERENCE: PWD STATEMENT 8, PAGE 18: FOR EACH YEAR

IDENTIFIED IMMEDIATELY ABOVE, INDICATE THE DOLLAR AMOUNT

CONSTITUTING THE "HUGE IMPACT ON LOST REVENUES."

RESPONSE:

In the prior PWD Rate Case, Raftelis projected an enrollment of approximately 31,000 customers for the new TAP program and estimated lost revenue of approximately \$16.3 million based on a full year of operation. In the direct testimony of the Public Advocate's expert dated March 24, 2016, Roger Colton agreed with these enrollment rate projections and, through use of a different methodology, developed a lost revenue (discounts on current charges) of approximately \$10.3 million. As a direct result of the ramp up to full enrollment detailed in previous responses, the projected lost revenue for the first year of TAP is now projected at \$3.9 million. While we expect enrollment, and resulting lost revenue, to ultimately achieve the original targets, the results for the first year of TAP clearly illustrate the challenges of projecting for a new program and we believe they constitute "unexpected swings in enrollment" and a "huge impact on lost revenues".

RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Raftelis Financial Consultants, Inc.

PA-V-68.

PLEASE PROVIDE A DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF ANY PROCESS, ALL PROCESSES, THROUGH WHICH:

A. ACTIVE WRAP PARTICIPANTS ARE CROSS-CHECKED AGAINST
PHILADELPHIA'S LOW-INCOME TAXPAYER INSTALLMENT PAYMENT
AGREEMENTS TO DETERMINE INCOME-ELIGIBILITY FOR TAP;
B. DEFAULTED WRAP PARTICIPANTS ARE CROSS-CHECKED AGAINST
PHILADELPHIA'S LOW-INCOME TAXPAYER INSTALLMENT PAYMENT
AGREEMENTS TO DETERMINE INCOME-ELIGIBILITY FOR TAP;
C. TAP APPLICANTS, IRRESPECTIVE OF THEIR CURRENT OR FORMER
STATUS AS A WRAP PARTICIPANT, ARE CROSS-CHECKED AGAINST
PHILADELPHIA'S LOW-INCOME TAXPAYER INSTALLMENT PAYMENT
AGREEMENTS TO DETERMINE THEIR INCOME-ELIGIBILITY FOR TAP.

RESPONSE:

In response to subsections (A) and (B), WRB does not cross-check active or defaulted WRAP participants against Philadelphia's low-income taxpayer installment agreements to determine income eligibility for TAP, as WRB only performs such a cross-check during the application process. However, WRB is currently developing a targeted mailing for customers on active low-income taxpayer installment agreements to encourage application for all available WRB customer assistance programs, including TAP.

In response to subsection (C), currently the WRB uses a manual process to check each Customer Assistance Program Application against Revenue records to determine if the applicant has been approved and placed in a low-income taxpayer installment payment agreement in the prior twelve (12) months. If the applicant has, WRB checks available income information and/or documentation used in that application. If the current Customer Assistance Program Application lacks sufficient information to determine income-eligibility, WRB will use the information and/or documentation from the low-income taxpayer installment payment agreement application. If the current Customer Assistance

PA-V-72. PLEASE IDENTIFY WHICH DATE TAP PARTICIPANTS ARE DEFINED TO BEGIN THEIR PARTICIPATION IN TAP: (1) THE DATE ON WHICH APPLICANTS SUBMIT A TAP APPLICATION; (2) THE DATE ON WHICH APPLICANTS ARE FOUND TO BE ELIGIBLE FOR TAP; OR (3) SOME OTHER DATE (PLEASE IDENTIFY WITH SPECIFICITY WHAT "OTHER" DATE IS USED).

RESPONSE:

Option (3) some other date. As described in the response to PA-ADV-86 on page 112 starting at line 20, customers are enrolled in TAP when they are approved for TAP participation through the new application workflow and reporting software Customer Application Management Program (CAMP). Following approval, the customer's next bill reflects the customer's TAP enrollment. Since that bill could occur at any time following approval, as described in the response to PA-ADV-86 on page 113 starting at line 11, we reported participants as the number of customers that were issued a TAP bill during the calendar month in question. Customers issued more than one TAP bill during a calendar month were counted once. Customers not issued a TAP bill during a calendar month were not counted for the month in question. Note that depending on a customer's billing cycle, a customer enrolled in one month is counted as a participant in the month in which they receive their first bill, which may not be the same month that customer is enrolled.

RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Raftelis Financial Consultants, Inc.

27

28

FOR TAP PARTICIPANTS WHO EXPERIENCE A TIME LAG BETWEEN
THE DATE ON WHICH THEY SUBMIT A TAP APPLICATION AND THE
DATE ON WHICH THEY ARE ENROLLED IN TAP, PLEASE PROVIDE A
DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF WHAT BILLS SUCH APPLICANTS RECEIVE
DURING THE TIME AFTER THEY SUBMIT A TAP APPLICATION AND
BEFORE THEY ARE ENROLLED IN TAP.

RESPONSE:

PA-V-74.

Applicants will continue to receive their regular monthly bills until they are enrolled in TAP. If those bills remain unpaid at the time the applicant is enrolled in TAP, those arrears will be included with any other pre-TAP arrears and will be reflected on the applicants' TAP Bill.

RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Michelle Bethel and RaVonne Muhammad, Water Revenue Bureau

1	PA-V-75.	IN AN ACTIVE EXCEL SPREADSHEET, PLEASE PROVIDE A LIST OF
2		EACH CUSTOMER PARTICIPATING IN TAP AS OF JANUARY 19, 2018
3		(PERSONAL IDENTIFYING INFORMATION SHOULD BE REDACTED).
4		FOR EACH SUCH CUSTOMER, PROVIDE:
5		A. THE DATE ON WHICH THE PARTICIPANT APPLIED FOR TAP; AND
6		B. THE DATE ON WHICH THE PARTICIPANT WAS ENROLLED IN TAP.
7		C. THE TOTAL BILLS (IN DOLLARS) APPEARING ON BILLS BETWEEN
8		THE DATE OF APPLICATION AND THE DATE OF ENROLLMENT;
9		D. THE TOTAL BILLS (IN DOLLARS) THAT WOULD HAVE APPEARED
10		ON BILLS BETWEEN THE DATE OF APPLICATION AND THE DATE OF
11		ENROLLMENT HAD ENROLLED BEEN EFFECTIVE AS OF THE DATE OF
12		APPLICATION; AND
13		E. THE HOMEOWNER / TENANT / OCCUPANT STATUS OF THE
14		APPLICANT AS IDENTIFIED BY THE APPLICATION.
15	RESPONSE:	
16	This in	formation is currently being compiled and is not available due to the shortened
17	period	allotted to compile discovery responses in this proceeding. The discovery response
18	will be	updated when the information is available.
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	RESPONSE	PROVIDED BY: Philadelphia Water Department

PLEASE PROVIDE A DETAILED EXPLANATION OF HOW THE 25% "CONTINGENCY" FOR TAP ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS CURRENTLY BEING COLLECTED IN PWD RATES WILL BE TREATED IN FUTURE RATE PERIODS IF THOSE CONTINGENCY FUNDS ARE NOT DRAWN DOWN IN WHOLE OR IN PART.

Please see response to PA-V-81. The 25% contingency utilized during the prior rate proceeding was used for forecasting anticipated TAP administrative costs. There is no separate contingency line item. Rather, the adopted budget includes the total anticipated TAP administrative program costs. No contingency funding is available and the current administrative costs have exceeded projected levels.

RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Black & Veatch Management Consulting, LLC

PA-V-87. WITH RESPECT TO THE STATEMENT "THE ANNUAL DEBT RESERVE FUND BALANCE MUST EQUAL THE MAXIMUM FUTURE ANNUAL DEBT SERVICE ESTIMATED FOR THE OUTSTANDING AND PROPOSED BONDS" (PWD ST. 9A AT 38):

A. DOES THIS STATEMENT DESCRIBE A LEGAL REQUIREMENT APPLICABLE TO PWD'S DEBT RESERVE FUND? IF SO, PLEASE PROVIDE A COPY OF THE LEGAL AUTHORITY THAT IMPOSES THIS REQUIREMENT.

B. IF THE ANSWER TO 4(A) IS ANYTHING OTHER THAN AN UNEQUIVOCAL "YES" PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY THE DEBT RESERVE FUND BALANCE MUST SATISFY THIS REQUIREMENT.

RESPONSE:

A. Yes. Please refer to the 1989 General Ordinance, previously submitted. Capitalized terms used with reference to the 1989 Bond Ordinance and not otherwise defined have the meanings given to such terms by the 1989 Bond Ordinance.

With respect to bonds outstanding, Section 4.09 of the 1989 General Ordinance requires that the balance of the Debt Reserve Account must at all times equal the Debt Reserve Requirement. The Debt Reserve Requirement, with respect to all Bonds (as defined in the 1989 General Ordinance), is defined to mean the maximum annual Debt Service Requirements (as defined in the 1989 General Ordinance) payable or to become payable in any fiscal year.

With respect to bonds proposed, the City cannot enact a Supplemental Ordinance to issue new Bonds without showing that it expects to meet the Debt Reserve Requirement with respect to the new Bonds. Consistent with Section 8 of the First Class City Revenue Bond Act, Section 5.04 of the 1989 Bond Ordinance requires that

for the City to issue new Bonds, the Director of Finance first must deliver a report of the Consulting Engineer that states, on the basis of actual and estimated future annual financial operations of the System, that the System will yield Project Revenues over the amortization period of the new Bonds sufficient to meet the following payment or deposit requirements: (A) all expenses of operation, maintenance, repair and replacement of the System, (B) all reserve funds required to be established out of such Project Revenues (emphasis added), (C) the principal or redemption price of and interest on Bonds, as the same become due and payable, for which such Project Revenues are pledged, and (D) any state taxes assumed by the City to be paid on the Bonds.

The Consulting Engineer also must state in its report, on the basis of actual and estimated future annual financial operations of the System, that Net Revenues are then sufficient to comply with the Rate Covenant and are projected to be sufficient to comply with the Rate Covenant for each of the two fiscal years following the fiscal year in which the Bonds are issued. The Rate Covenant, which is contained in Section 5.01 of the 1989 Bond Ordinance, requires that for each fiscal year Net Revenues must be sufficient to cover 100% of (among other things) **amounts** required to be deposited into the Debt Reserve Account and other amounts due and payable in such fiscal year.

Finally, pursuant to Section 5.04(a) of the 1989 Bond Ordinance, for Bonds to be issued City Council must make a finding in the Supplemental Ordinance authorizing such issuance that Project Revenues will be sufficient to comply with the Rate Covenant and also to pay all costs, expenses and payments required to be paid from Project Revenues in the order and priority stated in Section 4.06 of the 1989 Bond Ordinance (i.e., the flow of funds). Every Supplemental Ordinance contains this finding by City Council. The finding is made on the basis of statements made by the

1	Director of Finance in reliance on the Consulting Engineer's report, all of which is
2	filed with Council prior to enactment.
3	
4	B. Please refer to the response to part (a) of question PA-V-87, above.
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Valerie Allen, Ballard Spahr
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

WITH RESPECT TO THE STATEMENT "THE INTEREST EARNINGS IN THE CONSTRUCTION FUND, WHICH PRIMARILY CONSISTS OF BOND PROCEEDS, ARE NOT AVAILABLE TO THE REVENUE FUND AS A PART OF THE OVERALL PROJECT REVENUES AVAILABLE FOR MEETING ANNUAL REVENUE REQUIREMENTS OF THE WATER DEPARTMENT" (PWD ST. 9A AT 39):

- A. DOES THIS STATEMENT DESCRIBE A LEGAL REQUIREMENT APPLICABLE TO INTEREST EARNINGS ON DEPOSITS IN THE CONSTRUCTION FUND? IF SO, PLEASE PROVIDE A COPY OF THE LEGAL AUTHORITY THAT IMPOSES THIS REQUIREMENT.
- B. IF THE ANSWER TO 5(A) IS ANYTHING OTHER THAN AN UNEQUIVOCAL "YES" PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY INTEREST EARNINGS ON DEPOSITS IN THE CONSTRUCTION FUND ARE NOT AVAILABLE TO MEETING ANNUAL REVENUE REQUIREMENTS OF PWD.

RESPONSE:

PA-V-88.

A. Yes, with respect to earnings on tax-exempt bond proceeds on deposit in the Construction Fund.

Interest earnings on tax-exempt bond proceeds are considered tax-exempt bond proceeds for federal tax purposes. Therefore, earnings on PWD revenue bonds are treated as bond proceeds.

Under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder (collectively, the Code), bond proceeds may not be used for working capital expenditures except to the extent that working capital expenditures exceed available amounts (as such term is defined in the Code). Tax-exempt bond

WITH RESPECT TO THE STATEMENT "THE DEPARTMENT MUST ALSO ESTABLISH RATES AND CHARGES TO MEET THE FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS OF THE 1989 GENERAL ORDINANCE WITH RESPECT TO, AMONG OTHER THINGS, (1) MAINTAINING THE RATE STABILIZATION FUND; (2) FINANCING A PORTION OF MAJOR ANNUAL CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT REQUIREMENTS DIRECTLY FROM ANNUAL SYSTEM REVENUES; AND (3) MAKING REQUIRED DEPOSITS INTO THE RESIDUAL FUND OF ANY MONIES REMAINING AFTER PAYMENT OF ALL CURRENT CASH OBLIGATIONS" (PWD ST. 9A AT 47-48):

A. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS OF THE 1989 GENERAL ORDINANCE WITH RESPECT TO MAINTAINING THE RATE STABILIZATION FUND.

B. PLEASE PROVIDE THE LANGUAGE OF THE 1989 GENERAL ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING THE FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS WITH RESPECT TO MAINTAINING THE RATE STABILIZATION FUND.

C. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS OF THE 1989 GENERAL ORDINANCE WITH RESPECT TO FINANCING A PORTION OF MAJOR ANNUAL CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT REQUIREMENTS DIRECTLY FROM ANNUAL SYSTEM REVENUES.

RESPONSE:

25

26

27

28

A. Section 5.01 of the 1989 Bond Ordinance sets forth the Rate Covenant. The Rate Covenant is calculated on the basis of Net Revenues. Net Revenues for any period include Project Revenues plus net transfers from the Rate Stabilization Fund and any interest earnings transferred to the Revenue Fund, less Operating Expenses incurred. In other words, amounts in the Rate Stabilization Fund are available to provide

liquidity to ensure that Net Revenues in any given fiscal year are sufficient so that the Rate Covenant is met for such fiscal year.

If the amounts required to (1) pay Operating Expenses and Debt Service
Requirements, (2) make deposits required to eliminate any deficiencies in the Debt
Reserve Account and any other account established in the Sinking Fund to secure
Bonds (other than Subordinated Bonds), and (3) pay debt service on Subordinated
Bonds or General Obligations Bonds issued for the benefit of the System have been
paid or transferred from the Revenue Fund, then monies may be transferred from the
Revenue Fund to the Rate Stabilization Fund in amounts determined by the Water
Commissioner.

Capitalized terms used with reference to the 1989 Bond Ordinance and not otherwise defined have the meanings given to such terms by the 1989 Bond Ordinance.

B. Please refer to the 1989 General Ordinance, previously submitted. Capitalized terms used with reference to the 1989 Bond Ordinance and not otherwise defined have the meanings given to such terms by the 1989 Bond Ordinance.

Section 5.01 of the 1989 General Ordinance requires that Net Revenues in each fiscal year must be sufficient to satisfy the Rate Covenant. As described above, Net Revenues is defined under the 1989 Bond Ordinance to include net transfers from the Rate Stabilization Fund to the Revenue Fund.

Section 4.06 sets forth the flow of funds, i.e., the provisions under which monies are transferred between the Revenue Fund and the Rate Stabilization Fund.

Section 4.13 sets forth additional authority concerning the application of monies in the

25

26

27

28

PA-V-90. PLEASE PROVIDE THE LANGUAGE OF THE 1989 GENERAL ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING THE FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS WITH RESPECT TO FINANCING A PORTION OF MAJOR ANNUAL CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT REQUIREMENTS DIRECTLY FROM ANNUAL SYSTEM REVENUES.

RESPONSE:

Please refer to the 1989 General Ordinance, previously submitted. Capitalized terms used with reference to the 1989 Bond Ordinance and not otherwise defined have the meanings given to such terms by the 1989 Bond Ordinance.

Section 4.06(h) of the 1989 General Ordinance provides that if the transfers in subparagraphs (a) and (b) are being made according to schedule, and following any transfer then required to be made pursuant to subparagraphs (c), (d), (e), (f) and (g), the Fiscal Agent shall transfer to the Capital Account of the Construction Fund on June 20, of each Fiscal Year (or the first business day following June 20 if June 20 is not a business day) an amount equal to the sum of (i) the Capital Account Deposit Amount, (ii) the Debt Service Withdrawal for the preceding Fiscal Year and (iii) the Operating Expense Withdrawal for the preceding Fiscal Year, less any amounts transferred during the Fiscal Year to such Capital Account from the Residual Fund. Section 4.11 of the 1989 Bond Ordinance provides that amounts deposited in the Capital Account may be applied at the written direction of the City to (a) payments for the cost of renewals, replacements and improvements to the System; (b) payments into the Sinking Fund or into the Subordinated Bond Fund to cure a deficiency in one of the foregoing; or (c) the purchase of Bonds if a Consulting Engineer shall first have certified to the City that amounts remaining on deposit in the Capital Account following the proposed purchase of Bonds will be sufficient to pay, the cost of renewals, replacements and improvements to the System projected to be payable during such Fiscal Year; provided, however, that no Bond shall be purchased at a price in

1	excess of the principal amount and redemption price which would be applicable if the Bond
2	were redeemed at the time such Bond was first subject to redemption.
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Valerie Allen, Ballard Spahr
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
23 24	
24 25	
26	
27	
28	

PA-V-91. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS
OF THE 1989 GENERAL ORDINANCE WITH RESPECT TO REQUIRED
DEPOSITS INTO THE RESIDUAL FUND.

RESPONSE:

The Residual Fund is the last of the Water and Wastewater Funds (collectively, the Water Fund) where monies from the Revenue Fund flow under Section 4.06 of the 1989 Bond Ordinance. As the Water Fund is a virtually closed system of funds and accounts, all monies in the Residual Fund may be applied directly to pay costs of operating, maintaining and improving the System, but only for such purposes, with one exception. That exception is that payment of the so-called "scoop." As noted below, Section 4.12(viii) provides for the payment of certain "Net Reserve Earnings" from the Residual Fund to the City's General Fund. Earnings from the Debt Reserve Account are transferred from such account to the Residual Fund to fund any payment of the scoop in a fiscal year.

As noted below, Section 4.12(ii) provides that monies in the Residual Fund may not be transferred to the Revenue Fund or the Rate Stabilization Fund, and therefore cannot be counted in coverage as Net Revenues.

Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined have the meanings given to such terms by the 1989 Bond Ordinance.

RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Valerie Allen, Ballard Spahr

PA-V-92. PLEASE PROVIDE THE LANGUAGE OF THE 1989 GENERAL ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING THE FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS WITH RESPECT TO REQUIRED DEPOSITS INTO THE RESIDUAL FUND.

RESPONSE:

Please refer to the 1989 General Ordinance, previously submitted. Capitalized terms used with reference to the 1989 Bond Ordinance and not otherwise defined have the meanings given to such terms by the 1989 Bond Ordinance.

Section 4.06(i) provides that amounts in the Revenue Fund must be paid to the Residual Fund after compliance with Section 4.06(a) through (h) and the repayment of any interfund loans.

Pursuant to Section 4.12, amounts on deposit in the Residual Fund may be used at the written direction of the City (i) to pay Operating Expenses; (ii) to fund transfers to any fund or account established hereunder or under a Supplemental Ordinance (other than the Revenue Fund and the Rate Stabilization Fund); (iii) to make payments required under any Exchange Agreement; (iv) for the payment of principal, redemption premium, if any, and interest on any revenue bonds or notes (the proceeds of which were applied m respect of the System) issued under the Act but not under this Ordinance; (v) for the payment of principal, redemption premium, if any, and interest on any General Obligation Bonds; (vi) for the payment of principal, redemption premium, if any, and interest on other general obligation debt issued in respect of the System; (vii) for the payment of amounts due under capitalized leases or similar obligations relating to the System; and (viii) to fund a transfer to the City's "General Fund" in an amount not to exceed the lower of (A) all "Net Reserve Earnings" as defined below or (B) four million nine hundred ninety-four thousand (4,994,000) dollars. "Net Reserve Earnings" shall mean the amount of interest earnings during the Fiscal Year on amounts in the Debt Reserve Account and the Subordinated

1	Bond Fund less the amount of interest earnings during the Fiscal Year on amounts in any
2	such reserve funds and accounts giving rise to a rebate obligation pursuant to Section 148(f)
3	of the Code.
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2526	DESDONSE DOOUDED BY. Volorio Allon, Pollard Spahr
20 27	RESPONSE PROVIDED BY: Valerie Allen, Ballard Spahr
28	
_0	