

MOODY'S

INVESTORS SERVICE

New Issue: Moody's assigns A2 rating to Philadelphia Municipal Authority, PA's \$55.7M 2014 Bonds

Global Credit Research - 04 Apr 2014

Affirms A2 rating on \$3.9B of Philadelphia's GO bonds and unconditional obligations

PHILADELPHIA (CITY OF) PA
Cities (including Towns, Villages and Townships)
PA

Moody's Rating

ISSUE		RATING
City Service Agreement Revenue Bonds Series 2014A		A2
Sale Amount	\$4,040,000	
Expected Sale Date	05/01/14	
Rating Description	General Obligation	

City Service Agreement Revenue Bonds Series 2014B		A2
Sale Amount	\$51,670,000	
Expected Sale Date	05/01/14	
Rating Description	General Obligation	

Moody's Outlook STA

Opinion

NEW YORK, April 04, 2014 --Moody's Investors Service has assigned an A2 rating to the Philadelphia Municipal Authority, PA's \$4 million Series 2014A Taxable and \$51.67 million Series 2014B City Service Agreement Revenue Bonds.

The bonds are secured by the City of Philadelphia's unconditional obligation to make debt service payments from the General Fund under a service agreement with the authority. While this pledge is not a direct general obligation of the city, we see the "absolute and unconditional" nature of the agreement to be of equivalent credit quality to the city's general obligation pledge. We therefore rate these bonds on parity with the city's General Obligation bonds.

At this time, we have affirmed the A2 rating; the outlook is stable on the city's \$3.9 billion of GO bonds and other unconditional General Fund obligations.

Proceeds of the current issue will provide initial funding for the 4601 Market Project, under which the city will ultimately spend \$250 million to purchase a property on the west side of the city and convert it into a new police department headquarters.

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

The A2 rating reflects the city's large tax base, and its position as a regional economic center anchored by a significant non-profit institutional presence and a number of major corporations and other large employers. The rating also incorporates the city's heavy debt burden, high fixed costs, and below-average financial position, which has historically been pressured because of reliance on economically sensitive revenues.

The stable outlook reflects the improving trends in most of the city's important tax revenues, driven by an improving economy and growth in the tax base. Many of the weak socioeconomic characteristics of the city -- such as a high poverty rate, an aging population, and a contracting tax base - have shown some more positive

trends in recent years. Although the city faces numerous pressures in the long term, including large unfunded pension liabilities and costs related to labor and health care, strong management, comprehensive financial planning, and a generally strengthening tax base will keep the city's credit profile stable.

STRENGTHS

Regional economic center with major institutional presence

Comprehensive long-term financial planning

Recent trend of improving revenues

Reversal of decades-long contraction in tax base and loss of population

CHALLENGES

Below-average socio-economic characteristics

Long-term demographic decline

Weaker than average reserve levels

Exposure to economically sensitive revenues

Heavy unfunded pension liabilities

Large debt burden

DETAILED CREDIT DISCUSSION

VOLATILE REVENUE COMPOSITION, HISTORICALLY NARROW RESERVES

The city's financial position is characterized by a volatile and economically sensitive revenue mix and historically narrow reserves.

The city mostly funds its nearly \$4 billion General Fund budget with wage taxes (approximately 40% of revenues), property taxes (14%), business income and receipts taxes (12%), and sales taxes (7%).

Wage tax and business tax revenue fell during the economic downturn, pressuring the city's financial position and contributing to a GAAP-basis General Fund balance as low as negative \$130 million (-4% of revenues) in 2010.

The city took a number of favorable steps to manage the steep loss of revenue, including increasing property taxes nearly 10%, reducing employees by more than 1,500, winning higher pension contributions from some collective bargaining groups, and temporarily increasing the city sales tax rate to 2% from 1%. The city also deferred a portion of its pension contributions in 2010 and 2011. The deferral has been fully repaid. Both the temporary sales tax increase and the pension deferral required, and received, state approval.

These measures, combined with conservative budgeting and the economic recovery, have driven a rebound in the city's finances. In 2013, the city added \$196 million to its General Fund balance to bring it to \$284 million, or 8% of revenues, the highest level since 2007.

The city projects a \$177 million deficit for 2014. A deficit of this magnitude could result in downward pressure to the city's credit rating. The composition of economically sensitive revenues, combined with heavy pension contribution requirements and other fixed costs, leave the city vulnerable to future economic downturns, especially if reserves fall substantially from current levels.

Ultimately, the city's conservative budgeting, comprehensive long-term financial planning, and efforts to contain costs will contribute to the maintenance of adequate reserves and ongoing stability. The city is under oversight from the Pennsylvania Intergovernmental Cooperation Authority (PICA), which continues to monitor and help stabilize the city's financial operations.

LARGE ECONOMIC CENTER WILL CONTINUE TO LAG NATION

The Philadelphia economy will continue to face relative economic weakness, including weak socioeconomic factors and high unemployment. The median family income of Philadelphia residents is equal to 72.7% of the US

median, lower than cities of comparable size in the US. Unemployment is typically higher than state and national levels, and the poverty rate as of 2012 was quite high at 26.9%.

Philadelphia benefits greatly from its role as a regional economic center for a multi-state area, and is home to dozens of institutions that anchor the tax base. The city has seen some economic revitalization in recent years, reversing a decades-long trend of population loss, and attracting population that is young and well-educated.

We believe this revitalization has largely been driven by the city's strong mix of hospitals and universities. Of the 10 largest employers in the city, nine are either higher education or healthcare entities, most prominently the University of Pennsylvania (Aa2 stable) and University of Pennsylvania Health System (Aa3 stable). The city is home to about 30 hospitals, including Thomas Jefferson University Hospital and the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia (Aa2 stable).

The city's strong non-profit sector provides some underlying strength to the economy that is not reflected in tax base valuation or socioeconomic statistics. Approximately 27% of the city's \$137 billion base is exempt from property taxes (roughly \$100 billion is taxable). About 155,000 college students are estimated to live in Philadelphia, and about 300,000 people commute from outside the city to work in Philadelphia. The traditional measures of tax base strength understate the city's economic resilience, although persistent poverty and weak income indices remain a challenge. We will continue to monitor the recent improvements in the city's tax base, although we believe it will be challenged to continue growing at the current pace in the long term.

SIGNIFICANT DEBT BURDEN, SOME VARIABLE RATE EXPOSURE

The city's debt burden is substantial, and we expect the cost of debt service to continue pressuring the city's budget for the long term. The city has about \$3.9 billion of GO debt and other obligations on parity with its GO. PICA has approximately \$409 million of bonds outstanding that were issued on behalf of the city and secured by a first lien on city wage taxes, and we include it in the city's debt burden. The city's total debt burden is equal to 4.4% of the city's full value, above-average for similar large cities, although it is closer to average relative to operating revenues (debt is 1.2 times revenues) and on a per capita basis (\$2,864). The debt burden is equal to 7.7% of full value when the overlapping obligations of the Philadelphia School District (rated Ba2 negative) are taken into consideration.

About 9% of the city's debt is in variable rate mode, an exposure we consider manageable. The city has liquidity facilities for the debt and interest rate derivatives associated with the variable rate debt. As of the latest valuation, the city's swaps were valued at negative \$65 million. In the case of all of the swaps, regularly scheduled payments are General Fund obligations of the city.

SIGNIFICANT PENSION EXPOSURE

The city will continue to face growing pressure from funding its pension obligations. Most of the city's 28,000 employees participate in a single plan: the Municipal Pension Plan. The cost of funding benefits to the plan's nearly 65,000 members are high, and will continue to increase. As of 2013, we estimate the adjusted net pension liability for the plan was equal to 2.5 times revenues and 9.5% of full value. Moody's uses the adjusted net pension liability to improve comparability of reported pension liabilities. The adjustments are not intended to replace the city's reported information, but to improve comparability with other rated entities.

The cost of contributing to the pension plan in 2013 was \$769 million, or 21% of revenues. Included in this figure was debt service on pension bonds and the cost of amortizing \$230 million of contribution deferrals in 2010 and 2011. The city's 5-year plan estimates pension contributions in the \$550 million to \$650 million range annually after this deferral is fully amortized in 2014.

One favorable development is the city's plan to sell the Philadelphia Gas Works (Baa2 stable) to a private investor for \$1.86 billion, and use \$420 million or more of the proceeds to increase pension funding. The city intends to continue contributing to the plan at current levels, meaning any decrease in the Minimum Municipal Obligation resulting from the sale would be re-invested in the plan to increase funding further. If it succeeds, this deal could lead to a stronger funded position for the plan and alleviate potential future funding strains.

STABLE OUTLOOK

The city has emerged from the recession with adequate finances and an improving economy. Most of the city's important revenue sources are on a positive trend. The long-term drags that have impeded the city's economy for decades - high poverty, below-average wealth, a contracting tax base - appear to be moderating somewhat. Although the city still faces numerous long-term pressures, we believe a strong management regime,

comprehensive financial planning, and a generally strengthening tax base will keep the city's credit profile stable.

WHAT COULD CHANGE THE RATING UP:

Continuing growth in the tax base and strengthening of socio-economic profile

Expansion of reserves to levels consistent with higher rating categories

Substantial decrease of unfunded pension liabilities

WHAT COULD CHANGE THE RATING DOWN:

Resumption of tax base declines

Return to lower or negative fund balance levels

Failure to fund pension plan on a sound basis going forward

KEY STATISTICS:

Tax base size: Full Value: \$99.9 billion

Full value per capita: \$65,678

Median family income as % of US: 72.7%

Available fund balance as a % of revenues: 7.7%

5-year dollar change in adjusted fund balance as a % of revenues: 1.35%

Cash balance as a % of revenue: 12.7%

5-year dollar change in cash as a % of revenues: 5%

Institutional Framework: Aa

Operating History: 5-year average of operating revenues/operating expenditures: 0.99x

Net direct debt/full value: 4.4%

Net direct debt/operating revenues: 1.2x

3-year average Moody's ANPL/Full Value: 9.5%

3-year average Moody's ANPL/operating revenues: 2.53x

The principal methodology used in this rating was US Local Government General Obligation Debt published in January 2014. Please see the Credit Policy page on www.moody.com for a copy of this methodology.

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on www.moody.com.

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

Please see www.moodys.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

Analysts

Dan Seymour
Lead Analyst
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Geordie Thompson
Additional Contact
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Contacts

Journalists: (212) 553-0376
Research Clients: (212) 553-1653

Moody's Investors Service, Inc.
250 Greenwich Street
New York, NY 10007
USA



© 2014 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATION") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH

DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO CONSIDER MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS IN MAKING ANY INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS,

MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for "retail clients" to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.