

FY 2013-2014 Addendum – Special Grants Initiative (SGI)

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

Office of Children, Youth and Families



NARRATIVE TEMPLATE

Revised August 1, 2012

PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

Needs Based Plan and Budget SFYs 2012-2013 & 2013-2014

Version Control	
Original Submission Date:	9-14-12
Version 2 Submission Date:	
Version 3 Submission Date:	
Version 4 Submission Date:	

Special Grant Initiative (SGI)

Please review the SGI Addendum prior to completing this section or the Special Grant Budget Excel file worksheets. CCYA must rename the file "NBBXX_SpecialGrants_13-14.xlsm" by replacing the XX with the two digit county number.

Requests to Transfer/Shift Funds

The following subsections permit the transfer or shifting of funds within the SGI categories of EBP, PaPPs, Housing and ATP for FY 2012-2013 within the maximum allocation amount. Counties must have sufficient local matching funds when requesting a transfer to those programs with a higher match requirement. Transferring of funds will allow for the inclusion of Independent Living (IL) state funds. The federal portion of IL funds are restricted to specific service requirements of the Chafee program and may not be shifted outside of the IL program to the SGI.

The requests must include detailed justification for the proposed changes. The PaPPs must relate to a specific outcome for a selected benchmark in the NBPB or the county's Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) plan.

Counties that request to shift funds as outlined above must enter the revised amounts in the Special Grant Budget Excel File in order for the revised amount to be considered final. All transfer requests made should be considered approved unless the county is notified otherwise by the Department.

- From the list below, please indicate those Evidence-Based programs, Pennsylvania Promising Practices, Housing and Alternative to Truancy Prevention programs that the county will provide in FY 2012-2013 and/or request funding for in FY 2013-2014. Describe the method for measuring and evaluating the effectiveness of staff provided services.

a. Multi-Systemic Therapy (MST)

b. Functional Family Therapy (FFT)

c. Multidimensional Treatment Foster Care (MTFC)

d. Family Group Decision Making (FGDM)

e. Family Development Credentialing (FDC)

f. High-Fidelity Wrap Around (HFWA)

g. Pennsylvania Promising Practices Dependent (PaPP Dpnt)

h. Pennsylvania Promising Practices Delinquent (PaPP Dlqnt)

i. Housing Initiative

j. Alternatives to Truancy Prevention (ATP)

FOR EACH OF THE SELECTED PROGRAMS, ANSWER THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS (COPY AND PASTE AS NECESSARY TO ACCOMMODATE RESPONSES FOR ALL SELECTED PROGRAMS):

-----BEGIN COPY-----

Program Name:	Functional Family Therapy
---------------	---------------------------

Please indicate which type of request this is:

Request Type	Enter Y or N			
Renewal from 2011-2012	Y		Y	
New implementation for 2012-2013 (did not receive funds in 2011-2012)	N		N	
Funded and delivered services in 2011-2012 but not renewing in 2012-2013	N		N	
Requesting funds for 2013-2014 (new, continuing or expanding)	Y	New	Continuing	Expanding
		N	Y	N

Complete the following table if providing this service or requesting a **transfer, shift, or revision** only of funds for FY 2012-2013; and/or requesting funds for FY 2013-2014. Enter the total amount of state and matching local funds.

Total Budget Amount	Original/Approved Allocation (Amt requested and approved)	Revision Amount Change + or -	Requested Amount (enter this amount in fiscal worksheets)
FY 2012-2013	\$135,000		\$135,000
FY 2013-2014	\$150,000		\$150,000

Explain why the change is requested. What are the deciding factors to move from the originally requested program(s) to another(s)? Was this change discussed with the regional office?

N/A

Complete the following chart for each applicable year.

	0910	1011	1112	1213	1314
Target Population	Delinquent Non-CBH Eligible youth	Delinquent Non-CBH Eligible youth	Delinquent Non-CBH Eligible youth	Delinquent Non-CBH Eligible youth	Delinquent Non-CBH Eligible youth
# of Referrals	727	1340	531	750	750
# Successfully completing program	436	85% (Provider reported success rate in			

		<i>calendar 2010)</i>			
Cost per year	\$150,000	\$137,888	\$150,000	\$135,000	150,000
Per Diem Cost/Program funded amount	\$237.75	\$237.75	\$237.75	\$237.75	237.75
# of MA referrals	644	1003	466	675	675
# of Non MA referrals	83	337	65	75	75
Name of provider	Visionquest	Visionquest	Visionquest	Vision Quest	Vision Quest
	Consortium	Consortium	Consortium	Consortium	Consortium
	Intercultural	Intercultural	Intercultural		

- ❑ **Identify three service outcomes the county expects to achieve as a result of providing these services with a primary focus on FY 2013-14. Explain how service outcomes will be measured and the frequency of measurement.**

The following outcomes will be tracked and measured through JCMS:

- Re arrest rates at three months.
- Re arrest rates at six months.
- Long term Placement rates for new FFT users.

- ❑ **If there were instances of underspending or under-utilization of prior years grant funds, describe what changes have occurred or will occur to ensure that grant funds for this program/service are maximized and effectively managed. Also, identify the measures the county will utilize in both FY 2012-2013 and FY 2013-2014.**

Underspending in FY09/10, was attributed to a higher than anticipated number of clients being picked up by CBH. The FY10/11, FY11/12, and FY12/13 allocation requests are line with FY09/10 and FY10/11 expenditures.

Program Name:	Multidimensional Treatment Foster Care
---------------	--

please indicate which type of request this is:

Request Type	Enter Y or N			
Renewal from 2011-2012	N			
New implementation for 2012-2013 (did not receive funds in 2011-2012)	Y			
Funded and delivered services in 2011-2012 but not renewing in 2012- 2013	Y			
Requesting funds for 2013-2014 (new, continuing or expanding)	Y	New	Continuing	Expanding
		Y		

Complete the following table if providing this service or requesting a **transfer, shift, or revision** only of funds for FY 2012-2013; and/or requesting funds for FY 2013-2014. Enter the total amount of state and matching local funds.

Total Budget Amount	Original/Approved Allocation (Amt requested and approved)	Revision Amount Change + or -	Requested Amount (enter this amount in fiscal worksheets)
FY 2012-2013	\$0	\$0	\$0
FY 2013-2014			\$451,367

Explain why the change is requested. What are the deciding factors to move from the originally requested program(s) to another(s)? Was this change discussed with the regional office?

This is a new program to be implemented in FY 13.

Complete the following chart for each applicable year.

	1011	1112	1213	1314
Target Population	N/A	N/A	Adolescents in Out of Home Placement due to serious and/or emotional problems	
# of Referrals	N/A	N/A	10	
# Successfully completing program	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Cost per year	N/A	N/A	\$451,367	
Per Diem Cost/Program funded amount	N/A	N/A	\$117.92	
# of MA referrals	N/A	N/A	N/A	
# of Non MA referrals	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Name of provider	N/A	N/A	N/A	
------------------	-----	-----	-----	--

□ Identify three service outcomes the county expects to achieve as a result of providing these services with a primary focus on FY 2013-14. Explain how service outcomes will be measured and the frequency of measurement.

- Divert youth from institutional and residential settings.
- Improve consistent academic engagement and emotional health stabilization.
- Create opportunities for youth to live successfully in a family rather than a group or institutional setting.
- Provide effective parenting in order to support sustainable success over time; decrease extended out of home placements, while improving the quality of care and lives of service recipients and their families.

The MTFC typically are 6-9 months and rely heavily on intensive, coordinated multi-method interventions conducted in the MTFC foster home, with additional service to the biological family, skills coaching and academic support for the youth. A program lead oversees and coordinates the interventions across settings. Progress of the youth is tracked through daily phone contact with the MTFC. Team leaders include program supervisors (program lead) that are responsible for coordinating all aspects of the treatment program. These professionals serve as consultants to the foster parents; provide support and supervision in the form of weekly meetings including an individual therapist, family therapist, and skill coach. Families are also coached and supported in learning specific parenting approaches that are practiced with the youth.

□ If there were instances of underspending or under-utilization of prior years grant funds, describe what changes have occurred or will occur to ensure that grant funds for this program/service are maximized and effectively managed. Also, identify the measures the county will utilize in both FY 2012-2013 and FY 2013-2014.

This is a new program to be implemented in FY 13.

Program Name:	Family Group Decision Making
---------------	------------------------------

Please indicate which type of request this is:

Request Type	Enter Y or N			
Renewal from 2011-2012	Y			
New implementation for 2012-2013 (did not receive funds in 2011-2012)	N			
Funded and delivered services in 2011-2012 but not renewing in 2012- 2013	N			
Requesting funds for 2013-2014 (new, continuing or expanding)	Y	New	Continuing	Expanding
		N	Y	N

Complete the following table if providing this service or requesting a **transfer, shift, or revision** only of funds for FY 2012-2013; and/or requesting funds for FY 2013-2014. Enter the total amount of state and matching local funds.

Total Budget Amount	Original/Approved Allocation (Amt requested and approved)	Revision Amount Change + or -	Requested Amount (enter this amount in fiscal worksheets)
FY 2012-2013	\$1,899,418	0	\$1,899,418
FY 2013-2014			\$3,399,418

Explain why the change is requested. What are the deciding factors to move from the originally requested program(s) to another(s)? Was this change discussed with the regional office?

N/A

Complete the following chart for each applicable year.

	0809	0910	1011	1112	1213	1314
Target Population						
# of Referrals	261	758	1028	1098	1233 est.	1233 est.
# Successfully completing program	58	340	531	567	637 est.	637 est.
Cost per year	\$328,279	\$1,659,980	\$1,742,189	\$1,469,792	\$1,899,418	\$3,399,418
Per Diem Cost/Program funded amount	\$1832	\$1832	\$1832	2500 w/family finding	2500 w/family finding	2500 w/family finding
# of MA referrals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
# of Non MA referrals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Name of	A Second	ASCI, It	ASCI, It	ASCI, It	ASCI, It	ASCI, It Takes

provider	Chance (ASCI)	takes a Village	Takes a Village	Takes a Village	Takes a Village	a Village
----------	---------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------

□ Identify three service outcomes the county expects to achieve as a result of providing these services with a primary focus on FY 2013-14. Explain how service outcomes will be measured and the frequency of measurement.

- To prevent placement both of children and youth receiving in-home services and of those in danger of entering the delinquent or dependent system.
- To stabilize placement and decrease placement disruptions.
- To improve stability upon discharge from placement.
- To shorten placement stays and improve timeliness of reunification.
- To improve identification and engagement of family resources, particularly fathers, paternal family and supports for older youth.

Service outcomes are measured through a dual tracking system that includes the Department's database in conjunction with the Provider's. Outcomes are captured monthly and have been reported to the State quarterly since early 2009.

□ If there were instances of underspending or under-utilization of prior years grant funds, describe what changes have occurred or will occur to ensure that grant funds for this program/service are maximized and effectively managed. Also, identify the measures the county will utilize in both FY 2012-2013 and FY 2013-2014.

FGDM was first introduced in FY08/09. DHS initially underspent its FGDM allocation as we rolled out the program. From FY08/09 to FY09/10, referrals and expenditures increased significantly. In FY08/09, DHS spent \$361,797 and spent \$1.7M in FY09/10. In FY 12, DHS spent \$1,469,792.

The stipend for successfully completing FGDM conferences is \$3000. For cases which are initiated but not completed, a rate of \$1000.00 is paid. Unsuccessful referrals are paid at a rate of \$250.00 In FY11 the rate was standardized to align with the statewide rate of \$3000.

The Department is now in discussion with the FGDM Providers to assure that Family Finding is included within the process when applicable and appropriate. Currently, there are separate Providers for FGDM and Family Finding. After consultation with Kevin Campbell and a review of the Department's practice it was determined that Family Finding and Family Group Decision Making need to be aligned within the same practice model.

In prior years the Department implemented this practice with a focus on youth at risk of removal or who had been removed. In FY12, the following categories will be included:

- Youth who have a change in placement level.
- Youth who are at risk of placement disruption.
- Youth who are being discharged from placement.
- Youth who have other critical issues, e.g. permanency decisions.

It is expected that these changes will allow for maximum utilization of these programs.

Program Name:	Housing Initiative
---------------	--------------------

Please indicate which type of request this is:

Request Type	Enter Y or N			
Renewal from 2011-2012	Y			
New implementation for 2012-2013 (did not receive funds in 2011-2012)	N			
Funded and delivered services in 2011-2012 but not renewing in 2012- 2013	N			
Requesting funds for 2013-2014 (new, continuing or expanding)	Y	New	Continuing	Expanding
		N	Y	N

Complete the following table if providing this service or requesting a **transfer, shift, or revision** only of funds for FY 2012-2013; and/or requesting funds for FY 2013-2014. Enter the total amount of state and matching local funds.

Total Budget Amount	Original/Approved Allocation (Amt requested and approved)	Revision Amount Change + or -	Requested Amount (enter this amount in fiscal worksheets)
FY 2012-2013	\$1,373,661		\$1,373,661
FY 2013-2014			\$1,016,255

Explain why the change is requested. What are the deciding factors to move from the originally requested program(s) to another(s)? Was this change discussed with the regional office?

DHS has partnered with a collaborative agency to provide new housing and social services to aged out homeless youth. This special grants program will provide transitional supportive housing and service supports based on unique needs of the population. There will be up to 50 units. It is unknown if this new initiative has been discussed with regional office. The deficit is a result of funding being moved from the special housing grants and reallocated to other housing initiatives.

Complete the following chart for each applicable year.

	0809	0910	1011	1112	1213	1314
Target Population	(1) Youth transitioning to independence. (2) Families whose primary issue is homelessness					
# of Referrals	62	86	108	115	180	180
# Successfully completing program	No Information	Out of 35 program exits, 27 transitioned into stable housing	Out of 42 program exits, 33 transitioned into stable housing.	78 Exits (est.) 54 to stable housing (est.)	80 exits (est.) ; 62 to stable housing (est.)	90 exits (est.); 71 to stable housing (est.)
Cost per year		\$1,024,236	\$1,421,695	\$1,526,290	\$1,386,255	\$1,386,255
Per Diem		MFS - 21,332	MFS - 21,332	MFS - 21,332	MFS - 21,332	MFS - 21,332

Cost/Program funded amount		VYH - 8493.40 CVCA- 4,535 NH - 23,908	VYH - 8493.40 CVCA- 4,535 NH - 23,908	VYH - 8493.40 CVCA- 394.71 NH - 23,908	VYH - 8493.40 CVCA- 394.71 NH - 23,908 QUADS - \$7400	VYH - 8493.40 CVCA- 394.71 NH - 23,908 QUADS - \$7400
# of MA referrals	N/A					
# of Non MA referrals	N/A					
Name of provider		Methodist Family Services; Valley Youth House; Carson Valley Children's Aid; Northern Homes	Methodist Family Services; Valley Youth House; Carson Valley Children's Aid; Northern Homes	Methodist Family Services; Valley Youth House; Carson Valley Children's Aid; Northern Homes	Methodist Family Services; Valley Youth House; Carson Valley Children's Aid; Northern Homes	

- Reunite or stabilize families more quickly when the primary issue is homelessness or substandard housing.
- Facilitate a successful transition of youth who have aged out of placement with DHS but who require supports as they transition to independent living.
- Prevent the return of youth to homelessness where as they would enter shelter and transitional housing systems.

In addition to the outcomes, a measurement of success is the number of families placed through this program. Outcomes will be measured via monthly reports submitted by provider agencies with breakdown of clients served, services provided, discharges, and exit interview data.

- If there were instances of underspending or under-utilization of prior years grant funds, describe what changes have occurred or will occur to ensure that grant funds for this program/service are maximized and effectively managed. Also, identify the measures the county will utilize in both FY 2012-2013 and FY 2013-2014.**

To maximize and effectively manage spending in FY 2010-2011, DHS modified the referral process with Providers resulting in an increase in utilization. The Department will continue to diligently monitor both the referral and referral follow-up processes and make adjustments as necessary. This modification has proven to be successful. Measures the county has identified and will implement in both FY 2012-2013 and FY 2013-2014 are lead liaisons to follow youth from referral to entry into a housing unit.

- Please provide an overall summary of how the special grant programs selected under the SGI (including EBP, PaPP, Housing and ATP) will impact service delivery and child and family outcomes.

For years dominant practice in the “helping fields” has held that families have problems and professionals are hired to fix them. It focused on looking for problems and giving advice about handling those problems with little credence to the idea that families have strengths and are experts on themselves. Research shows that

providing strengths-based and family-focused services results in significant decreases in the number of children and youth requiring child welfare or child protection services, or the length of time their families require them.

By utilizing programs under the Special Grants Initiatives DHS has been able to provide housing supports to families through its Housing Unit. This unit brings together clients and housing agencies to provide supportive housing services including home visits and classes on life skills.

- Please explain how the availability of the services under the special grants will assist in the county's ability to achieve a specific outcome or a selected benchmark in the NBPB or the county's Continuous Quality Improvement plan. Specifically identify how the service outcomes will be measured and the frequency of the measurement.

The programs selected focus on providing services to help children, youth, and families involved with the Department to overcome barriers toward reunification and stabilization. In addition these programs focus on achieving overall safety and well being of children and youth, while also strengthening the family's ability to become stable and self sufficient.